

# ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF MONTELUKAST SODIUM AND BILASTINE BY HPLC

# Mr. Mandeep Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ravi Kant<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. Sonia Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Chetna<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Saroj Verma<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract:

A HPLC method was developed and validated of Montelukast Sodium and Bilastine in bulk formulation. The chromatographic separation of drug was achieved on 29/05/2021. The mobile phase consisted of Acetonitrile and Buffer (70:30), The flow rate was adjusted to 1.5 ml/min. and %RSD as 0.0013. This proposed method is accurate, highly sensitive and precise which helps in cost reduction of analysis, hence we can use it for routine quality analysis in laboratories.

Keywords: Montelukast Sodium, Bilastine, HPLC, Validation, simultaneous estimation.

<sup>1\*</sup>SGT COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, SGT UNIVERSITY, BHUDHERA, GURUGRAM, HARYANA, INDIA-122001, Email: mandeep\_fop@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>K.R. MANGALAM UNIVERSITY, SHONA ROAD, GURUGRAM, HARYANA, INDIA- 122103.

\*Corresponding Author: Dr. Ravi Kant SGT COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, SGT UNIVERSITY, BHUDHERA, GURUGRAM, HARYANA, INDIA-122001, Email: ravi\_pharmacy@gmail.com

DOI: 10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si10.00195

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

A. Montelukast Sodium (MTS) is freely soluble in Methanol, Ethanol, Water and Acetonitrile. MTS is 1-[[(1R)-1-[3-[(1E)-2-(7-Chloro-2-Quinolinyl) Ethynyl] Phenyl]-3-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl) Phenyl] Propyl] Thio] Methyl] cyclopropane acetic acid. Montelukast Sodium is a selective, Potent and Orally Active Antagonist of the Cysteinyl, CysTL1, Leukotriene receptor used for the treatment of Asthma in children's and adults. It is a practically insoluble in acetonitrile and freely soluble in ethanol, methanol, and water. Montelukast Sodium is a potent drug, selectively CystLT1 receptors antagonist. It is indicated for the prophylaxis and chronic treatment of asthma in adults and pediatric patients. Several analytical methods have been reported for the determination of montelukast sodium including derivative spectroscopic, by colorimetry, by fluorimetry<sup>8</sup>, by TLC, by HPTLC, by simultaneous UV determination in combination drug formulation<sup>11</sup>, by voltammetry, by HPLC, and by LCMS<sup>7</sup>.



Figure:1



Figure:2

**RP-HPLC**.

**EXPERIMENTATION: A. INSTRUMENTATION:** Ultrasonic Liquid Processors.

#### **B. MATERIALS:**

Reference samples were gifted from Anil Enterprises PVT. LTD., Kaleamb, Himachal Pradesh. **B.** Bilastine is chemically known as 2-[4-[2-[4-[1-(2-ethoxyethyl) benzimidazol-2-yl] piperidin-1vl] ethyl] phenyl]-2-methylpropanoic acid. For symptomatic relief of nasal and non-nasal symptoms of seasonal rhinitis in patients 12 years of age and older and for symptomatic relief in chronic spontaneous urticaria in patients 18 years of age and older. Bilastine is a novel new generation antihistamine that is highly selective for the H1 histamine receptor, has a rapid onset and prolonged duration of action. Histamine plays a major role in the allergic reaction and is released by mast cell degranulation<sup>4</sup>. This histamine binds with H<sub>1</sub> receptors, activates the receptors and causes allergic reactions. Bilastine binds with H<sub>1</sub> receptor and prevents the activation of H<sub>1</sub> receptor by histamine. Thus, it acts as an antagonist for histamine. Bilastine shows no cardiotoxic, sedative side effects and undergoes minimal or no first pass metabolism<sup>5</sup>. It has less chance to undergo drug-drug interactions. Therefore, it is useful for treating patients suffering with renal/ hepatic dysfunction<sup>6</sup>. Bilastine, a piperidine class antihistamine medication used for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and chronic urticaria. From the review of literature, it was found that very few methods such HPLC-fluorescence <sup>8</sup> in as LC-MS/MS<sup>7</sup>, biological sample, RP-HPLC<sup>9</sup>, HILIC<sup>10</sup> and UVspectrophotometry<sup>11</sup> are available for estimation of Bilastine. The aim and objective of the present work was to develop and validate as per ICH guidelines<sup>12</sup> a simple, fast, accurate, precise, economic and sensitive method for estimation of Bilastine using UV- spectrophotometry, in both bulk and pharmaceutical formulation, which can be used for routine analysis in QC laboratories. Methanol for HPLC, Ammonium acetate, Triethylamine, Glacial Acetic Acid, Acetonitrile.

