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#### Abstract

An analysis of the orientation of structural interactions was carried out on the basis of the first law of thermodynamics. In the systems in which the interaction proceeds along the potential gradient (positive work), the resultant potential energy is found based on the principle of adding reciprocals of corresponding energies of subsystems - there is a corpuscular mechanism. In the systems in which the interactions proceed against the potential gradient (negative performance) the algebraic addition of their masses, as well as the corresponding energies of subsystems is performed - there is a wave mechanism. Act of quantum action expressed via Plank's constant is narrowed to the energy equilibrium-exchange redistribution between the corpuscular and wave processes, which is demonstrated via the angular vector of such motion. Energy characteristics of functional states of bio-systems are defined basically by P-parameter values of atom first valence electron. The principles of stationary biosystem formation are similar to the conditions of wave processes in the phase. Under the condition of the minimum of such interactions, the pathological (but not stationary) biostructures containing the molecular hydrogen can be formed.
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## Introduction

To obtain the dependence between energy parameters of free atoms and degree of structural interactions in simple and complex systems is one of the strategic tasks in physical chemistry. Classical physics and quantum mechanics widely use Coulomb interactions and their variations for this.

Thus in Van der Waals treatment, ${ }^{1}$ orientation and chargedipole interactions are referred to electron-conformation interactions in bio-systems and as a particular case, exchange-resonance transfer of energy. But biological and many cluster systems are electroneutral in structural basis. Therefore non-Coulomb equilibrium-exchange spatialenergy interactions, i.e. non-charge electrostatic processes, are mainly important for them.

The structural interactions of summed electron densities of valence orbitals of corresponding conformation centres take place, that is processes of equilibrium flow of electron densities, due to overlapping of their wave functions.

Heisenberg and Dirac ${ }^{2}$ proposed the exchange Hamiltonian assuming a direct overlapping of wave functions of interacting centres, $\overline{\mathrm{H}}=-\mathrm{I}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, where: $\overline{\mathrm{H}}=$ spin operator of isotropic exchange interaction for pair of atoms, $I_{0}$ is the exchange constant, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are the overlapping integrals of wave functions. In this model electrostatic interactions are modelled by effective Hamiltonian exchange acting in the space of spin functions. In particular, such approach is applied to the analysis of structural interactions in cluster systems. It was demonstrated in Anderson's works ${ }^{3}$ that in compounds of transition elements when the distance between paramagnetic ions considerably exceeds the total of their covalent radii, "superexchange" processes of overlapping cation orbitals take place through the anion between them.

In this work similar equilibrium-exchange processes are evaluated through the notion of spatial-energy parameter, the P-parameter.

## Principles of adding energy characteristics of interactions

The analysis of kinetics of various physical and chemical processes shows that in many cases the reciprocals of velocities, kinetic or energy characteristics of the corresponding interactions are added. It is also known from classical mechanics that the relative motion of two particles with the interaction energy $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{r})$ takes place as the motion of material point with the reduced mass $\mu$ (eqn. 1), in the field of central force $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{r})$, and general translational motion, as a free motion of material point with the mass (eqn.2). Such relationships are known in quantum mechanics as well. ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mu}=\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}}  \tag{1}\\
& m=m_{1}+m_{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For moving thermodynamic systems, the first rule of thermodynamics is given by eqn. (3), where $\delta E=$ amount of energy transferred to the system, $d\left(U+m v^{2} /\right.$ 2) characterizes the changes in internal and kinetic energies of the system, $+\delta A$ is the work performed by the system and $-\delta A$ is the work performed with the system.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E=d\left(U+\frac{m v^{2}}{2}\right) \pm \delta A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the work value numerically equals the change in the potential energy, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\delta A=-\Delta U \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\delta A=+\Delta U \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The character of the change in the potential energy value ( $\Delta U$ ) was analyzed by its sign for various potential fields and the results are given in Table 1. From the Table 1 it can be seen that the values $-\Delta U$ and accordingly $+\delta A$ (positive work) correspond to the interactions taking place along the potential gradient, and $\Delta U$ and $-\delta A$ (negative work) occur during the interactions against the potential gradient.

