



Precycle Estradiol in synchronization and scheduling of antagonist protocol

Soumaya Mohamed Hassan, Hoda Mohamed Abdel Aal, Mohamed fekryoussef, Reham Abdelwahed Mohamed Abdelsalam

Obstetrics and Gynaecology department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Corresponding author: Reham Abdelwahed Mohamed Abdelsalam
Email:

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) is the initial stage of in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) procedures. COH aims to produce multiple follicular development in order to harvest a suitable number of oocytes, which can later be fertilized.

Methods: Women aged 20 to 40 years old who attended at the IVF unit (Kasr El- aini hospital - Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University) and private centers for IVF, the study included 72 infertile women who were candidate to receive the conventional antagonist protocol. They randomly divided into 2 groups: Group (A) (n=36): Estradiol valerate (E2) is administered at daily dose of 4 mg from day 20 of the preceding cycle onwards for maximum 10 days. Stimulation with FSH should be started with the gap of 1 day after the last dose of E2. Group (B) (n=36): served as controls that remain untreated during the luteal phase.

Results: All statistical calculations were done using computer program IBM SPSS. The mean follicular size at day 8 among the pretreatment group (13.1 mm ± 0.1) was less than that among control group (14.6 mm ± 0.4). The mean number of follicles ≥ 16 mm at the day of trigger among the pretreatment group (9.8 ± 0.4) was more than that among the control group (7.7 ± 0.7). The mean number of mature follicles among the pretreatment group (7.3 ± 0.4) was more than that among the control group (6.3 ± 0.6). However the mean days of stimulation among the pretreatment group (11.0 ± 0.9) was longer than that among control group (10.1 ± 1.3). The total FSH dose

among the pretreatment group (2668.2 ± 62.5) was higher than that among the control group (2439.8 ± 110.5). There were no significant difference regarding number of embryo transfer and occurrence of chemical pregnancy.

Conclusions: The present study confirmed that a luteal estradiol pretreatment resulted in more synchronized follicles and increased number of mature follicles with prolongation of stimulation and increased FSH dose of stimulation, without deleterious impact on the chemical pregnancy rates.

Key words: Luteal estradiol, Estradiol valerate pretreatment, GnRH antagonist protocol, Synchronization of follicles, ovarian stimulation, IVF/ICSI.

INTRODUCTION

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) is the initial stage of in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) procedures. COH aims to produce multiple follicular development in order to harvest a suitable number of oocytes, which can later be fertilized (1).

There are three basic COS protocols including the long agonist protocol, antagonist protocol, and the minimal stimulation protocol. Different nomenclature is used for these protocols, but the basic components are the same (2). The agonist and antagonist protocols have comparable results, while the acceptance of antagonist protocol on a much wider scale has as yet not been observed. This is owing to the perceived lack of flexibility of the antagonist protocol (3).

Follicular recruitment starts in the luteal phase of the preceding cycle under the influence of the intracycle FSH rise starting 3–5 days before the onset of menses. Hence, the cohort which will respond to stimulation started on day 2 or 3 of menses as the antagonist protocol has already been decided before the start of stimulation. This explains the slight reduction in oocyte yield and reduction in OHSS with antagonist protocol compared with long agonist protocol. This is of advantage in hyper-responders where limitation in response of follicular growth is desired. Asynchronous multimolecular growth seen more in antagonist cycles as compared to long protocol may be a direct consequence of size heterogeneities of early antral follicles during early follicular phases of controlled ovarian stimulation (4).

Ovarian E2 exerts negative feedback within the reproductive axis that includes inhibition of GnRH secretion and suppression of GnRH responsiveness. The concept of luteal E2 was first suggested by Fan chin and associates, (5), during lute-follicular transition; FSH preserves early antral follicles from atresia and ensures their growth.

The aim of work was to assessment of the effectiveness of recycle estradiol to improve size homogeneity of antral follicles and increase the number of follicles reaching maturation at the same time during antagonist protocol.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was a randomized case control trial conducted in IVF unit (Kasr El-Aini hospital - Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University) and private centers in the period between October 2019 to August 2021.

The study included 72 infertile women who were candidate to receive the conventional antagonist protocol.

