IMPACT OF TELEMEDICINE ON EMERGENCY CARE SERVICES: SIMPLE REVIEW ARTICLE

Hussain Ali Rakan Alsharif[1*], Adel Eidah Saeed Aldaghman[2], Ali Mahdi Saleh Al Shakwan[3], Mohsen Fardana Al Sharmah[4], Ali Nasser Ali Alsharif[5], Mana Nasser Homidan Al Zamanan[6], Hassan Saleh Hassan Al Mansour[7], Sultan Saleh Al Ashwi[8],

Abstract:

Background: Emergency departments (ED) play a crucial role in providing urgent healthcare services to millions of critically ill patients annually in the United States. However, challenges such as increased medical error rates, wait times, and overcrowding have highlighted the need for innovative solutions. Telemedicine, defined as remote healthcare services using technology, has emerged as a promising approach to enhance emergency care delivery. By enabling remote consultations, telemedicine offers convenience, accessibility, and potential cost savings in emergency settings.

Objective: This study aims to assess the effectiveness, quality, cost-effectiveness, barriers, and satisfaction levels associated with implementing telemedicine in emergency care. Specific objectives include evaluating access improvement, care quality, cost-effectiveness, identifying challenges, and exploring satisfaction levels among patients and healthcare providers.

Conclusion: Telemedicine applications in emergency care have shown promising results in various aspects, including reducing unnecessary transfers, improving treatment times, enhancing access to care, and increasing patient satisfaction. While there are barriers such as limitations in physical examinations, technical issues, and privacy concerns, adherence to regulations and standards can mitigate these challenges. The use of telemedicine in emergency situations has the potential to enhance the quality of care, improve patient outcomes, and streamline healthcare delivery. Continued research and optimization of telemedicine practices are essential to maximize its benefits and address existing barriers in emergency care settings.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Emergency, Healthcare system

[1*,2,3,4,5]Epidemiology Inspector, Maternity And Children Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia
[6]Health Services And Hospitals Management, Maternity And Children's Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia
[7]Medical And Emergency Technician, Maternity And Children's Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia
[8]Emergency Medical Services, Dhahran Aljanoub General Hospital, Aseer, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Hussain Ali Rakan Alsharif
*Epidemiology Inspector, Maternity And Children Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.9.90

Introduction:

Emergency departments (ED) represent a critical and sensitive component within hospitals, with their operational efficiency significantly impacting other hospital sections and patient satisfaction [1]. The effectiveness of an ED serves as a pivotal metric in assessing a hospital's ability to deliver healthcare services to the populace. In the United States alone, approximately 30 million critically ill patients seek urgent care through EDs annually, a volume that strains provider-patient interaction time and contributes to heightened rates of medical errors and extended treatment wait times, leading to ED overcrowding [1].

Amid escalating healthcare costs, evolving patient expectations, and advancements in technology that have made essential equipment more cost-effective, the adoption of telemedicine for healthcare delivery has witnessed rapid growth [2]. Telemedicine, defined as the provision of remote healthcare services through information and communication technologies, holds significant promise in healthcare delivery. It allows patients to access medical care conveniently, ensuring the safety and comfort of both the healthcare provider and the patient. This approach eliminates the need for patients to take time off work or arrange childcare, mitigating the risk of exposure to infections that can occur in crowded healthcare settings [3].

The utilization of telemedicine not only reduces the risk of contracting infections in traditional healthcare settings but also offers cost-effective solutions for both service providers and patients. Telemedicine services can lead to reduced overhead costs for providers, while clinicians can potentially increase their patient load, supplementing their income. By conducting consultations online, healthcare providers avoid exposure to potential infections brought in by patients, enhancing both patient and provider satisfaction [4].

Recent studies exploring the implementation of telemedicine in emergency care highlight various applications, including the provision of specialized services to underserved rural areas, alleviating ED overcrowding, offering specialized support to paramedics, enhancing crisis management, and reducing the time between emergencies and patients' arrival at hospitals [5].

Objectives:

The main objectives of this review are:

1) To evaluate the effectiveness of telemedicine in improving access to emergency care services,
2) To assess the quality of care provided through telemedicine in emergency situations.
3) To analyze the cost-effectiveness of implementing telemedicine in emergency care settings.
4) To identify the barriers and challenges faced in utilizing telemedicine for emergency care.
5) To explore the satisfaction levels of patients and healthcare providers with telemedicine in emergency situations.

The benefits of telemedicine application in the ED:

Telemedicine has shown effectiveness across various dimensions utilizing diverse strategies. One notable advantage is its ability to prevent unnecessary transfers from remote rural areas to central hospitals. By leveraging telemedicine consultations to assess the severity of injuries, not every potential patient transfer needs to be carried out [6]. These consultations range from round-the-clock nursing advice to video assessments, often complemented by radiological images, particularly for diagnosing minor fractures. Moreover, the precise diagnostic capabilities of telemedicine, whether in an ambulance or at the scene, significantly reduce the time taken for patient treatment. The adoption of telehealth technologies is on the rise, offering an efficient and cost-effective approach to delivering and accessing high-quality healthcare services and outcomes [7]. The enhanced access to care, convenience, and reduced stress associated with telehealth usage can also lead to higher levels of patient satisfaction.