The solution of the interaction of two material points with masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ obtained under the condition of the absence of external forces, corresponds to the interactions flowing along the gradient, the positive work is performed by the system (similar to the attraction process in the gravitation field).

Table 1. Direction of the interaction processes.

| No. | Systems | Type of potential field | Process | $U$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{2} / r_{1} \\ & x_{2} / x_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $U_{2} / U_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sign } \\ & \Delta U \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sign } \\ & \delta A \end{aligned}$ | Direction of potential field |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | opposite electrical charges | electrostatic | attraction repulsion | $\begin{aligned} & -k \frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r} \\ & -k \frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r} \end{aligned}$ | $r_{2}<r_{1}$ $r_{2}>r_{1}$ | $U_{2}>U_{1}$ $U_{2}<U_{1}$ | + | + - | along the gradient against the gradient |
| 2 | similar <br> electrical <br> charges | electrostatic | attraction | $k \frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}$ | $r_{2}<r_{1}$ | $U_{2}>U_{1}$ | + | - | against the gradient |
|  |  |  | repulsion | $k \frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}$ | $r_{2}>r_{1}$ | $U_{2}<U_{1}$ | - | + | along the gradient |
| 3 | elementary <br> masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ | gravitational | attraction | $-\gamma \frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{r}$ | $r_{2}<r_{1}$ | $U_{2}>U_{1}$ | - | + | along the gradient |
|  |  |  | repulsion | $-\gamma \frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{r}$ | $r_{2}>r_{1}$ | $U_{2}<U_{1}$ | + | - | against the gradient |
| 4 | spring deformation | field of elastic forces | compression | $k \frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2}$ | $x_{2}<x_{1}$ | $U_{2}>U_{1}$ | + | - | against the gradient |
|  |  |  | extension | $k \frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2}$ | $x_{2}>x_{1}$ | $U_{2}>U_{1}$ | $+$ | - | against the gradient |
| 5 | photoeffect | electrostatic | repulsion | $k \frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}$ | $r_{2}>r_{1}$ | $U_{2}<U_{1}$ | - | + | along the gradient |

Table 2. P-parameters of atoms calculated via the electron bond energy.

| Atom | Valence electrons | $W, \mathrm{eV}$ | $r_{i}, \AA$ | $q_{0}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{eV} \AA$ | $P_{0}, \mathbf{e V} \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{R}, \AA$ | $P_{\mathrm{E}}=P_{0} / R, \mathrm{eV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ | 13.595 | 0.5292 | 14.394 | 4.7969 | 0.5292 | 9.0644 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.375 | 12.792 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.28 | 17.132 |
| C | $2 \mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 11.792 | 0.596 | 35.395 | 5.8680 | 0.77 | 7.6208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 8.7582 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | 9.780 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{2}$ | 11.792 | 0.596 | 35.395 | 10.061 | 0.77 | 13.066 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.67 | 15.016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | 16.769 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{r}$ |  |  |  | 13.213 | 0.77 | 17.160 |
|  | $2 S^{1}$ | 19.201 | 0.620 | 37.240 | 9.0209 | 0.77 | 11.715 |
|  | $2 S^{2}$ |  |  |  | 14.524 | 0.77 | 18.862 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{1}+2 \mathrm{P}^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ |  |  |  | 22.234 | 0.77 | 28.875 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{1}+2 \mathrm{P}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ |  |  |  | 13.425 | 0.77 | 17.435 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}+2 \mathrm{P}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 24.585 | 0.77 | 31.929 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.585 | 0.67 | 36.694 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.60 | 40.975 |


