

# Defluoridation using adsorption: a review on batch analysis parameters, kinetics, isotherms, activation energy and thermodynamics

# Amit Kumar Dey<sup>a\*</sup>Abhijit Dey<sup>b</sup> Jafungsha Gayari<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Assistant Professor,Dept. of Civil Engineering, Central Institute of Technology Kokrajhar, Assam, India-783370

<sup>b</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Srinagar, J&K, India-190006

<sup>c</sup>M.Tech Scholar, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Central Institute of Technology Kokrajhar, Assam, India-783370

\*Corresponding author: Amit Kumar Dey; mail id: ak.dey@cit.ac.in

### Abstract

Fluoride is one of the common contaminants present in groundwater and in waterbodies in general. It can create serious health hazards if ingested more (>1.5 mg/L). Scientists understands that removing fluoride from ground water is a difficult task. Several techniques like coagulation, membrane filtration, etc. are available for treatment but because of the high processing costs, such technologies are not always suitable for developing countries. As a result, environmentally friendly and low-cost technology like adsorption is one such method that can be successfully employed for fluoride removal. Fluoride was removed using a variety of low-cost adsorbents such as tamarind seed, tamarind gel, and duck weed etc. by defluoridation using adsorption and can be considered as one of the efficient and practical technique. This review article reports for the successful and efficient use adsorption and various adsorbents for the defluoridation of contaminated water. Observations have been reported for batch studies done by several researchers for effect of various parameter like initial concentration, temperature, contact time, pH, stirring rate on defluoridation from aqueous solution which can be supported from the fact that most of the researches done follows Pseudo second order kinetic model which suggests that the rate of adsorption is substantial and adsorption is an effective tool for the removal of fluoride from contaminated water. Isotherm studies reveal that most of the adsorption follows either Langmuir or Freundlich models. Overall, the research analyses suggests that adsorption technique can be regarded as one of the important techniques for defluoridation of contaminated waters.

# Keywords

Adsorption, Defluoridation, Adsorption equilibrium, Activation Energy, Adsorption kinetics, Point of zero charge (pH<sub>pzc</sub>)

# 1. Introduction

Fluorine ( $F_2$ ) is a corrosive, pale yellow-green gas that is almost impossible to find in elemental form in the natural environment due to its high electronegativity and reactivity. Fluoride (F) is a fluorine anion with a small radius, a high proclivity for acting as a ligand, and the ability to produce a wide range of organic and inorganic compounds in soil, rocks, air, plants, and animals.Fluoride is present in surface and groundwater as a nearly totally dissociated fluoride ion [1,2]. Fluoride is the 13th most abundant naturally occurring element which is reactive and electronegative. Althoughfluoride concentration in surface water and groundwaterdepends on various contributing factors, including as total dissolved solid, pH, hardness, alkalinity and the geological makeup of aquifers [1, 3-8] high fluoride concentrations are a result of fluorine tainted waste water discharges in many nations across the world. The superphosphate fertiliser business is frequently the source of such waste streams [9,10] coal fired power station [11], oil refineries[12] etc.

FRRDF report found that a total of 17 out of 32 States in India are reported to have endemic fluorosis in India [13],from which 70-100% affected state are Rajasthan, Gujarat and Andhra Pradesh. 40-70% affected state are Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Assam, Jharkhand, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Delhi, Punjab, Uttaranchal, TamilNadu. 1-40% Jammu & Kashmir, West Bengal, Orissa, Kerala. In 1987 it is estimated that 25 million people were suffering from fluorosis.

Fluoride is beneficial to human body for the classification of dental enamel and maintenance of healthy bones. Intake of fluoride in body is through drinking water, food products. As per WHO, the maximum permissible limit for fluoride in drinking water is 1.5 mg/l [1,14], but a lower concentration is recommended for children and as per BIS 10500 (2012), the maximum permissible limit of fluoride in drinking water is 1.5 mg/l[15], but if the consumption of fluoride exceeds above permissible limit for a long period of time it can cause dental

fluorosis, cancer, skeletal fluorosis and non-skeleton fluorosis change in the DNA-structure. People exposed to large amount of fluoride (>1.5 mg/l) show dental skeletal fluorosis [16-23]. Dental fluorosis affects children and discolours and disfigures teeth. Skeletal fluorosis affects the bones and major joint of the body like neck, backbone, shoulder, hip and knee joints resulting in severe pain, rigidity or stiffness in joints. Severe forms of skeletal fluorosis results in marked disability. Non-skeletal forms of fluorosis are earlier manifestations, which develop long before onset of typical change in teeth and skeletal bones. These are seen as gastro-intestinal symptoms and may overlap with other diseases leading to misdiagnosis. It affects men, women and children of all age group.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Fluoride and its adsorption chemistry

Fluoride is an inorganic, monatomic fluorine anion with the chemical formula F, whose salts are typically white or colourless. Fluoride salts are usually colourless and have a characteristic bitter flavour. Its salts and minerals are essential chemical reagents and industrial chemicals, mostly used to make hydrogen fluoride for fluorocarbons. Although fluorine is categorised as a weak base because it only interacts partially in solution, pure fluoride is corrosive and can cause skin irritation. The simplest fluorine anion is fluoride. The fluoride ion is similar to the hydroxide ion in charge and size. Fluoride ions are found in various minerals on Earth, including fluorite, but in nature only in trace amounts in water bodies.

Removal of fluoride using cellulosic based adsorbents [8, 24] are in wide practice and hence its important to understand the chemistry of fluoride adsorption by an adsorbent consisting cellulosic material.

Cellulosic adsorbents mainly consist of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin components with functional groups primarily responsible for uptake of adsorbate from an aqueous solution are hydroxyl and carboxyl groups [25-42]with symbols OH, COOH, NH-O and C=O. Also, there are hetero atoms i.e., O and H, which undergo protonation and they release a lone pair of electrons. These lone pair electrons develop a positive charge and they participate in the adsorption of fluoride. Reactions involved during adsorption can be summarized as:

$$S - OH + H^+ \rightarrow S - OH_2^+ (+F \text{ electrostatic attraction})$$
(1)

$$S - C = O + H^+ \rightarrow S - C = OH^+$$
 (Protonation) (2)

Section A-Research paper

$$S - HN + H^+ \rightarrow S - H_2N^+ (+F \text{ electrostatic attraction})$$
 (3)

After that electrostatic physisorption between ions and dipoles will take place and subsequently electrostatic attraction between positive poles of partial bonds of OH, NH and CO groups of adsorbents and fluoride will occur as:

$$S \cdot O^{-S} - H^{+S} + F \to S - O^{-1}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$-H^{+S} - \dots F \to S - N^{-S} - H^{+S}$$
(5)

For adsorption by soil minerals, adsorbents in soils, such as calcareous minerals, aid in pHdependent fluoride solubility, as shown by the mass balance equation:

$$CaCO_3 + H^+(aq) 2F^-(aq) \leftrightarrow CaF_2(s) + HCO_3^-(aq)$$
(6)

The above equation corelates fluoride and calcite when both salts come into contact with water in natural soil condition. As a result, rising pH and  $HCO_3^-$  concentrations raise water fluoride levels, and vice versa. Furthermore, anionic adsorption onto soil adsorbents can be done in two ways: specific and non-specific. The former is based on ligand-exchange processes, in which anions remove OH<sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O from soil surfaces. The latter is based on the pH of zero net charge (pH<sub>zpc</sub>) of the adsorbent soil surface and involves electrostatic coulombic forces. The soil surface becomes positively charged above pH<sub>zpc</sub>, but below net positive surface charge persists.For example, ligand exchange happens in the selective adsorption of fluoride by metal oxyhydroxide surface sites, according to equation 7 and 8 as follows for protonated and non-protonated sites:

$$SOH_2^+ + F^- \leftrightarrow SF + H_2O \tag{7}$$

$$SOH + F^{-} \leftrightarrow SF^{-} + H_2O \tag{8}$$

As a result, the pH of the aqueous media is the primary determinant of fluoride uptake by soil surfaces. Importance of  $pH_{zpc}$  in relation to adsorption is also discussed later in section 3.2 of this article.

According to many studies, bagasse fly ash (BF) has a high carbon content, according to [43] agro cum industrial waste bagasse dust, which contains functional groups associated with sucrose, lignin, cellulose, and proteins as key ingredients. Anion adsorption on such materials is attributable to the presence of aquo groups ( $-M-OH_2-$ ) and hydroxo groups ( $-M-OH_2$ ) and hydroxo groups ( $-M-OH_2$ ), as well as the large surface area and charge on their tiny particles. Deprotonation or a hydroxyl ion association reaction determine the surface chemistry of an oxide in contact with an aqueous solution to a great extent [44]. However, some evidence suggests that even if the

#### Section A-Research paper

surface is neutral, an anion like  $F^-$  can be adsorbed by an ion exchange mechanism as shown below.

 $-M - OH + F^{-} - M - F + OH^{-}$ 

Defluoridation methods may broadly be classified in two categories namely Additive methods and Adsorptive methods. In additive methods, certain reagents are added and optimum conditions for the defluoridation are maintained. Fluoride ion present in water reacts with the reagents added and forms an insoluble complex and is being removed as ad flocs. In adsorptive methods, a bed of greater surface activity is chosen and water is passed through the bed. Due to surface activity, fluoride ions get preferentially adsorbed on the bed surface thereby causing a reduction of fluoride ion in the exit stream. To remove fluoride from water, a variety of technologies are currently available, as shown in Table 1, including coagulation and precipitation [12, 45-49], membrane procedures [50-58], electrochemical treatments [59-69], and ion-exchange and its modification [70-76]. However, the adsorption process is often regarded as the most cost-effective and efficient approach for removing fluoride from water [8, 24, 25, 26, 77-80].Advantages and disadvantages of different defluoridation techniques are highlighted in Table 1.

Processes	Technology	Advantages	Disadvantages
	Coagulation	Simple,	High sludge production,
	Flocculation	economically	handling and disposal
		feasible	problems
		Economically	Slow process, necessary to
	Biodegradation	attractive,	create an optimal favourable
Conventional		publicly acceptable	environment, maintenance
treatment		treatment	and nutrition requirements
processes	Adsorption on	The most effective	Ineffective against disperse
	activated	adsorbent, great,	and vat dyes, the
	carbons	capacity, produce a	regeneration is expensive
		high-quality treated	and results in loss of the
		effluent	adsorbent, non-destructive
			process
	Membrane	Removes all dye	High pressures, expensive,

**Table 1.** Comparison of different defluoridation techniques in use [8, 25, 46, 58, 61, 81, 82]

	Separations	types,	incapable of treating large volumes
		produce a high-	
		quality treated	
Established		effluent	
Recovery	Ion-exchange	No loss of sorbent	Economic constraints, not
processes		on	effective for disperse dyes
		regeneration,	
		effective	
	Oxidation	Rapid and efficient	High energy cost, chemicals
		Process	required
	Advanced	No sludge	Economically unfeasible,
	oxidation	production,	formation of by-products,
	process	little or no	technical constraints
		consumption	
		of chemicals,	
		efficiency	
Emerging		for recalcitrant dyes	
removal		Economically	Requires chemical
processes	Selective	attractive,	modification, non-destructive
	bio-sorbents	regeneration is not	process
		necessary, high	
		selectivity	
		Low operating cost,	Slow process, performance
		good efficiency and	depends on some external
	Biomass	selectivity, no toxic	factors (pH, salts)
		effect on	
		microorganisms	

#### 2.2Adsorption process

Any removal of pollutants using adsorption is primarily achieved by determining the adsorption capacity of adsorbents for the removal of adsorbates. This is conventionally done by using mass balance equation which is given as:

$$q_{max} = \frac{c_i - c_f}{m} \times V \tag{9}$$

Where, $q_{max}$  = Maximum adsorption capacity of adsorbent (mg/g);  $C_i$ =Initial fluoride

concentration (mg/L);  $C_f$  = Finalfluoride concentration in the solution (mg/L); V = Solutionvolume (L); m=massofadsorbent (g)

The following equation was used to calculate the percent (%) dye removal:

Removal percentage (%) =  $\frac{C_i - C_e}{C_i} \times 100$ 

Where,  $C_e$  is equilibrium concentration of dye in the solution.

For defluoridation, adsorption is a commonly employed method. Several researches involved various materials as adsorbent for defluoridation, such as use of alumina in activated form [83-86], bauxite [33, 34, 85, 87-96], brick powder [94], activated carbons [27-32], hematite [91, 97-99], use of resins from polymers [74, 92, 93, 100], pumice stone [95, 101, 102], use of charcoal, serpentine, brick, red soil, fly ash [96, 103, 104], granular ceramics [105], extract of seeds from *Moringaoleifera* [33], use of chitin, chitosan, alginate [91, 106-112], rice husk in activated form [29, 33-35], treated hydroxide/ferric oxide [113-119], HAP (hydroxyapatite) [35, 100, 120-122], cerium and zirconium treated materials [123-134], sorbents derived from titanium [135-137], use of schwertmannite [138], cellulosic substances in modified form [36, 37], different form of clays [139-143], zeolite [81, 144-150] and magnesium treated adsorbent [121, 134, 151]. Studies revealed that among different metal oxides and hydroxides, especially those synthesised in nano-form, provide the greatest results and better adsorption capabilities among all of the above-mentioned adsorbents.

However, as fluoride concentrations fall, many adsorbents lose their fluoride removal capacity; the lowest limit for fluoride reduction by most adsorbents is 2 mg/L; as a result, they are not suitable for drinking water, especially since some of them can only work at extreme pH values, such as activated carbon, which is only effective for fluoride removal at pH < 3.0 [152].

The process of solute molecules attaching to the surface of an adsorbent is known as adsorption. The adsorption process can be done in a batch or in a column. Physical adsorption (physisorption) and chemical adsorption (chemisorption) are the two main processes involved in adsorption. Physical adsorption is caused by weak forces of attraction (van der Waals), whereas chemisorption is caused by the development of a strong bond between the solute and the adsorbent, resulting in electron transfer [153-156]. Researchers now a days has focused

on various type of inexpensive and effective adsorption media. Apart from the use for defluoridation, adsorption is also widely used for removal of harmful synthetic dyes and heavy metals from aqueous solution [153-156]. For defluoridation using adsorption technique, important batch studies include analysis of effect of various parameters like pH, adsorbent dose, contact time, initial concentration, temperature, effect of RPM etc. To understand adsorption mechanism, studies required are analysis of adsorption kinetics, adsorption isotherm equilibrium studies, study of activation energy and thermodynamic parameters.

