



## INNOVATIONS IN CLEAR ALIGNER THERAPY(CAT)

Mona Awad Al-Mutairi<sup>1\*</sup>, Noura Hadi Al-Harbi<sup>2</sup>, Entesar Abdullah Al-Harbi<sup>3</sup>, Lamia Ahmed Al-Salamah<sup>4</sup>, Lubna Abdulmotey Nouri<sup>5</sup>, Bader Mohammed Al-Harbi<sup>6</sup>, Mohammed Dawod Al-Alfy<sup>7</sup>, Mohammed Musaed Al-Zahrani<sup>8</sup>, Jalal Yousef Al-ghadeer<sup>9</sup>, Rami Mohammed Al-Otaibi<sup>10</sup>

### Abstract

Clear aligner orthodontic therapy is developing at a very rapid pace, which is a significant advancement in the field of orthodontic care. Such momentum receives motivation from a twin surge, an amplification in the appreciation of aesthetic aspects coupled with a developing demand from adult clientele for orthodontic operations. This convergence fuels the demand for therapeutic approaches that satisfy public convenience needs while simultaneously upholding aesthetic standards.

Taking care of this growing need for quick and visually attractive solutions presents a challenge that is made worse by the disparate methods that are seen in the dental field. Situations where "instant orthodontics" is offered to correct dental misalignments under the pretense of crowns or veneers, or where items touting cutting-edge methods guarantee anterior teeth alignment while avoiding comprehensive occlusal problems, raise moral questions. These strategies necessitate diligent public education outlining the fundamental flaws in these quick fixes.

In terms of discreteness, fixed appliances have not kept up with the subtlety of transparent aligners, even if ceramic brackets have made them smaller and more aesthetically pleasing. Many businesses worldwide already offer a wide range of clear aligner orthodontic products, indicating the growing acceptance of this treatment approach. However, a climate of mistrust remains despite this spread, highlighting early research efforts aimed at undermining the effectiveness of aligners, especially for cases involving more significant tooth abnormalities.

Though there was initial skepticism, efforts to improve and develop the clear aligner technique continued, signifying a changing orthodontic care environment. However, the majority of the literature corpus is still made up of anecdotal stories, which is indicative of the early stages of this emerging discipline.

---

<sup>1</sup>\*Periodontist, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

<sup>2</sup>Family Dental Medicine, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

<sup>3</sup>Family Dental Medicine, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

<sup>4</sup>Periodontist, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

<sup>5</sup>Endodontist, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

<sup>6</sup>General Dentist, Ministry of Health, Jeddah

<sup>7</sup>General Dentist, Ministry of Health, Jeddah

<sup>8</sup>General Dentist, Ministry of Health, Jeddah

<sup>9</sup>General Dentist, Ministry of Health, Al-Ahsa

<sup>10</sup>General Dentist, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Email: dr.rami\_alotaibi@hotmail.com

\*Corresponding Author: Mona Awad Al-Mutairi

\*Periodontist, Ministry of Health, Riyadh

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.12.382

**Introduction:**

Before 1998, the main purposes of orthodontic clear aligners were to correct little misalignment recurrences or to make small dental changes that were usually made at the end of orthodontic procedures. When Align Technology, Inc. introduced Invisalign® in 1998, a radical change took place. Through the use of computerized 3D technology, this ground-breaking device transformed orthodontics by enabling the virtual imaging and manipulation of dental structures. This technological innovation, together with developments in 3D printing and manufacturing streamlining, brought about a significant change that made it possible to produce aligners in large quantities more efficiently [1].

Clear aligners were first intended to treat mild cases of tooth crowding or spacing. It gradually broadened to include more complicated orthodontic conditions that need for adjustments to the occlusal categorization or expansion. As actual data supported the feasibility of this new strategy, the arsenal of orthodontic therapies simultaneously evolved. The application of clear aligner technology is still evolving. The most recent findings and advancements in the industrial and material science domains continue to drive it. This technology has grown enormously with the use of techniques, adjunctive aids, and the improvement of computer algorithms controlling tooth displacement [2].