| N | $2 \mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 15.445 | 0.4875 | 52.912 | 6.5916 | 0.70 | 9.4166 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 11.985 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 11.723 | 0.70 | 16.747 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.63 | 18.608 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{3}$ |  |  |  | 15.830 | 0.70 | 22.614 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 28.782 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}$ | 25.724 | 0.521 | 53.283 | 17.833 | 0.70 | 25.476 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}+2 \mathrm{P}^{3}$ |  |  |  | 33.663 | 0.70 | 48.090 |
| O | $2 \mathrm{P}^{1}$ | 17.195 | 0.4135 | 71.383 | 6.4663 | 0.66 | 9.7979 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 11.757 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{2}$ | 17.195 | 0.4135 | 71.383 | 11.858 | 0.66 | 17.967 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 20.048 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{P}^{4}$ | 17.195 | 0.4135 | 71.383 | 20.338 | 0.66 | 30.815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 34.471 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}$ | 33.859 | 0.450 | 72.620 | 21.466 | 0.66 | 32.524 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}+2 \mathrm{P}^{4}$ |  |  |  | 41.804 | 0.66 | 63.339 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 70.854 |

The solution of this equation via the reduced mass $(\mu)$ is the Lagrangian equation for the relative motion of the isolated system of two interacting material points with masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, which in coordinate $x$ is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \cdot x^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $U$ is the mutual potential energy of material points, $\mu$ is reduced mass. At the same time, $x^{\prime \prime}=a$ (feature of the system acceleration). For initial portions of the interactions $\Delta x$ can be taken as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \approx \frac{\Delta U}{\Delta x} \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu a \Delta x=-\Delta U \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1 /(a \Delta x)} \times \frac{1}{\left(1 / m_{1}+1 / m_{2}\right)} \approx-\Delta U \tag{7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1 /\left(m_{1} a \Delta x\right)+1 /\left(m_{2} a \Delta x\right)} \approx-\Delta U \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Delta U} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta U_{1}}+\frac{1}{\Delta U_{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta U_{I}$ and $\Delta U_{2}$ are potential energies of material points on the elementary portion of interactions and $\Delta U$ is the resulting (mutual) potential energy of these interactions. Therefore:

1. In the systems in which the interactions proceed along the potential gradient (positive performance), the resulting potential energy is found based on the principle of adding reciprocals of the corresponding energies of subsystems. ${ }^{5}$ Similarly, the reduced mass for the relative motion of twoparticle system is calculated.
2. In the systems in which the interactions proceed against the potential gradient (negative performance), the algebraic addition of their masses, as well as the corresponding
energies of subsystems, is performed (by the analogy with Hamiltonian).

## Spatial-energy parameter (P-parameter)

From the Eqn. (9) it is seen that the resulting energy characteristic of the system of two material points interaction is based on the principle of adding reciprocals of initial energies of interacting subsystems.

Electron with mass $m$ moving near the proton with mass $M$ is equivalent to the particle with mass as given in eqn. (10). ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{m M}{m+M} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, when modifying the eqn. (6), we can assume that the energy of atom valence orbitals (responsible for interatomic interactions) can be calculated ${ }^{5}$ by the principle of adding reciprocals of some initial energy components based on the following equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q^{2} / r_{\mathrm{i}}}+\frac{1}{W_{\mathrm{i}} n_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{1}{P_{\mathrm{E}}}  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{1}{P_{0}}=\frac{1}{q^{2}}+\frac{1}{(W r n)_{\mathrm{i}}}  \tag{12}\\
& P_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{P_{0}}{r_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $W_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the electron orbital energy, ${ }^{7} r_{\mathrm{i}}$ is orbital radius of $i$-orbital, ${ }^{8} q=Z^{*} / n^{*},{ }^{9} n_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the number of electrons of the given orbital, $Z^{*}$ and $n^{*}$ are nucleus effective charge and effective main quantum number and $r$ is the bond dimensional characteristics.
$P_{0}$ was called spatial-energy parameter (SEP), and $P_{\mathrm{E}}-$ effective $P$-parameter (effective $S E P$ ). Effective $S E P$ has physical sense of some averaged energy of valence electrons in the atom and is measured in energy units, e.g. electronvolts (eV). The values of $P_{0}$-parameter are tabulated constants for the electrons of the given atom orbital. For dimensionality, SEP can be written down as eqn. (14).
$\left[P_{0}\right]=\left[q^{2}\right]=[E] \times[r]=[h] \times[v]=\frac{k g \times m^{3}}{s^{2}}=J \times m($
where $[E],[h]$ and $[v]$ are dimensions of energy, Planck's constant and velocity, respectively. Thus $P$-parameter corresponds to the processes going along the potential gradient.

