



CLINICAL LABORATORIES AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM: REVIEW ARTICLE

Hussain Musllam Aldewes^{1*}, Ali Mohammad Alfaraj¹, Mohammed Mana Alhutaylah², Mansoor Saleh Saud AL Taisan³, Nassar Hamad Masoud Al Egeel⁴, Nasser Rashed Ali Al Zoqli⁵, Hadi Hadi Saleh Almuhamidh⁶, Mahdi Masaud Alkhomsan⁷

Abstract:

Background: Clinical laboratories play a crucial role in the healthcare system by providing diagnostic services essential for patient care. These facilities face challenges such as high demand, resource constraints, regulatory compliance, and technological advancements that impact their ability to deliver timely and accurate results. **Objective:** This review aims to assess the current role and impact of clinical laboratories within the healthcare system, identify challenges faced by these facilities, evaluate collaboration with healthcare providers, explore strategies for enhancing efficiency, and emphasize the importance of supporting clinical laboratories as vital components of healthcare. **Conclusion:** Clinical laboratories are indispensable for medical diagnoses, treatment monitoring, and disease surveillance. Errors in laboratory testing can have serious consequences, including misdiagnosis and inappropriate care. By addressing challenges, improving workflow processes, and prioritizing staff training, clinical laboratories can enhance patient outcomes, ensure quality care, and contribute significantly to the healthcare ecosystem. Collaboration among healthcare professionals, including nurses and allied health experts, is crucial for patient-centered care and optimal outcomes in the clinical laboratory setting. Efforts to reduce errors and improve patient safety through quality control measures and continuous improvement are essential for enhancing the quality of care provided by clinical laboratories.

Keywords: Laboratory services, diagnostic error, patient safety, Clinical laboratory.

^{1*}Laboratory Technician, King Khaled Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia

²Laboratory Technician, Najran General Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia

³Social Specialist, Najran Health, Najran, Saudi Arabia

⁴Laboratory Technician, Medical Supply, Najran

⁵Assistant Pharmacist, Medical Supply Management, Najran

⁶Assistant Nurse, Alghiwila Health Center, Najran, Saudi Arabia

⁷Social Worker, Nejran General Hospital, Nejran, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Hussain Musllam Aldewes

*Laboratory Technician, King Khaled Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.12.332

Introduction:

Clinical laboratories play a crucial role in the healthcare system by offering a diverse array of laboratory procedures that assist healthcare providers in diagnosing, treating, and managing patients [1]. These facilities are staffed by skilled scientists who are trained to conduct and interpret tests on samples of biological materials obtained from patients. Moreover, clinical laboratories may employ various professionals such as pathologists, clinical biochemists, laboratory assistants, managers, biomedical scientists, medical laboratory technicians, assistants, phlebotomists, and histology technicians. Typically, these laboratories are located within or in close proximity to hospital settings to ensure easy accessibility for clinicians and their patients [2].

Historically, the significance of clinical laboratories within the healthcare framework was undervalued. However, with time, more healthcare providers have come to appreciate the importance of laboratory tests in confirming diagnoses and monitoring patient responses to treatments [3]. Beyond individual patient care, clinical laboratories also play a pivotal role in disease screening and surveillance. Moreover, certain tests are utilized as surrogate markers by program managers to evaluate the progress of public health initiatives at local, national, and global levels [4].

To enhance coordination and cooperation within specific geographic regions, laboratory networks have been established across countries and states [5]. The implementation of quality management systems in these laboratories has emerged as a critical concern, encompassing the standardization of services, reinforcement of laboratory systems, and the development of innovative and rapid diagnostic tools. These issues are continuously addressed by both local and international health authorities, along with technical experts who adopt a patient-centric approach [6].

Clinical laboratories adhere to a systematic and rigorous testing process, typically comprising three phases: pre-analytical, analytical, and post-analytical. Comprehensive standard operating procedure manuals and job aids are crafted to guide each step within these phases. The pre-analytical phase holds particular importance, as a significant portion (60% to 70%) of laboratory errors tend to occur during this stage [7].

Over the years, clinical laboratory professionals have embraced technological advancements to address clinical inquiries effectively. Modern clinical laboratories leverage a variety of technologies, such as spectrophotometry, atomic absorption spectroscopy, cytometry, flame emission photometry, nephelometry,

electrochemical and optical sensors, electrophoresis, and chromatography [8].