The current state of clear aligner therapy is very different from its early versions in the 2000s, with a wide range of products designed to treat a variety of malocclusions, from minor to severe. This talk aims to clarify the modern approaches used to deal with problems that arise during aligner therapy, along with tactics designed to deal with the complexities of various malocclusions. While the amount of research on aligner therapy has increased over time due to a growing number of clinical trials examining the consistency of expected and actual treatment outcomes, the research's usefulness is still dependent on the particulars of the aligner system and materials used, making it easily outdated given the speed at which technology is developing [3].

As such, the conversation that is currently taking place about modern clear aligner treatment approaches primarily stems from anecdotal findings from case studies, illustrating the dynamic interaction between changing therapeutic procedures and technology advancement [4].

**Treatment:**

Treatment using transparent aligners requires the dentist to carefully plan the treatment path,

outlining the intended tooth motions and path of dental realignment. This need is unwavering regardless of the aligner method selected, whether it is created by hand using physical models or by using a computer to modify digital models [5].

The set of methods for treating various orthodontic issues includes a range of approaches that may be customized to work with the majority of aligner systems. This all-inclusive strategy highlights the adaptability of aligner treatment by providing customized solutions for each orthodontic difficulty [6].

**Deep bite:**

Deep bite malocclusions require a more subtle technique, usually including anterior intrusion, which can be difficult to do using aligners. When this happens, Invisalign® uses premolar attachments as an anchor and actively pushes incisors in thanks to lingual biting ramps included into the upper anterior aligners [7,8].

One of the most important aspects of deep bite treatment is incisor intrusion, which presents its own set of problems for aligners due to a lack of actual data on supplementary effectiveness. Temporary anchoring devices (TADs) become invaluable tools in negotiating these complications, providing a pathway for accelerated orthodontic operations [9].

Bowman's method is an example of an innovative methodology that highlights the creativity and accuracy needed in aligner treatment to overcome clinical obstacles. This thoughtful use of auxiliary aids signals a paradigm change in treatment modalities by capturing the dynamic interaction between orthodontic innovation and clinical needs [10].

**Open bite:**

Managing open bite malocclusions is a complex clinical issue that requires careful evaluation of a variety of treatment approaches. The dentist negotiates this terrain in the context of treatment objectives and stability, whether by anterior teeth extrusion, posterior teeth intrusion to aid in mandibular closure, or a harmonic combination of both [9,10].

Extrusion of anterior teeth, enabled by well-placed attachments, highlights the complex relationship between clinical effectiveness and retainer retention as well as the critical significance of attachment design. Interestingly, aligner treatment has a posterior invasive impact that works in concert to help close an open bite while reducing ancillary issues like crowding and overbite [11].

On the other hand, permanent appliances provide special difficulties since the extension of the

posterior arch may unintentionally cause molar tilting, which worsens the symptoms of openbite and prolongs the malocclusion cycle. In contrast, aligners provide a means of maintaining overbite and vertical control while simultaneously resolving crowding difficulties; nevertheless, this is based on anecdotal data that is still awaiting formal validation [12].

Temporary anchoring devices (TADs) are a helpful tool for accelerating orthodontic procedures, since the timing of front teeth extrusion and posterior teeth intrusion emphasizes the need for careful intervention. This thoughtful use of auxiliary tools highlights how orthodontic therapy is changing and how clinical knowledge and innovation are redefining treatment paradigms [13].

### **Space closure:**

In order to obtain parallel roots, it is necessary to carefully coordinate tooth movement during the closure of extraction gaps. This difficulty is made more difficult by the focus on pure translation in aligner treatment. In contrast to stationary appliances, aligners give priority to translational movement in order to prevent tilting. Therefore, a comprehensive strategy including stationary appliances, targeted auxiliaries, and temporary anchoring devices (TADs) is required in order to maximize results [14].

