



ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF LABORATORY MEDICINE SPECIALISTS: IMPACT ON HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

Mohammed Muaddi Ali Alqahtani^{1*}, Abdullah Ahmed Abdu Al Ahmed¹,
Mughram Amer Mughram Asiri¹, Mohammed Mansour Moahmmed Asiri¹, Fahad Abdullah
Mohammed Al asmari², Salem Saeed Salem Alqahtani³

Abstract:

Background: Clinical laboratories are essential healthcare facilities that play a vital role in diagnosing, treating, and managing patients. They provide valuable information to clinicians through laboratory tests on biological specimens. These tests are crucial for medical decisions, accounting for 60%-70% of such decisions. With advancements in laboratory medicine over the past few decades, laboratories can now measure substances at picomole concentrations and analyze genetic material. Automation and technological progress have transformed laboratory operations, requiring staff to be proficient in complex analytical methodologies and equipment. The preanalytical phase, analytical methodology, and pathophysiology knowledge are crucial for accurate testing and result interpretation. **Objective:** This review aims to assess the current role and impact of clinical laboratories within the healthcare system, identify challenges faced by these facilities, evaluate collaboration with other healthcare providers, explore strategies for improving efficiency, and emphasize the importance of supporting clinical laboratories. The significance of clinical laboratories lies in their contribution to medical diagnoses, treatment monitoring, patient safety, disease surveillance, and outbreak detection. **Conclusion:** Clinical laboratories face challenges such as increasing demand, resource constraints, regulatory requirements, and evolving technologies. The competence of laboratory staff, including technicians and diagnosticians, is crucial for delivering accurate and timely results. Errors in clinical laboratories can have serious consequences on patient care, highlighting the importance of quality control measures and continuous improvement efforts. Investing in and supporting clinical laboratories is vital for enhancing healthcare quality, improving patient outcomes, and ultimately saving lives.

Keywords: Clinical laboratory, Specimens, Laboratory services, diagnostic error, patient safety.

¹*Laboratory Technician, Lab Store (Medical Supply), Aseer Central Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia

²Laboratory Technician, Laboratory (Serology), Aseer Central Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia

³Laboratory Specialist, Lab Store (Medical Supply), Aseer Central Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Mohammed Muaadi Ali Alqahtani

*Laboratory Technician, Lab Store (Medical Supply), Aseer Central Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.10.172

Introduction:

Clinical laboratories, essential healthcare facilities, play a pivotal role in the healthcare sector by offering a diverse array of laboratory procedures crucial for clinicians in diagnosing, treating, and managing patients [1]. These facilities are staffed by skilled scientists proficient in conducting and interpreting tests on biological samples obtained from patients.

Laboratory tests play a pivotal role in the diagnostic process across various clinical specialties, complementing imaging studies, electrophysiological assessments, and other procedures to provide vital insights into a patient's health status. It is estimated that laboratory findings form the foundation for a significant portion, ranging from 60% to 70%, of medical decisions. Beyond routine diagnostics for symptomatic individuals, laboratory tests are instrumental in screening, monitoring treatment progress, and supporting legal investigations. Consequently, the field of laboratory diagnostics, accounting for approximately 10% of total healthcare expenditures, plays a critical role in healthcare decision-making, contributing to enhanced outcomes and cost-effectiveness [2].

The relentless advancements in medical sciences and clinical practices have led to a growing demand for laboratory testing in terms of test panels, volume, and accessibility. Meeting this escalating demand has been made feasible by the substantial methodological and technological advancements in laboratory medicine witnessed over the past two to three decades [3]. These advancements have enabled the detection of minute concentrations of various substances in bodily fluids and facilitated testing at cellular and subcellular levels, including genetic material. Furthermore, there has been a significant enhancement in analytical precision, laboratory efficiency, and reduction in turnaround times [4].

The landscape of diagnostic laboratories has been transformed by automation, consolidation, integration, and the mass production of pre-packaged reagents, resulting in a paradigm shift in the nature of work within these facilities. Presently, laboratory personnel are required to possess in-depth knowledge of the often intricate pre-analytical phase of tests, analytical methodologies, sophisticated measurement techniques and equipment, diverse electronic instruments, and information systems that are integral to their daily operations [5]. The rapid evolution of automated measurement systems necessitates a continuous

update of expertise in analytical methodologies and the unique features of specific analyzers, encompassing maintenance, calibration, system and electronics monitoring, troubleshooting, error detection, corrective actions, and more. Therefore, contemporary laboratory professionals are expected to be well-versed in advanced measurement techniques and equipment [6].