Objectives:

The main objectives of this review are:

1. To assess the current role and impact of clinical laboratories within the healthcare system.
2. To identify the challenges and barriers faced by clinical laboratories in providing timely and accurate diagnostic services.
3. To evaluate the level of collaboration and integration between clinical laboratories and other healthcare providers.
4. To explore potential strategies for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of clinical laboratory services.
5. To highlight the importance of investing in and supporting clinical laboratories as essential components of the healthcare system.

Impact of clinical laboratories within the healthcare system:

The resilience of the healthcare system hinges significantly on the clinical laboratory, as evidenced by the fact that clinical decisions made by healthcare professionals are heavily reliant on the information provided in clinical lab reports [9]. A substantial proportion, approximately 70–75%, of medical diagnoses stem from insights gleaned from clinical laboratory reports, underscoring the direct correlation between the quality of laboratory services and healthcare standards.

Clinical laboratories play a pivotal role in conducting a diverse array of tests on patient samples, encompassing blood, urine, and tissue analyses, which are instrumental in aiding healthcare providers in diagnosing diseases, monitoring treatment efficacy, and ensuring patient well-being [10]. The precision and dependability of the test results generated by clinical laboratories are crucial in guiding medical interventions and enhancing patient outcomes [11]. Moreover, these laboratories are instrumental in disease surveillance and outbreak identification, often serving as the primary line of defense in detecting and monitoring infectious diseases within communities [12].

The landscape of clinical laboratory operations has been reshaped by technological advancements and automation, ushering in faster turnaround times and heightened efficiency in delivering test results. It is imperative that laboratory findings are as accurate as possible, while simultaneously ensuring that all laboratory processes are reliable and characterized by prompt reporting, thereby fostering a conducive clinical environment [13]. Any lapses in laboratory operations, whether in processing, evaluation, or

reporting, can have severe repercussions, including complications, inadequate treatment, delayed or incorrect diagnoses, necessitating unwarranted treatments and diagnostic tests [14].

Challenges and barriers faced by clinical laboratories in providing timely and accurate diagnostic services:

Clinical laboratories play a pivotal role within the healthcare system by furnishing prompt and precise diagnostic services. Nevertheless, these establishments confront a plethora of obstacles and hindrances that can impede their capacity to provide effective and accurate results. One notable challenge is the escalating demand for diagnostic services, necessitating laboratories to handle a substantial influx of samples within constrained timeframes [15]. This upsurge in demand can lead to delays in disseminating test outcomes to patients and healthcare providers. Furthermore, clinical laboratories frequently grapple with resource constraints, encompassing shortages of skilled personnel, financial limitations, and inadequate infrastructure. These resource scarcities can impede the laboratory's ability to invest in cutting-edge technologies and equipment, critical for ensuring the precision and dependability of diagnostic tests [16]. Additionally, regulatory prerequisites and compliance standards introduce another stratum of complexity to the operations of clinical laboratories, obligating them to adhere to stringent guidelines to uphold accreditation and assure service quality [17]. Upholding compliance with these regulations can be laborious and resource-intensive, diverting focus and resources from core diagnostic functions. Moreover, the swift evolution of diagnostic technologies and the advent of novel testing methodologies present ongoing hurdles for clinical laboratories, necessitating continual adaptation and enhancement of their capabilities to keep abreast of advancements in the field. Consequently, clinical laboratories must institute streamlined workflow processes, optimize resource distribution, and prioritize continuous training and education for personnel to surmount barriers and furnish top-tier diagnostic services promptly and accurately [18].

Nursing, Allied Health, and Interprofessional Team Interventions:

In the realm of clinical laboratory environments, the concerted efforts of a diverse array of healthcare providers, such as physicians, advanced practitioners, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals, are crucial for the delivery of patient-centered care and optimal outcomes. Nurses assume a central role by leveraging their expertise

in patient advocacy, meticulous attention to detail, and adeptness in specimen collection [19]. Their contributions extend to upholding ethical standards, safeguarding patient privacy, and preserving dignity throughout all laboratory procedures. In tandem with nurses, allied health professionals and pharmacists demonstrate proficiency in the interpretation and management of laboratory findings, which inform both diagnosis and treatment modalities [20].