Cutting-edge techniques like segmental aligners and well-placed TADs highlight how aligner treatment is developing and provide customized solutions to reduce tipping tendencies. Large attachments have the potential to strengthen anchoring integrity, however there is currently a lack of actual data to support this claim. However, this potential is subject to unpredictability [15].

Recent advancements in posterior anchoring control by Invisalign® provide as an example of the paradigm change in orthodontic innovation, which is reflected in the search for an optimal attachment design to adjust the movement of teeth and anchorage segments. These emerging tactics show promise in reducing tipping pressures, but more empirical research is necessary to determine their effectiveness [16].

### **Cross bite:**

Handling severe cases of crossbite requires a sophisticated evaluation of the biomechanics of the mouth and the jaw, with the degree of misalignment dictating the type of treatment that is used. Small crossbites, which are typified by a shallow bite depth, frequently respond rather well to traditional aligner treatment [17].

On the other hand, if a crossbite is deeper beyond a certain threshold, custom treatments are required to

rectify occlusal discrepancies. These interventions may include the addition of anterior bite ramps or the addition of cold-cure acrylic to aligners in order to improve occlusal clearance. It becomes essential to wear aligners continuously, even while eating, in order to reduce the risk of occlusal stress during correction procedures [18].

Correction of posterior crossbites may require the implantation of attachments or the deliberate use of crossbite elastics in aligner procedures, highlighting the need for a multimodal approach necessary to manage these clinical complexities. This custom incorporation of supportive devices highlights the dynamic interaction between orthodontic innovation and clinical needs, signaling a change in the way crossbite repair techniques are approached [19].

### **Settling /extrusion:**

When it comes to Invisalign treatment planning, precise tooth extrusion techniques are frequently required to achieve optimal occlusion. Although attachments are often used to initiate movements greater than 0.4 mm, more delicate changes could require additional interventions [20].

Manual installation of gingival beveled attachments appears as a tactical option inside the ClinCheck interface when natural extrusion is not successful. Moreover, the use of vertical elastics, derived from buttons, provides a flexible method to accelerate extrusion regardless of size [21].

### **Class II Malocclusion:**

Using aligner therapy to correct a Class II malocclusion is similar to the strategies used in fixed appliance treatment paradigms. Treatment options range from upper dental distalization to lower dentition protraction, frequently combined for total correction, however there are few clinical trials outlining the best practices [22].

Mandibular growth is an essential adjuvant in the treatment of Class II malocclusions in younger individuals. Fischer's novel approach highlights the adaptability of aligner treatment in achieving desired occlusal results. It involves using molar and premolar attachments for successive maxillary distalization without the need for Class II elastics [23].

But difficulties arise, especially when trying to achieve pure translational motions during distalization, which carries the additional danger of posterior teeth tilting. In order to maximize orthodontic results, strategic interventions such as temporary anchoring devices (TADs) and lower arch anchorage with Class II elastics become essential adjuncts [24,25].

Cutting-edge devices like the Carriere Distalizer and the Mara appliance represent the changing face of aligner therapy by enabling early molar correction and aligner-based treatment completion.<sup>21</sup> Similar to Twin Block, Arreghini et al.'s Runner presents a viable approach to using mandibular development dynamics in Class II malocclusion treatment, highlighting the revolutionary potential of aligner therapy in pediatric orthodontics [26].

### Class III Malocclusion:

Navigating Class III malocclusions with aligners emphasizes the paradigmatic congruence across treatment modalities by reflecting the therapeutic tactics used in fixed appliance orthodontics. Orthodontically treating Class III malocclusions frequently requires the careful application of Class III elastics in conjunction with the careful control of dental compensations [27,28].

Preoperative decompensation is an essential first step in instances when surgery is being considered. It paves the way for the best possible surgical results. Although TADs provide a means of reducing dental distalization to counteract compensatory processes, their effectiveness in completely treating Class III malocclusions is still limited by intrinsic constraints [29].

