

A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SYBOGRAF[®]Plus WITH PRF AS COMPARED TO PRF ALONE IN THE TREATMENT OF INTRABONY DEFECT–A CLINICO-RADIOGRAPHIC STUDY

Dr. Darshna Bothra¹, Dr. Rachit Mathur², Dr. Nancy Srivastava^{3*}, Dr. Rachna Kulshrestha⁴, Dr. Arshdeep Kaur⁵, Dr. Rohit Sharma⁶

Article History: Received: 27.04.2023	Revised: 21.06.2023	Accepted: 17.07.2023

Abstract

Background: Periodontitis is a multifactorial disease resulting in inflammation within supporting tissue of the teeth, progressive attachment and bone loss. The aim of the present study was to assess the efficacy and compare the SYBOGRAF[®]Plus with PRF and PRF alone graft in the treatment of intra-bony defects in chronic periodontitis.

Materials and Methods: A total 20 intra-bony defects were selected from patients with chronic periodontitis and divided into three groupsGroup I Open flap debridement with SYBOGRAF[®] Plus with PRF and Group II Open flap debridement with PRF alone. Before taking the clinical parameters, all the patients underwent oral prophylaxis and then recordings were taken at baseline, 3 months and 6 months for Plaque Index, Gingival Index, Pocket Probing depth, Relative Attachment Level and Bone Fill radiographically.

Results: The results of the present study showed significant difference in both the groups in inter-group and intra-group analysis except in GI from baseline to 6 months.

Conclusion: The overall efficacy in the treatment of chronic periodontitis in terms of clinical parameters (Plaque Index, Gingival Index, Pocket Probing Depth and Relative Attachment Level) and Radiographic parameter (Bone Fill) were shown by Group I (SYBOGRAF[®]Plus with PRF) more significant as compared to Group II (PRF alone).

Keywords: Periodontitis; Periodontal therapy; SYBOGRAF[®] Plus, PRF; Intra-bony defects

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur

²Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur

³Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur

^{4*}Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontics, B.J.S Dental College & Hospital, Ludhiana

⁶Professor and Head, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur

*Corresponding author: Dr. Nancy Srivastava

Associate Professor, Department of Periodontics, NIMS Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur Email id: darshnajain_26@yahoo.co.in

DOI: 10.31838/ecb/2023.12.s3.705

1. INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis can be defined as an inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth caused by specific microorganisms or a group of specific microorganisms, resulting in progressive destruction of the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone with pocket formation, recession or both. The ultimate goal of periodontal therapy is to eliminate the inflammatory process, helps in stoppage of the progression of periodontal disease and to regenerate the lost periodontal tissue for esthetic.¹ optimal function and For regeneration, growth and differentiation, cells and intercellular substances are required to form new tissues or parts; to achieve tissue regeneration. Bone grafts, soft-tissue grafts, guided tissue regeneration, and a combination of all helps in periodontal regeneration.²

A wide array of bone graft substitute such as autogenous, allogenic and alloplastic materials are available today and have shown to produce greater clinical bone defect fill than flap debridement alone.³ Autogenous bone graft was most popularly used for grafting, but the availability of the donor site and the limited quantity of the material caused some problems when the intraoral autogenous bone graft was used.⁴ To overcome the limitation of autogenous graft allograft, xenografts were introduced in the field of periodontics. Although these materials offer a solution to some of the above problems, the question of immunogenicity and disease transfer had often been raised. Therefore, considering all the above-mentioned problems, alloplastic materials were introduced. These materials are synthetic, inorganic, biocompatible bone graft substitutes which represent a possible alternative for the treatment of intra-bony defects. These materials have the advantages

of easier availability, eliminating the need of a donor site, and carrying no risk for disease transmission.

The most extensively researched material used in periodontal defects is hydroxyapatite (HA) which is a biocompatible material, nontoxic, osteo-conductive, and osteo-phillic material which have close structural and chemical resemblance to bone mineral.⁴ β -TCP was reported to form bone within the periodontal osseous defects, but the new attachment was questionable. The material was also found to be resorbed unpredictably in biologic fluids and a variety of solvents. Therefore, it was concluded that β -TCP may not provide a scaffold for a predictable time period which is required for the growth of new bone.⁵ SYBOGRAF[®]Plus is a synthetic nanocryatalline hydroxyapatite and ß tricalcium- phosphate and it is bio-resorbable with a high porosity and is osteoconductive.⁶ PRF is prepared by immediate centrifugation whole blood collected without of anticoagulant or gelifying agents and polymerizes slowly leading to flexible and elastic matrix capable of supporting cytokine enmeshment and cellular migration. Plateletrich-derived fibrin clot formation stimulates collagen synthesis in the periodontium that accelerates wound closure and mucosal healing and effectively promotes wound healing at sites of injury in periodontal tissue.7