The requisite professional competencies and skills have become increasingly intricate. The establishment of a well-structured pre-analytical phase for the growing number of tests conducted necessitates a thorough understanding of factors that may influence test results [7]. This knowledge is indispensable for providing advisory services, including elucidating the reasons behind erroneous results occasionally encountered in laboratories. Furthermore, the essential qualifications must encompass a comprehensive understanding of the pathophysiology and diagnostic aspects of diseases, enabling the validation of results before reporting and the provision of advisory services by laboratories [8].

Objectives:

The main objectives of this review are:

1. To assess the current role and impact of clinical laboratories within the healthcare system.
2. To identify the challenges and barriers faced by clinical laboratories in providing timely and accurate diagnostic services.
3. To evaluate the level of collaboration and integration between clinical laboratories and other healthcare providers.
4. To explore potential strategies for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of clinical laboratory services.
5. To highlight the importance of investing in and supporting clinical laboratories as essential components of the healthcare system.

Clinical significance:

The resilience of the healthcare system is intricately tied to the functions of the clinical laboratory, as evidenced by the fact that clinical decisions made by physicians heavily rely on the information gleaned from clinical lab reports [9]. A significant proportion, approximately 70–75%, of medical diagnoses stem from insights provided by clinical laboratory reports, underscoring the direct impact of laboratory service quality on overall healthcare standards.

Clinical laboratories are tasked with a multitude of responsibilities, including the analysis of patient samples such as blood, urine, and tissue, which are

crucial for aiding healthcare professionals in diagnosing diseases, monitoring treatment efficacy, and ensuring the well-being of patients [10]. The precision and dependability of the test results generated by clinical laboratories are of utmost importance in guiding medical interventions and enhancing patient outcomes [11]. Moreover, these laboratories play a pivotal role in disease surveillance and the early detection of outbreaks, serving as the frontline defense in identifying and tracking infectious diseases within communities [12].

The landscape of clinical laboratory operations has been significantly transformed by technological advancements and automation, paving the way for expedited turnaround times and heightened efficiency in delivering test results. It is imperative that laboratory findings are as accurate as possible, with a simultaneous emphasis on ensuring that all laboratory procedures are conducted reliably and with prompt reporting, thereby fostering a conducive clinical environment [13]. Any lapses in laboratory operations, be it in processing, analysis, or reporting, can have severe repercussions, including complications, inadequate treatment, delays in accurate diagnoses, and unnecessary medical interventions and diagnostic procedures [14].

Challenges and barriers:

Clinical laboratories play an indispensable role within the healthcare system by furnishing prompt and precise diagnostic services. Nevertheless, these establishments confront a multitude of obstacles and hindrances that can impede their capacity to dispense effective and accurate outcomes. One notable challenge lies in the escalating demand for diagnostic services, compelling laboratories to process a substantial volume of samples within constrained timeframes [15]. This upsurge in demand may lead to delays in furnishing test results to patients and healthcare providers. Furthermore, clinical laboratories frequently encounter limitations in resources, encompassing scarcities of skilled personnel, financial constraints, and inadequate infrastructure. These resource constraints can impede the laboratory's ability to invest in cutting-edge technologies and equipment, pivotal for ensuring the precision and dependability of diagnostic tests [16]. Additionally, the imposition of regulatory requirements and compliance standards adds an additional stratum of complexity to the operations of clinical laboratories, necessitating strict adherence to guidelines to uphold accreditation and guarantee service quality [17]. Upholding compliance with

these regulations can be laborious and resource-intensive, diverting focus and resources from essential diagnostic activities. Moreover, the swift evolution of diagnostic technologies and the introduction of novel testing methodologies continually pose challenges for clinical laboratories, mandating them to consistently adapt and enhance their capabilities to align with advancements in the field. Consequently, clinical laboratories must institute streamlined workflow processes, optimize resource distribution, and prioritize continuous training and education for staff to surmount barriers and furnish high-caliber diagnostic services promptly and accurately [18].

