

FUZZY \tilde{G} - HOMEOMORPHISMS



K.Balasubramaniyan¹, R.Prabhakaran²

ArticleHistory:Received:25.03.2023

Revised:10.05.2023

Accepted:26.06.2023

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce $f\tilde{g}$ - homeomorphisms and prove that the collection of $f\tilde{g}^*$ - homeomorphisms forms a group under the operation composition of functions.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar – 608002
Chidambaram, Cuddalore District, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: kgbalumaths@gmail.com

Deputed:^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Arignar Anna Government Arts College,
Vadachennimalai - 636121, Attur, Salem District, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: pkraviprabha@gmail.com

DOI: 10.31838/ecb/2023.12.1.456

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We recall the definitions of image and inverse image defined by Zadeh [13] and related properties proved by Chang [6]. Different types of generalizations of continuous function were introduced and studied by various authors in the recent development of fuzzy topology. For example ([6],[2],[9],[3],[8],[7],[1],[11],[10])

Definition 1.1. A fuzzy subset H of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is called a fuzzy \tilde{g} -closed set (shortly denotes $f\tilde{g}$ -closed) [4] if $cl(H) \leq U$ whenever $H \leq U$ and U is fsg-open.

Proposition 1.2. [5] If a fuzzy function $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ is fuzzy \tilde{g} -irresolute then fuzzy \tilde{g} -continuous but not conversely.

2. $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphisms

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy bijection $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ is called

- (1) fuzzy \tilde{g} -homeomorphism (briefly $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism) if f is both $f\tilde{g}$ -continuous and $f\tilde{g}$ -open.
- (2) Fuzzy \tilde{g}' -homeomorphism (briefly $f\tilde{g}'$ -homeomorphism) if both f and f^{-1} are $F\tilde{g}$ -irresolute.

We denote the concepts of all $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphisms (resp. $f\tilde{g}'$ -homeomorphisms and fuzzy homeomorphisms) of a fuzzy topological spaces (X, F_τ) onto itself by $f\tilde{g}$ -h (X, F_τ) (resp. $f\tilde{g}'$ -h (X, F_τ) and fh (X, F_τ)).

Proposition 2.2. Each fuzzy homeomorphism is a $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism.

Proof. The proof is easy consequences of Definitions.

Remark 2.3. The following example show that the converse of Proposition 2.2 is need not be true.

Example 2.4. Consider $X = Y = \{m, n\}$ and $F_\tau = \{0_X, A, B, 1_X\}$ where A is a fuzzy sets in X defined by $A(m) = 0.5, A(n) = 0$ and $F_\sigma = \{0_Y, B, 1_Y\}$ where B is a fuzzy set in Y defined by $B(m) = 1, B(n) = 0$. Then (X, F_τ) and (Y, F_σ) are fuzzy topological space. Let be $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ the identity fuzzy function. Then f is $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism but not fuzzy homeomorphism.

Proposition 2.5. Each $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -homeomorphism is a f_{ω} -homeomorphism (resp. f_g -homeomorphism).

Proof. Since any $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -continuous function is f_{ω} -continuous (resp. f_g -continuous) and each $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open functions is f_{ω} -open.

Remark 2.6. The following example show that the converse of Proposition 2.5 is need not be true.

Example 2.7. Consider $X = Y = \{m, n\}$ and $F_{\tau} = \{0_X, A, 1_X\}$ where A is a fuzzy set in X defined by $A(m) = 0.4, A(n) = 0.5$ and $F_{\sigma} = \{0_Y, B, 1_Y\}$ where B is a fuzzy set in Y defined by $B(m) = 0.3, B(n) = 0.5$. Then (X, F_{τ}) and (Y, F_{σ}) are fuzzy topological space. Let $f : (X, F_{\tau}) \rightarrow (Y, F_{\sigma})$ be the identity fuzzy function. Then f is f_{ω} -homeomorphism but not $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -homeomorphism.

Example 2.8. The identity fuzzy function f in Example 2.7, is f_g -homeomorphism but not $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 2.9. Let $f : (X, F_{\tau}) \rightarrow (Y, F_{\sigma})$ be a bijective $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -continuous function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) F is a $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open function.
- (2) F is a $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -homeomorphism.
- (3) F is a $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -closed function.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let M be an fuzzy open set of (X, F_{τ}) . By assumption, $(f^{-1})^{-1}(M) = f(M)$ is $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open in (Y, F_{σ}) and so f is $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let S be a fuzzy closed set of (X, F_{τ}) . Then S^c is fuzzy open set in (X, F_{τ}) . By assumption, $f(S^c)$ is $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open in (Y, F_{σ}) . That is $f(S^c) = (f(S))^c$ is $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -open in (Y, F_{σ}) and therefore $f(S)$ is $f_{\tilde{g}}$ -closed in (Y, F_{σ}) . Thus f is fuzzy \tilde{g} -closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let N be a fuzzy closed set of (\mathbf{X}, F_τ) . By assumption, $f(N)$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -closed in (Y, F_σ) . But $f(N) = (f^{-1})^{-1}(N)$ and hence f^{-1} is $f\tilde{g}$ -continuous.

Remark 2.10. The following example show that composition of two $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism functions but not $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism function.