The introduction of $P$-parameter should be considered as further development of quasi-classical notions using quantum-mechanical data on atom structure to obtain the criteria of energy conditions of phase-formation. At the same time, for the systems of similarly charged homogeneous systems (e.g., orbitals of the given atom) the principle of algebraic addition of such parameters is preserved.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum P_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum\left(P_{0} / r\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \sum \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum P_{0} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $P$-parameters of valence orbitals of all the atoms are given in Table 2.

According to the established rule ${ }^{5}$ about addition of $P$ parameters of similarly charged or homogeneous systems for two orbitals in the given atom with different quantum characteristics and also according to the energy conservation rule we have eqn. (17), where $E_{\mathrm{k}}=m v^{2} / 2$ and is electron kinetic energy.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} P_{0}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} m}{h^{2}} P_{0} E_{\mathrm{k}}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Schrodinger equation for the stationery state in coordinate $x$ is given in eqn. (18).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \psi}{d x^{2}}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} m}{h^{2}} \psi E_{\mathrm{k}}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing these two equations, we see that $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ parameter correlates numerically with the values of $\psi$ function i.e., $P_{0} \approx \psi$, and is generally proportional to it or $P_{0} \sim \psi$. Taking into account the broad practical opportunities of applying the P-parameter methodology, we can consider this parameter as the materialized analog of $\psi$-function. Since $P_{0}$-parameters like $\psi$-functions have wave properties, the superposition principles should be fulfilled for them also, defining the linear character of the equations of adding and changing $P$-parameters.

## Structural exchange spatial-energy interactions

In the process of solid solution formation and other structural equilibrium-exchange interactions the single electron density should be set in the points of atomcomponent contact. This process is accompanied by the redistribution of electron density between the valence areas of both particles and transition of the part of electrons from some external spheres into the neighbouring ones.

Apparently, the frame atom electrons do not take part in such exchange.

Obviously, when electron densities in free atomcomponents are similar, the transfer processes between boundary atoms of particles are minimal. This will be favourable for the formation of a new structure. Thus, the evaluation of the degree of structural interactions in many cases means the comparative assessment of the electron density of valence electrons in free atoms (on averaged orbitals) participating in the process, which can be correlated with the help of P-parameter model.

The less is the difference $\left(P_{0}^{\prime} / r_{i}^{\prime}-P^{\prime \prime} / r^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ ), the more favourable is the formation of a new structure or solid solution from the energy point of view.

In this regard, the maximum total solubility, evaluated via the coefficient of structural interaction $\alpha$, is determined by the condition of minimum $\alpha$ value, which represents the relative difference of effective energies of external orbitals of interacting subsystems (eqns. 19 and 20), where $P_{\mathrm{S}}$, the structural parameter is found by the eqn. (21), where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are the number of homogeneous atoms in subsystems. (Table 3).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\frac{P^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{o}} / r^{\prime}{ }_{i}-P^{\prime \prime} / r^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}}{\left(P^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{o}} / r^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}-P_{\mathrm{o}} / r^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{i}\right) / 2} 100 \%  \tag{19}\\
& \alpha=\frac{P_{\prime_{\mathrm{c}}-P^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{c}}}{P^{\prime} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}+P^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}} 200 \%  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{1}{P_{\mathrm{c}}}=\frac{1}{N_{1} P^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{E}}}+\frac{1}{N_{2} P^{\prime \prime}}+\cdots \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 3. Structural $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$-parameters calculated via the electron bond energy.