#### C. Preparation of mobile phase:

Mobile Phase A: Used Buffer (30%) Mobile Phase B: Used Acetonitrile (70%) Diluent: Used Methanol

#### **D.** Preparation of Buffer:

Added 7.7041 gm of Ammonium Acetate to 2000 ml of Water, Added 2ml of Triethylamine. Adjusted pH to 5.54 with Glacial Acetic Acid.

#### **E.** Preparation of Test solution:

1. MONTELUKAST SODIUM AND BILASTINE (1:2):

Took 100.07gm of Bilastine and 49.79gm of Montelukast Sodium into 100ml Volumetric Flask, added 70ml of diluent and sonicated to dissolve the content. Diluted to volume with diluent and mix thoroughly, labeled as STKSMB. a. Preparation of 200PPM of Bilastine and

100PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 10ml STKSMB into 50ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

b. Preparation of 100PPM of Bilastine and 50PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 5ml STKSMB into 50ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

c. Preparation of 80PPM of Bilastine and 40PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 8ml STKSMB into 100ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

d. Preparation of 40PPM of Bilastine and 20PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

#### 2. Graphs

#### a. Bilastine and Montelukast Sodium

Took 4ml STKSMB into 100ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

e. Preparation of 20PPM of Bilastine and 10PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 2ml STLSMB into 100ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

f. Preparation of 10PPM of Bilastine and 5PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 10ml of 20PPM Bilastine and 10PPM Montelukast Sodium solution into 20ml Volumetric Flask, diluted to volume with diluent and mixed thoroughly.

g. Preparation of 2PPM of Bilastine and 1PPM of Montelukast Sodium:

Took 5ml of 20PPM Bilastine and 10PPMMontelukast Sodium solution into 50mlVolumetric Flask, diluted to the volume withdiluent and mixed thoroughly



#### **Bilastine:**

| SNo. | Concentration | Retention time | Area    | Theoretical Plate | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 2PPM          | 3.333          | 34729   | 2938              | 0.957          |
| 2    | 10PPM         | 3.342          | 177859  | 3010              | 0.991          |
| 3    | 20PPM         | 3.333          | 352518  | 3016              | 1.008          |
| 4    | 40PPM         | 3.333          | 746713  | 3015              | 0.998          |
| 5    | 80PPM         | 3.333          | 1490314 | 3019              | 0.967          |
| 6    | 100PPM        | 3.333          | 1910502 | 3019              | 0.954          |
| 7    | 200PPM        | 3.333          | 3663470 | 2980              | 0.970          |

#### Montelukast sodium:

| SNo. | Concentration | Retention Time | Area    | Theoretical Plate | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 1PPM          | 7.975          | 51372   | 9770              | 1.092          |
| 2    | 5PPM          | 7.983          | 260439  | 9854              | 1.065          |
| 3    | 10PPM         | 7.967          | 522134  | 9778              | 1.096          |
| 4    | 20PPM         | 7.967          | 1112534 | 9831              | 1.063          |
| 5    | 40PPM         | 7.958          | 2244460 | 9810              | 1.061          |
| 6    | 50PPM         | 7.950          | 2894898 | 9788              | 1.091          |
| 7    | 100PPM        | 7.958          | 5681805 | 9801              | 1.079          |

Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Special Issue 10), 1662-1668

# F. STUDY:

# 1. Linearity

Validation for linearity requires the preparation and analysis of a set of several independently prepared solutions. Linearity studies are important because they define the range of the method within which the results are obtained accurately and precisely. As an example, according to ICH guidelines, HPLC method linearity is normally based on five concentration levels between 70% and 130% of the nominal concentration.

