

SUSTAINABLE SOLAR ENERGY CONVERSION AND STORAGE BY MODIFIED PHOTOGALVANIC EFFECT OF NILE BLUE A DYE, L-ASCORBIC ACID REDUCTANT AND BRIJ-35 SURFACTANT

Sanjeev Kumar Yadav¹, Renu Kumari², Rajendra Kumar Gunsaria^{3*}

A set of a TT' of a second	D	D	A
Article History:	Received: 30.05.2025	Revised: 02.07.2025	Accepted: 10.08.2023

Abstract:

The study focused on the developing modified photogalvanic cell to increase the conversion and storage of solar energy with enhanced electrical output at least cost. Photogalvanic cell is a photoelectrochemical cell that can be chargeable in solar light by its photogalvanic effect to convert and store solar energy in electrical energy. It is an H-shaped glass tube cell containing two electrodes which were dipped in a multifaceted electrolyte solution of dye-reductant-surfactant-alkali. In this study, an integrated system of Nile blue A (as dye), L-ascorbic acid (as reductant) and Brij-35 (as surfactant) has been developed and used for formulating modified photogalvanic cell for increasing the solar energy conversion and storage capacity. This system by way of variable concentration of chemical components were used to formulate a modified photogalvanic cell. The modified photogalvanic cell indicated significantly improved performance in terms of dark potential (691 mV), open-circuit potential (1087 mV), short-circuit current (2800 μ A), power (705.60 μ W), charging time (24 min), half change time (45 min), conversion efficiency (19.67%), and fill factor (0.232). The outcome of various cell formulation parameters was studied for optimization of the cost of formulation variables for the optimal cell performance based on the proposed mechanism.

Keywords: Nile blue A; L-Ascorbic acid; Brij-35; Solar energy conversion and storage; Photogalvanic cell; Photo-dye sensitizer.

^{1,2,3*}Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur – 302 004 (Rajasthan) India.

Corresponding Author Rajendra Kumar Gunsaria^{3} ^{3*}Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur – 302 004 (Rajasthan) India. E-mail ID: ^{3*}dr.rkgunsaria_g007@yahoo.co.in

DOI: 10.31838/ecb/2023.12.s3.821

1. INTRODUCTION

The sustainable energy is the quantitative form of clean energy mainly exploited from the renewable resources of energy for example solar energy and wind energy.^[1-3] The simultaneous conversion and storage of sustainable energy is an innovative approach that has directed to an cumulative interest in energy and photo-electrochemical solar effect.^[4,5] The sun is the vital source of sustainable solar energy and it is help to sustain the life on the earth. The solar energy is a clean and abundantly available renewable source of energy for electric power generation.^[6] There are numerous techniques to harvest solar energy and convert it into electrical energy such as polymer cells, perovskite cells, dye-sensitized cells, photovoltaic cells, photogalvanic cells, etc.^[7,8] On view of above, photogalvanic cell is most promising and commercially important technique to simultaneously convert and store solar energy with sophisticated electrical output and efficiency, and intrinsic power storage capacity at low cost.^[9] In photogalvanic cell, solar energy can cause current and potential changes which is define by the photoelectrochemical reactions of the electrolyte solution. The solar energy effect on the current and potential is called photogalvanic effect. It is also known as modified Becquerel effect and Becquerel effect was first developed by scientist Becquerel in 1839.^[10] Later in 1940, the another scientist Rabinowitch has also been investigated a Thionine-Fe²⁺ photogalvanic cell for the efficient conversion of solar energy into electricity.^[11]

Several reports have been found in literature various of dye-reductantwith blends dye-reductant-surfactant surfactant. The formulas like glycerol-Azur-sodium lauryl sulphate (SLS),^[12] Indogo carmine-ascorbic acid.^[13] Brilliant green-ascorbic acidammonium lauryl ether sulphate (ALES),^[14] oxalic acid-Brilliant Cresyl,^[15] Rhodamine Bfructose-SLS,^[16] Congo red-formaldehyde-SLS. [17] Tropaeline O-oxalic acidbenzalkonium chloride,^[18] Sudan I-fructose-SLS,^[19] Indigo carmine-formic acid-SLS,^[20] Congo red-D-Xylose-Cetylpyridinium [21] chloride, Safranine O-EDTA-Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB),^[22] Toluidine blue-oxalic acid-Tween 80,^[23] Naphthol green B-fructose-SLS,^[24] Toluidine blue-arabinose-SLS,^[25] Malachite greenarabinose-SLS,^[25] Brilliant Cresyl bluefructose-SLS.^[26] Indigo Carmine-formic acid-SLS^[27] and so forth, have been reported to use in photogalvanic cell by various researchers and found various results. There are some mixed dye-reductant and dye-reductant-mixed surfactant systems has also been reported to use in photogalvanic cells such as Brilliant green+Celestine blue-EDTA and Methylene blue-xylose-SLS+CTAB, and found extraordinary results.^[28,29] Bhimwal and Gangotri have been studied the comparative performance of photogalvanic cell using Methyl orange, Rose Bengal, Toluidine blue and Brilliant Cresyl blue as dye with D-Xylose and SLS, and initiated that Methyl orangexylose-SLS system found to have highest solar energy conversion efficiency and storage capacity.^[30] The literature survey reveals that the photogalvanic system of Nile blue A-L-Ascorbic acid-Brij-35 has not been reported so far for the harvesting of solar energy, and therefore, it can potentially replace the existing chemical systems for conversion of solar energy and its storage in photogalvanic cell.