#### 2.2.1 Adsorption isotherm studies

Adsorption isotherms are useful in explaining the distribution of adsorbate molecules between the liquid and solid phases when an adsorption process is at equilibrium [157]. Quantity of adsorbate adsorbed on the surface of an active adsorbent and the representation of equilibrium concentration for liquid adsorbate achieved during the adsorption process at constant temperature is scientifically presented by adsorption isotherm models. For any pollutant removal using adsorption, isotherm equilibrium studies are as important as kinetic studies if not more. Isotherm studies are used to quantitatively describe adsorbate-adsorbent interactions and to investigate the absorbent's efficacy for a specific adsorbate. Some of the renowned isotherms which are in use by various researchers for defluoridation using adsorption are discussed as below:

#### Langmuir isotherm:

The Langmuir isotherm [160] is based on the premise that there is a point of valence on the adsorbent's surface and that each adsorption site may adsorb one molecule. The adsorbed layer will be one molecule thick as a result. In addition, it is considered that all of the adsorption surface for molecules of the adsorbate is homogeneous, monolayer adsorption and that the presence of adsorbed molecules at one site has no effect on the adsorption of molecules at nearby sites. The Langmuir equation is conventionally represented like:

$$q_e = \frac{Q_m b c_e}{1 + b c_e} \tag{12}$$

 $q_e$  = quantity adsorbed (mg/g),  $C_e$  = adsorbate concentration at equilibrium in mg/l, and  $Q_m$  and b = Langmuir isotherm constants linked to adsorption capacity and energy, respectively.

Rearranging equation 3 provide the linearized form of Langmuir model as-

Section A-Research paper

$$\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m} + \frac{1}{b \ q_m C_e}$$

This equation is in the form of straight-line y = mx + c, Where

$$Y = \frac{1}{q_e}$$
,  $x = \frac{1}{c_e}$ ,  $m =$  slope of the straight line  $= \frac{1}{Q_m b}$ ,  $c =$  intercept  $= \frac{1}{Q_m}$ 

In order to determine whether the adsorption system is favourable or not ' $R_L$ ' name as dimensionless separation factor, which is obtained from Langmuir model is defined by the following equation:

$$R_{L} = \frac{1}{(1 + bc_0)}$$

Where, the starting fluoride concentration is  $C_0$ , and the Langmuir constant is b. The value of  $R_L$  is used to explain the reactions' feasibility.

- If  $R_L > 1$  then the adsorption is unfavourable
- If  $R_L = 1$  then it is linear
- If  $0 < R_L > 1$  then the adsorption process is favourable
- If  $R_L = 0$  indicate process is irreversible

#### **Freundlich isotherm:**

Freundlich [161] presumed the heterogeneity of the surface of adsorbent where there is exponential distribution of adsorption site at the surface of the adsorbent and also the exponential variation of the energy of adsorption. On this presumption he proposed the isotherm model. It is empirical and very widely used. It is expressed as-

$$q_e = K_F \times C_e^{1/n} \tag{13}$$

Where 1/n and  $K_F$  are system specific constants or Freundlich constants.

 $K_F$  is an indicator of adsorption capacity. Higher value of  $K_F$  indicates the higher adsorption capacity of adsorbent. The adsorption intensity is measured by the number n. The better the adsorption, the higher the n value. 2 < n < 10 value of n shows that adsorption is feasible and favourable

The linearised Freundlich adsorption isotherm can be expressed as,

Section A-Research paper

#### $ln q_e = (1/n) ln C_e + ln K_F$

This equation is in the form of straight-line Y = mx + C, where  $Y = \ln q_e$ ,  $X = \ln C_e$ , m = slope of the straight line = 1/n, C = intercept = ln K<sub>F</sub>

#### **Temkin Isotherm:**

The Temkin isotherm model [162] implies that all molecules' adsorption heat reduces linearly as the adsorbent surface is covered more, and that adsorption is characterised by a uniform distribution of binding energies up to a maximum binding energy. The Temkin isotherm is represented as follows:

$$q_e = B_T ln K_T + B_T ln C_e \tag{14}$$

Where, B<sub>T</sub> is Temkin constant and K<sub>T</sub> is Temkin adsorption potential

#### **D-Risotherm:**

Dubinin Radushkevich (D-R) model [163] is a more general model in which assumption is not based on homogenous surface or constant adsorption potential, it gives insight into the biomass porosity as well as the adsorption energy. The value of adsorption energy further provides information as to whether adsorption process is physical or chemical in nature.According to the Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm model, adsorbent size is equivalent to micropore size, and the adsorption equilibrium relation for a particular adsorbate-adsorbent combination may be represented independently of temperature using the adsorption potential ( $\varepsilon$ ). The D-R isotherm can be represented as follows:

$$lnq_{e} = lnq_{s} - \beta \varepsilon^{2}$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

Where,  $q_s$  denotes theoretical isotherm saturation capacity (mg/g)

 $\beta$ =Dubinin–Radushkevichisothermconstant

$$\varepsilon = RTln (1 + 1/C_e)$$

#### 2.2.2Adsorption kinetics studies

Kinetic studies are an inseparable part of any adsorption analysis. Any adsorption experimentation is essentially incomplete if it doesn't include analysis of kinetics. Kinetics models can be used to determine the likely dye adsorption mechanism as well as possible

#### Section A-Research paper

rate-controlling steps[157]. Adsorption kinetics is defined as the rate of retention or release of a solute from an aqueous environment to the solid-phase interface at a particular temperature, pH, flow rate and adsorbent dose. Kinetics studies are of great significance to evaluate the underlying adsorption performance and reveal the mechanisms. Adsorption kinetics hasprime importance indescribing solute uptake rate and time required for adsorption process. Two types of kinetic study are generally done namely, Pseudo first order kinetic[158] and Pseudo second order kinetic[159]. For any adsorption removal of hazardous material, if the analysis reveals that sorption is following Pseudo first order, then it is understood that rate of sorption is less andthus will require more time for adsorption equilibrium to reach whereas if the sorption follows Pseudo second order kinetic then it implies that rate of sorption is more and will require lesser time compared to the former one which is more desirable. Two rate kinetics are discussed as below:

# Pseudo-first order kinetic

Pseudo-first order [158] Kinetic equation is given by:

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = K_{pa} (q_e - q)^a$$
(10)

where q is the amount of adsorbed adsorbate onto the adsorbent at time t in mg/g,  $q_e$  is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium in mg/g, and the sorption rate constant in represented by  $k_1$  in minutes.

Putting a= 1 and equating

After integration we get

 $\ln(q_e - q) = -k_{p1} \times t + \ln q_e$ 

This is in the pseudo first order rate equation in the form of straight line

Y = mx + c where,  $y = ln(q_e - q)$ ; x=t; m= - kp1 and the intercept  $c = lnq_e$  here  $k_{p1} = P$ seudo first order rate constant and  $q_e$  and q are the amounts of fluoride adsorbed (mg/g) at equilibrium and at time t respectively.

# Pseudo-second order kinetic

Ho and McKay [159] presented the Pseudo-second order kinetic as-

Section A-Research paper

$$\frac{d q}{d t} = K_{pa} (q_e - q)^a$$
(11)

Putting a = 2 and equating

After integration we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{q}} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p2}} \times \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}^2} + \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}}$$

This is in the pseudo second order rate equation in the form of straight line

q (mg/g) is the amount adsorbed at time t (min) and  $q_e$  is the amount adsorbed at equilibrium (mg/g).  $K_{p2}$  is the rate constant.

#### 2.2.3 Activation energy and Thermodynamic parameters

The lowest amount of energy required in chemistry to activate atoms or molecules in a condition that allows them to undertake chemical transfer or physical transport is known as activation energy. To begin, all chemical reactions, including exothermic reactions, require activation energy.Although the energy changes caused by a reaction can be positive, negative, or even zero, an energy barrier must be overcome in both cases before the reaction can take place. Reactants need activation energy to move together, overcome repulsion forces, and begin breaking bonds. The adsorption process with negative activation energy is exothermic, meaning it increases at lower temperatures and decreases at higher temperatures. The adsorbate may desorb (leave the surface) when the temperature rises, because unfavourable intermolecular interactions between the adsorbate and the solvent are significantly stronger than those between the adsorbate and the adsorbent.The Arrhenius equation[164] can be used to calculate the activation energy of any chemical interaction. The following is the equation for the same.

$$\ln K = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT} \tag{16}$$

After producing a graph of ln K versus 1/T, the slope of the curve may be used to calculate the activation energy  $E_a$ . Where T is the temperature in kelvin, and K is either a pseudo first order rate constant or a pseudo second order rate constant, depending on the kinetic reaction's preferred rate of adsorption. The type (physical or chemical) of adsorption is revealed by

Section A-Research paper

activation energy. Thermodynamic parameters such as  $\Delta G^{\circ} = Gibbs$  free energy change,  $\Delta H^{\circ} =$  Enthalpy change, and  $\Delta S^{\circ} =$  Entropy change must be investigated to determine the temperature suitability of the adsorption, whether the sorption process is endothermic or exothermic, and whether the adsorption is enthalpy or entropy driven. The following equations can be used to conduct the studies.

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K_{ad} \tag{17}$$

$$K_{ad} = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_e^n} \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - T \Delta S^{\circ} \tag{19}$$

The plot of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and *T* can be used to calculate the values of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$ . The initial and equilibrium dye concentrations of the solution are C<sub>o</sub> and C<sub>e</sub>, respectively. At equilibrium,  $K_{ad}$  is the rate constant of the adsorption process. Rate kinetic analysis can be used to determine the value of *n* by determining which rate model best matches the observed data.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1 Characterization of adsorbents

Apart from batch studies, characterization using SEM [165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 179] images for adsorbents before and after adsorption, scientifically explains about the change in surface morphology and FTIR [165, 166, 167, 170, 172-179, 181] studies reveals about functional groups responsible for defluoridation. Various functional groups which are prominent in modified adsorbents and are responsible for uptake of pollutants like fluoride are shown intable 2. Other Characterization studies involves EDS [167-170] BET [179], EDX [179], TEM, XRD [180], analysis. Singh et. al., 2016 used sugarcane bagasse for the removal of fluoride form aqueous solution, in their analysis they used FTIR study to explain the adsorption phenomenon. Figure 1. Shows their FTIR image.

Functional Group	Characteristic Absorption(s) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )
Alkyl C-H Stretch	2950 - 2850 (m or s)
Alkenyl C-H Stretch Alkenyl C=C Stretch	3100 - 3010 (m) 1680 - 1620 (v)
Alkynyl C-H Stretch Alkynyl C <u>=</u> C Stretch	~3300 (s) 2260 - 2100 (v)
Aromatic C-H Stretch Aromatic C-H Bending Aromatic C=C Bending	~3030 (v) 860 - 680 (s) 1700 - 1500 (mm)
Alcohol/Phenol O-H Stretch	3550 - 3200 (broad, s)
Carboxylic Acid O-H Stretch	3000 - 2500 (broad, v)
Amine N-H Stretch	3500 - 3300 (m)
Nitrile C <u>=</u> N Stretch	2260 - 2220 (m)
Aldehyde C=O Stretch Ketone C=O Stretch Ester C=O Stretch Carboxylic Acid C=O Stretch Amide C=O Stretch	1740 - 1690 (s) 1750 - 1680 (s) 1750 - 1735 (s) 1780 - 1710 (s) 1690 - 1630 (s)
Amide N-H Stretch	3700 - 3500 (m)

Table 2: Functional groups and their characteristic absorption frequencies

Section A-Research paper



Fig. 1FTIR of virgin and Fluoride loaded sugarcane bagasse [166]

The presence of free and intermolecularly bound hydroxyl groups can be detected in Fig. 1 with an adsorption peak around 3,404.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The stretching vibration of C-H groups is responsible for the peaks detected at 2,912.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>.Peaks about 1,620 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to C=C, which could be attributable to lignin aromatic groups. The OCH<sub>3</sub> group is responsible for the strong C-O bond at 1,053.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>, confirming the presence of lignin structures in bagasse.Similar type of results for defluoridation utilising adsorption was reported [182-185]

#### 3.2 Point of zero charge(pH<sub>pzc</sub>)

The pH at which an adsorbent surface has a net neutral charge is known as the point of zero charge  $(pH_{pzc})$ . Study of  $pH_{pzc}$  for any adsorbent is of much significance which reveals the potentiality of anyadsorbent surface to attract anionic or cationic adsorbates. For e.g., if a given adsorbent surface have a positive charge at the solution with pH less than  $pH_{pzc}$  then that adsorbent surface will uptake adsorbates which are anionic. On the other hand, if that adsorbent surface have a gositive charge at the solution fractional term of zero surface adsorbent surface adsorbates which are anionic. On the other hand, if that adsorbent surface have an equivalent physical term of the solution of the adsorbent will uptake cationic adsorbate [186-188]. In other words, the significant factor, the point of zero charge  $(pH_{pzc})$ , indicates the surface's adsorption ability and the sort of surface-active centres [189]. The point of zero charge (pzc) is the pH at which the surface charge is zero and is commonly used to quantify or characterise the electrokinetic properties of a surface. Only in systems where  $H^+/OH^-$  are the potential determining ions is the pH value utilised to explain

pzc. To better understand the adsorption mechanism, many researchers analyzed the point of zero charge (pH<sub>pzc</sub>) of various adsorbents made from agricultural solid wastes. At pH>pH<sub>pzc</sub>, cationic dye adsorption is favoured due to the presence of functional groups such as the OH<sup>-</sup>group, whereas anionic dye adsorption is favoured at pH<pH<sub>pzc</sub>, where the surface becomes positively charged [189]. The above concept can be applied in any adsorption interaction to reveal whether the adsorption favours anionic or cationic sorption depending upon the charge present on the adsorbent surface and pH of the interactive solution. [167] in their analysis evaluated the point of zero charge forprotonated xanthate modified Ficus religiosa (PXFR) andprotonated Ficus religiosa (PFR) which are depicted using figure 2.



Fig. 2. Point of zero charge pH (pH<sub>pzc</sub>) for (a) Protonated xanthate modified Ficus religiosa (PXFR) and (b) protonated Ficus religiosa (PFR) [167]

As per their definition [167], a chargeless point, the pH of the solution at which the surface charge of biomass becomes neutral is called point of zero charge (pHpzc). As the pH of the solution rises over pHpzc, the surface of the bio-sorbent becomes negative. The surface, on the other hand, accumulates positive charge and attracts an anion (fluoride) when the pH of the solution falls below pHpzc [190]. As per their analysis (refer figure 2), the pHpzc values of both bio-sorbents (PFR, PXFR) were determined to be 7.1 and 6.9, respectively. The significantly more acidic character of sulphur being connected as a modifier could explain the small reduction in pHpzc of xanthate modified material.