## 2. Precision

Precision of a method is the degree of agreement among individual test results when the procedure is applied repeatedly to multiple samplings. It is also termed as intra-assay precision. It is assessed by making six sample determinations at 100% concentration or by preparing three samples at three concentrations in triplicates covering the specified range for the procedure. Precision is measured by injecting a series of standards or

## Discussion and Result: 1. Linearity Montelukast sodium

analyzing series of samples from multiple samplings from a homogeneous lot.

# 3. Accuracy

The accuracy is the degree of closeness between the 'true' value of the sample and the value method obtain analytical evaluation. Accuracy is often determined by measuring samples with known concentrations and comparing the measured values with the 'true' values.

# 4. Robustness

The quality and ability to overcome the excess testing and adverse conditions. It can also be said that dependability on the method can be done to get proper results.

# 5. LOD and LOQ

Loss on Drying is an unspecific analytical technique removing not only water but all other volatile impurities like alcohol etc. LOD is calculated by =3.3\*(SD/Slope) and LOQ= 10\*(SD/Slope).



| Range of Concentration | 1-100PPM            |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Slope                  | 57210.0             |
| Y- Intercept           | 23118.3             |
| Equation of Line       | y=57210.0*x-23118.3 |
| R <sup>2</sup>         | 0.9997875           |
| Mean Response Factor   | 5.458967+-0.04      |

# Bilastine



| Range of Concentration | 2-200PPM              |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Slope                  | 18448.9               |
| Y-Intercept            | 5315.47               |
| Equation of Line       | y= 18448.9* x+5315.47 |
| R <sup>2</sup>         | 0.9994588             |
| Mean Response Factor   | 1.821362+-0.04        |

# 2. Precision

#### Montelukast

| SNo. | Concentration | Area    | Retention Time | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 5PPM          | 260432  | 7.987          | 1.095          |
| 2.   | 10PPM         | 522138  | 7.979          | 1.092          |
| 3.   | 40PPM         | 2244465 | 7.981          | 1.090          |
| 4.   | 50PPM         | 2894895 | 7.975          | 1.091          |

| SNo. | Concentration | Area    | Retention Time | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 5PPM          | 260438  | 7.981          | 1.091          |
| 2.   | 10PPM         | 522135  | 7.978          | 1.090          |
| 3.   | 40PPM         | 2244469 | 7.975          | 1.095          |
| 4.   | 50PPM         | 2894890 | 7.987          | 1.092          |

| SNo. | Concentration | Area    | Retention Time | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 5PPM          | 260432  | 7.978          | 1.090          |
| 2.   | 10PPM         | 522139  | 7.987          | 1.091          |
| 3.   | 40PPM         | 2244465 | 7.979          | 1.092          |
| 4.   | 50PPM         | 2894895 | 7.987          | 1.094          |

## Bilastine

| SNo. | Concentrations | Area    | Retention Time | Tailing Factor |
|------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 10PPM          | 177850  | 3.334          | 0.992          |
| 2.   | 20PPM          | 352525  | 3.333          | 1.007          |
| 3.   | 80PPM          | 1490320 | 3.332          | 0.995          |
| 4.   | 100PPM         | 1910495 | 3.333          | 0.999          |

| SNo. | Concentration | Area    | Retention time | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 10PPM         | 177857  | 3.333          | 1.002          |
| 2.   | 20PPM         | 352529  | 3.334          | 0.999          |
| 3.   | 80PPM         | 1490328 | 3.333          | 0.998          |
| 4.   | 100PPM        | 1910505 | 3.332          | 0.995          |

| SNo. | Concentration | Area    | Retention Time | Tailing Factor |
|------|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 10PPM         | 177855  | 3.332          | 0.999          |
| 2.   | 20PPM         | 352530  | 3.333          | 1.001          |
| 3.   | 80PPM         | 1490320 | 3.334          | 0.995          |
| 4.   | 100PPM        | 1910500 | 3.333          | 0.997          |