| Radicals, <br> molecule <br> fragments | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{E}}{ }^{\prime}, \mathbf{e V}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{E}}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{e V}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbf{e V}$ | Orbitals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| OH | 9.7979 | 9.0644 | 4.7084 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right)$ |
|  | 30.815 | 17.132 | 11.011 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{4}\right)$ |
|  | 17.967 | 17.132 | 8.7710 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \cdot 9.0644$ | 17.967 | 9.0237 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 17.132$ | 17.967 | 11.786 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 17.160 | $2 \cdot 9.0644$ | 8.8156 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 31.929 | $2 \cdot 17.132$ | 16.528 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 36.694 | $2 \cdot 9.0644$ | 12.134 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ |
|  | 31.929 | $3 \cdot 17.132$ | 19.694 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| CH 3 | 15.016 | $3 \cdot 9.0644$ | 9.6740 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 36.694 | 17.132 | 11.679 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| CH | 17.435 | 17.132 | 8.6423 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 16.747 | 17.132 | 8.4687 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}^{2}$ | 48.090 | 17.132 | 12.632 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{3}\right)$ |
|  | 18.608 | $2 \cdot 9.0644$ | 9.1827 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 16.747 | $2 \cdot 17.132$ | 12.631 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 28.782 | $2 \cdot 17.132$ | 18.450 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $2 \cdot 31.929$ | $5 \cdot 17.132$ | 36.585 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| NO | 18.608 | 17.967 | 9.1410 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 28.782 | 20.048 | 11.817 | $\mathrm{~N}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{3}\right)$ |
|  | 31.929 | $2 \cdot 9.0644$ | 11.563 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |


| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16.769 | $3 \cdot 17.132$ | 12.640 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CH | 17.160 | $3 \cdot 17.132$ | 12.865 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{r}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 8.4405 | 8.7710 | 4.3013 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| CO | 31.929 | 20.048 | 12.315 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 15.016 | 20.048 | 8.4405 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 31.929 | 34.471 | 16.576 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{4}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}=\mathrm{O}$ | 36.694 | 34.471 | 17.775 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2 \mathrm{P}^{4}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 31.929 | 19.694 | 12.181 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 17.435 | 19.694 | 9.2479 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 31.929 | 18.450 | 11.693 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 17.435 | 18.450 | 8.8844 | $\mathrm{C}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 8.7572 | 8.7710 | 4.3821 |  |

Applying the reliable experimental data we obtain the nomogram of structural interaction degree dependence $(\rho)$ on coefficient $\alpha$, for a wide range of structures (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Nomogram of structural interaction degree dependence ( $\rho$ ) on coefficient $\alpha$. The curve 2 is for biosystems.

This approach gives the possibility to evaluate the degree and direction of the structural interactions of phase formation, isomorphism and solubility processes in multiple systems, including molecular ones.

## Corpuscular-wave mechanism

The formalism of the Eqns. (11), (12) and (21) is not principally new. Already in 1924 the following equation was obtained based on Compton's effect.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{h v v^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{h v}+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{m c^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $h v^{\prime}$ the energy of scattered photon, $h v$ is energy of incident photon, $m c^{2}$ is the own energy of electron and $\theta$ is the scattering angle. At the same time, the energy of photons decreases by the value additionally obtained by the electron. In this way the act of quantum action takes place, resulting in the energy redistribution between the corpuscular and wave properties of the interacting systems. In this process, the same as in photo effect, the interaction proceeds along the potential gradient and the work is positive.

During the quantum transitions in the atom, similarly charged particles (electrons) interact by irradiation, i.e., by the wave process. At the same time, for dissimilarly charged particles (electrons and nucleus of atoms) the process goes along the potential gradient, i.e., by corpuscular mechanism.