The present research focuses on the development of modified photogalvanic cell to improve the conversion and storage of solar energy with enhanced electrical output at least cost. The modification of photogalvanic cell by changing the electrode surface area and the replacement of electrolyte solution is not only reduced the cost of fabrication of photogalvanic cell but also at the same time as enhance the photogalvanic effect to a striking conversion and storage of solar energy. Therefore, it is good to achieve the economic viability and ease of commercial application of photogalvanic cell. An integrated system of Nile blue A (as dye), L-ascorbic acid (as reductant), and Brij-35 (as surfactant) has been developed and used for fabricating photogalvanic cell for enhancing the solar energy power conversion and storage This system capacity. with variable concentration of chemical components were used to fabricate a modified photogalvanic cell. The modified photogalvanic cell showed significantly improved performance in terms of dark potential (691 mV), open-circuit potential (1087 mV), short-circuit current (2800 µA), power (705.60 µW), charging time (24 min),

half change time (45 min), conversion efficiency (19.67%), and fill factor (0.232). The outcome of various cell formulation parameters was studied for optimization of the cost of formulation variables for the optimal cell performance based on the proposed mechanism.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials: In this study, chemical, Nile blue A (Synonym - Basic blue 12; General formula -2C₂₀H₂₀N₃O.SO₄; Molecular weight - 732.85 g.mol⁻¹; Physical state - Blue-to-black powder; Solubility - Soluble in water and alcohol) has been procured from Sigma Aldrich Pvt. Ltd., and L-Ascorbic acid (Synonym - Vitamin C; Assay - >99%; General formula - $C_6H_8O_6$; Molecular weight - 176.12 g.mol⁻¹; Physical state - White crystalline powder; Solubility -Soluble in water and alcohol), Brij-35 (Synonym - Polyoxyethylene lauryl ether, Polyethyleneglycol lauryl ether; Assay - >99%; General formula - C₅₈H₁₁₈O₂₄; Molecular weight - 1199.56 g.mol⁻¹; Physical state - White powder; Solubility - Soluble in water and alcohol), and sodium hydroxide (Synonym -Caustic soda; Assay - >99%; General formula -NaOH; Molecular weight - 39.99 g.mol⁻¹; Physical state - White pellet; Solubility -Soluble in water) has been procured from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd.. All the chemicals has been used as received without further purification or chemical modification.

The stock solutions, 0.001M of Nile blue A, 0.01M of L-ascorbic acid, 0.01M Brij-35 and 1M NaOH have been used and all solutions have been prepared in distilled water.

Characterization and apparatus: The potential in millivolt (mV) were preliminarily measured by digital pH meter (pH Meter, LMPH-9, *Labman Scientific Instruments Pvt. Ltd.*, Chennai, India; Range - \pm 1999 mV; Accuracy - 0.1%), and current in microampere (μ A) were measured by Micro-ammeter (Micro-ammeter, *OM Meter*, Ambala Cantt Haryana, India). The artificial sunlight was getting from 200W incandescent tungsten filament bulb and sunlight intensity were measured by light meter (HTC LX-101A Luxmeter; Accuracy - \pm 5% of the reading). A carbon pot log 470K device for changing the resistance of the circuit, a copper (Cu) wires for completing the external circuit key, a brass plug circuit key for closing the circuit, a platinum (Pt) electrode for making negative terminal of the cell, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) for making positive terminal of the cell has been used in this study. SCE used as the reference electrode.

Experimental Methods: The experimental setup consist of a H-shaped photogalvanic cell equipped with Pt and SCE electrodes, artificial light source, digital pH meter (for measuring potential), micro-ammeter (for measuring current), carbon pot log (for changing resistance of circuit) and circuit keys, which all are connected together in photogalvanic cell set up (see **ESI Fig. S1**).

The H-shaped transparent and cylindrical glass tube was fabricated and filled with an identified amount of aqueous solution of Nile blue A (dye), L-ascorbic acid (Reductant), brij-35 (Surfactant) and sodium hydroxide (Alkali medium). One arm of the tube is darkened and other side is un-darkened to illuminate with artificial light source (200W incandescent tungsten filament bulb). Both SCE and Pt electrodes are submerged in the solution in darkened and un-darkened side arm of the tube, respectively. The area of Pt electrode were reduced to 12.5 times than the reported system for the purpose of reducing the cost of the galvanic cell and it is then placed in front of artificial light source. The terminals of both electrodes were connected to a digital pH meter and micro-ammeter through a key and resistance to measure the photo-potential and photo-current, respectively. According to the experimental setup, every part of the equipment is connected to one another in a circuit manner.

In order to establish a stable potential, the circuit is initially left open and put in a dark environment to obtain the dark potential (V_{dark}). The electrolyte solution is then illuminated with artificial sunlight source while the circuit is still open to charge the cell. At various time intervals, the value of photo-potential is noted down. Maximum potential (V_{max}) is the highest potential that can be measured during illumination. The cell is then said to be fully charged when it reaches a stable potential value known as open-circuit potential (V_{oc}). V_{oc} is

little lower than the V_{max} . The cell's illumination is then turned off.

The circuit is then turned off. Maximum current (i_{max}) is defined as the largest current obtained immediately after closing the circuit at resistance zero. Short-circuit current (i_{sc}) is the result of the current obtained at a quite static value over time.

The potentiometer is used to adjust the circuit's resistance. By changing the current value from i_{sc} to zero value and noting the corresponding potential value, the i-V characteristic of the cell is investigated (by altering the circuit resistance, zero current is attained at the greatest resistance). The term "power at power point" refers to the highest product of the current and the matching potential value (maximum power extractable from the cell, P_{pp}). Current at power point (i_{pp}) and potential at power point (V_{pp}) are the abbreviations for the respective current and potential at power point.