#### 3.3 Review for use of different adsorbents and batch study results

In general, there are two types of adsorbents, namely organic and inorganic. Organic adsorbents like activated carbon prepared from sugarcane, coconut shell, tamarind fruit cover, date palm, neem leaf, rice husk etc, and inorganic materials like activated alumina, immobilised activated alumina, Mukondeni clay soils etc. Different adsorbents (organic & inorganic) used by different researchers to study the removal of fluoride from the aqueous solution and results of batch studies are reported below.

[191]Prepared a mixed Mukondeni clay soils (MMCS) as a cheap adsorbent for the removal of fluoridefrom aqueous solution where they found that the optimum condition for the removal of fluoride using MMCS are 60 min, 1.5 g, 9 mg/L, at pH of 2 and a temperature of 25°C. Freundlich isotherm fitted well for the adsorption with regression parameter ( $R^2 = 0.95$ ) and Kinetic studies revealed that the adsorption followed pseudo second order kinetics. The BTS surface area of MMCS was found as 35.4613 cm<sup>2</sup>/g which is a moderate surface area for fluoride adsorption.

[192] in his study used modified immobilized activated alumina (MIAA) prepared by sol-gel method for the removal of fluoride from aqueous solution. The modification was done by adding a specific amount of alum during the sol formation step. The removal efficiency of fluoride was reported as 1.35 times higher as compare to normal immobilized activated alumina, the maximum removal of fluoride was obtained at pH 7 at a stirring rate of 150 and at a temperature of 20°C with an initial fluoride concentration of 12 mg/l. More than 90% of fluoride was removed within 60 minutes of contact time and maximum fluoride adsorption capacity was found to be 0.76 mg/g. Langmuir adsorption isotherms fitted well for the fluoride adsorption on MIAA with the regression coefficient  $R^2$  of 0.99 which suggested monolayer adsorption.

[193] uses a modified fly ash for the removal of fluoride. Two types of bed were prepared, bed 1 was prepared by treatment with 12M HCL followed by neutralization with 5M NaOH solution. The reaction mass was filtered, washed, dried and crushed to fine powder and bed 1 was mixed with alum and MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution and treated with 0.9M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> until pH reached to 4.5. Mass was again filtered, washed, dried at 120°C for 4 hours and crush to the fine powder which was taken as bed 2 material. Among them, bed 1 was used to maintain pH and bed 2 was used for defluoridation. Now initial fluoride concentration of 100ppm was taken with an adsorbent dose of 15g and allowed to passed through bed 2 and were found to contain no

Section A-Research paper

fluoride but the pH was 5.4-5.5 to maintain the pH as per WHO it was again passed through bed 1.

[194] used treated bark of Phyllanthus emblica (amla) tree as an adsorbent where the maximum removal occurred at pH 7 with an optimum dose of 1.5g/l for a concentration of fluoride ion with 5mg/l at an optimum contact time of 60 min. Adsorption model of PTB well fitted in Freundlich model at 30°C.

Coconut shell activated carbon was used by [195], as an adsorbent where the maximum efficiency of 65.9% was obtained with a particle size of  $150\mu$ . Coconut shell activated carbon were used at a pH of 2 with an initial fluoride concentration of 14mg/l and adsorbent dose of 160g/l.

[196] used tamarind (Tamarindus indica) fruit cover (TNFC) as a natural adsorbent in virgin and acid treated forms. The maximum adsorption capacity of virgin TNFC and treated TNFC sorbents as obtained from the Langmuir adsorption isotherm was found to be 4.14 mg/g and 6.11 mg/g of fluoride at a pH 6 and both natural and acid treated (TNFC) forms followed pseudo-second-order kinetic model.

[197] demonstrated the potential for selective adsorption of fluoride ions with rice husk. In their analysis, the optimum sorbent dose was found to be 10g/L by varying the dose of adsorbent from 0 to 16g/L; equilibrium was achieved in 120 min for the optimum pH 2 with a fluoride ion concentration of 5 mg/l, maximum fluoride removal was observed to be 75% at optimum conditions.

Custard apple as an adsorbent was used by [198], found that an efficiency of 95% was achieved at optimum parameters of pH 7, contact time 30 min, agitation speed 500 rpm, and adsorbent dosage 1.5g per 50 mL of fluoride water with a concentration of (2-10 ppm), Langmuir isotherm fitted well for the adsorption of fluoride ion on custard apple.

[199], used thermally activated bio-sorbents prepared from banana (Musa paradisiaca) peel and coffee (Coffea arabica) husk as an adsorbent with efficiency ranging from 80 to 84 % with an optimum pH of 2 for both the adsorbents, and the optimum dose was found to be 24 g/ 250 mL at 13-hour contact time for banana peel and 18 g/250 mL at 3 hour contact time for coffee husk. Fluoride concentrations in flour factory, poultry, and Lake Hawassa water samples were found to be 12.54, 11.02, and 6.72 mg/L, respectively. The Langmuir

adsorption model and pseudo second-order reaction kinetics were fitted best to the adsorption process.

Coconut root as an adsorbent was used by [200], for the removal of fluoride. For this analysis, maximum fluoride removal of 93.48% was attained with adsorbent dose of 8 g/L, contact period 90 min, stirring speed 80 rpm and temperature 50 °C when the initial adsorbate concentration was 5 mg/L. The adsorption kinetic data fitted well with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and adsorption isotherm can be best described by Langmuir isotherm model.

[166] used low-Cost sugarcane bagasse for defluoridation and as per they reported 45% fluoride removal was found at a contact period of 60 min with initial fluoride concentration of 4 mg/l at a adsorbent dose of 1 g/L and maximum uptake of fluoride was found to be 4.12 mg/g. The adsorption kinetic data fitted well with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and adsorption isotherm was best described by Langmuir isotherm model.

[201], used bio-adsorbent from possotia (Vitex negundo) leaf, their study showed that the PLP can remove more than 70% of fluoride from a 3-ppm aqueous solution on its own at a normal pH range of 7-8, with a contact time of 120 min and 3 g/L of its dose. And adsorption kinetics data were fitted to pseudo second order kinetics.

[202], in their study used several materials as adsorbent which showed maximum fluoride sorption capacity at pH 6.0, temperature 25°C, with rpm of 150 and contact time of 5 hour respectively. When compared for different adsorbents, defluoridation order was found to be as: shell powder > bone powder > alumina treated bagasse fly ash > bone powder > bagasse.

[203], calculated maximum removal efficiency of 85% at pH 2 with a contact time of 120 min and an initial fluoride concentration of 10mg/l at an adsorbate dose of 10g/l using a low-cost bio-sorbent alkali treatment neem leaf as an adsorbent to remove the fluoride from water.

[204], used guava seeds modified with aluminium as bio-sorbent for their research. They collected guava seeds (Psidium guajava) from a local traditional candy factory. In their study, they found that maximum fluoride adsorption capacity was to be 0.3445 mg/g at a optimum pH of 6, contact time 150 min at temperature 30°C with an initial fluoride concentration of 10 mg/l. The adsorption process followed Langmuir adsorption model.

[165], demonstrated the potential for selective adsorption of fluoride ions with tea ash as an adsorbent. It was also shown that tea ash has enough potential to remove fluoride (8.55 mg/g)

#### Section A-Research paper

from aqueous solution and also found that maximum adsorption occurs in acidic pH and further increase of pH showed less adsorption. This can be attributed to the neutralization of the negative charges at the surface of bio-sorbent by greater hydrogen concentration at lower pH values. The adsorption process observed Langmuir adsorption model and pseudo secondorder reaction kinetics.

Activate carbon of Catha edulis was made for the removal of fluoride from aqueous solution by [181]. Their study showed that maximum fluoride removal of 73% was observed at an optimum condition with the corresponding adsorbent dose of 1.5 g in 100 mL, contact time of 60 min and pH of 2 in a 30mg/l of initial fluoride concentration. Freundlich isotherm ( $R^2 =$ 0.98) was better fitted to the experimental data, which indicated that the adsorption process was multilayer and heterogeneous.

In adsorption, equilibrium time is reached when there is no more substantial adsorption of adsorbate onto the adsorbent after a certain amount of time has passed, or when adsorption is no longer a time-dependent process.Table 3 to table 7 depicts the results for the corresponding equilibrium values achieved during different defluoridation studies done by various researchers for pH, Temperature, contact time, initial fluoride concentration and RPM respectively.

From table 3, it is understood that for most of the analyses, adsorption was favoured at lower pH and in acidic condition, an illustrative example is shown by using figure 3 [165]. That means for maximum of the studies, point of zero charge values for adsorbents were lower than neutral pH before adsorption which indicates that the adsorbent surfaces were positively charged and hence, they were ready to uptake anions as adsorbate and that is perfectly justified from the fact that fluoride is a negative ion of fluorine, Fluoride often is written as F<sup>-</sup> which means fluoride is anionic. Also, apart from pH, other parameters that effects the adsorption nature are temperature, flow rate etc.

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	pH at	References	
		equilibrium		
		adsorption		
1	Treated amla bark	7	194	
2	Activated tea ash Powder	6	165	

**Table 3.** Equilibrium pH values for different defluoridation studies using adsorption

Section A-Research paper

3	Rice husk	2	197
4	Neem leaf	2	203
5	Banana Peel	2	199
6	Coffee husk	2	199
7	Date palm seed	7	205
8	Sugarcane	5.4	166
9	Coconut root	7	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)	2	181
11	Custard apple	7	198
12	Mukondenu clay soil	2	191
13	modified immobilized	7	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	2	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	6	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	8	202
	-		
17	Guava seed	6	170



**Fig.3.** Effect of pH on removal of fluoride onto AcTAP (activated tea ash powder) Operating parameters: stirring speed: 300 RPM, Contact time: 60 minutes, sorbent dose: 0.8 gm/L, Temperature: 303 K, Particle size: 100 μm, Initial fluoride concentration: 5.0 mg/L [165]

Because sorption is an exothermic process, it has traditionally been assumed that increasing the temperature will reduce the adsorbents' sorption capacity. However, because of endothermicity, if the adsorption process is regulated by diffusion (pore diffusion, intraparticle), the sorption capacity will increase as the temperature rises. As the temperature rises, the adsorbate's mobility increases and the retarding forces acting on the diffusing adsorbates decrease. Furthermore, as the temperature rises, the active sites of the adsorbents increase as well. As a result, the adsorptive capacity of the adsorbent is increased [206]. Table 4 illustrates for the suitable temperature values obtained by various researches in their study.

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	Favoured	References
		temperature (°C)	
		for adsorption	
1	Treated amla bark	30	194
2	Activated tea ash Powder	30	165
3	Rice husk	30	197
4	Neem leaf	27	203
5	Banana Peel	30	199
6	Coffee husk	30	199
7	Date palm seed	32	205
8	Sugarcane	30	166
9	Coconut root	26	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)	25	181
11	Custard apple	30	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	25	191
13	modified immobilized	20	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	25	195

**Table 4.** Favoured temperature values for different defluoridation studies using adsorption

Section A-Research paper

15	Tamarind fruit cover	25	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	30	202
17	Guava seed	30	170

[198] in their defluoridation study using dry and pyrolyzed custard apple powder (refer figure 4) found that adsorption was higher at higher temperature so their process was endothermic. They discovered that when the temperature was raised from 303 K to 333 K, the proportion of dry leaf powder removed increased from 69 to 88 percent. When the temperature was raised from 303 K to 333 K, the proportion of Pyrolyzed leaf powder removed increased from 93 percent to 99 percent. The temperature difference is not noteworthy in this case because the rise is only 6%. The pyrolyzed leaf adsorbent had already reached its saturation point. As a result, temperature had the least impact in this scenario. The fact that the percentage fluoride removed increases significantly as the temperature rises suggests that the adsorption process was endothermic in nature. The solubility and, in particular, the chemical potential of the adsorption are affected when the temperature of the solution rises [192]. Rise in temperature leads to increase in diffusivity of fluoride molecules and hence an increase in the adsorption rate. This method may confirm that the rate-controlling stage in this process is diffusion. When compared to Dry leaf powder, Pyrolyzed leaf powder had a higher fluoride removal effectiveness.



Section A-Research paper

# Fig.4. Effect of temperature for fluoride removal by dry leaf and pyrolyzed leaf [198]

It is a general observation in any adsorption process that the reaction is rapid in initial phases and gradually the interaction slows down with time and there is no difference for defluoridation also. In their study, [166], discovered that for an initial fluoride concentration of 4 mg/L, the percent removal of fluoride was very fast at the initial stages, with roughly 45 percent removal occurring within 60 minutes. After 60 minutes, the rate of removal did not significantly rise, and after 5 hours, adsorption processalmost stopped. Fluoride uptake appeared to reach saturation after 60 minutes, indicating fast kinetics. The presence of particular functional groups and active surface locations in the adsorptive removal of fluoride ion may explain the initial fast adsorption [207]. However, as the initial fluoride concentration in the aqueous phase increased from 4 to 8 mg/L, the fluoride removal efficiency decreasedfrom 44 to 24 percent. As a result, during the later stages of adsorption, the adsorption slows down. Similar observation was also reported[208]. Table 5 represents for the several equilibrium contact time readings obtained by researchers during their study. An illustrative contact time study has been also been depicted using figure 5 [201].



Fig.5. Effect of contact time vs fluoride concentration [201]

Table 5. Equilibrium contact time values for different defluoridation studies using adsorption

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	Contact time	References
		(min)at equilibrium	

Section A-Research paper

		adsorption	
1	Treated amla bark	300	194
2	Activated tea ash Powder	180	165
3	Rice husk	120	197
4	Neem leaf	120	203
5	Banana Peel	780	199
6	Coffee husk	180	199
7	Date palm seed	40	205
8	Sugarcane	60	166
9	Coconut root	90	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)	60	181
11	Custard apple	30	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	60	191
13	modified immobilized	60	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	-	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	-	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	120	202
17	Guava seed	150	170

Through a combination of factors such as the availability of specific surface functional groups and the capacity of surface functional groups to bind fluoride ions, the fluoride removal efficiency can be altered [203]. The initial fluoride concentration in the solution can be a powerful driving factor in overcoming the fluoride ion's mass transfer resistance between the aqueous and solid phases [165, 166]. Table 6 illustrates the values of initial fluoride concentrations considered by various researchers. Figure 6 illustrates a study on effect of initial fluoride concentration on its removal capacity [179].