## 3. Accuracy

| Drugs       | Label      | Amount       | Total     | Actual      | Recover Conc. | Recovery (%) |
|-------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| _           | Claim (mg) | added mg (%) | Amount mg | Conc. Taken |               | -            |
| Montelukast |            | 5(50%)       | 15        | 5           | 5.002±0.005   | 100.04%      |
| Sodium      | 10         | 10(100%)     | 20        | 10          | 10.03±0.002   | 100.3%       |
|             |            | 15(150%)     | 25        | 20          | 20.10±0.004   | 100.5%       |
| Bilastine   |            | 10(50%)      | 30        | 10          | 10.002±0.005  | 100.02%      |
|             | 20         | 20(100%)     | 40        | 20          | 20.03±0.002   | 100.15%      |
|             |            | 30(150%)     | 50        | 40          | 40.10±0.004   | 100.25%      |

#### 4. Robustness:

| Factors    | levels             | Retention Tim | e                 | Area   |                   |
|------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|
|            |                    | Mont.         | Bilastine         | Mont.  | Bilastine         |
| A. Flow ra |                    |               |                   |        |                   |
| 1.4        | -1                 | 7.975         | 3.333             | 522130 | 352510            |
| 1.5        | 0                  | 7.983         | 3.342             | 522139 | 352518            |
| 1.6        | +1                 | 7.980         | 3.339             | 522134 | 352512            |
| Mean(n=3)  |                    |               | $7.979 \pm 0.004$ |        | $3.338 \pm 0.005$ |
| 522134     | 352513             |               |                   |        |                   |
| B. % of A  | cetonitrile in mol | oile phase    |                   |        |                   |
| 69%        | -1                 | 7.983         | 3.332             | 522132 | 352512            |
| 70%        | 0                  | 7.976         | 3.333             | 522134 | 352516            |
| 71%        | +1                 | 7.979         | 3.333             | 522138 | 352518            |
| Mean(n=3)  |                    |               | 7.979             | ±0.005 | $3.332 \pm 0.006$ |
| 522134     | 352515             |               |                   |        |                   |

# 5. LOD and LOQ:

LOD Montelukast = 0.0002, Bilastine = 0.0005LOQ Montelukast = 0.002, Bilastine = 0.005

## **Conclusion:**

A rapid method with easy, simple, precise, accurate and cost-effective method was developed and validated. It shows that %RSD is 0.0013 which is less than 2.

## Acknowledgement:

The author wishes to thank Dean of SGT Collage of Pharmacy, SGT University, chandu-Bhudhera, Gurugram. Thanks to Anil Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. For providing the samples. Thanks to the Ozone Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (Analytical Lab.) for Providing proper instruments and work with them at the lab.

# **References:**

- 1. Rekha K, Aruna R, Mathappan R, Manasa Rekha M, Karthik S. Formulation and development of Bilastine tablets 20 mg. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2019; 8 (7): 2197-2224.
- 2. Wolthers OD. Bilastine: A new nonsedating Oral H1 antihistamine for treatment of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and urticaria. Bio Med Research International. 2013; Article ID 626837.
- 3. Leceta A, Sologuren A, Valiente R, Campo C, and Labeaga L. Bilastine in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and urticaria: A practical approach to treatment decisions based on queries received by the medical information department. Drugs Context. 2017; 6: 212500.
- 4. Lawrence DB, Krzysztof K. Update on prescription and over-the-counter histamine inverse agonists in rhinitis therapy. Current Allergy and Asthma Reports. 2009; 9:140–148.

- 5. Scaglione F. Safety profile of Bilastine: 2nd generation H1-antihistamines. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological sciences. 2012; 16 (14): 1999-2005.
- 6. Lucero ML, Gonzalo A, Mumford R, Betanzos M, Alejandro A. An overview of Bilastine metabolism during preclinical investigations. Drug and Chemical Toxicology. 2012; 35 (S1): 18 - 24.
- 7. Lasseter KC, Sologuren A, La Noce A, Dilzer SC. Evaluation of the single-dose pharmacokinetics of Bilastine in subjects with various degrees of renal insufficiency. Clinical drug investigation. 2013; 33: 665-673
- 8. Berrueta LA, Ferna´ndez-Armentia M, Bakkali M, Gonzalo A, Lucero ML. Matrix solid-phase dispersion technique for the determination of a new antiallergic drug, Bilastine, in rat faeces. Journal of Chromatography B. 2001; 760: 185-190.
- 9. Amarendra CV, Anusha K, Muneer S. Method development and validation of new RP-HPLC method for the estimation of Bilastine in pharmaceutical dosage form. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2017; 6 (8): 2297-2315.
- 10. Terzić J, Popović I, Stajić A, Tumpa A, Jančić-Stojanović B. Application of analytical quality by design concept for Bilastine and its degradation impurities determination bv hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatographic method. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2016; 125: 385-393.
- 11. Andressa TS, Gabriela R B, Isadora DM, Lisiane B, Donadel MM, Clésio SP. UV spectrophotometric method for quantitative determination of Bilastine using experimental design for robustness. Drug Analytical Research. 2017; 01(2): 38-43.
- 12.ICH Q2 (R1). Validation of analytical procedures: text and methodology International 1667