The charging time (t) is calculated using the following formula:

t Time of high W is static d

 $= Time at which V_{oc} is attained$

- Time at which illumination is started

The fill factor (FF) and conversion efficiency (CE) have been calculated using the following formula:

$$FF = \frac{(i_{pp} \times V_{pp})}{(i_{sc} \times V_{oc})}$$
$$CF = \frac{(i_{pp} \times V_{pp} \times FF \times 100\%)}{(A \times P)}$$

Where "A" stands for Pt electrode area (cm^2) and "P" stands for average artificial solar intensity (mWcm⁻²), respectively. The half change time (t_{0.5}), which is the amount of time it takes for the cell's power to drop to half of its highest level while being extracted from it in the dark at a particular external load, is used to

measure the power storage capacity of a cell (resistance).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fabrication of modified photogalvanic cell and improved modified photogalvanic effect (solar energy conversion and storage) have been observed on the variation of working electrode surface area and concentration of dye, reductant, surfactant and alkali compounds. In this study, Nile blue A as dye, L-Ascorbic acid as reductant, Brij-35 as surfactant, sodium hydroxide (NaOH) as alkali medium, and platinum (Pt) electrode as working electrode have been used for the fabrication of modified photogalvanic cell and to improve the conversion and storage of solar energy with enhanced electrical output at least cost. The study of effect of different variables such as concentration of dye, reductant, surfactant and alkali, and surface area of Pt electrode shows that the values of these variables affects the solar energy conversion performance of the modified photogalvanic cell. There is a characteristics value of each variable at which the modified photogalvanic cell shows the highest performance. Therefore, the optimum performance of the modified photogalvanic cell can be obtained by the careful selection of values of various variables. The optimal photogalvanic cell performance have been elucidated in terms of potential, power, photocurrent and charging time. In this modified photogalvanic cell setup, diffusion length (D_L) and artificial sunlight intensity were fixed throughout the experiments and the values are 90 mm and 10.4 mW.cm⁻², respectively.

Effect of variation of photopotential: Effect of variation of photopotential has been studied by fabricating a modified photogalvanic cell consisting of 6.0 x 10^{-5} M Nile blue A dye, 1.6 x 10^{-3} M L-Ascorbic Acid reductant and 1.2 x 10^{-3} M Brij-35 surfactant. The other conditions for modified photogalvanic cell are pH = 12.84, Pt electrode size (length x width) = 0.4 cm x 0.2 cm, D_L = 90 mm, and sun light intensity = 10.4 mWcm⁻².



Figure 1: Study of change in photopotential with respect to time in modified photogalvanic cell.

On illumination of modified photogalvanic cell, it was found that the photopotential of cell increases fairly exponentially and reached to a highest potential value that is marked as " V_{max} ", which then decreases and becomes fairly constant that is marked as " V_{oc} " (**Fig. 1**). It is due to the increasing number of excited electrons and increasing number of electrons donating dye molecules during illumination. At V_{max} , the number of excited electrons and electron donating dye molecules are highest.

Effect of variation of Nile blue A dye photosensitizer concentration: Effect of variation of Nile blue A dye photosensitizer concentration has been studied by fabricating five photogalvanic cells having different concentration of dye and rest other factors remain constant in cell. Each each photogalvanic cell has packed with 25 mL electrolyte solution of dye-reductantsurfactant-alkali and double distilled water (See Table **S1** for composition ESI and concentration of each component of cell with variable Nile blue A dye concentration). The volume of 0.001M Nile blue A dye in all five cells are 0.5 mL, 1.0 mL, 1.5 mL, 2.0 mL and 2.5 mL, and the resultant concentration of dve in each cell is 2.0×10^{-5} M, 4.0×10^{-5} M, 6.0×10^{-5 10⁻⁵M, 8.0 x 10⁻⁵M and 10.0 x 10⁻⁵M, respectively. The increasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 2.0 x 10^{-5} M to 6.0×10^{-5} M concentration of Nile blue A dye and afterward the decreasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 6.0 x 10⁻⁵M to 10.0×10^{-5} M concentration of Nile blue A dye (Table 1).

Table 1: Effect of variation of Nile blue A dye	concentration on the performance of photogalvanic
(cell

	Cell Parameters	[Nile blue A] x 10 ⁻⁵ M					
Entry	(Unit)	2.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	
1	V _{dark} (mV)	560	592	691	562	578	
2	V _{max} (mV)	1148	1154	1117	1122	1032	
3	V _{oc} (mV)	1117	1128	1087	1078	1017	
4	i _{max} (µA)	1800	2200	3200	2600	2400	

Section A-Research paper

5	i_{eq} or i_{sc} (μA)	1400	1800	2800	2000	2200
6	V_{pp} (mV)	863	581	504	431	461
7	$P_{pp}(\mu W)$	517.8	581.0	705.6	603.4	553.2
8	i _{pp} (µA)	600	1000	1400	1400	1200
9	t (min)	24	33	28	20	16
10	CE (%)	20.60	19.98	19.67	20.29	16.43
11	FF	0.3311	0.2861	0.232	0.2798	0.2472

Note: [L-Ascorbic Acid] = 1.6×10^{-3} M; [Brij-35] = 1.2×10^{-3} M; pH = 12.84; Pt electrode size (Length x Width) = $0.4 \text{ cm } \times 0.2 \text{ cm}$; Diffusion length (D_L) = 90 mm; Sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm^{-2} .

Thus, a maxima was obtained in 6.0×10^{-5} M concentration of Nile blue A dye and found the maximum electrical output in modified photogalvanic cell. It was happened due to the optimal concentration of Nile blue A dye which absorb appropriate amount of solar energy and donate appropriate number of electrons to Pt electrode and found maximum performance of modified photogalvanic cell. Whereas, higher concentration of Nile blue A dye (10.0×10^{-5} M)

will not allow the absorption of appropriate amount of solar energy and therefore, a fall was obtained in the performance of modified photogalvanic cell. See **Fig. 2(A)** for graphical demonstration of photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell that was fabricated with variable concentration of Nile blue A dye and observed a peak at 6.0×10^{-5} M concentration of Nile blue A dye indicating maximum electrical output and improved performance of modified photogalvanic cell.