# Table 6. Initial fluoride concentration considered for different defluoridation studies using adsorption

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	Initial fluoride	References
		concentration	
		(mg/l) taken	
1	Treated amla bark	5	194
2	Activated tea ash Powder	5	165
3	Rice husk	5	197
4	Neem leaf	10	203
5	Banana Peel	10	199
6	Coffee husk	10	199
7	Date palm seed	5	205
8	Sugarcane	4	166
9	Coconut root	5	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)	30	181
11	Custard apple	10	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	10	191
13	modified immobilized	12	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	14	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	10	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	3	202
17	Guava seed	10	170

Section A-Research paper



Fig.6. Effect of initial fluoride concentration on its removal capacity [179]

It has been observed that during adsorption, the process of removal of pollutants increases with an increase in the mixing/stirringspeed (RPM), but further increase in speed after a certain value leads to a decrease or reversal in removal of pollutents from solution. The reverse migration of dye molecules from the adsorbent surface to the solution occurs when the shaker's rotating speed increases, i.e., at high RPM values. The rotational speed results in more kinetic energy gain by the dye molecules and it further results in the reduction of effect of boundary layer. Thus, when rotational speed initially increases, adsorption also increases. Due to the difference in masses, a centripetal force  $(m\omega^2 r)$  acts on the adsorbed dye molecule and adsorbent differently, which may result in the small reduction in removal of dye at rpm more than a optimum value. So, they always tend to readapt radius of their path which results in a development of repulsive force between them. As the rotational speed increases, the magnitude of separative force also increases and becomes greater than the binding force, especially the weaker Vaan der wall force when rpm exceeds a certain value. As a result, removal of dye from solution decreases at very high speed of desorption. Since in physical adsorption the binding force is not as stronger as compared to chemical adsorption, therefore, desorption might get influenced because of increased rotational speed of the shaker. Table 7 depicts for RPM values obtained at equilibrium by various researchers in their defluoridation studies. Figure 7 illustrates for effect of stirring time for fluoride removal capacity [197].

**Table 7.** RPM observed at equilibrium for different defluoridation studies using adsorption

Section A-Research paper

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	<b>RPM observed at</b>	References
		equilibrium	
1	Treated amla bark	150	194
2	Activated tea ash Powder	300	165
3	Rice husk	40	197
4	Neem leaf	200	203
5	Banana Peel	200	199
б	Coffee husk	200	199
7	Date palm seed		205
8	Sugarcane	150	166
9	Coconut root	80	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)		181
11	Custard apple	500	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	250	191
13	modified immobilized	150	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	150	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	200	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf		202
17	Guava seed	100	170

Section A-Research paper



Fig.7. Effect of RPM on fluoride removal capacity [197]

Because more adsorbent sites are available, the percentage removal of fluoride increases with increasing adsorbent dosages at the initial concentration [166]. All active sites are eventually occupied, and the percentage removal gradually becomes constant [187, 208, 209, 210]. The same phenomena have been reported by various studies [197, 201]. It has been found that as the adsorbent dose was increased, the percentage of adsorption increased, while loading capacity (amount of fluoride adsorbed per gram of adsorbent) declined, indicating that the number of interaction sites between the adsorbent and adsorbate reduced. This is most likely owing to an increase in the number of adsorption sites [165]. According to another study [179], adsorption capacity increased with increasing adsorbent dose due to higher adsorption area and thus availability of more adsorption sites [211] up to a point, after which further increases in adsorbent dose are actually accompanied by a decrease in adsorption capacity due to a reduction in fluoride/active adsorbent binding site ratio [212]. According to another study [196], the amount of adsorbent used has a substantial impact on the degree of fluoride adsorption. The availability of a greater number of fluoride ions per unit mass of adsorbent, i.e., a larger fluoride/adsorbent ratio, accounts for this increase in loading capacity. It can also be shown that, up to adsorbent doses of 0.7 g, fluoride removal improved significantly due to an increase in the adsorbent site /fluoride ratio; however, subsequent increases in adsorbent dose did not result in any meaningful improvement in fluoride removal. This could be due to the fact that the equilibrium concentration of fluoride is so low that the driving force responsible for adsorption is insignificant. The removal of fluorides begins to decline and stabilise in another research [170], which the authors ascribe to a bigger quantity of active sites not occupied by the F<sup>-</sup> ions, both on the surface and in the pores of the bio-sorbent, as

well as bio-sorbent crowding [171, 213, 214]. Figure 8 illustrates for the effect of adsorbent dose on fluoride removal [170].



Fig. 8. Effect of adsorbent dose on fluoride removal [170]

### 3.4 Studies for adsorption kinetics for defluoridation

As discussed in section 2.1.1 of this article, analysis of rate kinetics is very important. Table 8 reports for the various parameters obtained during the Pseudo first and second order kinetic modelling respectively for the same sorbate-sorbent interactions for several separate studies. Suitability of kinetic model (whether Pseudo first order or second order) for all the individual researches can be interpreted by observing the  $R^2$  (coefficient of corelation, best fit value) values for each of the separate studies.Closer the value of  $R^2$  to unity, better the suitability of that kinetic model to the particular adsorption interaction.  $Q_{max}$  in the table denotes for maximum adsorption capacity. Also, another table (table 9) is illustrating the suitability of a particular kinetic model (Pseudo first order or second order) found out by various researchers during their experimentations. As reported in table 9 it was observed that apart from some unreported kinetic models, studies done by various researchers supported pseudo second order rate kinetic indicating quick adsorption rate and enhanced adsorption.Also, figure 9 depicts for an illustrative study [166] for Pseudo second order kinetic model for different initial fluoride adsorption.

# Table 8. Pseudo first order and second order rate kinetic parameters reported for various defluoridation studies

Section A-Research paper

Type of	Initial	Q <sub>max</sub>	Pseu	do first order	Pseud	o second order	Refe
Adsorbent	fluori	( <b>mg</b> /	p	arameters	p	arameters	renc
	de	<b>g</b> )					es
	conce		Aver	Averagecorrela	Aver	Averagecorrela	
	ntrati		agek(	tioncoefficient(	agek(	tioncoefficient(	
	on		x10 <sup>-</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> )	x10 <sup>-</sup> )	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> )	
	(mg/L		2)		1		
	)		1		(min <sup>-1</sup> )		
			(min <sup>-1</sup> )		、 <i>,</i>		
Tamarindfrui	2 –8	82.16	4.243	0.885	3.063	0.866	215
tshellcarbon		0					
Guavaseeds	5	0.148	4.895	0.959	39.000	0.984	216
Magnesium	5-20	1.039	2.530	0.970	5.310	0.997	217
chloridemo							
di-							
fiedpumice							
aluminum	1	2.337	0.739	0.825	2.032	0.987	218
impregnate							
d							
coconutfiber							
Hydrogenp	5-20	1.147	2.258	0.931	5.885	0.998	217
eroxidemo							
di-							
fiedpumice							
Nanohydroxy	9 –15	1.778	0.199	0.949	0.231	0.999	219
apatite chi-							
tosan	10		0.004	0.052	2 2 2 2	0.004	100
Treatedbanan	10	-	0.084	0.953	3.202	0.984	199
Naturalpumic	5-20	1 1 3 2	2 650	0.969	4 535	0 996	217
e	5-20	1.1.32	2.030	0.202	т.555	0.770	<i>411</i>
Treatedcoffee	10	-	0.072	0.878	3.394	0.981	199
husk							

Section A-Research paper



Fig. 9. Pseudo second-order kinetics for removal of fluoride by bagasse (m =1 g/L, pH = 5.4, T = 303 K[166]

Table 9. Favoured kinetic models reported for various defluoridation studies

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent Favoured Kinetic		References	
		model		
1	Treated amla bark	-	194	
2	Activated tea ash	Pseudo-Second-order	165	
	Powder			
3	Rice husk	-	197	
4	Neem leaf	-	203	
5	Banana Peel	Pseudo-Second-order	199	
6	Coffee husk	Pseudo-Second-order	199	
7	Date palm seed	-	205	
8	Sugarcane	Pseudo-Second-order	166	
9	Coconut root	Pseudo-Second-order	200	

Section A-Research paper

10	Catha edulis (Khat)	-	181
11	Custard apple	-	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	Pseudo-Second-order	191
13	modified immobilized activated alumina	-	192
14	Coconut shell	Pseudo-Second-order	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	Pseudo-Second-order	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	Pseudo-Second-order	202
17	Guava seed	Pseudo-Second-order	170

#### 3.5Analysis for isothermsduring defluoridation studies

Significance of isotherm equilibrium modelling is discussed in section 2.1.2 of this article. Table10 is illustrating the suitability of particular isotherm models found out by various researchers during their researches. On the other hand, table 11 and table 12 reports for the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm constant parameter values obtained during several defluoridation studies. Also, figure 10 demonstrates for suitability of experimental data's against different isotherm models for the removal of fluoride [165].

Table 10. Favoured isotherm equilibrium models reported by various defluoridation studies

Sl. No	Type of adsorbent	<b>Favoured Isotherm</b>	References	
		model		
1	Treated amla bark	Freundlich	194	
2	Activated tea ash Powder	Langmuir	165	
3	Rice husk	Freundlich	197	
4	Neem leaf	-	203	
5	Banana Peel	Langmuir	199	

Section A-Research paper

6	Coffee husk	Langmuir	199
7	Date palm seed	-	205
8	Sugarcane	Langmuir	166
9	Coconut root	Langmuir	200
10	Catha edulis (Khat)	Langmuir	181
11	Custard apple	Langmuir	198
12	Mukondenu clay soi	Freundlich	191
13	modified immobilized	Langmuir	192
	activated alumina		
14	Coconut shell	Freundlich	195
15	Tamarind fruit cover	Langmuir	196
16	Vitex negundo leaf	Freundlich	202
17	Guava seed	Langmuir	170



Section A-Research paper

Fig. 10. Experimental vs various isotherm profiles for the removal of fluoride by using AcTAP (activated tea ash powder) for different initial concentration (mg/l). (Operating parameters: sorbent dose: 2.4 mg/L, contact time: 180 minutes, particle size: 50 m, Temperature: 303 K, solution pH: 6.0, stirring speed: 300 RPM) [165]

Table 11. Freundlich constant values obtained during various defluoridation studies

Type of adsorbent	Freundlich constants		stants	References	
	1/n	$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f}}$	$\mathbf{R}^2$		
Aluminumimpregnatedcoconutfib	1.254	0.301	0.440	218	
er	0.809	5.984	0.155		
	3.267	0.004	0.914		
<b>Pithacelobiumdulcecarbon</b>	0.614	0.0204	0.998	220	
<i>Ipomoeabatatas</i> carbon	0.747	0.0043	0.997		
Peltophorumferrugineumcarbon	0.719	0.0421	0.997		
Magnesiumchloridemodifiedpumi	0.44	0.535	0.887	217	
ce	0.619	0.777	0.952		
Nanohydroxyapatite	0.198	1.280	0.970	219	
	0.203	1.412	0.992		
	0.22	1.423	0.995		
La <sup>3+</sup> polyamidoaminegraftedchito	0.413	0.385	0.994	221	
sanbeads	1.58	1.87	0.998		
	0.296	2.68	0.999		
Activatedcarbon	0.53	0.08	0.999	222	
	0.38	4.54	0.900	31	
	0.69	1.3	0.900		
	0.83	0.6	0.830		
Carbonblack	0.35	0.07	0.999	222	
Bonecharcoal	0.39	1.06	0.998		
Nanohydroxyapatite	0.198	1.280	0.970	219	
	0.203	1.412	0.992		
	0.22	1.423	0.995		
Assimilationofchitinwithtin	0.493	2.20	0.998	223	
	0.544	1.67	0.989		
	0.625	1.14	0.984		

Zr <sup>4+</sup> polyamidoaminegraftedchito	0.170	9.582	0.997	221
sanbeads	0.197	9.886	0.999	
	0.224	10.169	0.998	
Treatedbananapeel	0.253	0.1775	0.973	199
Treatedcoffeehusk	0.217	0.2256	0.993	
Pumicestone	0.31	27.6	0.950	101
	0.39	0.521	0.983	
Guavaseeds	0.606	2.248	0.980	216
	0.943	0.1663	0.987	
	0.827	0.5092	0.982	
La <sup>3+</sup> polyamidoaminegraftedchito	0.413	0.385	0.994	221
sanbeads	1.58	1.87	0.998	
	0.296	2.68	0.999	

# Table 12. Langmuir constant values obtained during various defluoridation studies

Type of adsorbent	Lan	gmuir consta	References	
	q <sub>max</sub>	RL	В	
	( <b>mg/g</b> )			
Alum impregnatedactivated alumina	192.65	3.69×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.670	224
	40.68	9.04×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.600	
	19.8	6.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.500	
Treatedbananapeel	0.395	0.426	0.998	199
Treatedcoffeehusk	0.416	0.789	0.998	
Pithacelobiumdulcecarbon	0.0700	0.4202	0.276	
Ipomoeabatatascarbon	0.0710	0.4485	0.246	220
Peltophorumferrugineumcarbon	0.571	0.4884	0.209	
La <sup>3+</sup> polyamidoaminegraftedchitosanbeads	10.37	0.625	0.040	221
	11.36	0.571	0.050	
	11.45	0.455	0.080	
Pumicestone	41.65	0.163	0.255	101
Guavaseeds	116.5	0.0051	0.997	216
	316.5	0.003	0.998	
	413.8	0.007	0.996	
Assimilationofchitinwithtin	12.47	0.12	0.160	223

\_

Section A-Research paper

	13.44	0.21	0.090	
	14.77	0.35	0.060	
Magnesiumchloridemodifiedpumice	5.56	0.312	0.285	217
Nanohydroxyapatitechitincomposite	8.41	-	-	219
Hydrotalcite/chitosancomposite	1.876	-	-	225
Magneticchitosanparticle	23.98	-	-	226
Zn <sup>4+</sup> polyamidoaminegraftedchitosanbeads	16.377	0.018	2.782	221
	16.903	0.024	2.877	
	17.483	0.025	3.891	
Aluminiumimpregnatedcoconutfiber	1.128	0.183	-	218
	5.60	12.273	-	
	5.827	12.636	-	
Nanohydroxyapatite	2.04	0.0801	1.260	219
Chitosancomposite	2.247	0.077	1.337	
-	2.320	0.078	1.306	
Hydrogenperoxidemodifiedpumice	11.76	0.297	0.173	217

### 3.6 Studies for activation energy and thermodynamic parameters

[165] used activated tea ash powder as adsorbent for fluoride removal. In their analysis they calculated activation energy  $E_a$ as 32.01 KJ/mol from the graph of ln k vs. 1/T as per the equation as listed as equation 16 of section 2.1.3 of this article. Magnitude of activation energy indicates for the nature of adsorption as to whether the adsorption is driven by physical or chemical process [227]. The Ea value obtained by [165] implies that the adsorption of fluoride ions is a physical adsorption process. This result is consistent with the D-R isotherm results. Gibb's free energy change ( $\Delta G^0$ ), enthalpy change ( $\Delta H^0$ ), and entropy change ( $\Delta S^0$ ) were determined as thermodynamic parameters connected with the adsorption processas per the equations 17, 18 and 19 described in section 2.1.3 of this article. In their analysis they obtained the values of  $\Delta G^0$ ,  $\Delta H^0$  and  $\Delta S^0$  as all negative. The fact that  $\Delta G^0$  is negative at all temperatures implies that the fluoride ions were adsorbed with spontaneity. Lower  $\Delta G^0$  values with increase in temperature, suggests adsorption was easier at lower temperatures. The negative value of  $\Delta H^0$  indicates that the adsorption process is exothermic, and the negative value of  $\Delta S^0$  indicates that the process is enthalpy driven.