Competence of laboratory staff:

The competence of laboratory staff is determined by two key factors: professional training and effective human resources management. The knowledge and skills required for their roles are extensive, with varying levels of professional qualifications needed for different positions within a laboratory setting. Typically, laboratory staff can be categorized into two main groups. Firstly, laboratory technicians, responsible for operating analyzers and ensuring tests are performed accurately, do not engage in result validation or consultative roles within the laboratory [19]. The second group, laboratory diagnosticians, are expected to possess knowledge spanning preanalytical and analytical phases, as well as understanding the pathophysiological and diagnostic contexts of tests and results. Their responsibilities often include supervising technicians, validating results, releasing lab reports, and maintaining communication with ordering physicians [20].

The interaction between laboratory diagnosticians and physicians is crucial, as it facilitates result validation and interpretation, especially in cases of errors. Physicians also benefit from assistance in interpreting results and selecting appropriate laboratory tests. Modern laboratory services now encompass advisory and consultative functions, positioning laboratory diagnosticians as essential partners for physicians in the diagnostic process. To fulfill this role effectively, laboratory staff must possess high levels of professional qualifications, including a solid foundation of medical knowledge. It is imperative that the qualifications of laboratory staff align with the latest scientific and technological advancements in laboratory medicine. Consequently, laboratory diagnostics is considered a medical profession that relies on sophisticated analytical technologies, diagnostic expertise, and medical knowledge [21].

Errors in clinical laboratories:

The comprehensive testing process encompasses a multifaceted framework involving a combination of procedures, equipment, technology, and human expertise, all aimed at ensuring precise, accurate, and timely diagnosis and treatment decisions. Consequently, identifying and mitigating errors and the associated risks in laboratory medicine poses a considerable challenge. Reported at a frequency of 0.012–0.6% of all test results, laboratory errors have a substantial impact on diagnosis and patient management, given that 80–90% of all diagnoses rely on laboratory tests [22]. These errors manifest at various stages of the testing process, including the pre-analytical, analytical, and post-analytical phases. Pre-analytical errors, such as sample mislabeling or inadequate specimen collection, can lead to inaccurate test results and misdiagnosis [23]. Analytical errors, such as instrument malfunction or calibration issues, similarly contribute to incorrect test results. Furthermore, post-analytical errors, such as erroneous interpretation or reporting of results, exacerbate the issue. Laboratories have been at the forefront of initiatives to improve patient safety through various enhancements, such as increased automation of manual processes and the implementation of systematic internal quality control and external quality assurance programs, thereby rendering the pre- and post-analytical phases more susceptible to laboratory errors. Many errors within these phases are beyond the laboratory's control, such as ineffective communication. Enhancing patient safety necessitates proactive measures, including the prevention of error events, timely detection when they occur, and the elimination of their effects [24].

Impact of clinical laboratory errors:

Clinical laboratory errors have a significant impact on patient care and treatment outcomes, as they can result in misdiagnosis, incorrect treatment plans, and delayed or inappropriate care. Inaccurate test results can lead to patients receiving the wrong medications or procedures, which can have serious consequences for their health. Additionally, errors in laboratory testing can also result in unnecessary additional testing, increased healthcare costs, and patient anxiety [25].

Healthcare providers must be cognizant of the potential for errors in laboratory testing and take proactive measures to minimize these risks. This includes implementing quality control measures, ensuring proper training for laboratory staff, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement and

learning within the clinical laboratory setting. By reducing the occurrence of clinical laboratory errors, healthcare providers can enhance patient outcomes, improve the quality of care, and ultimately save lives [26].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, clinical laboratories are indispensable components of the healthcare system, playing a crucial role in diagnosing diseases, monitoring treatment progress, and ensuring patient safety. However, they face challenges such as increasing demand, resource constraints, regulatory requirements, and evolving technologies. The competence of laboratory staff, including technicians and diagnosticians, is essential for delivering accurate and timely results. Errors in clinical laboratories can have serious consequences on patient care, emphasizing the importance of implementing quality control measures and continuous improvement efforts. Investing in and supporting clinical laboratories is vital for improving healthcare quality, patient outcomes, and ultimately saving lives.