Hence, the present clinical study was aimed to evaluate the clinical and radiographic outcome of the effect of SYBOGRAF[®]Plus bone graft along with PRF and PRF alone in the treatment of intra-bony periodontal defects.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Periodontics, NIMS

DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, Jaipur and twenty intrabony defect sites were selected from patients with chronic periodontitis from the out-patient department (OPD) of Department of Periodontics, NIMS DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL. The subjects were selected randomly with no discrimination of sex, caste, religion and socioeconomic status. A complete dental & medical history was obtained and thorough clinical & radiological examination was done. Blood investigations such as complete blood count, bleeding time (BT), clotting time (CT) and tests for viral markers (Hepatitis B & C, HIV) were done. The research protocol was initially submitted to the institutional Ethical committee. After ethical approval, all subjects were verbally informed and written informed consent was taken for participation in the study.

The intrabony defect sites in the selected patients was randomly and equally divided into three groups –

Group I: Open Flap Debridement (OFD) with hydroxyapatite with β - tricalcium phosphate (SYBOGRAF[®]Plus) with PRF.

Group II: Open Flap Debridement (OFD) with PRF alone.

Inclusion Criteria: Age group - 25-65 years, radiographic evidence of vertical bone loss, Probing depth (PD) equal to or greater than 5 mm.

Exclusion criteria: Teeth with grade II and grade III mobility, Patient with any medications that may affect platelet count or function.

Materials:

SYBOGRAF[®]Plus: Osteoconductive bone graft which mainly composed of 90% nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite 10% β tricalcium phosphate crystal. The particle size of bone graft ranges 250-550 microns. It is manufactured by Eucare Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd. India

Platelet Rich Fibrins (PRF): The PRF was prepared according to the Choukroun et al.⁸ at 3000 revolution per minute for 10 minutes. The clinical parameters taken as follows:

- I) $Plaque Index (PI)^9$
- II) Gingival Index $(GI)^{10}$
- III) Probing Pocket Depth (PPD)
- IV) Relative Attachment Level (RAL)

Radiographic Examination

I) Bone Defect

Statistical Analysis

The recorded data was compiled & subject to statistical analysis using the SPSS statistical software 19.0 Version. The intragroup comparison for the different time intervals was doing using paired t test to find the difference between the individual time intervals. The intergroup comparison was done using the One Way ANOVA. The level of the significance for the present study was fixed at <0.05.

3. RESULTS

The present study was undertaken to clinically compare the efficacy of bone grafts with PRF and PRF alone in the treatment of periodontal intraosseous defects. Twenty intra-bony defects were selected and divided randomly into three groups. In Group I patient treated with OFD with SYBOGRAF[®]Plus with PRF and in Group II patients were treated OFD with PRF alone. Clinical and radiographic measurements were recorded at baseline, at 3 months and 6 month post operatively.

Intra-Group Comparison Of Clinical And Radiographic Parameters

In Group I and In Group II the mean change in PI, GI, probing depth, RAL and bone fill from baseline to 3 months & 6 months and from 3 months to 6 months found to be significant (p=0.001).

Inter-Group Comparison Of Clinical And Radiographic Parameters

1. Plaque Index (PI): The mean difference of plaque index score 1 between the Group I and

Group II from baseline to 3 months and 6 months was found to be statistically non-significant and in between 3 months and 6 months (p=0.009) was statistically significant.

		Mean	Std. Error		Significance
Baseline -3 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	5.93520	3.93130	0.148	Non-Significant
Baseline -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	9.042	2.42482	0.061	Non- Significant
3 Months -6 Month	Gp I vs Gp II	14.49418*	4.94135	0.009	Significant

Table 1: INTERGROUP COMPARISON OF PLAQUE INDEX

2. Gingival Index (GI):

The mean difference of Gingival index score between the Group I and Group II from baseline to 3 months and 6 months and from 3 months to 6 months was found to be statistically non-significant.