It is known that a particle can have three main motions, translational, rotational and oscillatory. But quantum mechanics does not consider the issue of electron trajectory as we can speak only of the possibility of its location in the given point in space. But an electron also moves if this translational motion goes along the potential gradient, then it corresponds to corpuscular process, and rotational motion to wave one. Electric current is the motion of electrons along the potential gradient.

If we assume that the magnetic field generated by it is a wave process, there has to be a ratio between the electric and magnetic constants. The difference of phases of electric and magnetic oscillations in electromagnetic wave is $\pi / 2$. Having introduced the coefficient $(2 / \pi)^{2}$, we have the equation for Plank's constant with the accuracy close to the initial data themselves.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\left(4 / \pi^{2}+a\right) P e \varepsilon / \mu \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a=0.0023293$ and is defined as experimental quantum correction to spin $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$-factor of the electron, $\varepsilon$ is the electric constant, $\mu=$ magnetic constant and $h$ is Plank's constant. For a free electron $P_{\mathrm{e}}=W r$, where $W=0.510034 \mathrm{MeV}=$ $0.81872 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}$. The value of classical radius of electron $r=$ $2.81794 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$ was used as the dimensional characteristic and, therefore, $P_{\mathrm{e}}=2.30712 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{Jm}$.

The proportionality coefficient in the eqn. (23) has the velocity dimensionality ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) for the ratio $\left(F / H_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, i.e. in such way the rate of energy redistribution in the system "particle-wave" is characterized. Therefore, the act of quantum action expressed via Planck's constant is narrowed to the energy equilibrium-exchange redistribution between the corpuscular and wave processes.

Generalizing the formalism of the eqns. (11), (12) and (21) onto all other interactions flowing along the potential gradient, we can make the conclusion that corpuscular processes take place in these cases, and wave dualism corresponds to the interactions against the potential gradient.

## Corner angles

Let us consider some macro processes important in this case. The silkworm winds the natural (organic) silk thread only at a definite rotation angle. In cosmonautics the cellulose-viscose thread is wound around the metal cylinder of the spaceship following the special technology, and, what is important, at the same winding angle as the silkworm. The spaceship becomes most durable, more technologically high-quality and lighter. ${ }^{11-13}$ We can also speak of other examples of such phenomenon. This angle (mainly as applicable to organic systems) was called the geodesic angle: $\varphi_{\mathrm{g}}=54.73^{\circ}=54^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$.

The notions of breaking stress in the process of plastics stretching by its winding pitch has been reported in literature, ${ }^{12,13}$ where $\sigma_{\alpha}=$ axial and $\sigma_{\beta}=$ circumferential stress, which are replaced by the value $N_{\alpha}=$ axial effort and $N_{\beta}=$ circumferential effort proportional to them. At the same time, the following equation is fulfilled.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{\beta}}{\sigma_{\alpha}}=\frac{N_{\beta}}{N_{\alpha}}=\operatorname{tg}^{2} \varphi_{\mathrm{g}}=2 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition allows the formation of equally tensioned system of threads with the minimal item weight. ${ }^{13}$ Thus, the rotation angle numerically determines the ratio of two legs of the triangle, whose values characterize energy and dependencies in the system with quantum and wave processes through axial and circumferential stresses.

All this is broadly demonstrated in macro- and microprocesses. Below are some examples.
(1) Characteristic of spin-orbital interaction - constant of fine structure $\alpha=r / \lambda$, where $r=$ classical radius of electron, $\lambda=$ its Compton wavelength.
(2) Formally, but similarly: the interaction force of two long conductors with current is proportional to the ratio $1 / 2 \pi r$, where $1=$ length of conductors and $r$ is the distance between them.
(3) $\pi$ equals the ratio of circumference length to its diameter.
(4) In quantum mechanics the ratio of magnetic moment to its mechanical moment is called the magnetomechanic ratio, $g$. At the same time, $g_{\mathrm{s}}=2$, if the magnetic moment of an electron is conditioned only by the spin component, and $g$ $=1$, if it is produced by the orbital motion of electrons. Their ratio $g_{s} / g=2$, the same as $\operatorname{tg}^{2} \varphi_{g}=2$, which characterizes the existing corpuscular-wave dependencies.