Figure 2: Graphical demonstration of effect of variables on the photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell. (A) Nile blue A; (B) L-Ascorbic acid; (C) Brij-35; and (C) pH.

Effect of variation of L-Ascorbic acid reductant concentration: Effect of variation of L-ascorbic acid reductant concentration has been studied by fabricating five photogalvanic cells having different concentration of reductant and rest other factors remain constant in each cell. Each photogalvanic cell has packed with 25 mL electrolyte solution of dvereductant-surfactant-alkali and double distilled water (See ESI Table S2 for composition and concentration of each component of cell with L-ascorbic variable acid reductant concentration). The volume of 0.01M Lascorbic acid reductant in all five cells are 3.0

mL, 3.5 mL, 4.0 mL, 4.5 mL and 5.0 mL, and the resultant concentration of reductant in each cell is 1.2×10^{-3} M, 1.4×10^{-3} M, 1.6×10^{-3} M, 1.8×10^{-3} M and 2.0×10^{-3} M, respectively. The increasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 1.2×10^{-3} M to 1.6×10^{-3} M concentration of L-ascorbic acid reductant and afterward the decreasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 1.6×10^{-3} M to 2.0×10^{-3} M concentration of L-ascorbic acid reductant (**Table 2**). Thus, a maxima was obtained in 1.6×10^{-3} M concentration of Lascorbic acid reductant and found the maximum electrical output in modified photogalvanic cell.

Table 2: Effect of variation of L-Ascorbic acid reductant concentration on the performance of photogalvanic cell.

	Cell Parameters	[L-Ascorbic acid] x 10^{-3} M						
Entry	(Unit)	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0		
1	V _{dark} (mV)	520	688	691	540	521		
2	V _{max} (mV)	1180	1094	1117	1138	1084		
3	$V_{oc} (mV)$	1143	1056	1027	1076	1056		
4	i _{max} (µA)	2600	2800	3200	2600	2200		
5	i_{eq} or i_{sc} (μA)	2400	2600	2800	2400	1800		
6	V_{pp} (mV)	517	522	504	536	571		
7	$P_{pp}(\mu W)$	620.4	626.4	705.6	643.2	571.0		
8	i _{pp} (μA)	1200	1200	1400	1200	1000		
9	t (min)	29	24	28	18	16		
10	CE (%)	16.86	17.17	19.67	19.25	20.61		
11	FF	0.226	0.228	0.2453	0.249	0.300		

Note: [Nile blue A] = 6.0×10^{-5} M; [Brij-35] = 1.2×10^{-3} M; pH = 12.84; Pt electrode size (Length x Width) = $0.4 \text{ cm} \times 0.2 \text{ cm}$; Diffusion length (D_L) = 90 mm; Sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm^{-2} .

It was happened due to the optimal concentration of L-ascorbic acid reductant which donate appropriate number of electrons to Nile blue A dye and found maximum performance of modified photogalvanic cell. Whereas, higher concentration of L-ascorbic acid reductant will not allow the absorption of appropriate amount of solar energy by dye molecules and hinder the mobility of dye molecules, and therefore, a fall was obtained in the performance of modified photogalvanic cell. See **Fig. 2(B)** for graphical demonstration of photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell that was fabricated with variable concentration of L-ascorbic acid

reductant and observed a peak at 1.6×10^{-3} M concentration of L-ascorbic acid reductant indicating maximum electrical output and improved performance of modified photogalvanic cell.

Effect of variation of Brij-35 surfactant concentration: Effect of variation of Brij-35 surfactant concentration has been studied by fabricating five photogalvanic cells having different concentration of surfactant and rest other factors remain constant in each cell. Each photogalvanic cell has packed with 25 mL electrolyte solution of dye-reductantsurfactant-alkali and double distilled water (See ESI Table S3 for composition and concentration of each component of cell with variable Brij-35 surfactant concentration). The volume of 0.01M Brij-35 surfactant in all five cells are 2.0 mL, 2.5 mL, 3.0 mL, 3.5 mL and 4.0 mL, and the resultant concentration of surfactant in each cell is 0.8 x 10⁻³M, 1.0 x 10⁻ ^{3}M , 1.2 x 10 ^{-3}M , 1.4 x 10 ^{-3}M and 1.6 x 10 ^{-3}M . respectively. The increasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 0.8 x 10⁻³M to 1.2 x 10⁻³M concentration of Brij-35 surfactant and afterward the decreasing trends in cell parameters was observed from 1.2×10^{-3} M to 1.6 x 10⁻³M concentration of Brij-35 surfactant (Table 3). Thus, a maxima was obtained in 1.2 x 10⁻³M concentration of Brii-35 surfactant and found the maximum electrical output in Section A-Research paper

modified photogalvanic cell. It was happened due to the critical micelle concentration (CMC) of Brij-35 surfactant which create dye-micelle system. This system allow dye molecule to eject electrons from dye-micelle system to aqueous system. The ejected electrons is then attracted by the Pt electrode and therefore got the maximum performance of modified photogalvanic cell. See Fig. 2(C) for graphical demonstration of photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell that was fabricated with variable concentration of Brij-35 surfactant and observed a peak at 1.2 x 10⁻ concentration of Brij-35 ^{3}M surfactant indicating maximum electrical output and improved performance of modified photogalvanic cell.

Table 3: Effect of variation of Brij-35 surfactant concentration on the performance of photogalvani	С
cell.	