Conference on Harmonization ICH, Geneva. 2005.

- 13. Tamilselvi N, Sruthi K. Development of validated HPLC method for Simultaneous estimation of Fexofenadine hydrochloride and Montelukast Sodium in tablet dosage form. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2012;3(12):4876-4881.
- 14.Muralidharan S, Qi LJ, Yi LT, Kaur N, Parasuraman S, Kumar J, Venugopal V, Raj PV. Newly developed and validated method of montelukast sodium estimation in tablet dosage form by ultraviolet spectroscopy and reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography. PTB Reports. 2016;2(2):27-30.
- 15.Patel SA, Patel SK, Patel DJ, Patel NJ. Analytical method development and validation of montelukast sodium and bambuterol hydrochloride in combined dosage form by RP-HPLC. International Journal of PharmTech Research. 2010;2(3):1767-1771.
- 16.Patel PG, Vaghela VM, Rathi SG, Rajgor NB, Bhaskar VH. Derivative spectrophotometry method for simultaneous estimation of rupatadine and montelukast in their combined dosage form. Journal of young pharmacists. 2009;1(4):354-358.
- 17. Revathi R, Ethiraj T, Thenmozhi P, Saravanan VS, Ganesan V. High performance liquid chromatographic method development for simultaneous analysis of doxofylline and montelukast sodium in a combined form. Pharmaceutical methods. 2011;2(4):223-228.
- 18.Savsani J, Goti P, Patel P. Simultaneous UV spectrophotometric method for estimation of Ebastine and Montelukast sodium in tablet dosage form by Q-ratio method. International Journal of Chem Tech Research. 2013;5(1):47-55.
- 19.Pallavi Κ. Babu S. Validated UV Spectroscopic method for estimation of Montelukast sodium from bulk and tablet formulations. International Journal of Advances in Pharmacy, Biology and Chemistry. 2012;1(4):450-453.
- 20.Patle D, Nagar S. UV-visible Spectrophotometric Estimation of Montelukast and Fexofenadine by Simultaneous Equation Method in Bulk & Combined Tablet dosage form. Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy. 2017;11(4):382-388.
- 21.Pourghazi Κ, Khoshhesab ZM. Golpayeganizadeh AL, Shapouri MR, Afrouzi Spectrophotometric determination H. of cetirizine and montelukast in prepared formulations. International Journal of

Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2011;3(2):128-130.

- 22.Pawar V, Pai S, Roa GK. Development and validation of UV spectrophotometric method for simultaneous estimation of montelukast sodium and bambuterol hydrochloride in bulk and tablet dosage formulation. Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2008;1(2):152-157.
- 23.Ramesh J, Jayalakshmi B, Vijayamirtharaj R, Arul Prakasam KC. Simultaneous estimation of montelukast sodium and levocetirizine hydrochloride by RP-HPLC method. Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry. 2010; 3(4): 1069-1072.
- 24. Chabukswar AR, Choudhari VP, Sharma SN, Bari NA, Ghuge D. Ratio derivative spectrophotometry method for simultaneous estimation of montelukast and fexofenadine HCl in their combined dosage form. Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry. 2012;5(5): 637-641.
- 25.Phadtare DG, Pawar AR, Kulkarni RR, Patil GK. Method development and validation of Montelukast sodium in bulk and tablet formulation by HPLC. Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry. 2016; 9(7): 339-342.
- 26.Chundawat RS, Sarangdevot YS, Rathore RPS, Sisodiya DS, Rathore US. Method development and validation for simultaneous estimation of montelukast and fexofenadine in pharmaceutical dosage form by HPLC Method. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2013;6(10):1102-1106.