Efficacy of sensory motor integration training on visual perceptual skills for children with handwriting difficulties Section A -Research paper



Efficacy of sensory motor integration training on visual perceptual skills for children with handwriting difficulties

Amy Zein Eldean Shehata¹, Gehan Mosaad Abd El-Maksuod², Walaa Abd El-Hakiem Abd El Nabie²

Article History: Received: 05.06.2023	Revised: 12.07.2023	Accepted: 15.07.2023
---------------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

ABSTRACT

Background: Children with handwriting difficulties who struggle with forming letters often make mistakes that can hinder the legibility of their writing. Some children face challenges with their handwriting that impede their academic achievement.

Objectives: this study was to conducted to examine the impact of sensory motor training on visual perceptual skills in children with handwriting difficulties.

Subjects and Methods: Forty elementary school children with dysgraphia recruited in this study, aged from seven to ten years old. All of the children had been diagnosed with visual perceptual motor skills deficit, based on test of visual perceptual skills (TVPS) - 4th edition. These children were randomized into two groups (control as well as study groups). Control group (A) was received repetitive hand writing (occupational therapy) practice, whereas the study group (B) received repetitive hand writing practice in addition to multisensory intervention. Pre and post treatment program the children were examined for visual perceptual skills by TVPS-4. This study was conducted for almost 24 weeks.

Results: The results showed that post treatment, there was a statistical significant difference between groups (P < 0.05). also, there were significant difference among the two groups favoring group (B) (P < 0.05). **Conclusion**: Multisensory motor training may had a great impact on improving visual perceptual skills in children with handwriting difficulties.

Keywords: Elementary school children, Handwriting difficulties, Sensory motor training.

1 Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics, Ahmed Maher Hospital, Alexandria, Egypt.

2 Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Handwriting difficulties refer to problems with letter formation that include characteristics associated with dyslexia and dysgraphia in children (Zainol et al., 2021). While the exact percentage varies from study to study, up to 27% of schoolaged children have been shown to have handwriting problems (Van Hartingsveldt et al., 2011). Handwriting challenges encompass difficulties in acquiring writing skills, consequently impacting learners' educational and emotional growth (Mulanya, 2020).

In both primary as well as secondary schools, handwriting is still the main mode of writing used in the classroom and for assessment purposes because of its essential role in academic performance (**McMaster and Roberts, 2016**). Some of the abilities required to write well are fine motor control, bilateral as well as visual-motor integration, motor organizing, in-hand manipulation, proprioception, perception of vision,

SUBJECTS and METHODS

• Subjects:

Forty elementary school children were diagnosed with dysgraphia according to McMaster

sustained concentration, in addition to sensory awareness of the fingers (lee, 2022).

Children with dysgraphia were investigated by Chang and Yu (2017), who examined into the effectiveness of a sensorimotor intervention that emphasized both visual as well as haptic perception. They discovered that the quality of handwriting significantly improved among the intervention group. The majority of rehabilitation treatments that focus on enhancing visual perceptual abilities consist of table-top exercises. Repetitive drills and exercises, like visual memory exercises, in addition to puzzles and games like pegboard games along with card games may fall into this category (Wuang et al., 2021). Limited research has been conducted to examine the impact of sensory motor intervention on visual perceptual skills in children with handwriting difficulties. Thus, the study was carried-out to investigate the impact of sensory motor training on visual perceptual skills of elementary children with handwriting difficulties. handwriting assessment - 2nd edition (Pollocket al., 2009), aged from 7 to 10 years old, participated in this study. All the children had deficits in visual perceptual motor skills, based on test of TVPS- 4th

(Martin, 2017). The children were excluded if they had neurological, orthopedic disorders, receiving medical drugs that can cause confusion or make child less alert, visual or hearing impairment. They were selected from Governmental elementary schools in Alexandria, Egypt. The study was

Procedures:

• Outcomes measures

All children in both groups (control and study groups) were assessed prior and post the treatment program for visual perceptual skills by TVP4.

1-Selection of children with handwriting difficulties: The McMaster handwriting assessment protocol, 2nd edition, is a structured evaluation method employed to collect unbiased data regarding students' proficiency in performing practical handwriting tasks that are comparable to those needed in an educational setting (**Ibana and Cacola, 2016**). This protocol is specifically tailored for children in senior kindergarten through grade 6. Its purpose is to assess handwriting legibility by utilizing tasks such as writing from memory, dictation and copying sentences (**Lee, 2014**).