	Cell Parameters	[Brij-35] x 10 ⁻³ M						
Entry	(Unit)	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6		
1	V _{dark} (mV)	430	528	691	583	590		
2	V _{max} (mV)	1127	1120	1117	1106	1105		
3	V _{oc} (mV)	1079	1103	1087	1078	1081		
4	i _{max} (µA)	1800	1200	3200	1000	1400		
5	i_{eq} or i_{sc} (μA)	1600	1000	2800	800	960		
6	$V_{pp}(mV)$	605	495	504	590	460		
7	$P_{pp}(\mu W)$	363.0	287.1	705.6	224.2	276.0		
8	i _{pp} (µA)	600	580	1400	380	600		
9	t (min)	36	17	28	19	20		
10	CE (%)	9.17	8.98	19.67	7.00	8.82		
11	FF	0.210	0.260	0.232	0.260	0.266		

Note: [Nile blue A] = 6.0×10^{-5} M; [L-Ascorbic Acid] = 1.6×10^{-3} M; pH = 12.84; Pt working electrode size (Length x Width) = $0.4 \text{ cm } \times 0.2 \text{ cm}$; Diffusion length (D_L) = 90 mm; Sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm^{-2} .

Effect of variation of pH: Effect of variation of pH has been studied by fabricating four photogalvanic cells having different concentration of NaOH and rest other factors remain constant in each cell. Each photogalvanic cell has packed with 25 mL dye-reductantelectrolyte solution of surfactant-alkali and double distilled water (See composition ESI Table S4 for and concentration of each component of cell with variable NaOH concentration). The volume of

1M NaOH solution in all four cells are 4.5 mL, 5.0 mL, 5.5 mL and 6.0 mL, and the resultant pH of solution in each cell is 12.80, 12.82, 12.84 and 12.86, respectively. The increasing trends in cell parameters was observed from pH 12.80 to 12.84 and afterward the decreasing trends in cell parameters was observed from pH 12.84 to 12.86 (**Table 4**). Thus, a maxima was obtained at pH 12.84 and found the maximum electrical output in modified photogalvanic cell.

	Cell Parameters	pH of Solution						
Entry	(Unit)	12.80	12.82	12.84	12.86			
1	V _{dark} (mV)	630	641	691	656			
2	$V_{max} (mV)$	1140	1098	1117	1104			
3	V _{oc} (mV)	1098	1026	1087	1029			
4	i _{max} (μA)	1000	1600	3200	2600			
5	i_{eq} or i_{sc} (μ A)	920	1400	2800	2200			
6	V_{pp} (mV)	503	526	504	533			
7	$P_{pp}(\mu W)$	251.5	315.6	705.6	533.0			
8	i _{pp} (μA)	500	600	1400	1000			
9	t (min)	46	33	28	27			
10	CE (%)	7.52	8.33	19.67	15.08			
11	FF	0.2489	0.2197	0.232	0.2354			

Table 4: Effect of variation of pH on the performance of photogalvanic cell at 60°C.

Note: [Nile blue A] = 6.0 x 10^{-5} M; [L-Ascorbic Acid] = 1.6 x 10^{-3} M; [Brij-35] = 1.2 x 10^{-3} M; Pt working electrode size (Length x Width) = 0.4 cm x 0.2 cm; Diffusion length (D_L) = 90 mm; Sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm⁻².

It was happened due to the relationship of alkali with reductant and the optimum pH value increases the availability of reductant in its anionic form and it is come in better condition to donate electrons. Thus, the performance of modified photogalvanic cell was improved at a particular pH. See **Fig. 1(D)** for graphical demonstration of photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell that was fabricated with variable pH range and observed a peak at pH 12.84 indicating maximum electrical output and improved performance of modified photogalvanic cell.

Effect of variation of platinum electrode size and area: Effect of variation of Pt electrode size and area has been studied by fabricating five photogalvanic cells with variable sizes of Pt electrode and rest other factors remain

constant in each cell which was optimized previously. The size (length x width in cm) of Pt electrode in all five cells are 0.3 x 0.2, 0.4 x 0.2, 0.4 x 0.3, 0.5 x 0.3 and 1.0 x 1.0, and the resultant area (cm²) of Pt electrode in each cell is 0.06, 0.08, 0.12, 0.15 and 1.00, respectively. The highest electrical output was observed in modified photogalvanic cell that was fabricated with Pt electrode of 0.4 cm x 0.2 cm size and 0.08 cm^2 area (**Table 5**). It was happened due to the optimal optimum number of electrons striking to the smaller sized Pt electrode and faster mobility of electrons. The smaller sized electrode containing modified photogalvanic cell was performed well and have highest electrical output (Fig. 3). It will help to reduce the cost of fabrication of photogalvanic cell and provide a more economical viable system with higher solar energy conversion and storage capacity.

	Cell	Platinum (n and Area			
	Parameters 0.3 x 0.2		0.4 x 0.2	0.4 x 0.3	0.5 x 0.3	1.0 x 1.0
Entry	(Unit)	(0.06 cm^2)	(0.08 cm^2)	(0.12 cm^2)	(0.15 cm^2)	(1.00 cm ²)
1	V _{dark} (mV)	785	691	672	638	622
2	V _{max} (mV)	1088	1117	1122	1164	1140

Table 5: Effect of variation of platinum electrode size on the performance of photogalvanic cell.

Section A-Research paper

3	V_{oc} (mV)	1069	1087	1109	1130	1114
4	i_{max} (μA)	1900	3200	2300	2200	2200
5	i_{eq} or i_{sc} (μA)	1700	2800	1800	2000	1400
6	V _{pp} (mV)	555	504	471	574.0	587
7	$P_{pp}(\mu W)$	471.75	705.60	565.20	574	587
8	i _{pp} (μA)	850	1400	1200	1000	1000
9	t (min)	19	28	27	20	15
10	CE (%)	19.62	19.67	12.82	9.3452	2.124
11	FF	02595	0.232	0.2831	0.2451	0.3763

Note: [Nile blue A] = 6.0×10^{-5} M; [L-Ascorbic Acid] = 1.6×10^{-3} M; [Brij-35] = 1.2×10^{-3} M; pH of solution = 12.84; Diffusion length (D_L) = 90 mm; Sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm^{-2} .



Figure 3: Graphical demonstration of effect of Platinum electrode area on the photocurrent and photopower of modified photogalvanic cell.