In these examples, as in many others, such approach allows evaluation of structural interactions based on corpuscular and wave dependencies in each action act, e.g., in the equation of dependence of rotational and orbital motion of planets. ${ }^{14}$ Using the algorithm in the ratio $\rho / \alpha$ to obtain the linear dependence with the rotation angle $\varphi$ and in accordance with the Eqn. (24) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (\rho / \alpha)=\operatorname{tg} \varphi \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In homogeneous systems with the closeness of values of their P-parameters (wave process) if $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, then $\operatorname{tg} \varphi \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varphi=90^{\circ}$. In the corpuscular mechanism of interaction if $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, then $\operatorname{tg} \alpha \rightarrow 0$, and $\varphi=0$. In general case, in the system "particle-wave" the rotation angle $\varphi$ changes from $0^{\circ}$ (at $\rho=$ $0 \%$ ) up to $90^{\circ}$ (at $\rho=100 \%$ ). It can be assumed that equally strained system is obtained under the condition of approximate equality of corpuscular and wave parameters, i.e. at the geodesic angle $\rho=50 \%$. Then the calculation of the coefficient $\alpha$ by the eqn. (25) gives $12.16 \%$, approximately corresponding to the coordinates obtained in nomogram in figure 1 . Similar types by this nomogram and its reverse-reading variants are the graphic characteristics of many phenomena and processes in nature, engineering and economy. Therefore, such $S$-curves have been called "Lines of life".

## Bio-structural energy criteria of functional states

Isomorphism as a phenomenon is usually considered as applicable to crystal structures. But, obviously, similar processes can also flow between molecular compounds, where the bond energy can be evaluated via the relative difference of electron densities of valence orbitals of interacting atoms. Therefore, the molecular electronegativity
is quite easily calculated via the values of the corresponding $P$-parameters. Since $P$-parameter possesses wave properties (similar to $\varphi$-function), mainly the regularities in the interference of the corresponding waves should be fulfilled. The minimum interference, oscillation weakening (in antiphase), takes place if the difference in wave move ( $\Delta$ ) equals the odd number of semi-waves (eqn. 26).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=(2 n+1) \frac{\lambda}{2}=\lambda\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text { where } n=0,1,2,3(2 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference in wave move ( $\Delta$ ) for P-parameters can be evaluated via their relative value.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maximum interference, oscillation enhancing (in phase), takes place if the difference in wave move equals an even number of semi-waves.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=2 n \frac{\gamma}{2}=\gamma n \text { or } \Delta=\gamma(n+1) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As applicable to $P$-parameters, the maximum enhancement in interaction in the phase corresponds to the interactions of similarly charged systems or systems homogeneous by their properties and functions (e.g. between the fragments or blocks of complex organic structures, such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NNO}_{2}$ ). And then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}=(n+1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown ${ }^{15}$ that the molecular negativity is numerically equal to the $P$-parameter of the first valence electron divided by 3. Hydrogen atom, element No 1 with orbital $1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ defines the main energy criteria of structural interactions (their "ancestor").

Table 1 shows its three $P_{\mathrm{E}}$-parameters corresponding to three different characteristics of the atom.
$R_{1}=0.5292 \AA$, the orbital radius, a quantum-mechanical characteristic gives the initial main value of $P_{\mathrm{E}}$-parameter equal to $9.0644 \mathrm{eV} . R_{2}=0.375 \AA$, a distance equal to the half of the bond energy in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule. But if hydrogen atom is bound with other atoms, its covalent radius is $\approx$ 0.28 Å.

In accordance with equation (23) $P_{2}=P_{1}(\mathrm{n}+1)$, therefore $P_{1} \approx 9.0644 \mathrm{eV}, P_{2} \approx 18.129 \mathrm{eV}$. These are the values of possible energy criteria of stable (stationary) structures. The dimensional characteristic $0.375 \AA$ does not satisfy them, therefore, there is a transition onto to the covalence radius $\approx 0.28 \AA$, which provides the value of $P$-parameter approximately equal to $P_{2}$.