Additionally, a range of tele-wearables are aiding in patient recovery and providing real-time updates on their health status in a novel manner. The proliferation of telemedicine applications is driven by the increasing demand for mobile telemedicine solutions, with a trajectory for continued growth. These apps empower healthcare providers to access prescription documents, interact with insurance forms, and seamlessly communicate with connected pharmacies [8]. Ensuring the ability to settle medical bills through mobile platforms should be a standard feature in every telemedicine application. Enabling patients to rate and compare their healthcare providers is a crucial aspect of any telemedicine app. Patient feedback plays a vital role in quality management and professional advancement, aiding new patients in identifying the most suitable specialist for their requirements [9].

Telemedicine Barriers:

Telemedicine presents several challenges, including limitations in conducting thorough physical examinations, potential technical glitches,

security vulnerabilities, and regulatory obstacles [10]. Critics of telehealth express concerns about its impact on continuity of care, highlighting the perceived impersonal nature of online interactions and the risks associated with virtual providers lacking access to complete medical histories and physical exams for accurate diagnosis and treatment [11]. While certain situations necessitate in-person visits for procedures like auscultation or palpation, telehealth can serve as a valuable supplement to traditional healthcare practices. However, the field of telehealth is fraught with legal and regulatory complexities, with significant variations in rules and guidelines across different jurisdictions adding to the confusion for practitioners [12].

- **Patient privacy and confidentiality**

One critical issue in telemedicine is patient privacy and confidentiality. Telemedicine encounters are more susceptible to privacy and security breaches compared to face-to-face consultations, despite platforms typically employing robust encryption and adhering to HIPAA standards [13]. Concerns about the privacy and security of telehealth systems hinder broader adoption of telemedicine. Compliance with laws such as HIPAA, HITECH, and COPPA is essential to ensure the privacy and security of medical information during telehealth interactions. Both healthcare providers and patients must have confidence in the confidentiality of information exchanged during telehealth sessions. Understanding and adhering to state and federal regulations are crucial for telehealth practitioners to maintain patient confidentiality and system security [14].

- **Data accuracy and misdiagnosis**

Moreover, the accuracy of data transmission poses a significant challenge in telehealth practice. Studies have shown that Internet bandwidth can impact the reliability of physical function measurements, potentially leading to clinical decisions based on inaccurate patient data if technological limitations are not considered [15]. To address this issue, the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine format sets international standards for medical images and associated data, ensuring quality and accuracy for clinical use and interpretation [16]. By following these established standards, healthcare providers can mitigate the risk of misdiagnosis and ensure the accuracy of patient data in telehealth settings.

Impact of telemedicine on the quality of care provided in emergency situations:

Telemedicine has emerged as an indispensable tool for delivering high-quality care during emergency scenarios. It allows healthcare providers to remotely evaluate and manage patients in real-time, enabling prompt interventions and potentially life-saving actions. The integration of telemedicine in emergency contexts has demonstrated notable enhancements in patient outcomes by diminishing treatment delays and broadening access to specialized care. Furthermore, telemedicine facilitates seamless communication among healthcare professionals, fostering improved care coordination and heightened patient safety [17].

By harnessing technology to administer care, telemedicine stands as a pivotal solution for bridging healthcare access disparities, especially in remote or underserved regions where emergency medical services may be scarce. Nevertheless, it is imperative to ensure that the care dispensed via telemedicine aligns with established standards and protocols to safeguard patient well-being and ensure favorable results. This entails guaranteeing that healthcare practitioners receive adequate training in telemedicine methodologies, ensuring the reliability and security of the technology employed, and establishing mechanisms for monitoring and assessing the efficacy of telemedicine interventions.

Overall, the utilization of telemedicine in emergency settings holds immense promise in elevating the standard of care delivered to patients, ultimately culminating in superior outcomes and enhanced healthcare provision [18].

The satisfaction levels of patients and healthcare providers with telemedicine in emergency situations:

Recent research findings indicate that telemedicine has garnered significant satisfaction levels from both patients and healthcare providers when utilized in emergency scenarios. Patients find great value in the convenience of consulting healthcare professionals from their homes, thereby eliminating the necessity to commute to a physical healthcare setting [19]. Moreover, patients express a heightened sense of comfort and reduced anxiety levels when receiving care through telemedicine, as they can promptly access medical attention without enduring long waits in overcrowded emergency rooms. Conversely, healthcare providers appreciate the efficiency that telemedicine offers in promptly evaluating and treating patients, enabling streamlined case triaging and timely interventions. The positive feedback from both patients and healthcare providers underscores the potential of telemedicine in enhancing emergency care delivery and improving patient outcomes. Nonetheless,

further investigations are warranted to delve into the enduring effects of telemedicine in emergency contexts and devise strategies to optimize its integration into clinical practice [20].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the use of telemedicine in emergency care settings has shown promising results in improving access to healthcare services, enhancing the quality of care provided, and increasing patient and healthcare provider satisfaction. Telemedicine has the potential to reduce unnecessary transfers, shorten treatment times, improve patient outcomes, and enhance communication between healthcare providers. While there are barriers and challenges to overcome, such as limitations in physical examinations and data accuracy, efforts to address these issues can further enhance the effectiveness of telemedicine in emergency situations. Overall, the positive feedback from patients and healthcare providers underscores the value of telemedicine in revolutionizing emergency care delivery and highlights the need for continued research and optimization of telemedicine practices in clinical settings.