 $\Delta G^0$  values for fluoride removal using sugarcane bagasse were determined to be in the range of -24.696 to -28.056 kJ/mol with a temperature increase from 293 to 323 K [166]. The decrease in  $\Delta G^0$  values as temperature rises suggests that the fluoride adsorption process on bagasse becomes more favourable at higher temperatures [78, 228]. Negative  $\Delta G^0$  denotes the adsorption's feasibility and spontaneity. The positive  $\Delta H^0$  value obtained confirms that the entire sorption process is endothermic, and the value is 8.117 kJ/mol. 0.111 kJ/mol K is found to be the  $\Delta S^0$  value. The positive value of  $\Delta S^0$  indicates bagasse's fluoride affinity and suggesting that the degree of disorder is increasing. The fact that  $\Delta S^0$  is positive indicates that the adsorption process is entropy driven.

Another study [179] looked at the thermodynamics of fluoride adsorption on thermally activated carbon made from coconut tree roots (C. nucifera Linn. Root). Temperature of 20-50 °C, initial fluoride concentration of 5 mg/L, dose of adsorbent of 8 gm/L, contact length of 90 minutes, and agitation speed of 80 RPM were their preliminary input parameters. They discovered that as the temperature rose, the effectiveness of sorption and sorption capacity increased. The most likely reason for this is pore size enlargement [211], which means that as the temperature rises, the surface thickness of C. nucifera Linn. root adsorbent decreased, and the kinetic energy of adsorbate ions rapidly increased, increasing the diffusion rate of adsorbate ions along the exterior border layer and intramural pores of C. nucifera Linn. root adsorbent [229]. The sorption phenomenon's appropriateness and the spontaneous nature of fluoride sorption onto C. nucifera Linn. root adsorbent is highlighted by the negative value of  $\Delta G^0$  obtained with respect to different temperatures. With a rise in temperature, the more negative  $\Delta G^0$  values become, indicating that higher temperatures favoured sorption. The fact that  $\Delta H^0$  (12.728 kJ/mol) is positive in their study implies that the adsorption mechanism is endothermic [230]. The positive value of entropy change  $\Delta S^0$  in their research shows that when temperature rises, randomness rises as well. Thermodynamic studies of fluoride adsorption using P. emblica activated carbon [231], activated carbon generated from the Ficus racemosa plant [232], activated biochar from domestic food waste [233], and pumice stone adsorbent [101] all yielded similar results.

Saikia et al., 2017, in their analysis of fluoride removal using treated possotia leaf observed that their  $\Delta G^0$  values were all positive for different temperature study and  $\Delta H^0$  and  $\Delta S^0$ wereall negative. They [234] concluded that the negative values of  $\Delta H^0$  suggest the exothermic nature of the adsorption process based on the nature of the values, The negative  $\Delta S^0$  values reflect a decrease in randomness at the PLP (possotia leaf powder) surface during the adsorption process, whereas the  $\Delta G^0$  values demonstrate the practicality of adsorption at low temperatures, demonstrating the bio-utility of the sorbent's at room temperature.

# **3.7 Desorption of fluoride**

To develop a meaningful and economical adsorbent for defluoridation, it is critical to desorb the fluoride adsorbed for the reusability of the sorbent media.

[166] investigated the desorption of fluoride from loaded adsorbents (sugarcane bagasse) at various pH levels (2–12). An initial concentration of 4 mg/L, a period of 1 hour, a temperature of 293 K, and an optimum dose of 1 gm/L were used for desorption study. The rate of desorption was determined to be 3–4%. Bagasse desorption efficiency was low, and a significant amount of fluoride could not be removed. According to them, bagasse is also available as a waste material in India, as a result, desorption and regeneration of spent bagasse is not advised. They recommended that the used bagasse be filtered out of the mixture, dewatered, sun dried, and then burned as fuel in a boiler, furnace, or traditional chulha used for cooking in rural India to recover its energy worth. It can also be used as a filler for levelling low-lying regions when mixed with dirt or sand. According to them, it is more cost effective to dispose of low-cost adsorbents rather than regenerate them.

[167] emphasised that desorption of adsorbed fluoride ions is required to investigate the biorecycling sorbent's capabilities. Fluoride ion, being an anion, was desorbed by raising the pH of the solution above pH<sub>pzc</sub>, according to their findings. NaOH(0.1M) solution was used to raise the pH.Because IPXFR (immobilised protonated xanthate modified Ficus religiosa) had improved absorption results, the xanthate modified adsorbent was used to investigate desorption. Desorption was also explored in a continuous way. The maximum was reached in about 37 minutes during the first cycle of desorption (75 mL of eluting agent). The column was discovered to regenerate completely in 75 minutes (150 mL of eluting agent). A second adsorption-desorption cycle was performed on the column. The periods for maxima and full regeneration remained unchanged in the second cycle. During this cycle, the column's removal percentage (R%) was reduced from 100 percent to 75.67 percent. The degradation of IPXFR in the presence of a strong alkali solution could be the reason for the drop in percent removal.

[196] stated that the sorbent can be regenerated using a variety of processes such as solvent extraction, thermal desorption, steam washingand so on. Each method has its own set of benefits and drawbacks.Several solvents were explored to rejuvenate the TNFC (Tamarind

#### Section A-Research paper

fruit cover) adsorption bed in their research. The use of a 0.1 M NaOH solution was shown to be better in desorbing and eliminating fluoride ions from the adsorbent bed quantitatively. The fluoride-saturated fixed bed columns of adsorbents are regenerated by flowing 0.1 M NaOH solution as an eluent at a set flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Maximum desorption occurred at 100 mL of 0.1 M NaOH solution, while complete fluoride regeneration occurred at 150 mL.

Desorption studies aid in the understanding of adsorption and recycling of wasted adsorbent and adsorbate. [165] carried out a fluoride desorption analysis using different regeneration media, such as 25% NaOH and 1(N) HCl. Adsorbed fluoride desorption on AcTAP (activated tea ash powder) was successful with a high percentage desorption value of 91.68%.

### 4. Conclusion

In this review article we have reported different organic and in-organic adsorbents used by different researchers for the removal of fluoride from aqueous solution. Study also found that adsorption is influence by various parameters like pH, contact time, temperature, stirring rate, initial concentration. Adsorption kinetic are explained by pseudo first order and second order models and adsorption Isotherm are best explained by either Langmuir or Freundlich isotherms. From this study it can concluded that modification of raw adsorbents into treated or activated carbon form gives better defluoridation using adsorption in aqueous solution.

**5.** Conflict of Interest declaration: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Fluoride and Fluorides, 1984: Environmental Health Criteria 36. World Health Organization (WHO); Geneva, Switzerland.
- 2. Fluorides-Environmental Health Criteria 227. World Health Organization (WHO); Geneva, Switzerland: 2002.
- Karthikeyan G., Shanmugasundarraj A. Isopleth mapping and *in-situ* fluoride dependence on water quality in the Krishnagiri block of Tamil Nadu in South India. *Fluoride*. 2000;33:121–127.
- 4. Subba Rao N. Groundwater quality: Focus on fluoride concentration in rural parts of Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 2003;48:835–847.

- Viswanathn G., Jaswanth A., Gopalakrishnan S., Siva Ilango S., Aditya G. Determining the optimal fluoride concentration in drinking water for fluoride endemic regions in South India. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2009;407:5298–5307.
- Abdelgawad A.M., Watanabe K., Takeuchi S., Mizuno T. The origin of fluoride-rich groundwater in Mizunami area, Japan—Mineralogy and geochemistry implications. *Eng. Geol.* 2009;108:76–85.
- Rafique T., Naseem S., Bhanger M.I., Usmani T.H. Fluoride ion contamination in the groundwater of Mithi sub-district, the Thar Desert, Pakistan. *Environ. Geol.* 2008;56:317–326.
- 8. Meenakshi R.C., Maheshwari J. Fluoride in drinking water and its removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2006;137:456–463.
- 9. Arora, H.C., Chattopadhya S.N., 1974. A study on the effluent disposal of superphosphate fertilizer factory. Ind. J. Environ. Health.
- 10. Mourad N.M., Sharshar T., Elnimr T., Mousa M.A., 2009. Radioactivity and fluoride contamination derived from a phosphate fertilizer plant in Egypt
- 11. Paulson E.G. Reducing fluoride in industrial wastewater.1977
- Khatibikamal V., Torabian A., Janpoor F., Hoshyaripour G. Fluoride removal from industrial wastewater using electrocoagulation and its adsorption kinetics. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010;179:276–280.
- FRRDF. 1999 State of Art Report on the Extent of Fluoride in Drinking Water and the Resulting Endemicity in India. New Delhi: Fluorosis Research and Rural Development Foundation.
- 14. Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. 3rd ed. Volume 1 World Health Organization (WHO); Geneva, Switzerland: 2008.
- 15. Indian standard Drinking water-specification second revision IS 10500: 2012
- Browne D., Whelton H., Mullane D.O. Fluoride metabolism and fluorosis. J. Dent. 2005;33:177–186
- Mandinic Z., Curcic M., Antonijevic B., Carevic M., Mandic J., Djukic-Cosic D., Lekic C.P. Fluoride in drinking water and dental fluorosis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2010;408:3507–3512.
- Mandinic Z., Curcic M., Antonijevic B., Lekic C.P., Carevic M. Relationship between fluoride intake in Serbian children living in two areas with different natural levels of fluorides and occurrence of dental fluorosis. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2009;47:1080– 1084.

- Wang B., Zheng B., Zhai C., Yu G., Liu X. Relationship between fluorine in drinking water and dental health of residents in some large cities in China. *Environ. Int.* 2004;30:1067–1073.
- 20. Chen H., Yan M., Yang X., Chen Z., Wang G., Schmidt-Vogt D., Xu Y., Xu J. Spatial distribution and temporal variation of high fluoride contents in groundwater and prevalence of fluorosis in humans in Yuanmou County, Southwest China. J. Hazard. Mater. 2012;235–236:201–209.
- 21. Singh B., Gaur S., Garg V.K. Fluoride in drinking water and human urine in Southern Haryana, India. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2007;144:147–151.
- Saralakumari D., Ramakrishna Rao P. Endemic fluorosis in the village Ralla, Anantapuramin Andhra Pradesh—An epidemiological study. *Fluoride*. 1993;26:177– 180.
- 23. Ayoob S., Gupta A.K. Fluoride in drinking water: A review on the status and stress effects. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006;36:433–487.
- Sujana M.G., Thakur R.S., Das S.N., Rao S.B. Defluorination of Waste Water. Asian J. Chem. 1997;4:561–570.
- A.K. Dey, U. Kumar, Adsorption of anionic azo dye Congo Red from aqueous solution onto NaOH-modified jute fibre, Desalination and water treatment 92 (2017) 301–308.
- 26. A.K. Dey, U. Kumar, A. Dey, Use of response surface methodology for the optimization of process parameters for the removal of Congo Red by NaOH treated jute fibre. Desalination and water treatment. 115 (2018) 300–314.
- 27. A.K. Dey, A. Dey, Selection of optimal processing condition during removal of Reactive Red 195 by NaOH treated jute fibre using adsorption. Groundwater for Sustainable Development, 12 (2021) -100522
- A.K. Dey, U. Kumar, Adsorption of Reactive Red 195 from polluted water upon Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Modified Jute Fibre. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 9, 38 (2017) 53-58.
- 29. A.K. Dey, A. Dey, Selection of optimal Processing Condition during Removal of Methylene Blue Dye Using Treated Betel Nut Fibre Implementing Desirability Based RSM approach. Response surface methodology in Engineering Science. 2021. ISBN: 978-1-83968-918-5.

- 30. A.K. Dey, A. Dey, R. Goswami, Fixed-bed column analysis for adsorption of Acid scarlet 3R dye from aqueous solution onto chemically modified betel nut husk fibre. Desalination and water treatment. 252 (2022) 381-390.
- 31. A.K. Dey, A Dey, R. Goswami, Adsorption characteristics of methyl red dye by Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-treated jute fibre using multi-criteria decision making approach. Applied Water Science. 12 (8), (2022) 1-22.
- 32. A.K. Dey, A. Dey, R. Goswami, Selection of optimal performance characteristics during adsorption of Methyl red dye using sodium carbonate treated jute fibre. Desalination and water treatment. 260 (2022) 187-202.
- 33. Rumi Goswami, Amit Kumar Dey, Abhijit Dey "Positive Impact of Environment due to Covid-19 lockdowns in parts of India: A review" Environmental engineering and Management Journal, 21 (4), (2022) 559-568.
- 34. R. Goswami, A.K. Dey, Use of anionic surfactant-modified activated carbon for efficient adsorptive removal of crystal violet dye. Adsorption Science & Technology. 2022. Vol. 2022, article ID: 2357242. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2357242.
- 35. R. Goswami, A.K. Dey, Cationic dye removal using surface treated activated carbon as an adsorbent, Environmental Science: *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 2022, 8, 2545 - 2566
- 36. R. Goswami, A.K. Dey, Synthesis and application of treated activated carbon for cationic dye removal from modelled aqueous solution, Arabian Journal of Chemistry, Volume 15, Issue 11, November 2022, 104290.
- 37. Rumi Goswami, Amit Kumar Dey, Activated carbon from agricultural residues: a review, Desalination and water treatment 278 (2022) 283-292.
- 38. H.K. Mahilary, A.K. Dey, Preparation and application of carboxylated and mechanically attrited carbon for adsorptive removal of crystal violet dye, Environmental Science: *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 2023, 9, 861–882.
- 39. Haradip Kumar Mahilary, Amit Kumar Dey, High adsorptive removal of cationic dye using carboxylated and mechanically attrited activated carbon, Desalination and Water Treatment, 2023, 295, doi: 10.5004/dwt.2023.29596.
- 40. Amit Kumar Dey, Abhijit Dey, Use of electrochemical technique for the removal of lead and nickel from modelled aqueous solution, Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023,12(8), 866-893.