It was shown earlier ${ }^{5}$ that the condition for the formation of stable structures is an approximate equality of the $P$ parameter of the subsystems. From a big number of different combinations of interactions, we can obtain series with approximately equal values of $P$-parameters of atoms (or radicals). Such series, by initial values of hydrogen atom, are given in Table 4 (at $\alpha<7.5 \%$ ).

First series for $P_{\mathrm{E}}=9.0644 \mathrm{eV}$, the initial satge, where H , $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$ atoms have $P_{\mathrm{E}}$-parameters only of the first electron and interactions proceed in the phase.

Second series for $P_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}=12.792 \mathrm{eV}$ is the non-rational and pathological as it more corresponds to the interactions in anti-phase by eqn. (27) and $P^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}=13.596 \mathrm{eV}$. Coefficient $\alpha$ between the parameters $P_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}$ and $P_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime \prime}$ equals $6.1 \%$, thus
defining the possibility of forming "false" biostructures containing the molecular hydrogen. Coefficient $\alpha$ between series I and II is $34.1 \%$, thus confirming the irrationality of series II.

Third series for $P_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}=17.132 \mathrm{eV}$ and is stationary as the interactions are in the phase. By eqn. (27) $P^{\prime \prime}=18.129 \mathrm{eV}$ ( $\alpha=5.5 \%$ ).

Table 4. Biostructural spatial-energy parameters (eV).

| Series <br> No. | H | C | N | 0 | CH | CO | NH | C-NH2 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left\langle P_{\text {E }}\right\rangle$ | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0644 \\ & \left(1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7582 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{1}}\right) \\ & 9.780 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4166 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7979 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1330 \\ & \left(2 S^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4405 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.4687 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \\ & 9.1281 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8844 \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{1}- \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{3}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2479 \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{1}- \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 9.1018 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34- \\ & 7.54 \end{aligned}$ |
| II | $\begin{aligned} & 12.792 \\ & \left(1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.066 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \\ & 11.715 \\ & \left(1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.985 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.757 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.679 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \\ & 12.081 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.315 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.632 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{3}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.693 \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}- \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{3}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.181 \\ & 2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}- \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.1 \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 12.173 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07- \\ & 7.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| III | $\begin{aligned} & 17.132 \\ & \left(1 S^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.769 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \\ & 17.435 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{1} 2 \mathrm{P}^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.747 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.967 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | C and H blocks | $\begin{aligned} & 16.576 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{~S}^{2} 2 \mathrm{P}^{2}-\right. \\ & \left.2 \mathrm{P}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Nand H blocks | C and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ blocks | C and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ blocks | 17.104 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16- \\ & 4.92 \end{aligned}$ |

Effect of specific local energy (electromagnetic fields, radiation, etc.) upon structural conformations can increasingly follow the pathological series II. It may be one of the reasons of normal functioning failure in biosystems, e.g., in oncological diseases. If so, some practical recommendations can be done, which come down to the necessity of converting the molecular hydrogen into the atomic one, e.g., through the interaction with hydroxyl OH group.

From Table 4 it is seen that the majority of atoms and radicals, depending on the bond types and bond lengths, have $P_{E}$-parameters of different series. When introducing the stem cells, it is important for the molecular hydrogen should not be present in their structures. Otherwise atoms and radicals can transfer into the series II and disturb the vital functions of the main first system.

## Conclusion

1. It is demonstrated that corpuscular interactions flow along the potential gradient (principle of adding reciprocals of energies), and wave processes flow against the potential gradient (principle of algebraic addition of energies).
2. $P$-parameters of the first valence electron of atoms define the energy characteristics of stationary states (in normal state) under the condition of the maximum of wave processes.
3. Under the condition of the minimum of such interactions, the pathological (but not stationary) biostructures containing the molecular hydrogen can be formed.
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