They randomly divided into 2 groups:

Group (A) (n=36): Estradiol valerate (E2) is administered at daily dose of 4mg from day 20 until next cycle day 1 (for maximum 10 days). Stimulation with FSH should be started with the gap of 1 day after the last dose of E2.

Group (B) (n=36): served as controls that remain untreated during the luteal phase.

Women with infertility (primary or secondary) (male or tubal or unexplained) candidate for IVF, the study was done at Kasr Al-Aini hospital, Obstetrics & Gynecology department, IVF unit and private centers.

Inclusion criteria:

Normal day 3 FSH level and FSH to LH ratio, Good ovarian reserve, regular menses and candidate for ICSI.

Exclusion criteria:

Age above 40 years, untreated thyroid dysfunction, untreated hyperprolactinemia, functional ovarian cysts, autoimmune disease like SLE, presence of endometriosis and patients diagnosed with PCO.

Written Consent: It was obtained from women included in the study. Full History Taking Including: Name, age, 1st day of last menstrual period (LMP), medical and surgical history, types of infertility: (primary or secondary) (due to male or female factors or unexplained), duration of infertility and history of previous trial of controlled ovarian stimulations: by which protocol and its result. Thorough Clinical Examination: Height (in cm) and weight (in kg) measurements and basal Ultrasound: to assess uterine cavity and for AFC.

Investigations: Day 2 or 3 of menstruation FSH, LH, E2 and AMH, Prolactin, TSH.

The statistical analysis: Data were statistically described in terms of mean \pm standard deviation (SD), median and range, or frequencies (number of cases) and percentages when appropriate. Because the groups are large enough, comparison of numerical variables between the study groups was done using Student *t* test for independent samples. For comparing categorical data, Chi-square (χ^2) test was performed. Exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is less than 5. Two-sided *p* values less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were done using computer program IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) release 22 for Microsoft Windows.

RESULTS

This study was a randomized case control one conducted in IVF unit (Kasr El-aini hospital - Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University) and private centers in the period between October 2019 to August 2021.

Seventy two (72) infertile women were included in the study. Women candidate for IVF and included in the study were divided into two groups, group A received E2 before starting ovarian stimulation and group B started stimulation without E2 before. Ovarian stimulation was achieved through antagonist protocol.

	Pretreatment (36)	Control (36)	P Value*
Age(y)	29.47 \pm 4.766	30.72 \pm 5.854	0.324
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.53 \pm 5.36	27.75 \pm 5.97	0.869
Medical history	1 (2.8%)	3 (8.4%)	0.499
Surgical history	9 (25%)	10 (27.8%)	0.789

Table 1: baseline characteristics of the included study

there were no statistically significant difference in the term of age, BMI, medical history, surgical history, (*p*-value >0.05)

	Pretreatment group (N= 36)	Control group (N= 36)	P-value
Follicular size at day8	13.1 \pm 0.1	14.6 \pm 0.4	\leq 0.001
Days of stimulation	11 \pm 0.9	10.1 \pm 1.3	\leq 0.001
Total fish dose(IU)	2668.2 \pm 62.5	2439.8 \pm 110.5	\leq 0.001
Egg retrieved	9.2 \pm 0.7	7.2 \pm 0.4	\leq 0.001
MII oocytes	7.3 \pm 0.4	6.3 \pm 0.6	\leq 0.001

Number of Embryo transfer	2.03±0.167	2±0.414	0.688
Chemical pregnancy (positive)	24 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)	1

Table 2: outcomes of the included study

there were statistically significant difference in the term of Follicular number at day 8, Days of stimulation, Total FSH (IU)dose, Egg retrieved and MII oocytes (p-value < 0.05).on the other hand there were statistically significant difference in Number of Embryo transfer and Chemical pregnancy (positive) (p-value > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) is the initial stage of *in vitro*fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI) procedures. COH aims to produce multiple follicular development in order to harvest a suitable number of oocytes, which can later be fertilized (1).

There are three basic COS protocols including the long agonist protocol, antagonist protocol, and the flare protocol. Different nomenclature is used for these protocols, but the basic components are the same (2)

The acceptance of antagonist protocol on a much wider scale has as yet not been observed. This is owing to the perceived lack of flexibility of the antagonist protocol (6).