References:

1. Bashshur RL, Howell JD, Krupinski EA, Harms KM, Bashshur N, Doarn CR. The empirical foundations of telemedicine interventions in primary care. Telemed J E Health. 2016;22:342–375. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2016.0045. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
2. Boggan JC, Shoup JP, Whited JD, Van Voorhees E, Gordon AM, Rushton S, Lewinski AA, Tabriz AA, Adam S, Fulton J (2020) Effectiveness of acute care remote triage systems: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med 1-10. 10.1007/s11606-019-05585-4 [PMC free article] [PubMed]
3. Brainard JS, Ford JA, Steel N, Jones AP. A systematic review of health service interventions to reduce use of unplanned health care in rural areas. J Eval Clin Pract. 2016;22:145–155. doi: 10.1111/jep.12470. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
4. Cho SJ, Kwon IH, Jeong J. Application of telemedicine system to prehospital medical control. Healthc Inform Res. 2015;21:196–200. doi: 10.4258/hir.2015.21.3.196. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
5. du Toit M, Malau-Aduli B, Vangaveti V, Sabesan S, Ray RA. Use of telehealth in the management of non-critical emergencies in rural or remote emergency departments: a systematic review. J Telemed Telecare. 2019 Jan;25(1):3–16. doi: 10.1177/1357633X17734239. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
6. Culmer N, Smith T, Stager C, Meyer H, Quick S, Grimm K (2019) Evaluation of the triple aim of medicine in prehospital telemedicine: A systematic literature review. J Telemed Telecare: 1357633X19853461. 10.1177/1357633X19853461 [PubMed]
1. Rutledge C.M., Kott K., Schweickert P.A., Poston R., Fowler C., Haney T.S. Telehealth and eHealth in nurse practitioner training: current perspectives. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2017;8:399–409. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S116071. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
2. Balestra M. Telehealth and legal implications for nurse practitioners. J Nurse Pract. 2018;14(1):33–39. doi: 10.1016/j.nurpra.2017.10.003. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
3. Cascella L. Virtual risk: an overview of telehealth from a risk management perspective. 2018. https://www.medpro.com/documents/10502/2820774/Virtual+Risk+-+An+Overview+of+Telehealth.pdf
7. Pooni R., Pageler N.M., Sandborg C., Lee T. Pediatric subspecialty telemedicine use from the patient and provider perspective. Pediatr. Res. 2021 Mar 22:1–6. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Yang Y.T., Kozhimannil K.B. Medication abortion through telemedicine: implications of a ruling by the Iowa Supreme Court. Obstet Gynecol. 2016;127(2):313–316. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000001251. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
9. Powell R.E., Henstenburg J.M., Cooper G., Hollander J.E., Rising K.L. Patient perceptions of telehealth primary care video visits. Ann Fam Med. 2017;15(3):225–229. doi: 10.1370/afm.2095. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
10. Gajarawala, Shilpa N, and Jessica N Pelkowski. "Telehealth Benefits and Barriers." The journal for nurse practitioners : JNP vol. 17,2 (2021): 218-221. doi:10.1016/j.nurpra.2020.09.013
11. Haleem, Abid et al. "Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications." Sensors international vol. 2 (2021): 100117. doi:10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117
12. Tsou, Christina et al. "Effectiveness of Telehealth in Rural and Remote Emergency Departments: Systematic Review." Journal of

medical Internet research vol. 23,11 e30632. 26 Nov. 2021, doi:10.2196/30632

13. Mueller KJ, Potter AJ, MacKinney AC, Ward MM. Lessons from tele-emergency: improving care quality and health outcomes by expanding support for rural care systems. Health Aff (Millwood) 2014 Feb;33(2):228–34. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2013.1016.33/2/228 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
14. Sterling, Sarah A et al. "The impact of the TelEmergency program on rural emergency care: An implementation study." Journal of telemedicine and telecare vol. 23,6 (2017): 588-594. doi:10.1177/1357633X16657499
15. Gattu R, Teshome G, Lichenstein R. Telemedicine applications for the pediatric emergency medicine: a review of the current literature. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2016;32:123–130. doi: 10.1097/pec.0000000000000712. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
16. Kimmel HJ, Brice YN, Trikalinos TA, Sarkar IN, Ranney ML. Real-Time Emergency Department Electronic Notifications Regarding High-Risk Patients: A Systematic Review. Telemed J E Health. 2019;25:604–618. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2018.0117. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
17. Letvak S, Rhew D (2015) Assuring quality health care in the emergency department. Healthcare (Basel) 3:726-32. 10.3390/healthcare3030726 [PMC free article] [PubMed]