### Conclusion:

The field of clear aligner therapy has seen tremendous growth in the last ten years, moving beyond its initial application as a treatment for minor anterior crowding or spacing problems to address a broad range of orthodontic problems. But achieving this potential will require being acutely aware of the limitations of the device as well as being open to experimenting with new approaches to treatment planning.

Expect continued improvements in aligner materials and attachments, which should lead to better fit and longevity and maximize treatment results. Investigate the nuances of tooth movement mechanics in parallel to propel advances in aligner treatment algorithmic sequencing [30].

However, even with these advancements in technology, an experienced practitioner's invaluable assistance is still necessary when negotiating the subtleties of aligner-based therapy planning and supervision. In the end, good outcomes in aligner orthodontics are determined by the practitioner's perceptive judgment and clinical acumen.

### References:

- Robertson, L., Kaur, H., Fagundes, N. C. F., Romanyk, D., Major, P., & Flores Mir, C. (2020). Effectiveness of clear aligner therapy for orthodontic treatment: A systematic review. *Orthodontics & craniofacial research*, 23(2), 133-142.
- Ke, Y., Zhu, Y., & Zhu, M. (2019). A comparison of treatment effectiveness between clear aligner and fixed appliance therapies. *BMC oral health*, 19, 1-10.
- Aljabaa, A. H. (2020). Clear aligner therapy—Narrative review. *Journal of International Oral Health*, 12(Suppl 1), S1-S4.
- Best, A. D., Shroff, B., Carrico, C. K., & Lindauer, S. J. (2017). Treatment management between orthodontists and general practitioners performing clear aligner therapy. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 87(3), 432-439.
- Zheng, M., Liu, R., Ni, Z., & Yu, Z. (2017). Efficiency, effectiveness and treatment stability of clear aligners: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Orthodontics & craniofacial research*, 20(3), 127-133.
- Cortona, A., Rossini, G., Parrini, S., Deregibus, A., & Castroflorio, T. (2020). Clear aligner orthodontic therapy of rotated mandibular round-shaped teeth: a finite element study. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 90(2), 247-254.
- Grünheid, T., Gaalaas, S., Hamdan, H., & Larson, B. E. (2016). Effect of clear aligner therapy on the buccolingual inclination of mandibular canines and the intercanine distance. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 86(1), 10-16.
- Weir, T. (2017). Clear aligners in orthodontic treatment. *Australian dental journal*, 62, 58-62.
- Yi, J., Xiao, J., Li, Y., Li, X., & Zhao, Z. (2018). External apical root resorption in non-extraction cases after clear aligner therapy or fixed orthodontic treatment. *Journal of Dental Sciences*, 13(1), 48-53.
- Bowman SJ, Celenza F, Sparaga J, Papadopoulos M, Ojima K, Lin J. Creative Adjuncts for Clear Aligners Part 2 Intrusion, Rotation, and Extrusion. *J Clin Orthod*. 2015;XLIX:162-171.
- Hennessy, J., & Al-Awadhi, E. A. (2016). Clear aligners generations and orthodontic tooth movement. *Journal of orthodontics*, 43(1), 68-76.
- Lombardo, L., Palone, M., Carlucci, A., & Siciliani, G. (2020). Clear aligner hybrid approach: A case report. *Journal of the World Federation of Orthodontists*, 9(1), 32-43.
- Thai, J. K., Araujo, E., McCray, J., Schneider, P. P., & Kim, K. B. (2020). Esthetic perception of clear aligner therapy attachments using eye-tracking technology. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, 158(3), 400-409.