Table 2: INTERGROUP COMPARIOSN OF GINGIVAL INDEX

Dependent Variable	(J) GP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	P value	Significance
Baseline -3 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	3.05459	2.88985	0.145	Non- Significant
Baseline -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	0.73281	3.71454	0 766	Non- Significant
3 Months -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	1.00907	5.80103	0 864	Non- Significant

3. Probing Pocket Depth (PPD)

The mean difference of probing depth between Group I and Group II from baseline to 3 months, between baseline to 6 months and in between 3 months to 6 months was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000),(p=0.001) and (p=0.023).

Table 5: INTERGROUP COMPARISON OF POCKET PROBING DEPTH					
Dependent Variable		Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	P value	Significance
Baseline -3 Months	Gp II vs Gp III	27.61905*	4.69797	.000	Significant
Baseline -6 Months	Gp II vs Gp III	34.575*	4.52194	0.001	Significant
3 Months -6 Months	Gp II vs Gp III	19.765	6.41141	0.023	Significant

Table 3: INTERGROUP COMPARISON OF POCKET PROBING DEPTH

4. Relative Attachment Level (RAL) The mean difference of RAL between Group I and Group II from baseline to 3 months and 6 months and from 3 months to 6 months which were found to be (p=0.001) statistically significant.

Table 4: INTERGROUP COMPARIOSN OF RAL SCORES	Table 4:	INTERGROUP	COMPARIOS	SN OF RAL	SCORES
--	----------	------------	-----------	-----------	--------

Dependent Variable		Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	P value	Significance
Baseline -3 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	14.60867*	3.51488	0.001	Significant
Baseline -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	25.80713 [*]	2.96845	0.001	Significant
3 Months -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	21.29984*	4.22345	0.001	Significant

5. Radiographic Defect Fill

The mean bone fill between Group I and Group II from baseline to 3 months, between

baseline to 6 months and in between 3 months to 6 was significant (p=0.047),(p=0.003)and (p=0.010).

Table 5: INTERGROUP COMPARI	SON OF BONE DEFECT FILL SCORES

Dependent Variable	(J) GP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	P value	Significance
Baseline -3 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	14.94048*	7.01778	0.047	Significant
Baseline -6 Months	Gp I vs Gp II	14.00595*	6.77415	0.047	Significant
3 Months -6 Months	Gp II vs Gp III	30.59099*	11.67769	0.010	Significant

4. **DISCUSSION**

Periodontal regeneration can be defined as the complete restoration of the lost tissues to their original architecture and function by recapitulating the crucial wound healing events associated with their development.^{11,12} Regenerative procedures is a multi-factorial process and requires certain sequence of biological events including cell adhesion, migration, multiplication and differentiation which may restore lost supporting structures of the dentition such as cementum, periodontal ligament and bone to a previously diseased root surface.^{13,14}

There are various grafting materials which contribute to new bone formation through osteogenic, osteo-conductive or osteoinductive mechanisms¹⁵ and supports soft tissue walls of the defect which results in gain in clinical attachment level thereby facilitating regeneration of periodontal structures lost during the disease process.¹⁶ The materials most commonly used have been autografts and allografts.¹⁷ When bone grafting materials are implanted in the defect site then it provides structural framework for clot development, maturation and remodeling which contributes in bone formation in osseous defects.¹⁸ In Group I, SYBOGRAF® Plus allograft has been placed in intrabony defects. Hydroxyapatite became the ceramic of choice because it produces predictable term results.¹⁹ short term and long Advantages of nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite and synthetic tricalcium phosphate biomaterial are biocompatibility and osteo-conductibility and close contact which was used as bone tissue substitute that helps in rapid healing of critical size defects by increasing stimulation of osteoblastic activity.²⁰

In group II comprised of PRF alone. Platelets isolated from peripheral blood are autologous and rich source of growth factors-PDGF, TGF- α and β , PDEGF, PDAF, FGF and IGF-1. The concentrated platelets are added to graft materials to get predictable outcome.²¹ The intra-group result of plaque index scores from baseline to 3 months, baseline to 6 months and 3 to 6 months revealed statistically significant reduction in plaque index in both groups. These results were in contrast to those obtained by Lekovic V et al $(2012)^{22}$, where there was no significant difference in these scores at baseline and at 6 months. The reduction of plaque and gingival scores could be attributed to the patient compliance and proper oral hygiene maintenance. The study conducted by Bansal & Bharti (2013)¹⁸ found results which were not in accordance with the present study.

The intra-group result of gingival index scores from baseline to 3 months, baseline to 6 months and 3 to 6 months revealed statistically significant reduction in gingival index in all the three groups. The study conducted by Raghav et al. $(2016)^{23}$ showed similar results with the present study. The gingival index in inter-group showed decrease in gingival index which was not significant.