Photocurrent, photopotential and power characteristics of the modified Photogalvanic cell: A modified Photogalvanic cell has been studied consists of optimized amount of dye, reductant, surfactant and alkali such as 1.5 mL of 0.001M Nile blue A dye, 4.0 mL of 0.01M L-Ascorbic Acid reductant, 3.0 mL of 0.01M Brij-35 surfactant, 5.5 mL of 1M NaOH alkali and 11.0 mL distilled water to make up to 25 mL total volume. The other specification for this cell are $D_L = 90$ mm, sunlight intensity = 10.4 mWcm^{-2} , optimized Pt electrode area = 0.08 cm^2 , pH = 12.84, and temperature = 310K.

The photocurrent-photopotential (i-V) characteristics of the modified photogalvanic cell shows that the highest power (705.6 μ W) is extractable from cell at 504 mV photopotential and the photocurrent is 1400 μ A at this point (**Fig. 4(A); ESI Table S5**). This point is then nominated as power point. The power point power (P_{pp}) and photocurrent (i_{pp}) are 705.6 μ W and 1400 μ A, respectively. Therefore, the cell performance of modified photogalvanic cell has been studied at this stage.



Figure 5: Study of modified photogalvanic cell. (A) Photocurrent-power characteristics; (B) Cell performance with respect to time (Time vs Power; Time vs Potential; and Time vs Current).

The cell performance of modified photogalvanic cell shows that the power of cell decreases with time as a result of deactivation of dye molecules in dark (**Fig. 4(B**); **ESI Table S6**). The power at power point reduces to its half value in 45 minutes that is called as half change time ($t_{0.5}$). Even after $t_{0.5}$, the modified photogalvanic cell continuously supplies the power till its complete discharge.

Cell parameters of this modified photogalvanic cell at specification given above are summarized as $V_{dark} = 691 \text{ mV}$; $V_{max} = 1117 \text{ mV}$; $V_{oc} = 1087 \text{ mV}$; $i_{max} = 3200 \text{ }\mu\text{A}$, $i_{sc} = 2800 \text{ }\mu\text{A}$; $V_{pp} = 504 \text{ mV}$; $P_{pp} = 705.60 \text{ }\mu\text{W}$; $i_{pp} = 1400 \text{ }\mu\text{A}$; charging time (t) = 24 min; half change time (t_{0.5}) = 45 min; Potential at t_{0.5} = 289 mV; Current at t_{0.5} = 1100 μA ; Power at t_{0.5} = 317.9 μW ; CE = 19.67%; and FF = 0.232.

4. CONCLUSION

The present research on the development of modified photogalvanic cell for the conversion and storage of solar energy challenges to mitigate the enhanced conversion efficiency, storage capacity, and higher electrical output with reduced cost of fabrication. An integrated system of Nile blue A (as dye), L-ascorbic acid (as reductant) and Brij-35 (as surfactant) has been used for the fabrication of modified photogalvanic The modified cell. photogalvanic cell with optimized concentration of dye-reductant-surfactant showed significantly improved performance. It can be expressed in terms of dark potential (691 mV), open-circuit potential (1087 mV), shortcircuit current (2800 µA), power (705.60 µW),

charging time (24 min), half change time (45 min), and conversion efficiency (19.67%). The improved performance has been obtained with the small-sized platinum electrode. It is concluded that the modified photogalvanic cell with optimized concentration of dye-reductant-surfactant and small-sized platinum electrode showed improved performance, and therefore, the researcher has been able to improve the performance of cell at reduced cost of fabrication. Therefore, it is good to achieve the economic viability and ease of commercial application of photogalvanic cell.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan) for providing the pleasant environment and all necessary laboratory facilities to fulfil the present research work. SKY also thankful to CSIR India for CSIR-SRF fellowship.

5. REFERENCE

- L. C. Hollaway. Chapter 19: Sustainable energy production: Key material requirements. In: Advanced Fiber-Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composites for Structural Applications (2nd Edition). Woodhead Publishing Series in Civil and Structural Engineering, **2013**, 675-701.
- A. Qazi, F. Hussain, N. A. Rahim, G. Hardaker, D. Alghazzawi, K. Shaban and K. Haruna. Towards Sustainable Energy: A Systematic Review of Renewable Energy Sources, Technologies, and Public

Opinions. *IEEE Access*, **2019**, 7, 63837-63851.

- 3. P. A. Owusu and S. Asumadu-Sarkodie. A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation. *Cogent Engineering*, **2016**, 3, 1167990.
- 4. M. Grätzel. Photoelectrochemical cells. *Nature*, **2001**, 414, 338-344.
- 5. A. Malviya and P. P. Solanki. Photogalvanics: A sustainable and promising device for solar energy conversion and storage. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **2016**, 59, 662-691.
- A. O. M. Maka and J. M. Alabid. Solar energy technology and its roles in sustainable development. *Clean Energy*, 2022, 6(3), 476-483.
- D. Hao, L. Qi, A. M. Tairab, A. Ahmed, A. Azam, D. Luo, Y. Pan, Z. Zhang and J. Yan. Solar energy harvesting technologies for PV self-powered applications: A comprehensive review. *Renewable Energy*, 2022, 188, 678-697.
- 8. P. Koli. Photogalvanic cells: only solar cells having dual role of solar power generation and storage. *WIREs Energy Environ*, **2017**, e274.
- 9. W. D. K. Clark and J. A. Eckert. Photogalvanic cells. *Solar Energy*, **1975**, 17, 147-150.
- 10. P. J. Hillson and E. Rideal. The Becquerel effect in the presence of dyes and the action of light on dyes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, **1953**, 216, 458.
- 11. E. Rabinowitch. The photogalvanic effect I. The photochemical properties of the thionine iron system. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **1940**, 8, 551-559.
- 12. R. K. Gunsaria, S. S. Nadeem and R. N. Meena. Study in glycerol-azur A-NaLS system, solar energy conversion and storage by the use of photogalvanic cell. *J. Chem., Biol. Phys. Sci.*, **2012**, 2, 1506-1514.
- 13. S. S. Nadeem and R. K. Gunsaria. Role of reductant and dye sensitizer for solar energy conversion and storage in photogalvanic cell. Indigo Carmine–ascorbic acid system. *Int. J. Basic Appl. Chem. Sci.*, **2013**, 3, 109-116.