Example 2.11. Consider $X = Y = Z = \{m, n\}$ with $F_\tau = \{0_X, A, B, 1_X\}$ where A, B are fuzzy sets in X defined by $A(m) = 0.5, A(n) = 0$ and $B(m) = 1, B(n) = 0$ and $F_\sigma = \{0_Y, C, 1_Y\}$ where C is a fuzzy set in Y defined by $C(m) = 1, C(n) = 0$ and $F_\eta = \{0_Z, D, E, 1_Z\}$ where D and E are fuzzy sets in Z defined by $D(m) = 0.4, D(n) = 0$ and $E(m) = 1, E(n) = 0$. Then $(X, F_\tau), (Y, F_\sigma)$ and (Z, F_η) are fuzzy topological space. Let $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ be the identity fuzzy function and $g : (Y, F_\sigma) \rightarrow (Z, F_\eta)$ be the identity fuzzy function. Then both f and g are $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism but their composition $g \circ f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Z, F_\eta)$ is not a $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism.

Proposition 2.12. Each $f\tilde{g}^*$ -homeomorphism is a $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism but not conversely. i.e., for any space (\mathbf{X}, F_τ) , $f\tilde{g}^*\text{-h}(\mathbf{X}, F_\tau) \leq g\text{-h}(\mathbf{X}, F_\tau)$.

Proof. It is followed by the Proposition 1.2 and the fact that any fuzzy \tilde{g}^* -open function is fuzzy \tilde{g} -open.

Remark 2.13. The following example show that the converse of Proposition 2.12 is need not be true.

Example 2.14. Consider $X = Y = \{m, n\}$ and $F_\tau = \{0_X, A, B, 1_X\}$ where A and B are fuzzy sets in X defined by $A(m) = 0.4, A(n) = 0, B(m) = 1, B(n) = 0$ and $F_\sigma = \{0_Y, C, 1_Y\}$ where C is a fuzzy set in Y defined by $C(m) = 1, C(n) = 0$. Then (X, F_τ) and (Y, F_σ) are fts. Let $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ be the identity fuzzy function. Then f is a $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism but not a $f\tilde{g}^*$ -homeomorphism.

Proposition 2.15. Each $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism is a fg -homeomorphism.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 2.5 and 2.12.

Remark 2.16. The following examples show that the converse of Proposition 2.15 is need not be true.

Example 2.17. The identity fuzzy function f in Example 2.7 is a fg -homeomorphism but not a $f\tilde{g}^*$ -homeomorphism.

Definition 2.18. A fuzzy bijective function $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ is said to be a fuzzy gc -homeomorphism if f and f^{-1} are fuzzy gc -irresolute functions.

Proposition 2.19. Each $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism is a fuzzy gc -homeomorphism.

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.18 and Definition 2.1(1).

Remark 2.20. The following example show that the converse of Proposition 2.19 is need not be true.

Example 2.21. The identity fuzzy function f in Example 2.7 is fuzzy gc -homeomorphism but not $f\tilde{g}^*$ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 2.22. If $f : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, F_\sigma)$ and $g : (Y, F_\sigma) \rightarrow (Z, F_\eta)$ are $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphisms, then their composition $gof : (X, F_\tau) \rightarrow (Z, F_\eta)$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -homeomorphism.

Proof. Let M be $f\tilde{g}$ -open set in (Z, F_η) . Now, $(gof)(M) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(M)) = f^{-1}(N)$, where $N = g^{-1}(M)$. By hypothesis, N is $f\tilde{g}$ -open in (Y, F_σ) and so again by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(N)$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -open in (X, F_τ) . Therefore, gof is $f\tilde{g}$ -irresolute.

Also for a $f\tilde{g}$ -open set U in (X, F_τ) , we have $(gof)^{-1}(U) = g(f(U)) = g(V)$, where $V = f(U)$. By hypothesis $f(U)$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -open in (Y, F_σ) and so again by hypothesis, $g(f(U))$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -open in (Z, F_η) i.e., $(gof)(U)$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -open in (Z, F_η) and therefore $(gof)^{-1}$ is $f\tilde{g}$ -irresolute. Therefore gof is a $f\tilde{g}^*$ -homeomorphism.

3. References

- [1] K.K.Azad, On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *J.Math.Anal.*, 82(1)(1981),14-32.
- [2] G.Balasubramanian and P.Sundaram, On some generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 86(1)(1997),93-100.
- [3] G.Balasubramanian, On fuzzy pre-separation axioms, *Bull.Cal.Math.Soc.*, 90(6)(1998),427-434.
- [4] K.Balasubramaniyan and R.Prabhakaran, On fuzzy \tilde{g} -closed sets and it's properties, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(4)(2020),2167-2170.
- [5] K.Balasubramaniyan and R.Prabhakaran, Fuzzy \tilde{g} -continuous maps, (To appear).
- [6] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.*, 24(1968),182-190.
- [7] M.E.El-Shafei and A.Zakari, Semi-generalized continuous mappings fuzzy topological spaces, *J.EgyptMath.Soc.*, 15(1)(2007),57-67.
- [8] R.K.Saraf, M.Caldas and S.Mishra, Results via $Fg\alpha$ -Closed sets and $F\alpha g$ -closed sets, preprint.
- [9] R.K.Saraf and M.Khanna, Fuzzy generalized semi pre closed sets, *J.Tripura Math.Soc.*, 3(2001),59-68.
- [10] M.Sudha, E.Roja and M.K.Uma, Slightly fuzzy ω -continuous mappings, *Int.Journal of Math Analysis*, 5(16)(2011),779-787.
- [11] S.S.Thakur and R.K.Saraf, α -compact fuzzy topological spaces, *Math.Bohemica*, 120(3)(1995),299-303.
- [12] R.H.Warren, Continuity of mappings on fuzzy topological spaces, *Notices Amer. Math.Soc.*, 21(1974),442-451.
- [13] L. A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8(1965),338-353.