- 41. Amit Kumar Dey, Abhijit Dey, Suchitra Sukladas, Analysis of production of  $CH_4\&$   $CO_2$  by using dry and wet systems of anaerobic digestion under mesophilic conditions, Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023,12(10), 1373-1400.
- 42. Bhatnagar A., Kumar E., Sillanpää M. Fluoride removal from water by adsorption—A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2011;171:811–840.
- 43. Alagumuthu G., Veeraputhiran V., Venkataraman R. Adsorption isotherms on fluoride removal: Batch techniques. *Arch. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2010;2:170–185.
- 44. Mohan D., Singh K.P., Singh V.K. Wastewater treatment using low cost activated carbons derived from agricultural byproducts—A case study. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008;152:1045–1053.
- 45. Alagumuthu G., Rajan M. Kinetic and equilibrium studies on fluoride removal by zirconium (IV): Impregnated groundnut shell carbon. *Hem. Ind.* 2010;64:295–304.
- 46. Alagumuthu G., Veeraputhiran V., Venkataraman R. Fluoride sorption using *Cynodon dactylon* based activated carbon. *Hem. Ind.* 2011;65:23–35.
- 47. Alagumuthu G., Rajan M. Equilibrium and kinetics of adsorption of fluoride onto zirconium impregnated cashew nut shell carbon. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010;158:451–457.
- Daifullah A.A., Yakout S.M., Elreefy S.A. Adsorption of fluoride in aqueus solutions using KMnO4-modified activated carbon derived from steam pyrolysis of rice straw. J. Hazard. Mater. 2007;147:633–643.
- 49. Hernández-Montoya V., Ramírez-Montoya L.A., Bonilla-Petriciolet A., Montes-Morán M.A. Optimizing the removal of fluoride from water using new carbons obtained by modification of nut shell with a calcium solution from egg shell. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 2012;62:1–7.
- 50. Vivek Vardhan C.M., Karthikeyan J. Removal of fluoride from water using low-cost materials. *Int. Water Technol. J.* 2011;1:120–131.
- 51. Coetzee P.P., Coetzee L.L., Puka R., Mubenga S. Characterisation of selected South African clays for defluoridation of natural waters. *Water SA*. 2003;29:331–338.
- 52. Ganvir V., Das K. Removal of fluoride from drinking water using aluminum hydroxide coated rice husk ash. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011;185:1287–1294.
- 53. Zhao Y., Li X., Liu L., Chen F. Fluoride removal by Fe(III)-loaded ligand exchange cotton cellulose adsorbent from drinking water. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2008;72:144–150.
- 54. Yu X., Tong S., Ge M., Zuo J. Removal of fluoride from drinking water by cellulose@hydroxyapatite nanocomposites. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2013;92:269–275.

- 55. P.K. Ganguly, S. Chanda, Dyeing of jute: effect of progressive removal of hemicellulose and lignin, Indian J. Fibre Text. Res., 19 (1994) 38–41.
- J. Vadiveloo, B. Nurfariza, J.G. Fadel, Natural improvement of rice husk, Animal Feed Sci. Technol., 151 (2009) 299–305.
- 57. V.S. Munagapati, D.-S. Kim, Adsorption of anionic azo dye Congo Red from aqueous solution by cationic modified orange peel powder, J. Mol. Liq., 220 (2016) 540–548.
- 58. B.S. Ndazi, C. Nyahumwa, J. Tesha, Chemical and thermal stability of rice husks against alkali treatment, BioResources, 3 (2007) 1267–1277.
- 59. S. Chowdhury, R. Mishra, P. Saha, P. Kuskwaha, Adsorption thermodynamics, kinetics and isosteric heat of adsorption of malachite green onto chemically modified rice husk, Desalination, 265 (2011) 159–168.
- 60. N. Gupta, V. Gupta, A.P. Singh and R. P. Singh. Defluoridation of Groundwater using Low Cost Adsorbent like Bagasse Dust, Aluminium Treated Bagasse Flyash, Bone Powder and Shell Powder, Bonfring International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management Science, 2014, 4(2), (72-75).
- 61. R. Mehrotra, B. KapoorandB. Narayan, —Defluoridation of drinking water using low cost adsorbent<sup>||</sup>, Indian J. Environ. Hlth., 41(1), 53-58, 1999.
- Turner B.D., Binning P., Stipp S.L.S. Fluoride removal by calcite: Evidence for fluorite precipitation and surface adsorption. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2005;39:9561– 9568.
- 63. El-Gohary F., Tawfik A., Mahmoud U. Comparative study between chemical coagulation/precipitation (C/P) *versus* coagulation/dissolved air flotation (D/DAF) for pre-treatment of personal care products (PCPs) wastewater. Desalination. 2010;252:106–112.
- 64. Saha S. Treatment of aqueous effluent for fluoride removal. Water Res. 1993;27:1347–1350.
- 65. Reardon E.J., Wang Y. A limestone reactor for fluoride removal from wastewaters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2000;34:3247–3253.
- 66. Gong W.-X., Qu J.-H., Liu R.-P., Lan H.-C. Effect of aluminum fluoride complexation on fluoride removal by coagulation. Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2012;395:88–93.
- 67. Ndiaye P.I., Moulin P., Dominguez L., Millet J.C., Charbit F. Removal of fluoride from electronic industrial effluent by RO membrane separation. *Desalination*. 2005;173:25–32.

- 68. Karabelas A.J., Yiantsios S.G., Metaxiotou Z., Andritsos N., Akiskalos A., Vlachopoulos G., Stavroulias S. Water and materials recovery from fertilizer industry acidic effluents by membrane processes. *Desalination*. 2001;138:93–102.
- 69. Sehn P. Fluoride removal with extra low energy reverse osmosis membranes: Three years of large scale field experience in Finland. *Desalination*. 2008;223:73–84.
- 70. Guo L., Hunt B.J., Santschi P.H. Ultrafiltration behavior of major ions (Na, Ca, Mg, F, Cl and SO<sub>4</sub>) in natural waters. *Water Res.* 2001;35:1500–1508.
- 71. Lhassani A., Rumeau M., Benjelloun D., Pontie M. Selective demineralization of water by nanofiltration Application to the defluoridation of brackish water. *Water Res.* 2001;35:3260–3264
- Hu K., Dickson J.M. Nanofiltration membrane performance on fluoride removal from water. J. Membr. Sci. 2006;279:529–538.
- Malaisamy R., Talla-Nwafo A., Jones K.L. Polyelectrolyte modification of nanofiltration membrane for selective removal of monovalent anions. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2011;77:367–374.
- 74. Ghosh D., Sinha M.K., Purkait M.K. A comparative analysis of low-cost ceramic membrane preparation for effective fluoride removal using hybrid technique. *Desalination*. 2013;327:2–13.
- 75. Chakrabortty S., Roy M., Pal P. Removal of fluoride from contaminated groundwater by cross flow nanofiltration: Transport modeling and economic evaluation. *Desalination*. 2013;313:115–124.
- Shen F., Chen X., Gao P., Chen G. Electrochemical removal of fluoride ions from industrial wastewater. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2003;58:987–993.
- 77. Tahaikt M., Achary I., Menkouchi Sahli M.A., Amor Z., Taky M., Alami A., Boughriba A., Hafsi M., Elmidaoui A. Defluoridation of Moroccan groundwater by electrodialysis: Continuous operation. *Desalination*. 2006;189:215–220.
- Piddennavar R. Review on defloridation techniques of water. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* 2013;2:86–94.
- 79. Menkouchi Sahli M.A., Annouar S., Tahaikt M., Mountadar M., Soufiane A., Elmidaoui A. Fluoride removal for underground brackish water by adsorption on the natural chitosan and electrodialysis. *Desalination*. 2007;212:37–45.
- 80. Zuo Q., Chen X., Li W., Chen G. Combined electrocoagulation and electroflotation for removal of fluoride from drinking water. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2008;159:452–457.

- Ergun E., Tor A., Cengeloglu Y., Kocak I. Electrodialytic removal of fluoride from water: Effects of process parameters and accompanying anions. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2008;64:147–153.
- Kabay N., Arar O., Samatya S., Yüksel U., Yüksel M. Separation of fluoride from aqueous solution by electrodialysis: Effect of process parameters and other ionic species. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008;153:107–113.
- Mameri N., Lounici H., Belhocine D., Grib H., Piron D.L., Yahiat Y. Defluoridation of Sahara water by small plant electrocoagulation using bipolar aluminium electrodes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2001;24:113–119.
- 84. Arar O., Yavuz E., Yuksel U., Kabay N. Separation of low concentration of fluoride from water by electrodialysis (ED) in the presence of chloride and sulfate ions. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2009;44:1562–1573.
- 85. Cui H., Qian Y., An H., Sun C., Zhai J., Li Q. Electrochemical removal of fluoride from water by PAOA modified carbon felt electrodes in a continuous flow reactor. *Water Res.* 2012;4:3943–3950.
- Tezcan Un U., Savas Koparal A., Ogutveren U.B. Fluoride removal from water and wastewater with a bach cylindrical electrode using electrocoagulation. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2013;223:110–115.
- 87. Kodama H., Kabay N. Reactivity of inorganic anion exchanger BiPbO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>) with fluoride ions in solution. *Solid State Ion*. 2001;141–142:603–607.
- Chubar N.I., Samanidou V.F., Kouts V.S., Gallios G.G., Kanibolotsky V.A., Strelko V.V., Zhuravlev I.Z. Adsorption of fluoride, chloride, bromide and bromate ions on a novel ion exchanger. *J. Colloid InterfaceSci.* 2005;291:67–74.
- Hänninen K., Kaukonen A.M., Murtomäki L., Hirvonen J. Mechanistic evaluation of factors affecting compound loading into ion-exchange fibers. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2007;31:306–317.
- 90. Liu R.X., Guo J.L., Tang H.X. Adsorption of fluoride, phosphate, and arsenate ions on a new type of ion exchange fiber. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2002;248:268–274.
- 91. Meenakshi S., Viswanathan N. Identification of selective ion-exchange resin for fluoride sorption. J. Colloid InterfaceSci. 2007;308:438–450.
- 92. Paudyal H., Pangeni B., Inoue K., Kawakita H., Ohto K., Ghimire K.N., Alam S. Preparation of novel alginate based anion exchanger from *Ulva japonica* and its application for the removal of trace concentrations of fluoride from water. *Bioresour*. *Technol.* 2013;148:221–227

- 93. Guo Q., Tian J. Removal of fluoride and arsenate from aqueous solution by hydrocalumite via precipitation and anion exchange. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;231:121– 131.
- 94. Leyva Ramos R., Ovalle-Turrubiartes J., Sanchez-Castillo M.A. Adsorption of fluoride from aqueous solution on aluminum-impregnated carbon. Carbon. 1999;37:609–617.
- 95. Sarkar M., Banerjee A., Pramanick P.P., Sarkar A.R. Use of laterite for the removal of fluoride from contaminated drinking water. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2006;302:432– 441.
- 96. Oguz E. Adsorption of fluoride on gas concrete materials. J. Hazard. Mater. 2005;117:227–233.
- 97. Aravind, A., Varghese, D.K., Arshik, K., Prasad, M.S. and Nair, V.V., 2015. Defluoridation of water using low-cost bio-adsorbents: A comparative study. International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research, 2, 118–126
- 98. Rao C.R.N. Fluoride and Environment—A Review; Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Environment and Health; Chennai, India. 15–17 December 2003; pp. 386–399.
- 99. Tomar V., Kumar D. A critical study on efficiency of different materials for fluoride removal from aqueous media. Chem. Cent. J. 2013;7:1–15.
- 100. Meenakshi S. *Ph.D. Thesis.* The Gandhigram Rural Institute; Tamil Nadu, India: 1992. Studies on Defluoridation of Water with a Few Adsorbents and Development of an Indigenous Defluoridation Unit for Domestic Use.
- 101. Malay K.D., Salim A.J. Comparative study of batch adsorption of fluoride using commercial and natural adsorbent. *Res. J. Chem. Sci.* 2011;1:68–75.
- Maliyekkal S.M., Shukla S., Philip L., Nambi I.M. Enhanced fluoride removal from drinking water by magnesia-amended activated alumina granules. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2008;140:183–192.
- Tripathy S.S., Raichur A.M. Abatement of fluoride from water using manganese dioxide-coated activated alumina. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008;153:1043– 1051.
- Lavecchia R., Medici F., Piga L., Rinaldi G., Zuorro A. Fluoride removal from water by adsorption on a high alumina content bauxite. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 2012;26:225–230.

- 105. Sajidu S., Kayira C., Masamba W., Mwatseteza J. Defluoridation of groundwater using raw bauxite: Rural domestic defluoridation technology. *Environ. Nat. Resour. Res.* 2012;2:1–9.
- 106. Goswami D., Das K.A. Removal of fluoride from drinking water using a modified fly ash adsorbent. J. Sci. Ind. Res. India. 2006;65:77–79.
- 107. Sairam Sundaram C., Viswanathan N., Meenakshi S. Defluoridation chemistry of synthetic hydroxyapatite at nano scale: Equilibrium and kinetic studies. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2008;155:206–215.
- Sairam Sundaram C., Viswanathan N., Meenakshi S. Uptake of fluoride by nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan, a bioinorganic composite. *Bioresour*. *Technol.* 2008;99:8226–8230.
- 109. Viswanathan N., Meenakshi S. Role of metal ion incorporation in ion exchange resin on the selectivity of fluoride. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2009;162:920–930.
- 110. Viswanathan N., Meenakshi S. Effect of metal ion loaded in a resin towards fluoride retention. *J. Fluor. Chem.* 2008;129:645–653.
- Yadav A.K., Kaushik C.P., Haritash A.K., Kansal A., Rani N. Defluoridation of groundwater using brick powder as an adsorbent. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2006;128:289– 293.
- 112. Malakootian M., Moosazadeh M., Yousefi N., Fatehizadeh A. Fluoride removal from aqueous solution by pumice: Case study on Kuhbonan water. *Afr. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2011;5:299–306.
- 113. Chidambaram C., Ramanathan A.L., Vasudevan S. Fluoride removal studies in water using natural materials. *Water SA*. 2003;29:339–343.
- 114. Teutli-Sequeira A., Solache-Ríos M., Balderas-Hernández P. Modification effects of hematite with aluminum hydroxide on the removal of fluoride ions from water. *Water Air Soil Poll*. 2012;223:319–327.
- 115. Shan Y., Guo H. Fluoride adsorption on modified natural siderite: Optimization and performance. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;223:183–191.
- 116. Teutli-Sequeira A., Martínez-Miranda V., Solache-Ríos M., Linares-Hernández İ. Aluminum and lanthanum effects in natural materials on the adsorption of fluoride ions. J. Fluor. Chem. 2013;148:6–13.
- 117. Viswanathan N., Prabhu S.M., Meenakshi S. Development of amine functionalized co-polymeric resins for selective fluoride sorption. J. Fluor. Chem. 2013;153:143–150.