2- Evaluation of visual perceptual skills: The fourth edition of the Visual Perception test is a standardized test of visual perception designed for children, adolescents, as well as young adults (ages 5-21). The TVPS-4 measures analytical visual abilities associated with the visual information processing component. Seven different visual abilities are tested over the TVPS-4 battery: discrimination, memory, spatial connections, form constancy, sequential recall, figure ground, as well as closure (Martin, 2017). The therapist arranged the test plates in an upright position, ensuring that the front pages were conveniently visible and accessible to the child. The child had the option to provide the answer number verbally or indicate their choice by pointing to the corresponding image.

Results

Subject characteristics:

The differences between group (A) and group (B) subjects are detailed out in **Table 1**. No

conducted at Ahmed Maher hospital in Alexandria, Egypt. The children were enrolled and randomized into 2 groups; control group (A) and study group (B). Both groups received repetitive task practice, whereas the study group received an additional program which was sensory motor training.

• For treatment

Control group (A): The children in this group received repetitive task practice which contains imitation and copying activities. The treatment consists of practicing functional activities repeatedly, progressively, and often assimilating feedback while performing the tasks in varying task or environmental settings. The therapist provided encouragement to the child and occasionally offered verbal prompts. The study was conducted for 60 minutes three sessions a week for 24 weeks. Study group (B): In this group the children received repetitive task practice in addition to sensory motor training. According to Jameel and Nabeel (2016) the child asked to engage in various activities to improve the visual perceptual skills such as visual exercises, games and problemsolving activities. The study was conducted for 60

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

minutes three sessions a week for 24 weeks.

Subject characteristics were compared using an unpaired t-test to determine any significant differences between the groups. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to ensure that the data followed a normal distribution. The homogeneity of the groups was tested using Levene's test for homogeneity of variances. A mixed MANOVA was used to examine whether or not therapy improved visual perception. All statistical tests were performed at the p < 0.05 level of significance. IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25 for Windows (Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for all statistical analysis.

statistically significant age difference (p > 0.05) was seen among groups.

	Group A	Group B	
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	p-value
Age (years)	8.21 ± 0.74	8.18 ± 0.64	0.13

SD, Standard deviation; MD, mean difference; p value, Probability value

Within group comparison

Effect of treatment on visual perceptual skills:

A significant interaction impact of treatment as well as time was found using Mixed MANOVA (F = 44.05, p = 0.001). The treatment main impact was statistically significant (F = 10.34, p = 0.001). The main impact of time was highly significant (F = 98.82, p = 0.001).

There was a significant increase in visual perceptual skills in both groups post treatment when compared to that pretreatment (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

The percentage of increase in sum raw score and sum scaled score of TVPS-4 in group (A) was 31.6 and 15.4% respectively while that of group (B) was 132.04 and 89.32% respectively (**Table 2**).

• Between group comparison

There was a significant increase in sum raw score and sum scaled score of TVPS-4 of group (B) when compared to that of group (A) after treatment (p < 0.001) (**Table 2**).

	Pre treatment Mean ±SD	Post treatment Mean ±SD	– MD	% of change	p value
Test of visual perceptua	al skills				
Sum raw score					
Group A	31.65 ± 7.13	41.65 ± 10.69	-10	31.60	0.001
Group B	29.65 ± 9.72	68.8 ± 10.8	-39.15	132.04	0.001
MD	2	-27.15			
	p = 0.46	p = 0.001			
Sum scaled score					
Group A	36.7 ± 5.8	42.35 ± 6.64	-5.65	15.40	0.001
Group B	35.1 ± 6.86	66.45 ± 7.8	-31.35	89.32	0.001
MD	1.6	-24.1			
	p = 0.43	p = 0.001			

Table (2): Mean test of visual perceptual 4th edition pre and post treatment of group (A) and (B):

SD, Standard deviation; MD, Mean difference; p value, Probability value

DISCUSSION

A lack of confidence and poor academic performance might result from chronic problems writing with handwriting as well as communications (Stevenson and Just, 2014). Perceptual-motor or sensory integration training are the cornerstones of evidence-based therapies for enhancing children's visual perceptual abilities (Waung et al., 2021). Therefore, the study was carried-out to examine the impact of sensory motor training on visual perceptual skills in children with hand writing difficulties.

In this study the pretreatment results declared a decline in visual perceptual skills for both groups. This finding comes in agreement with the study **Mehta and Nandgaonkar**, (2019) who stated that the children in their study showed visual perceptual deficits along with hand writing dysfunction.

Furthermore, several studies (**Thomas et al.**, **2010; Lam et al., 2011; Schneck, 2013**) reported that the children who had deficiencies in visual perception abilities, their handwriting may display inconsistencies in size, and/or difficulty recognizing letters and words. Additionally, they may struggle to recognize errors in their own handwriting.