References:

1. Hernandez JS. Cost-Effectiveness of Laboratory Testing. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2003;127:440–445 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Emons MF. Integrated Patient Data for Optimal Patient Management: The Value of Laboratory Data in Quality Improvement. *Clinical Chemistry* 2001;47:1516-1520. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Bossuyt X, Verweire K, Blanckaert N. Laboratory Medicine: Challenges and Opportunities. *Clinical Chemistry* 2007;53:1730-1733. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Friedman BA. The Total Laboratory Solution: A New Laboratory E-Business Model Based on a Vertical Laboratory Meta-Network. *Clinical Chemistry* 2001;47:1526-1535 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Guder WG, Narayanan S, Wisser H, Zawta B.: *Samples: from the patients to the laboratory.* Edited by GIT VERLAG GmbH. 2001, 2nd edition, Darmstadt, Germany [Google Scholar]
6. Sanders GT, Beall GH, Kohse KP, Zerah S, Jansen R, Koller U, et al. On the practice of and training of clinical chemistry in the European Union. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2002;40:196-204. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Burke MD. Clinical laboratory consultation: appropriateness to laboratory medicine. *Clin Chim Acta* 2003;333:125-129 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

8. Scott MG, Bruns DE. Improving Training in Laboratory Medicine. *Clinical Chemistry* 2006;52:915-916. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. Goel P, Malik G, Prasad S, Rani I, Manhas S, Goel K. Analysis of performance of clinical biochemistry laboratory using Sigma metrics and Quality Goal Index. *Pract Lab Med* 2020; 23: e00195. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Gupta S, Kapil S, Sharma M. Improvement of laboratory turnaround time using lean methodology. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur* 2018; 31: 295–308. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Lee NY. Types and frequencies of preanalytical errors in the clinical laboratory at the University Hospital of Korea. *Clin Lab* 2019; 65: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2019.190512. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Yeo CP, Ng WY. Automation and productivity in the clinical laboratory: experience of a tertiary healthcare facility. *Singapore Med J* 2018; 59: 597–601. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
13. Kulkarni S, Ramesh R, Srinivasan AR, Silvia CRWD. Evaluation of preanalytical quality indicators by Six Sigma and Pareto's principle. *Indian J Clin Biochem* 2018; 33: 102–107. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Krasowski MD, Ford BA, Klutts JS, et al. Using focused laboratory management and quality improvement projects to enhance resident training and foster scholarship. *Acad Pathol* 2017; 4: 2374289517722152. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Mouseli, Ali et al. "The Challenges and Barriers to the Integration of Laboratory Services in Iran from Laboratory Experts' Point of View." *Iranian journal of public health* vol. 47,6 (2018): 884-892.
16. Stange KC. (2009). The problem of fragmentation and the need for integrative solutions *Ann Fam Med*, 7(2): 100–3. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
17. Ahgren B, Axelsson R. (2005). Evaluating integrated health care: a model for measurement. *Int J Integr Care*, 5: e01. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
18. Risch, Lorenz et al. "Laboruntersuchungen in der Gesundheitsvorsorge" [The medical laboratory in preventive care]. *Therapeutische Umschau. Revue therapeutique* vol. 65,9 (2008): 481-5. doi:10.1024/0040-5930.65.9.481
19. Zerah S, McMurray J, Bousquet B, Baum H, Beastall GH, Blaton V, et al. EC4 european syllabus for post-graduate training in clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine: version 3-2005. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2006;44:110-120. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
20. Price PC. Evidence-based Laboratory Medicine: Supporting Decision-Making. *Clin Chem* 2000;46:1041-1050 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
21. McQueen MJ. Overview of Evidence-based Medicine: Challenges for Evidence-based Laboratory Medicine. *Clinical Chemistry* 2001;47:1536-1546. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Plebani, Mario. "Errors in clinical laboratories or errors in laboratory medicine?." *Clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine* vol. 44,6 (2006): 750-9. doi:10.1515/CCLM.2006.123
23. van Moll, Christel et al. "The Nature, Causes, and Clinical Impact of Errors in the Clinical Laboratory Testing Process Leading to Diagnostic Error: A Voluntary Incident Report Analysis." *Journal of patient safety* vol. 19,8 (2023): 573-579. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000001166
24. Agarwal, Rachna. "Measurement of errors in clinical laboratories." *Indian journal of clinical biochemistry : IJCB* vol. 28,3 (2013): 227-34. doi:10.1007/s12291-013-0314-3
25. Choozza ML, Ponzetti C. FMEA: a model for reducing medical errors. *Clin Chem Acta*. 2009;404:75–78. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2009.03.015. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
26. Howanitz PJ. Errors in laboratory medicine. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2005;129:1252–1261. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]