The foundation of interprofessional communication serves as a linchpin, enabling seamless exchanges of critical information among team members, thereby enhancing care coordination and bolstering patient safety. Through this collaborative framework, the swift dissemination of laboratory results to clinicians empowers well-informed clinical decision-making. Consequently, the clinical laboratory emerges as an indispensable component of the healthcare landscape, championing patient-centered care, nurturing enhanced team efficacy, and ultimately enhancing patient outcomes [21].

Errors in clinical laboratories:

The overall testing procedure comprises a multifaceted and distinctive framework encompassing protocols, tools, technology, and human expertise aimed at ensuring precise, accurate, and prompt diagnosis and treatment decisions. Consequently, pinpointing and mitigating errors and the associated risks in laboratory medicine proves to be a challenging task. Studies indicate that laboratory errors account for a frequency ranging from 0.012% to 0.6% of all test outcomes, significantly impacting the process of diagnosis and patient care, given that a substantial majority (80–90%) of diagnoses rely on laboratory tests [22]. These errors can manifest at different junctures within the testing process, spanning the pre-analytical, analytical, and post-analytical phases. For instance, pre-analytical blunders like sample mislabeling or substandard specimen collection can lead to erroneous test outcomes and misdiagnoses [23]. Similarly, analytical errors, such as instrument malfunctions or calibration discrepancies, can yield inaccurate results. Furthermore, post-analytical errors, like erroneous interpretation or reporting of findings, can exacerbate the situation. Laboratories have spearheaded endeavors to bolster patient safety by implementing various enhancements, including the automation of manual tasks, systematic integration of internal quality control mechanisms, and participation in external quality assurance programs, thereby rendering the pre- and post-analytical stages more susceptible to laboratory

errors. Numerous errors during these phases lie beyond the laboratory's purview, such as lapses in communication. Safeguarding patient well-being necessitates a focus on actions like preempting error occurrences, promptly identifying them when they arise, and eradicating their repercussions [24].

Impact of clinical laboratory errors:

Clinical laboratory errors play a crucial role in impacting patient care and treatment outcomes. These errors can result in misdiagnosis, inappropriate treatment plans, and delayed or incorrect care, ultimately affecting the health and well-being of patients [25]. Inaccurate test results can lead to patients being prescribed the wrong medications or undergoing unnecessary procedures, which can have severe consequences for their overall health. Additionally, errors in laboratory testing can result in unnecessary additional testing, increased healthcare expenses, and heightened patient anxiety.

Healthcare providers must be vigilant about the potential for errors in laboratory testing and take proactive measures to mitigate these risks. This includes implementing quality control protocols, ensuring that laboratory staff receive proper training, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement and learning within the clinical laboratory environment. By reducing the occurrence of clinical laboratory errors, healthcare providers can enhance patient outcomes, improve the quality of care provided, and ultimately save lives [26].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, clinical laboratories play a vital role in the healthcare system by providing essential diagnostic services that guide medical decisions and improve patient outcomes. Despite facing challenges such as increasing demand, resource constraints, and regulatory requirements, clinical laboratories must prioritize efficiency, accuracy, and continuous improvement to deliver high-quality services. Collaboration among healthcare professionals, including nurses, allied health experts, and pharmacists, is crucial for patient-centered care and optimal outcomes. Errors in laboratory testing can have serious implications for patient care, emphasizing the importance of implementing quality control measures and fostering a culture of continuous improvement to enhance patient safety and treatment efficacy. By investing in and supporting clinical laboratories, healthcare systems can ensure the delivery of timely and accurate diagnostic services that benefit both individual patients and public health initiatives.

References:

