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#### Abstract

: The role of Transportation Problem in Operations research is significant. Its primary goal is to move quantities of goods stored at different locations to diverse destinations in a way that minimizes transportation costs, maximizes profits, or reduces total time. To obtain an initial basic feasible solution to the linear programming problem, the North-West Corner Method, Matrix Minima Method and Vogel's Approximation Method can be used. By comparing their outcomes, we can present the results in a table.


## I. INTRODUCTION:

Well Established Paradigm of Transportation Problem:
To fulfill their supply chain needs, many companies must transport their products from different locations to various destinations. This requires minimizing the overall cost of transportation for the Transportation Problem (T.P.). The general mathematical model for the T.P. involves m supply sources, denoted as $\mathrm{O}_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}}$, each with a specific supply capacity $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{I}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m})$. These supplies must be transported to $n$ destinations, $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$, each with a specific demand requirement $\mathrm{dj}(\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$. The cost of transporting one unit of product from source $i$ to destination j is represented by Cij . To indicate the number of units shipped per route from source $i$ to destination j , we use xij. The goal is to find a transportation schedule that meets the supply and demand requirements while minimizing the total transportation cost. In general, the problem can be expressed mathematically as follows:

Minimize (total cost) $Z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j} x_{i j}$
Subject to the restriction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}=s_{i} \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i j}=d_{j}$ Where $\mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n}$

And $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}} \geq 0, \forall \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$.

Well Established Transportation Table:

| To | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\ldots \ldots . . . . .$. | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Supply $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{11}$ $\mathrm{x}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{12}$ $\mathrm{x}_{12}$ | $\ldots \ldots$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1 \mathrm{n}}$ $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{21}$ $\mathrm{x}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{22}$ $\mathrm{X}_{22}$ | ... .......... | $\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ $\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ |
| : |  | , | $\ldots \ldots$ |  | : |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m} 1} & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} 1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m} 2} & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} 2} \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{mn}}$ <br> $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{mn}}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| Demand $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | $\ldots \ldots$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j}$ |

When the rim condition is satisfied then we said that the T.P. is balanced.
In this paper, we obtained an IBFS to problem by NWCM, Matrix Minima Method and VAM and compared their results and displayed in the tables. Vogel's Approximation Method is superior to NWCM and Matrix Minima Method. Also VAM gives feasible solution close to optimal solution.

## II. IBFS BY NWCM, MATRIX MINIMA AND VAM:

Numerical Illustration:
Illustration 1.1

| To | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | Supply |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 3 | 7 | 1 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2 | 9 | 12 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 10 | 2 | 5 | 50 |
| Demand | 35 | 15 | 50 | 100 |

## Illustration 1.1 Solutions by NWCM:

| To | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $3$ $20$ | 7 | 1 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $2$ $15$ | $9$ $15$ | 12 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 10 | 2 | $5$ $50$ | 50 |
| Demand | 35 | 15 | 50 | 100 |

Total Transportation Cost by NWCM $=3 * 20+2 * 15+9 * 15+5 * 50=$ Rs. 475
Illustration 1.1 Solutions by Matrix Minima Method:

| To | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | Supply |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 3 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2 |  | 9 | 12 | 30 |


| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 10 | 2 | 5 | 50 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Demand | 35 | 15 | 30 |  |

Total Transportation Cost by Matrix Minima $=1 * 20+2 * 30+5 * 10+2 * 15+5 * 30=$ Rs. 310
Illustration 1.1 Solution s by VAM:

| To | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 35 | 7 | $1$ $15$ | 20 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2 & \\ & 30 \end{array}$ | 9 | 12 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 10 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2 & \\ & 15 \end{array}$ | $5$ $35$ | 50 |
| Demand | 35 | 15 | 50 | 100 |

Total Transportation Cost by VAM $=3 * 5+1 * 15+2 * 30+2 * 15+5 * 35=$ Rs. 295
Illustration 1.2

| To | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | 21 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 11 |
| A | 17 | 18 | 14 | 23 | 13 |
| B | 32 | 27 | 18 | 41 | 19 |
| Requirement | 6 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 43 |