- 14. R. K. Gunsaria, R. N. Meena and S. S. Nadeem. Nature and effect of dye sensitizer in solar energy conversion and storage in Photogalvanic Cell: Brilliant Green-Ascorbic acid-ALES System. *Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences*, 2013, 3(2), 929-935.
- 15. R. S. Sindal, R. K. Gunsaria, M. Chandra and R. C. Meena. Role of reductant and photosensitizers in solar energy conversion and storage: oxalic acidbrilliant cresyl system. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **2006**, 31(2A), 177-183.
- 16. P. Koli. Sodium lauryl sulphate enhanced solar energy conversion by photogalvanic effect of Rhodamine B-fructose in artificial light. *Chemistry Select*, **2016**, 1(15), 4624-4629.
- 17. P. Koli, Y. Dayma, R. K. Pareek and M. Jonwal. Dye formaldehyde as a new sensitizer reductant couple for enhanced simultaneous solar energy conversion and storage by photogalvanic cells at the low and artificial sun intensity. *Scientific Reports*, **2020**, 10, 19264.
- P. Koli, R. Kumar, Y. Dayma, R. K. Pareek, A. Meena and M. Jonwal. Tropaeline O-oxalic acid-benzalkonium chloride photogalvanic cells for solar energy conversion and storage. *Battery Energy*, 2022, 1, 20220011.
- P. Koli. Sudan-I dye and Fructose chemicals based photogalvanic cells for electrochemical solar energy conversion and storage at low and artificial sun intensity. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 2021, 14, 102918
- 20. P. Koli, R. K. Pareek, Y. Dayma and M. Jonwal. Formic Acid reductant-Sodium Lauryl Sulphate Surfactant enhanced photogalvanic effect of Indigo Carmine dye sensitizer for simultaneous solar energy conversion and storage. *Energy Reports*, **2021**, 7, 3628-3638.
- 21. R. K. Gunsaria, K. Chand and R. K. Verma. Studies on the effects for the conversion and storage of solar energy in Congo-Red-D-Xylose-Cetylpyridium Chloride Structure of Cationic Microbundle on Photogalvanic Cells. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 2023, 10(2), 438-444.

- 22. P. Gangotri and K. M. Gangotri. Studies of the micellar effect on photogalvanics: solar energy conversion and storage-EDTA-safranine O-CTAB system. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **2010**, 35(1), 19-28.
- 23. R. S. Sindal and R. C. Meena. Use of surfactant in photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion and storage: tween 80oxalic acid-toluidine blue system. *International Journal of Chemical Science*, **2004**, 2, 321-330.
- 24. P. Koli. Study of enhanced photogalvanic effect of naphthol green B in natural sunlight. *J Power Sources*, **2015**, 285, 310-317.
- 25. K. R. Genwa, A. Kumar and A. Sonel. Photogalvanic solar energy conversion: study with photosensitizers toluidine blue and malachite green in presence of NaLS. *Applied Energy*, **2009**, 86, 1431-1436.
- 26. P. Koli, U. Sharma, Y. Dayma and R. K. Pareek. Photogalvanic effect of brilliant cresyl blue–fructose–surfactant–small Pt electrode- artificial light: simultaneous solar energy conversion and storage. *Energy Sources, Part A*, **2018**, 40(18), 2163-2175.

- 27. P. Koli, R. K. Pareek, Y. Dayma and M. Jonwal. Formic acid reductant-sodium lauryl sulphate surfactant enhanced photogalvanic effect of indigo carmine dye sensitizer for simultaneous solar energy conversion and storage. *Energy Reports*, **2021**, 7, 3628-3638.
- S. Yadav and C. Lal. Optimization of performance characteristics of a mixed dye based photogalvanic cell for efficient solar energy conversion and storage. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2013, 66, 271-276.
- 29. K. M. Gangotri and M. Lal. Study of photogalvanic effect in photogalvanic cell containing mixed surfactant (NaLS + CTAB), methylene blue as a photosensitizer and xylose as reductant. *Research Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 2013, 3(3), 20-25.
- 30. M. K. Bhimwal and K. M. Gangotri. A comparative study on the performance of photogalvanic cells with different photosensitizers for solar energy conversion and storage: D-Xylose-NaLS systems. *Energy*, 2011, 36, 1324-1331.



Figure S1: Experimental setup of photogalvanic cell (A = Microammeter; K = Key; R = Resistance; and V = Digital pH meter).

	Volume of chemical solution used to prepare total 25 mL mixture					R	esultant Co	ncentrat	ions
	0.001M	0.01M L-	0.01M	1M	Double	[Nile Blue-	[L-	[D ::	
Entry	Blue A	Ascorbic Acid (mL)	вгіј- 35 (mL)	NaOH	Water (mL)	AJ X 10 ⁻⁵ M	acid] x 10 ⁻³ M	[БГІ]- 35] х 10 ⁻³ М	[NaOH] nH
1	0.5	4.0	3.0	5.5	12.0	2.0	1.6	1.2	12.84
2	1.0	4.0	3.0	5.5	11.5	4.0	1.6	1.2	12.84
3	1.5	4.0	3.0	5.5	11.0	6.0	1.6	1.2	12.84
4	2.0	4.0	3.0	5.5	10.5	8.0	1.6	1.2	12.84
5	2.5	4.0	3.0	5.5	10.0	10.0	1.6	1.2	12.84

Table S1: Study of change in chemical composition of cell of variation of Nile blue A
photosensitizer dye.