- Asgari G., Roshani B., Ghanizadeh G. The investigation of kinetic and isotherm of fluoride adsorption onto functionalize pumice stone. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2012;217–218:123–132.
- Salifu A., Petrusevski B., Ghebremichael K., Modestus L., Buamah R., Aubry C., Amy G.L. Aluminum (hydr)oxide coated pumice for fluoride removal from drinking water: Synthesis, equilibrium, kinetics and mechanism. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2013;228:63–74.
- 120. Tembhurkar A.R., Dongre S.J. Studies on fluoride removal using adsorption process. *Environ. Sci. Eng.* 2006;48:151–156.
- 121. Nath S.K., Dutta R.K. Fluoride removal from water using crushed limestone. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2010;17:120–125.
- 122. Chen N., Zhang Z., Feng C., Li M., Zhu D., Sugiura N. Studies on fluoride adsorption of iron-impregnated granular ceramics from aqueous solution. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2011;125:293–298.
- Kamble S.P., Jagtap S., Labhsetwar N.K., Thakare D., Godfrey S., Devotta S., Rayalu S.S. Defluoridation of drinking water using chitin, chitosan and lanthanummodified chitosan. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2007;129:173–180.
- Viswanathan N., Meenakshi S. Development of chitosan supported zirconium(IV) tungstophosphate composite for fluoride removal. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010;176:459–465.
- 125. Jagtap S., Thakre D., Wanjari S., Kamble S., Labhsetwar N., Rayalu S. New modified chitosan-based adsorbent for defluoridation of water. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2009;332:280–290.
- Sujana M.G., Mishra A., Acharya B.C. Hydrous ferric oxide doped alginate beads for fluoride removal: Adsorption kinetics and equilibrium studies. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2013;270:767–776.
- 127. Liang P., Zhang Y., Wang D., Xu Y., Luo L. Preparation of mixed rare earths modified chitosan for fluoride adsorption. *J. Rare Earths*. 2013;31:817–822.
- 128. Davila-Rodriguez J.L., Escobar-Barrios V.A., Rangel-Mendez J.R. Removal of fluoride from drinking water by a chitin-based biocomposite in fixed-bed columns. *J. Fluor. Chem.* 2012;140:99–103.
- 129. Swain S.K., Patnaik T., Patnaik P.C., Jha U., Dey R.K. Development of new alginate entrapped Fe(III)–Zr(IV) binary mixed oxide for removal of fluoride from water bodies. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;215–216:763–771.

- Shams M., Nodehi R.N., Dehghani M.H., Younesian M., Mahvi A.H. Efficiency of granular ferric hydroxide (GFH) for removal of fluoride from water. *Fluoride*. 2010;43:61–66.
- Chai L., Wang Y., Zhao N., Yang W., You X. Sulfate-doped Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles as a novel adsorbent for fluoride removal from drinking water. *Water Res.* 2013;47:4040–4049.
- 132. Liu R., Gong W., Lan H., Yang T., Liu H., Qu J. Simultaneous removal of arsenate and fluoride by iron and aluminum binary oxide: Competitive adsorption effects. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2012;92:100–105.
- García-Sánchez J.J., Solache-Ríos M., Martínez-Miranda V., Solís Morelos C. Removal of fluoride ions from drinking water and fluoride solutions by aluminum modified iron oxides in a column system. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2013;407:410– 415.
- 134. Kang D., Yu X., Tong S., Ge M., Zuo J., Cao C., Song W. Performance and mechanism of Mg/Fe layered double hydroxides for fluoride and arsenate removal from aqueous solution. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;228:731–740.
- 135. Wu H.-X., Wang T.-J., Chen L., Jin Y., Zhang Y., Dou X.-M. Granulation of Fe–Al–Ce hydroxide nano-adsorbent by immobilization in porous polyvinyl alcohol for fluoride removal in drinking water. *Powder Technol.* 2011;209:92–97.
- 136. Dou X., Zhang Y., Wang H., Wang T., Wang Y. Performance of granular zirconium-iron oxide in the removal of fluoride from drinking water. *Water Res.* 2011;45:3571–3578.
- Mourabet M., El Rhilassi A., El Boujaady H., Bennani-Ziatni M., El Hamri R.,
  Taitai A. Removal of fluoride from aqueous solution by adsorption on hydroxyapatite (HAp) using response surface methodology. *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.* 2012
- 138. Mourabet M., El Rhilassi A., El Boujaady H., Bennani-Ziatni M., El Hamri R., Taitai A. Removal of fluoride from aqueous solution by adsorption on Apatitic tricalcium phosphate using Box–Behnken design and desirability function. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2012;258:4402–4410.
- 139. Zhang D., Luo H., Zheng L., Wang K., Li H., Wang Y., Feng H. Utilization of waste phosphogypsum to prepare hydroxyapatite nanoparticles and its application towards removal of fluoride from aqueous solution. J. Hazard. Mater. 2012;241– 242:418–426.

- 141. Dou X., Mohan D., Pittman C.U., Jr., Yang S. Remediating fluoride from water using hydrous zirconium oxide. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2012;198–199:236–245.
- 142. Swain S.K., Patnaik T., Singha V.K., Jha U., Patel R.K., Dey R.K. Kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic aspects of removal of fluoride from drinking water using meso-structured zirconium phosphate. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2011;171:1218–1226.
- Poursaberi T., Hassanisadi M., Torkestani K., Zare M. Development of zirconium (IV)-metalloporphyrin grafted Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles for efficient fluoride removal. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2012;189–190:117–125.
- 144. Swain S.K., Mishra S., Patnaik T., Patel R.K., Jha U., Dey R.K. Fluoride removal performance of a new hybrid sorbent of Zr(IV)–ethylenediamine. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2012;184:72–81.
- 145. Koilraj P., Kannan S. Aqueous fluoride removal using ZnCr layered double hydroxides and their polymeric composites: Batch and column studies. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2013;234:406–415.
- 146. Wang J., Xu W., Chen L., Jia Y., Wang L., Huang X.-J., Liu J. Excellent fluoride removal performance by CeO<sub>2</sub>–ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocages in water environment. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;231:198–205.
- 147. Chen L., Wang T.-J., Wu H.-X., Jin Y., Zhang Y., Dou X.-M. Optimization of a Fe–Al–Ce nano-adsorbent granulation process that used spray coating in a fluidized bed for fluoride removal from drinking water. *Powder Technol.* 2011;206:291–296.
- 148. Zhao B., Zhang Y., Dou X., Wu X., Yang M. Granulation of Fe–Al–Ce trimetal hydroxide as a fluoride adsorbent using the extrusion method. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2012;185–186:211–221.
- 149. Sivasankar V., Murugesh S., Rajkumar S., Darchen A. Cerium dispersed in carbon (CeDC) and its adsorption behavior: A first example of tailored adsorbent for fluoride removal from drinking water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;214:45–54.
- 150. Mandal S., Tripathy S., Padhi T., Sahu M.K., Patel R.K. Removal efficiency of fluoride by novel Mg-Cr-Cl layered double hydroxide by batch process from water. J. Environ. Sci. 2013;25:993–1000.
- 151. Zhang T., Li Q., Xiao H., Mei Z., Lu H., Zhou Y. Enhanced fluoride removal from water by non-thermal plasma modified CeO<sub>2</sub>/Mg–Fe layered double hydroxides. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2013;72:117–123.

140.

- 152. Wajima T., Umeta Y., Narita S., Sugawara K. Adsorption behavior of fluoride ions using a titanium hydroxide-derived adsorbent. *Desalination*. 2009;249:323–330.
- 153. Chen L., He B.-Y., He S., Wang T.-J., Su C.-L., Jin Y. Fe–Ti oxide nanoadsorbent synthesized by co-precipitation for fluoride removal from drinking water and its adsorption mechanism. *Powder Technol.* 2012;227:3–8.
- 154. Babaeivelni K., Khodadoust A.P. Adsorption of fluoride onto crystalline titanium dioxide: Effect of pH, ionic strength, and co-existing ions. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2013;394:419–427.
- 155. Eskandarpour A., Onyango M.S., Ochieng A., Asai S. Removal of fluoride ions from aqueous solution at low pH using schwertmannite. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008;152:571–579.
- 156. Çengeloğlu Y., Kir E., Ersöz M. Removal of fluoride from aqueous solution by using red mud. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2002;28:81–86.
- 157. Gogoi P.K., Baruah R. Fluoride removal from water by adsorption on acid activated kaolinite clay. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 2008;15:500–503.
- 158. Meenakshi S., Sairam Sundaram C., Sukumar R. Enhanced fluoride sorption by mechanochemically activated kaolinites. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2008;153:164–172.
- 159. Guo Q., Reardon E.J. Fluoride removal from water by meixnerite and its calcination product. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2012;56:7–15.
- 160. Suzuki T., Nakamura A., Niinae M., Nakata H., Fujii H., Tasaka Y. Immobilization of fluoride in artificially contaminated kaolinite by the addition of commercial-grade magnesium oxide. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;233:176–184.
- 161. Onyango M.S., Kojima Y., Aoyi O., Bernardo E.C., Matsuda H. Adsorption equilibrium modeling and solution chemistry dependence of fluoride removal from water by trivalent-cation-exchanged zeolite F-9. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2004;279:341–350.
- 162. Lebedynets M., Sprynskyy M., Sakhnyuk I., Zbytniewski R., Golembiewski R., Buszewski B. Adsorption of ammonium ions onto a natural zeolite: Transcarpathian clinoptilolite. *Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.* 2004;22:731–741.
- 163. Erdem E., Karapinar N., Donat R. The removal of heavy metal cations by natural zeolites. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2004;280:309–314.
- 164. Rahmani A., Nouri J., Kamal Ghadiri S., Mahvi A.H., ZareM M.R. Adsorption of fluoride from water by Al<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> pretreated natural Iranian zeolites. *Int. J. Environ. Res.* 2010;4:607–614.

- 165. Wang S., Peng P. Natural zeolites as effective adsorbents in water and wastewater treatment. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010;156:11–24.
- Sun Y., Fang Q., Dong J., Cheng X., Xu J. Removal of fluoride from drinking water by natural stilbite zeolite modified with Fe(III) *Desalination*. 2011;277:121–127.
- Gómez-Hortigüela L., Pérez-Pariente J., García R., Chebude Y., Díaz I. Natural zeolites from Ethiopia for elimination of fluoride from drinking water. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2013;120:224–229.
- Sasaki K., Fukumoto N., Moriyama S., Yu Q., Hirajima T. Chemical regeneration of magnesium oxide used as a sorbent for fluoride. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2012;98:24–30.
- 169. Li Y.-H., Wang S., Cao A., Zhao D., Zhang X., Xu C., Luan Z., Ruan D., Liang J., Wu D., et al. Adsorption of fluoride from water by amorphous alumina supported on carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2001;350:412–416.
- Dey, A. K., Kumar, U., 2017. Adsorption of anionic azo dye Congo Red from aqueous solution onto NaOH-modified jute fibre. Desalination and Water Treatment, 92, 301-308
- 171. Dey, A. K., Kumar, U., 2017. Adsorption of Reactive Red 195 from polluted water upon Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Modified Jute Fibre. International Journal of Engineering and Technology 9, 3S, 53-58.
- 172. Dey, A. K., Kumar, U., Dey, A., 2018. Use of response surface methodology for the optimization of process parameters for the removal of Congo Red by NaOH treated jute fibre. Desalination and Water Treatment, 115, 300-314.
- 173. Dey, A. K., Dey, A., 2021. Selection of optimal processing condition during removal of Reactive Red 195 by NaOH treated jute fibre using adsorption. Groundwater for sustainable development, 12, 100522.
- G.Z. Kyzas, K.A. Matis Nanoadsorbents for pollutants removal: a review J. Mol. Liq., 203 (2015), pp. 59-168
- 175. Lagergren, S. (1898). "About the theory of so called adsorption of solute substances." Ksver Veterskapsakad Handl, 24(4), 1–39.
- Y.S. Ho, G. McKay Pseudo-second order model for sorption processesProc. Biochem., 34 (1999), pp. 451-465
- I. Langmuir, The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids,J. Am. Chem. Soc., 38 (1916) 2221–2295.

- H.M.F. Freundlich, Over the adsorption in solution, J. Phys. Chem., 57 (1906) 385–471.
- 179. M.I. Temkin, V. Pyzhev Kinetics of ammonia synthesis on promoted iron catalyst Acta USSR, 12 (1940), pp. 327-356.
- 180. M.M. Dubinin, L.V. Radushkevich Equation of the characteristic curve of activated charcoal Proc. Acad. Sci. Phys. Chem. USSR, 55 (1947), pp. 331-333.
- 181. T.S. Anirudhan, P.G. Radhakrishnan, Thermodynamics and kinetics of adsorption of Cu (II) from aqueous solution onto a new cation exchanger derived from tamarind fruit shell, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 40 (2008) 702–709.
- 182. Mondal, N. K., Bhaumik, R., Das, B., Datta, J. K., 2012. Studies on Defluoridation of Water by Tea Ash: An Unconventional Biosorbent. Chem Sci Trans., 1(2), 239-256.
- 183. Singh, K., Dilip, H. L., Wasewar, K. L., 2016. Removal of Fluoride from Aqueous Solution by Using Low-Cost Sugarcane Bagasse: Kinetic Study and Equilibrium Isotherm Analyses. Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive waste, 04015024-1.
- 184. Farooq, U., Salman, M., Tariq, M. 2019. Fluoride removal using simple protonated and xanthate modified protonated Ficus religiosa branch powder in a fixed-bed column. 150, 204–212.
- 185. A. Abia, E. Asuquo, Kinetics of Cd 2+ and Cr 3+ sorption from aqueous solutions using mercaptoacetic acid modified and unmodified oil palm fruit fibre (Elaeis guineensis) adsorbents, Tsinghua Sci. Technol., 12 (2007) 485–492.
- M. Lopez-Ramon, F. Stoeckli, C. Moreno-Castilla, F. CarrascoMarin, On the characterization of acidic and basic surface sites on carbons by various techniques, Carbon, 37 (1999) 1215–1221.
- 187. Sarai Ramos-Vargas 1, Ruth Alfaro-Cuevas-Villanueva 2, Rafael Huirache-Acuña 3 and Raúl Cortés-Martínez. Removal of Fluoride and Arsenate from Aqueous Solutions by Aluminum-Modified Guava Seeds. 2018.
- Vázquez-Guerrero, A.; Alfaro-Cuevas-Villanueva, R.; Rutiaga-Quiñones, J.G.; Cortés-Martínez, R. Fluoride removal by aluminum-modified pine sawdust: Effect of competitive ions. Ecol. Eng. 2016, 94, 365–379.
- 189. Nazari, M.; Halladj, R. Adsorptive removal of fluoride ions from aqueous solution by using sonochemically synthesized nanomagnesia/alumina adsorbents: An experimental and modeling study. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 2014, 45, 2518–2525.