## Illustration 1.2 Solutions by NWCM:

| To | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Availa bility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 21 & \\ & 6 \end{array}$ | $16$ <br> 5 | 25 | 13 | 11 |
| B | 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 14 & \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 23 | 13 |
| C | 32 | 27 | $18$ <br> 4 | $41$ $15$ | 19 |
| Requirement | 6 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 43 |

Total Transportation Cost by NWCM $=6 * 21+5 * 16+5 * 18+8 * 14+4 * 18+15 * 41=$ Rs. 1095

Illustration 1.2 Solutions by Matrix Minima Method:

| To | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Availa bility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 21 | 16 | 25 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 13 & \\ & 11 \end{array}$ | 11 |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 17 & \\ & 1 \end{array}$ | 18 | $14$ $12$ | 23 | 13 |
| C | $32$ <br> 5 | $\begin{array}{ll} 27 & \\ & 10 \end{array}$ | $18$ <br> 4 | $41$ <br> 4 | 19 |
| Requirement | 6 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 43 |

Total Transportation Cost by Matrix Minima $=11 * 13+1 * 17+12 * 14+5 * 32+10 * 27+4 * 41=$ Rs. 922

Illustration 1.2 Solutions by VAM:

| To | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Availa bility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 21 | 16 | 25 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 13 & \\ & 11 \end{array}$ | 11 |
| B | $17$ $6$ | $18$ $3$ | 14 | $23$ $4$ | 13 |
| C | 32 | $27$ <br> 7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 18 & \\ & 12 \end{array}$ | 41 | 19 |
| Requirement | 6 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 43 |

Total Transportation Cost by VAM $=11 * 13+6 * 17+3 * 18+4 * 23+7 * 27+12 * 18=$ Rs. 796 Illustration 1.3

| To | A | B | C | D | E | F | Availability |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From |  | 12 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| I | 7 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| II | 6 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 11 | 2 |
| III | 6 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 9 |
| IV | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 22 |
| Requirement | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Illustration 1.3 Solutions by NWCM:

| To | A |  | B |  | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From | I | 6 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
|  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 7 | 3 |  | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| III | 6 | 5 |  | 9 | 11 | 3 | 11 | 2 |


| IV | 6 | 8 | ${ }^{11} 1$ | $2$ | $2$ | $10$ | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 22 |

Total Transportation Cost by NWCM $=6 * 4+12 * 1+3 * 3+7 * 3+9 * 2+11 * 1+2 * 2+2 * 4+2 * 10=$ Rs. 127

Illustration 1.3 Solutions by Matrix Minima Method:

| To | A | B | C | D | E | F | Availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | $6$ | 12 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 9 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 6 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| II | 7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 7 | 7 | 5 | $5_{2}$ | 6 |
| III | 6 | 5 | $9$ | 11 | 3 | 11 | 2 |
| IV | 6 | 8 | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline 11 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 2 | $2_{4}$ | 10 | 9 |
| Requirement | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 22 |

Total Transportation Cost by Matrix Minima $=6 * 4+9 * 1+3 * 4+5 * 2+9 * 2+11 * 3+2 * 2+2 * 4+=$ Rs. 118

Illustration 1.3 Solutions by VAM:

| To | A | B | C | D | E | F | Availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | $\begin{array}{rr} 6 & \\ & 1 \end{array}$ | 12 | $9$ <br> 4 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| II | 7 | $3$ | 7 | 7 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | 6 |
| III | 6 | 5 | $9_{2}$ | 11 | 3 | 11 | 2 |
| Iv | $6$ | 8 | 11 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 | 9 |
| Requirement | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 22 |

Total Transportation Cost by VAM $=6 * 1+9 * 4+3 * 4+5 * 2+9 * 2+6 * 3+2 * 2+2 * 4=$ Rs. 112
III. Result Analysis

| Method | Illustration 1.1 <br> (In Rs.) | Illustration 1.2 <br> (In Rs.) | Illustration 1.3 <br> (In Rs.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NWCM | 475 | 1095 | 127 |
| MATRIX MINIMA | 310 | 922 | 118 |
| VAM | 295 | 796 | 112 |

## III. Conclusion

In this Paper, we have seen that from result analysis, Vogel's Approximation Method is superior to NWCM and Matrix Minima Method for finding an Initial Basic Feasible Solution.
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