14. Choi NC, Park YC, Jo YM, Lee KJ. Combined use of miniscrews and clear appliances for the treatment of bialveolar protrusion without conventional brackets. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2009;135:671-681.
15. Buschang, P. H., Shaw, S. G., Ross, M., Crosby, D., & Campbell, P. M. (2014). Comparative time efficiency of aligner therapy and conventional edgewise braces. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 84(3), 391-396.
16. Pango Madariaga, A. C., Bucci, R., Rongo, R., Simeon, V., D'Antò, V., & Valletta, R. (2020). Impact of fixed orthodontic appliance and clear aligners on the periodontal health: a prospective clinical study. *Dentistry journal*, 8(1), 4.
17. Alansari, S., Atique, M. I., Gomez, J. P., Hamidaddin, M., Thirumoorthy, S. N., Sangsuwon, C., ... & Nervina, J. M. (2018). The effects of brief daily vibration on clear aligner orthodontic treatment. *Journal of the World Federation of Orthodontists*, 7(4), 134-140.
18. Frongia, G., & Castroflorio, T. (2012). Correction of severe tooth rotations using clear aligners: a case report. *Australian Orthodontic Journal*, 28(2), 245-249.
19. Buschang, P. H., Chastain, D., Keylor, C. L., Crosby, D., & Julien, K. C. (2019). Incidence of white spot lesions among patients treated with clear aligners and traditional braces. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 89(3), 359-364.
20. Fujiyama, K., Honjo, T., Suzuki, M., Matsuoka, S., & Deguchi, T. (2014). Analysis of pain level in cases treated with Invisalign aligner: comparison with fixed edgewise appliance therapy. *Progress in orthodontics*, 15, 1-7.
21. Bucci, R., Rongo, R., Levatè, C., Michelotti, A., Barone, S., Razionale, A. V., & D'Antò, V. (2019). Thickness of orthodontic clear aligners after thermoforming and after 10 days of intraoral exposure: a prospective clinical study. *Progress in Orthodontics*, 20, 1-8.
22. Samoto H, Vlaskalic V. A Customized Staging Procedure to Improve the Predictability of Space Closure with Sequential Aligners. *J Clin Orthod.* 2014;XLVIII:359-367.
23. Fischer K. Invisalign Treatment of Dental Class II Malocclusions Without Auxiliaries. *J Clin Orthod.* 2010;XLIV:665-672.
24. Rossini, G., Parrini, S., Castroflorio, T., Deregibus, A., & Debernardi, C. L. (2015). Efficacy of clear aligners in controlling orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 85(5), 881-889.
25. Rossini, G., Parrini, S., Castroflorio, T., Deregibus, A., & Debernardi, C. L. (2014). Periodontal health during clear aligners treatment: a systematic review. *European journal of orthodontics*, 37(5), 539-543.
26. Staderini, E., Meuli, S., & Gallenzi, P. (2019). Orthodontic treatment of class three malocclusion using clear aligners: A case report. *Journal of oral biology and craniofacial research*, 9(4), 360-362.
27. Arreghini A, Carletti I, Ceccarelli MC, Lombardo L, Siciliani G. Class II treatment with the Runner in adolescent patients: Combining Twin Block efficiency with aligner aesthetics. *Journal of the World Federation of Orthodontists.* 2014;3:e71-e79.
28. Buschang, P. H., Ross, M., Shaw, S. G., Crosby, D., & Campbell, P. M. (2015). Predicted and actual end-of-treatment occlusion produced with aligner therapy. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 85(5), 723-727.
29. d'Apuzzo, F., Perillo, L., Carrico, C. K., Castroflorio, T., Grassia, V., Lindauer, S. J., & Shroff, B. (2019). Clear aligner treatment: different perspectives between orthodontists and general dentists. *Progress in orthodontics*, 20, 1-9.
30. Garnett, B. S., Mahood, K., Nguyen, M., Al-Khateeb, A., Liu, S., Boyd, R., & Oh, H. (2019). Cephalometric comparison of adult anterior open bite treatment using clear aligners and fixed appliances. *The Angle Orthodontist*, 89(1), 3-9.