- Peng, C.; Xi, J.; Chen, G.; Feng, Z.; Ke, F.; Ning, J.; Li, D.; Ho, C.T.; Wan, X.
  Highly selective defluoridation of brick tea infusion by tea waste supported aluminum oxides. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2017, 97, 1509–1516.
- Huang, W.; Edenzon, K.; Fernandez, L.; Razmpour, S.; Woodburn, J.; Cebe,P. Nanocomposites of poly (vinylidene fluoride) with multiwalled carbon nanotubes.J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2010, 115, 3238–3248.
- 192. Goldberg, S.; Johnston, C.T. Mechanisms of arsenic adsorption on amorphous oxides evaluated using macroscopic measurements, vibrational spectroscopy, and surface complexation modeling. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 2001, 234, 204–216.
- 193. Tossell, J.A. Theoretical studies on arsenic oxide and hydroxide species in minerals and in aqueous solution. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 1997, 61, 1613–1623.
- 194. Tang, W.; Su, Y.; Li, Q.; Gao, S.; Shang, J.K. Superparamagnetic magnesium ferrite nanoadsorbent for effective arsenic (III, V) removal and easy magnetic separation. Water Res. 2013, 47, 3624–3634.
- 195. Ouma, I.L.; Naidoo, E.B.; Ofomaja, A.E. Thermodynamic, kinetic and spectroscopic investigation of arsenite adsorption mechanism on pine cone-magnetite composite. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 5409–5419.
- 196. George, A. M., Tembhurkar, A. R. 2019. Analysis of equilibrium, kinetic, and thermodynamic parameters for biosorption of fluoride from water onto coconut (Cocos nucifera Linn.) root developed adsorbent. Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering, 27 (92-99).
- 197. Dilip Thakre, Priyadarshini Dixit, Sanghratna Waghmare, Nilesh Manwar, Nitin Labhsetwar and Sadhana S. Rayalu, Synthesis Optimization and Fluoride Uptake Properties of High Capacity Composite Adsorbent for Defluoridation of Drinking Water, Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy, AIChE, March 2015.
- 198. Jemal, F., Said, H., Feleke, S., Worku, A., 2019. Fluoride removal from aqueous solution onto activated carbon of Catha edulis through the adsorption treatment technology. Environmental system research.
- 199. Bilba, K., and Ouensanga, A. (1996). "Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy study, degradation of sugar cane bagasse." J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 38(1–2), 61–73.
- Garg, U., Kaur, M. P., Jawa, G. K., Suda, D., and Garg, V. K. (2008).
   "Removal of cadmium (II) from aqueous solutions by adsorption on agricultural waste biomass." J. Hazard. Mater., 154(1–3), 1149–1157.

- 201. Gad, H. M. H., and El-Sayed, A. A. (2009). "Activated carbon from agricultural by-products for the removal of Rhodamine-B from aqueous solution." J. Hazard. Mater., 168(2–3), 1070–1081.
- 202. Brandao, P. C., Souza, T. C., Ferreira, C. A., and Hori, C. E. (2010). "Removal of petroleum hydrocarbon from aqueous solution using sugarcane bagasse as adsorbent." J. Hazard. Mater., 175(1–3), 1106–1112.
- 203. Railsback, B. (2006). "Some fundamentals of mineralogy and geochemistry."Dept. of Geology, Univ. of Georgia, Athens, GA.
- 204. Lataye, D. H., Mishra, I. M., and Mall, I. D. (2006). "Removal of pyridine from aqueous solution by adsorption on bagasse fly ash." Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 45(11), 3934–3943.
- 205. Lataye, D. H., Mishra, I. M., and Mall, I. D. (2008). "Multicomponent sorptive removal of toxics-pyridine, 2-picoline and 4-picoline from aqueous solution by bagasse fly ash: Optimization of process parameters." Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 47(15), 5629–5635.
- Liu, W. Kinetics and thermodynamics characteristics of cationic yellow X- GL adsorption on attapulgite/rice hull- based activated carbon nanocomposites. Environ Prog Sust Energ 2012;32(3):655–62.
- 207. A.M. Cardenas-Peña, J.G. Ibanez, R. Vasquez-Medrano, Determination of the point of zero charge for electrocoagulation precipitates from an iron anode, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci, 7 (2012) 6142–6153.
- Ngulube, T., Gitari M. W., 2017. Defluoridation of groundwater using mixed Mukondeni clay soils. Water Supply, 17 (2): 480–492
- 209. Rafique, M., Ali, A., Wasti, A., Ishtiaq, A., 2012. Removal of Fluoride from Drinking Water Using Modified Immobilized Activated Alumina. Journal of chemistry.
- 210. Debasis, G., Arabinda, K. D., 2006. Removal of fluoride from drinking water using a modified fly ash adsorbent. Water Supply, 17 (2): 480–492
- 211. Patil, R. N., P. B. Nagarnaik, P. B. Agrawal, D. K., 2016. Removal of fluoride from ground water by using treated bark of Phyllanthus emblica (amla). International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 7, 6,11–20.
- 212. Mateso, S., Revocatus, L., M., 2014. Defluoridation of Water Supplies Using Coconut Shells Activated Carbon: Batch Studies. International Journal of Science and Research.

- 213. Kumar, N. P., kumar, N. S., Krishnaiah, A., 2012. Defluoridation of water using Tamarind (Tamarindus indica) fruit cover: Kinetics and Equilibrium studies. J. Chil. Chem. Soc., 57. 1224-1231.
- 214. Deshmukh, W. S., Attar, S. J., Waghmare, M. D., 2009. Investigation on Sorption of Fluoride in Water Using Rice Husk as an Adsorbent. Nature Environment and Pollution Technology an International Quarterly Scientific Journal, 8, 2, 217-223.
- Rudram, C., Reddy, P. D. S., Fluoride Removal from Aqueous Solution Using Custard Apple (Annona Squamosa) Leaves. 2020.
- 216. Getachew, T., Hussen, A., Rao, V. M., 2015. Defluoridation of water by activated carbon prepared from banana (Musa paradisiaca) peel and coffee (Coffea arabica) husk. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 12:1857–1866.
- 217. George, A. M., Ajay, R. T., 2018. Analysis of equilibrium, kinetic, and thermodynamic parameters for biosorption of fluoride from water onto coconut (Cocos nucifera Linn.) root developed adsorbent.
- 218. Saikia, P., Bharali, R. K., Baruah, H. K., 2017. Kinetic and thermodynamic studies for fluoride removal using a novel bio-adsorbent from possotia (Vitex negundo) leaf. Journal of Analytical Science and Technology.
- 219. Gupta, N., Gupta, V., Singh, A.P., Singh, R.P., 2014. Defluoridation of groundwater using low-cost adsorbent like bagasse dust, aluminium treated bagasse flyash, bone powder and shell powder. Bonfring International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management Science, 4, 2.
- 220. Swathy, P.S., Swathy, M.R., Anitha, K., 2017. Defluoridation of water using neem (azadirachta indica) leaf as adsorbent. 3, 4.
- 221. Shashikant.R.Mise , Keerthi.B.Gurani. (2013) Adsorption studies of fluoride on activated carbon derived from phoenix dactylifera (date plam) seeds. : International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, eISSN: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321-7308.
- 222. F. A. Pavan, A. C. Mazzocato, and Y. Gushikem, "Removal of methylene blue dye from aqueous solutions by adsorption using yellow passion fruit peel as adsorbent," Bioresource Technology, vol. 99, pp. 3162-3165, 2008.
- Mohan, S. V., and Karthikeyan, J. (1997). "Removal of lignin and tannin aqueous solution by adsorption onto activated charcoal." Environ. Pollut., 97(1–2), 183–187.

- 224. Lataye, D. H., Mishra, I. M., and Mall, I. D. (2009). "Adsorption of αpicoline on granular activated carbon and rice husk ash from aqueous solution: Equilibrium and thermodynamic study." Chem. Eng. J., 147(2–3), 139–149.
- 225. Srivastava, V. C., Mall, I. D., and Mishra, I. M. (2006). "Characterization of mesoporous rice husk ash (RHA) and adsorption kinetics of metal ions from aqueous solution onto RHA." J. Hazard. Mater., B134(1–3), 257–267.
- Mohapatra, D., Mishra, D., Mishra, S. P., Chaudhury, G. R., and Das, R. P. (2004). "Use of oxide minerals to abate fluoride from water." J. Colloid Interface Sci., 275(2), 355–359.
- 227. Ramdani, A., Taleb, S., Benghalem, A., and Ghaffour, N. (2010). "Removal of excess fluoride ions from Saharan brackish water by adsorption on natural materials." Desalination, 250(1), 408–413.
- A.L. Nashine, A.R. Tembhurkar, Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies for adsorption of As(III) on coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) fiber, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 4 (3) (2016) 3267–3273.
- 229. B. Thole, F. Mtalo, W. Masamba, Effect of particle size on loading capacity and water quality in water defluoridation with 200 °C calcined bauxite, gypsum, magnesite and their composite filter, Afr. J. Pure Appl. Chem. 6 (2) (2012) 26–34.
- Bibi, S.; Farooqi, A.; Hussain, K.; Haider, N. Evaluation of industrial based adsorbents for simultaneous removal of arsenic and fluoride from drinking water. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 87, 882–896.
- 231. Ramos-Vargas, S.; Cortés-Martínez, R. Remoción simultánea de arsénico y fluoruros de soluciones acuosas mediante semillas de guayaba modificadas. In VIII Congreso Internacional de Ingeniería Bioquímica; XIX Congreso Nacional de Ingeniería Bioquímica: Mazatlán, Mexico. 2014. (In Spanish)
- Sivasankar, V., Rajkumar, S., Murugesh, S. and Darchen, A. (2012) Tamarind (Tamarindus indica) fruit shell carbon: A calcium-rich promising adsorbent for fluoride removal from groundwater. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 225–226, 164– 172.
- 233. Valencia-Leal, S.A., Cortés Martínez, R. and Alfaro Cuevas Villanueva, R. (2012) Evaluation of guava seeds (Psidium guajava) as a low- cost biosorbent for the removal of fluoride from aqueous solutions. International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 5, 69–76.

- 234. Sepehr, M.N., Sivasankar, V., Zarrabi, M. and Kumar, S.M. (2013) Surface modification of pumice enhancing its fluoride adsorption capacity: An insight into kinetic and thermodynamic studies. Chemical Engineering Journal, 228, 192–204.
- 235. Mondal, N.K., Bhaumik, R. and Datta, J.K. (2015) Removal of fluoride by aluminum impregnated coconut fiber from synthetic fluoride solution and natural water. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 54, 1273–1284.
- Sundaram, S.C., Viswanathan, N. and Meenakshi, S. (2008) Uptake of fluoride by nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan, a bioinorganic composite. Bioresource Technology, 99, 8226–8230.
- Emmanuel, K.A., Ramaraju, K.A., Rambabu, G. and Veerabhadra Rao, A.
   (2008) Removal of fluoride from drinking water with activated carbons prepared from HNO3 activation-A comparative study. Rasayan Journal of Chemistry, 1, 802–818.
- 238. Prabhu, S.M. and Meenakshi, S. (2015) A dendrimer-like hyper branched chitosan beads toward fluoride adsorption from water. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 78, 280–286.
- Abe, I., Iwasaki, S., Tokimoto, T., Kawasaki, N., Nakamura, T. and Tanada, S. (2004) Adsorption of fluoride ions onto carbonaceous materials. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 275, 35-39.
- 240. Shekhawat, A., Kahu, S.S., Saravanan, D. and Jugade, R.M. (2016) Assimilation of chitin with tin for defluoridation of water. Royal Society of Chemistry Advances, 6, 18936–18945.
- 241. Tripathy, S.S., Bersillon, J.L. and Gopal, K. (2006) Removal of fluoride from drinking water by adsorption onto alumimpregnated activated alumina. Separation and Purification Technology, 50, 310–317.
- Davila-Rodriguez, J.L., Escobar-Barrios, V.A., Shirai, K. and Rangel-Mendez,
   J.R. (2009) Synthesis of a chitin-based biocomposite for water treatment:
   Optimization for fluoride removal. Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 130, 718–726.
- 243. Ma, W., Ya, F.-Q., Han, M. and Wang, R. (2007) Characteristics of equilibrium, kinetics studies for adsorption of fluoride on magnetic-chitosan particle. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 143, 296–302.
- 244. Anirudhan, T. S., & Radhakrishnan, P. G. (2008). Thermodynamics and kinetics of adsorption of Cu (II) from aqueous solution onto a new cation exchanger derived from tamarind fruit shell. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 40, 702– 709.

- 245. Gopal, V., and Elango, K. P. (2010). "Studies on defluoridation of water using magnesium titanate." Ind. J. Chem. Tech., 17(1), 28–33.
- 246. M. Suneetha, B. Syama Sundar, K. Ravindhranath, Removal fluoride from polluted waters using low-cost active carbon derived from the bark of Vitex negundo plant, J. Anal. Sci. Technol. 12 (3) (2015) 33–49.
- 247. M. Murugan, E. Subramanian, Studies on defluoridation of water by tamarind seed, an unconventional biosorbent, J. Water Health 4 (4) (2006) 453–461.
- 248. V. Veeraputhiran, G. Alagumuthu, Adsorption kinetics and thermodynamics of fluoride onto Phyllanthus emblica based thermally activated carbon, Int. J. ChemTech Res 4 (1) (2012) 165–174.
- Sujitha Ravulapalli, Ravindhranath Kunta, Defluoridation studies using active carbon derived from the barks of Ficus racemosa plant, J. Fluor. Chem. 193 (2017) 58–66.
- 250. Swapnila Roy, Papita Das, Assessment of De-fluoridation in Waste Water using activated biochar: Thermodynamic and Kinetic study, J. Chem. Appl. Chem. Eng. 1 (1) (2017)
- 251. Islam M, Patel RK. Evaluation of removal efficiency of fluoride from aqueous solution using quick lime. J Hazard Mater 2007;143:303-310.