Note: #Saturated Calomel Electrode as reference electrode; Pt working electrode size 0.4 cm \times 0.2 cm; and sunlight intensity 10.4 mWcm⁻².

Table S2: Study of change in chemical composition of cell of variation of L-ascorbic acid reductant.

	Volume of chemical solution used to prepare total 25 mL mixture						Resultant Concentrations			
Entry	0.001M Nile Blue A (mL)	0.01M L- Ascorbic Acid (mL)	0.01M Brij- 35 (mL)	1M NaOH (mL)	Double Distilled Water (mL)	[Nile Blue- A] x 10 ⁻⁵ M	[L- Ascorbic acid] x 10 ⁻³ M	[Brij- 35] x 10 ⁻³ M	[NaOH] pH	
1	1.5	3.0	3.0	5.5	12.0	6.0	1.2	1.2	12.84	
2	1.5	3.5	3.0	5.5	11.5	6.0	1.4	1.2	12.84	
3	1.5	4.0	3.0	5.5	11.0	6.0	1.6	1.2	12.84	
4	1.5	4.5	3.0	5.5	10.5	6.0	1.8	1.2	12.84	
5	1.5	5.0	3.0	5.5	10.0	6.0	2.0	1.2	12.84	

Note: #Saturated Calomel Electrode as reference electrode; Pt working electrode size 0.4 cm ≥ 0.2 cm; and sunlight intensity 10.4 mWcm⁻².

Table S3: Study of change in chemical composition of cell of variation of Brij-35 surfactant.

	Volume of chemical solution used to prepare								
	total 25 mL mixture					Resultant Concentrations			
		0.01M				[Nile			
	0.001M	L-	0.01M		Double	Blue-	[L-		
	Nile	Ascorbic	Brij-	1M	Distilled	A] x	Ascorbic	[Brij-	
	Blue A	Acid	35	NaOH	Water	10 ⁻⁵	acid] x	35] x	[NaOH]
Entry	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	Μ	10 ⁻³ M	10 ⁻³ M	pН
Entry 1	(mL) 1.5	(mL) 4.0	(mL) 2.0	(mL) 5.5	(mL) 12.0	M 6.0	10⁻³ M 1.6	<u>10⁻³ M</u> 0.8	pH 12.84
Entry 1 2	(mL) 1.5 1.5	(mL) 4.0 4.0	(mL) 2.0 2.5	(mL) 5.5 5.5	(mL) 12.0 11.5	M 6.0 6.0	<u>10⁻³ M</u> 1.6 1.6	<u>10⁻³ M</u> 0.8 1.0	pH 12.84 12.84
Entry 1 2 3	(mL) 1.5 1.5 1.5	(mL) 4.0 4.0 4.0	(mL) 2.0 2.5 3.0	(mL) 5.5 5.5 5.5	(mL) 12.0 11.5 11.0	M 6.0 6.0 6.0	10 ⁻³ M 1.6 1.6 1.6	10 ⁻³ M 0.8 1.0 1.2	pH 12.84 12.84 12.84
Entry 1 2 3 4	(mL) 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	(mL) 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	(mL) 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5	(mL) 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5	(mL) 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5	M 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	<u>10⁻³ M</u> 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	10 ⁻³ M 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4	pH 12.84 12.84 12.84 12.84

Note: #Saturated Calomel Electrode as reference electrode; Pt working electrode size 0.4 cm \times 0.2 cm; and sunlight intensity 10.4 mWcm⁻².

Table S4: Study of change in chemical composition of cell of variation of sodium hydroxide (NaOH))
and pH at 60° C.	

	Volume	of chemica	n used to						
	total 25 mL mixture					Resultant Concentrations			
		0.01M				[Nile			
	0.001M	L-	0.01M		Double	Blue-	[L-		
	Nile	Ascorbic	Brij-	1M	Distilled	A] x	Ascorbic	[Brij-	
	Blue A	Acid	35	NaOH	Water	10 ⁻⁵	acid] x	35] x	[NaOH]
Entry	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	(mL)	Μ	$10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$	10 ⁻³ M	pН
1	1.7								
L	1.5	4.0	3.0	4.5	12.0	6.0	1.6	1.2	12.80
2	1.5 1.5	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$	3.0 3.0	4.5 5.0	12.0 11.5	6.0 6.0	1.6 1.6	1.2 1.2	12.80 12.82
1 2 3	1.5 1.5 1.5	4.0 4.0 4.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	4.5 5.0 5.5	12.0 11.5 11.0	6.0 6.0 6.0	1.6 1.6 1.6	1.2 1.2 1.2	12.80 12.82 12.84

Note: #Saturated Calomel Electrode as reference electrode; Pt working electrode size 0.4 cm \times 0.2 cm; and sunlight intensity 10.4 mWcm⁻².

Table S5: Photocurrent-power (i-V) characteristics of modified photogalvanic cell.

Entry	Photocurrent (µA)	Photopotential (mV)	Power (µW)
1	2800	21	58.8
2	2600	78	202.2
3	2400	112	268.8
4	2200	160	352
5	2000	272	544
6	1800	308	554.4
7	1600	389	622
8	1400	504	705.6
9	1200	566	679.2
10	1000	662	662
11	800	735	588
12	600	824	494.4
13	400	915	366
14	000	1108	0

Table S6: Study of performance of modified photogalvanic cell with respect to time.

Entry	Time (min)	Power (µW)	Potential (mV)	Current (µA)
1	0	705.6	504	1400
2	5	681.8	487	1400
3	10	564.44	412	1370
4	15	525.15	389	1350
5	20	494.76	372	1330
6	25	475.8	366	1300
7	30	460.2	354	1300
8	35	417.5	334	1250
9	40	361.2	301	1200
10	45	317.9	289	1100