In the present study, for both the groups, the mean difference of pocket depth reduction at 3 months and 6 months from baseline was highly significant (p<0.001). The study conducted by Lekvoic et al. (2002)²² found statistical significant pocket depth reduction at different intervals. This reduction can be attributed to the decrease in inflammation. shrinkage of the pocket wall, change in tissue bone and placement of graft material into defect. The Relative Attachment Level (RAL) gain was highly significant for both the groups from baseline to 3 months and 6 months (p < 0.001). The study conducted by Bansal & Bharti (2013)¹⁸ showed significant results in RAL gain which are in accordance with study. The RAL gain can be attributed to the selection of defect, smoking, plaque control and proper surgical protocols. The studies conducted by Demir et al. $(2007)^{24}$ and Yilmaz et al. (2010)²⁵ found out nonsignificant result in RAL gain which are not similar to the present study.

In the present study, the mean amount of defect fill was statistically significant at 3 months from baseline among the both groups (P<0.001). At 6 month interval it was non-significant for group I as well as group II (p>0.001). These results were similar to those obtained by Lekovic V et al $(2012)^{22}$ where there was significantly defect fill occurs at 3 months and at 6 months (p<0.001). The studies conducted by Sharma et al. $(2011)^{26}$, Thorat et al. $(2011)^{27}$ found similar result in accordance with the present study in terms of

defect fill. The significant defect fill could be attributed to highly concentrated source of autologous platelets containing variety of biological mediators and improving handling properties of graft leading to graft stability. Overall, Group I showed higher percentage changes from baseline to 3 months and 6 months and between 3 months & 6 months in the present study.

5. CONCLUSION

The data from the present study suggests that treatment of intrabony defects with SYBOGRAF[®]Plus WITH PRF results in significant improvements of PI, PD, CAL, RAL and BD fill compared with baseline as compared to PRF alone. Clinically, there are several factors like patient selection, defect morphology, biological and physicochemical characteristics of grafted biomaterials as well as surgical variables and post-operative maintenance may alter the extent of clinical attachment gain and bone regrowth following a grafting procedure. It is necessary to emphasize that the data generated by the present study was derived from 6 months' time period only. Hence, long tern term studies with greater sample size are required to evaluate the efficacy of the above materials in the treatment of periodontitis.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. MJ N. Classification of disease and conditions affecting the periodontium. Clinical periodontology. 2002:64-73.
- Gassling V, Douglas T, Warnke PH, Açil Y, Wiltfang J, Becker ST. Plateletrich fibrin membranes as scaffolds for periosteal tissue engineering. Clinical oral implants research. 2010 May;21(5):543-9.

- 3. Bhatia G, Khatri M, Bansal M, Saxena S, Agarwal V, Kumar A. A comparative evaluation of porous hydroxyapatite bone graft with and without platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of periodontal intrabony osseous defects: a clinico-radiographic study. Indian Journal of Dental Sciences. 2018 Apr 1;10(2):72.
- 4. Nery EB, Lee KK, Czajkowski S, Dooner JJ, Duqqan M, Ellinger RF, et al. A veteran administration cooperative study of biphasic calcium phosphate ceramic in periodontal osseous defects. J Periodontol. 1990;61:737–44.
- 5. Nery EB, LeGeros RZ, Lynch KL, Lee K. Tissue response to biphasic calcium phosphate ceramic with different ratios of HA/ β TCP in periodontal osseous defects. J Periodontol. 1992;63:729–35.
- 6. Mistry S, Kundu D, Datta S, Basu D. Effects of bioactive glass, hydroxyapatite and bioactive glass– hydroxyapatite composite graft particles in the treatment of infrabony defects. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2012 Apr;16(2):241.
- Bayani, M, Torabi, S, Shahnaz, A & Pourali, M 2017, 'Main properties of nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite as a bone graft material in treatment of periodontal defects. A review of literature', Biotech & Biotech Equipment, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 215-220.
- Choukroun J, Diss A, Simonpieri A, Girard MO, Schoeffler C, Dohan SL, Dohan AJ, Mouhyi J, Dohan DM. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF): a secondgeneration platelet concentrate. Part IV: clinical effects on tissue healing. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2006 Mar;101(3):e56-60.