1. Njoroge SW, Nichols JH. Risk management in the clinical laboratory. *Ann Lab Med.* 2014 Jul;34(4):274-8. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
2. Scanlan PM. A Review of Bachelor's Degree Medical Laboratory Scientist Education and Entry Level Practice in the United States. *EJIFCC.* 2013 Apr;24(1):5-13. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
3. Lewis SM. Laboratory practice at the periphery in developing countries. *Int J Hematol.* 2002 Aug;76 Suppl 1:294-8. [PubMed]
4. Panteghini M. The future of laboratory medicine: understanding the new pressures. *Clin Biochem Rev.* 2004;25(4):207-15. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
5. Kirk CJ, Shult PA. Developing laboratory networks: a practical guide and application. *Public Health Rep.* 2010 May-Jun;125 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):102-9. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
6. Simundic AM, Lippi G. Preanalytical phase--a continuous challenge for laboratory professionals. *Biochem Med (Zagreb).* 2012;22(2):145-9. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
7. Hawkins R. Managing the pre- and post-analytical phases of the total testing process. *Ann Lab Med.* 2012 Jan;32(1):5-16. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
8. Klotz AL, Habibi Y, Corcodel N, Rammelsberg P, Hassel AJ, Zenthöfer A. Laboratory and clinical reliability of two spectrophotometers. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2022 Mar;34(2):369-373. [PubMed]
9. Goel P, Malik G, Prasad S, Rani I, Manhas S, Goel K. Analysis of performance of clinical biochemistry laboratory using Sigma metrics and Quality Goal Index. *Pract Lab Med* 2020; 23: e00195. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Gupta S, Kapil S, Sharma M. Improvement of laboratory turnaround time using lean methodology. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur* 2018; 31: 295–308. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Lee NY. Types and frequencies of preanalytical errors in the clinical laboratory at the University Hospital of Korea. *Clin Lab* 2019; 65: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2019.190512. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Yeo CP, Ng WY. Automation and productivity in the clinical laboratory: experience of a tertiary healthcare facility. *Singapore Med J* 2018; 59: 597–601. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
13. Kulkarni S, Ramesh R, Srinivasan AR, Silvia CRWD. Evaluation of preanalytical quality indicators by Six Sigma and Pareto's principle.

- Indian J Clin Biochem 2018; 33: 102–107. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Krasowski MD, Ford BA, Klutts JS, et al. Using focused laboratory management and quality improvement projects to enhance resident training and foster scholarship. *Acad Pathol* 2017; 4: 2374289517722152. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Mouseli, Ali et al. “The Challenges and Barriers to the Integration of Laboratory Services in Iran from Laboratory Experts' Point of View.” *Iranian journal of public health* vol. 47,6 (2018): 884-892.
16. Stange KC. (2009). The problem of fragmentation and the need for integrative solutions *Ann Fam Med*, 7(2): 100–3. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
17. Ahgren B, Axelsson R. (2005). Evaluating integrated health care: a model for measurement. *Int J Integr Care*, 5: e01. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
18. Risch, Lorenz et al. “Laboruntersuchungen in der Gesundheitsvorsorge” [The medical laboratory in preventive care]. *Therapeutische Umschau. Revue therapeutique* vol. 65,9 (2008): 481-5. doi:10.1024/0040-5930.65.9.481
19. Sheikh M, Qassem M, Triantis IF, Kyriacou PA. Advances in Therapeutic Monitoring of Lithium in the Management of Bipolar Disorder. *Sensors (Basel)*. 2022 Jan 19;22(3) [PMC free article] [PubMed]
20. Pieri M, Pignalosa S, Franceschini L, Rizzo M, Cantonetti M, Zenobi R, Bernardini S, Dessi M. Nephelometric assay of urine free light chains: an alternative and early clinical test for Bence-Jones protein quantification. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2018 Nov 27;56(12):e313-e315. [PubMed]
21. Naugler C, Church DL. Automation and artificial intelligence in the clinical laboratory. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 2019 Mar;56(2):98-110. [PubMed]
22. Plebani, Mario. “Errors in clinical laboratories or errors in laboratory medicine?.” *Clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine* vol. 44,6 (2006): 750-9. doi:10.1515/CCLM.2006.123
23. van Moll, Christel et al. “The Nature, Causes, and Clinical Impact of Errors in the Clinical Laboratory Testing Process Leading to Diagnostic Error: A Voluntary Incident Report Analysis.” *Journal of patient safety* vol. 19,8 (2023): 573-579. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000001166
24. Agarwal, Rachna. “Measurement of errors in clinical laboratories.” *Indian journal of clinical biochemistry* : IJCB vol. 28,3 (2013): 227-34. doi:10.1007/s12291-013-0314-3
25. Choozza ML, Ponzetti C. FMEA: a model for reducing medical errors. *Clin Chem Acta*. 2009;404:75–78. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2009.03.015. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
26. Howanitz PJ. Errors in laboratory medicine. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2005;129:1252–1261. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]