The current study revealed that there was statistically significant enhancement in visual perceptual skills in the study group. These results are consistent with **Alenizi's (2019)** findings, which indicate that employing a multi-sensory approach is more effective than other intervention programs in improving the visual perception of children with learning disabilities, specifically those experiencing difficulties with handwriting. Moreover, **Case et al., (2012)** reported that the utilization of a multi-sensory approach was found to be successful in enhancing skills that impact handwriting, specifically visual perception.

On the same line, Li Tsang (2023) conducted a study to examine the effect of sensory motor

LIMITATION

The study has a few limitations such as; the absence of follow up for the participants and the disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, **CONCLUSION**

From the gathered results of the existing study, it could be concluded that sensory motor training

REFRENCES

Alenizi M. A. K. (2019): Effectiveness of a program based on a multi-sensory strategy in developing visual perception of primary school learners with learning disabilities: a contextual study of Arabic learners. International Journal of Educational Psychology, 8(1), 72-104.

Case-Smith J., Holland T., Lane, A. and White S. (2012): Effect of a coteaching handwriting program for first graders: One-group pretest– posttest design. The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 66(4), 396-405.

Chang S. H. and Yu N. Y. (2017): Visual and haptic perception training to improve handwriting skills in children with dysgraphia. The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 71(2), 7102220030p1-7102220030p10.

Ibana M. and Caçola P. (2016): Association between motor ability and handwriting performance in children with probable developmental coordination disorder. Journal of Motor Learning and Development, 4(1), 1-15.

Jameel H.T. and Nabeel T. (2016): Effects of visual perception training on legibility of Urdu Handwriting. Science International, 28(2), pp.1063-1066.

Lam S. S. T., Au R. K. C., Leung H. W. H. and Li-Tsang C. W. P. (2011): Chinese handwriting performance of primary school children with dyslexia. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 32(5), 1745–1756.

Lee O.I. (2014): Formal handwriting assessment for children with writing difficulties. Page 3, p.7.

Lee S.C. (2022): Visual perceptual skills as predictors of handwriting skills of children grades 1-3. Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools and Early Intervention, 15(3), pp.265-273.

Li-Tsang C. W., Li T. M., Yang C. N., Cheung P. P., Au K. Y., Chan Y. P. and Leung H. W. (2023): Evaluation of a group-based sensorimotor intervention program to improve Chinese handwriting of primary school students. Heliyon.

Martin N.A. (2017): Test of Visual Perceptual Skills 4th Edition (TVPS-4).

intervention on children experiencing difficulties with handwriting. The study findings indicated significant enhancements in visual perceptual skills, which were assessed using TVPS4.

which resulted in children being unable to attend the sessions.

may have a great impact on visual perceptual skills for children with handwriting difficulties.

McMaster E. and Roberts T. (2016): Handwriting in 2015: a main occupation for primary school-aged children in the classroom? Journal of Occupational Therapy, Schools & Early Intervention 9(1): 38–50.

Mehta P.P. and Nandgaonkar H.P. (2019): Visual-perceptual training for handwriting legibility and speed in children with handwriting difficulties: A single-arm interventional study. The Indian Journal of Occupational Therapy, 51(1), p.14.

Mulanya E. N. (2020): Effects Of Handwriting Difficulties On Academic Performance Of Learners With Learning Disabilities In Public Primary Schools In Nairobi City County, Kenya (Doctoral Dissertation, Kenyatta University).

Pollock N., Lockhart J., Blowes B., Semple K., Webster M., Farhat L. and Brunetti S. (2009): Handwriting Assessment Protocol–2nd edition.

Schneck C. M. (2013): Visual perception. Occupational Therapy for Children. sixth ed. Mosby Inc, 373-403.

Stevenson N. C. and Just C. (2014): In early education, why teach handwriting before keyboarding? Early Childhood Education Journal, 42(1), 49–56.

Van Hartingsveldt M.J., De Groot I.J.M, Aarts P.B.M, et al. (2011): Standardized tests of handwriting readiness: a systematic review of the literature. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology 53(6): 506–515.

Wuang Y. P., Chen Y. J., Chiu Y. H., Wang C. C., Chen C. P., Huang C. L. and Ho W. H. (2021): Effectiveness of kinesthetic game-based training system in children with visual-perceptual dysfunction. IEEE Access, 9, 153838-153849.

Zainol M., Kadar M., Razaob N.A. and Wan Yunus F.A.R.A.H.I.Y.A.H. (2022): The Effectiveness of Occupational Therapy Handwriting Intervention for Children with Motor Coordination Issues: **Systematic** Α Review. Malaysian of Health Journal Sciences/Jurnal Sains Kesihatan Malaysia, 20(1).