- Silness J, Löe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy II. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. Acta odontologica scandinavica. 1964 Jan 1;22(1):121-35.
- Löe H, Silness J. Periodontal disease in pregnancy I. Prevalence and severity. Acta odontologica scandinavica. 1963 Jan 1;21(6):533-51.
- Melcher AH. On the repair potential of periodontal tissues. Journal of periodontology. 1976 May;47(5):256-60.
- Polimeni G, Xiropaidis AV, Wikesjö UM. Biology and principles of periodontal wound healing/regeneration. Periodontology 2000. 2006 Jun;41(1):30-47.
- 13. Gurinsky BS, Mills MP, Mellonig JT. Clinical evaluation of demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft and enamel matrix derivative versus enamel matrix derivative alone for the treatment of periodontal osseous defects in humans. Journal of periodontology. 2004 Oct;75(10):1309-18.
- 14. Bayerlein T, Mundt T, Mack F, Bienengräber V, Proff P, Gedrange T. Bone graft substitutes in periodontal and peri-implant bone regeneration. Folia morphologica. 2006;65(1):66-9.
- Blumenthal N, Sabet T, Barrington E. Healing responses to grafting of combined collagen: Decalcified bone in periodontal defects in dogs. Journal of periodontology. 1986 Feb;57(2):84-90.
- Nasr HF, Aichelmann-Reidy ME, Yukna RA. Bone and bone substitutes. Periodontology 2000. 1999 Feb 1;19:74-86.
- 17. Reynolds MA, Aichelmann-Reidy ME, Branch-Mays GL. Regeneration of periodontal tissue: bone replacement

grafts. Dental Clinics. 2010 Jan 1;54(1):55-71.

- Bansal C, Bharti V. Evaluation of efficacy of autologous platelet-rich fibrin with demineralized-freeze dried bone allograft in the treatment of periodontal intrabony defects. Journal of Indian society of periodontology. 2013 May;17(3):361.
- Aichelmann-Reidy ME, Yukna RA. Bone replacement grafts. The bone substitutes. Dental Clinics of North America. 1998 Jul 1;42(3):491-503.
- 20. Elgendy EA, Shady TE. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite with or without platelet-rich fibrin membrane in the treatment of periodontal intrabony defects. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2015 Jan;19(1):61.
- 21. Sánchez AR, Sheridan PJ, Kupp LI. Is platelet-rich plasma the perfect enhancement factor? A current review. International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants. 2003 Jan 1;18(1).
- 22. Lekovic V, Milinkovic I, Aleksic Z, Jankovic S, Stankovic P, Kenney EB, Camargo PM. Platelet-rich fibrin and bovine porous bone mineral vs. platelet-rich fibrin in the treatment of intrabony periodontal defects. Journal of periodontal research. 2012 Aug;47(4):409-17.
- 23. Raghav, YS, Dev, Y, Singh, P, Digra, R, Chowdhary, G & Duhan, D 2016, 'Comparative evaluation of an autogenous bone graft and an alloplastic bone graft in the treatment of periodontal intrabony defects: a clinicoradiographic study', *Int J Current Research*, vol. 8, no. 06.

A Comparative Evaluation of Sybograf[®]Plus With Prf as Compared to Prf Alone in the Treatment of Intrabony Defect–A Clinico-Radiographic Study

- 24. Demir B, Şengün D, Berberoğlu A. Clinical evaluation of platelet-rich plasma and bioactive glass in the treatment of intra-bony defects. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2007 Aug;34(8):709-15.
- 25. Yilmaz S, Cakar G, Ipci SD, Kuru B, Yildirim B. Regenerative treatment with platelet-rich plasma combined with a bovine-derived xenograft in smokers and non-smokers: 12-month clinical and radiographic results. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2010 Jan;37(1):80-7.
- 26. Sharma A, Pradeep AR. Treatment of 3-wall intrabony defects in patients with chronic periodontitis with autologous platelet-rich fibrin: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Journal of periodontology. 2011 Dec;82(12):1705-12..
- 27. Thorat M, Pradeep AR, Pallavi B. Clinical effect of autologous plateletrich fibrin in the treatment of intra-bony defects: a controlled clinical trial. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2011 Oct;38(10):925-932

FIGURE 2: GROUP I- CLINICAL AND RADIGRAPHIC PARAMETERS



PROBING DEPTH AND RELATIVE ATTACHMENT LEVEL AT BASELINE



RADIOGRAPH SHOWING BONE DEFECT AT BASELINE



PROBING DEPTH AND RELATIVE ATTACHMENT LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS



RADIOGRAPH SHOWING BONE FILL AT 6 MONTHS

FIGURE 3: GROUP II- CLINICAL AND RADIGRAPHIC PARAMETERS