The current study was an observational one conducted in IVF unit (Kasr El- aini hospital - Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University) and private centers .It aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of recycle estradiol to improve size homogeneity of antral follicles and increases the number of follicles reaching maturation at once during antagonist protocol.

The study included Seventytwo(72)infertilewomencandidatesforIVF.They were divided into control group (N=36) and E2 pretreatment group(N=36).

Results of our study concluded in that there was significant difference in mean size of follicles, number of follicles ≥ 16 mm at the day of trigger, duration of stimulation between both groups, number of follicles at day 8, dose of fish, number of oocytes retrieved and number of mature follicles. While there was no significant difference regarding the number of embryo transfer and occurrence of chemical pregnancy between both groups.

In agreement of our findings, **fan chin et al.**, (5) did prospective study on 100 female candidates for antagonist protocol. They divided into pretreatmentgroup

(47) received 4mg estradiol valerate from day 20 till day 2 and control group (43)

remainuntreatedduringlutealphase.Allreceivedconventionalantagonistprotocol.

Results: the mean follicular size at day 8 among pretreatment group = 9.9 ± 0.2 while among control 11.1 ± 0.3 (significant p value). Days of stimulation among pretreatment 11.9 ± 0.2 while in control 10.8 ± 0.2 (significant p value). Dose of stimulation among pretreatment 2674 ± 91 while in control 2463 ± 100 (non-significant p value).

A prospective controlled study done by **Bloc kee et al.**, (7) on 86 normogonadotrophic women enrolled in an assisted reproduction program. In the control group (n=39), a standard GnRH-antagonist protocol was applied; the E2 pretreatment group (n=37) underwent a modified treatment protocol with estradiol valerate administration during 6–10 consecutive days (from cycle day 25 onwards) prior to the start of recombinant FSH (fresh) stimulation.

With regard to the outcome of ovarian stimulation in both groups, a longer duration of stimulation was observed in the pretreatment group (9.6 ± 1.4 days versus 8.6 ± 1.5 days in the control group; $P=0.004$) and higher total dose of gonadotropins was observed in the pretreatment group (1485.1 ± 248.7 versus 1295.0 ± 254.2 in the control group; $P=0.002$).

The patients were randomized to receive 17β -estradiol (4 mg/d) or no pretreatment before daily recombinant FSH administration started on the first day of estrogen discontinuation or on cycle day 2 in no pretreatment women.

The mean numbers of retrieved oocytes (10.9 ± 5.7 vs. 10.2 ± 5.6) and obtained embryos (5.5 ± 3.7 vs. 4.8 ± 3.7) were not significantly different between women allocated to estrogen pretreatment (n = 238) and no pretreatment (n = 234). Total FSH amount ($1,557 \pm 408$ vs. $1,389 \pm 347$ IU) and stimulation duration (10.8 ± 1.4 vs. 10.0 ± 1.5 days) were slightly but significantly increased in pretreated patients. Positive pregnancy tests, ultrasound pregnancy rate, and delivery rate per cycle were similar (36%, 33%, and 26.6%, respectively, vs. 38.2%, 35.4%, and 30%).

So, the results of the previously mentioned two studies agreed with ours regarding the duration of stimulation and dose of gonadotropins as in both studies a longer duration of stimulation and a higher total dose of gonadotropins were observed in the pretreatment group.

Retrospective cohort study was done by **Fraticelli et al.**, (8) to evaluate whether the luteal estradiol protocol for expected poor-responders improves embryo number and quality. The luteal phase estradiol protocol showed a statistically significantly greater number of embryos with $>$ or $=$ 7 cells, oocytes retrieved, mature oocytes, and embryo that did the standard protocol. There was no difference between the two protocols with respect to basal antral follicle count, days of

stimulation, number of follicles. A trend toward improved pregnancy outcomes was found with the luteal estradiol protocol.

Another retrospective cohort study done by **change et al.**, (9) on 155 poor responder patients subjected to IVF/ICSI were analyzed. In luteal E2 treatment

protocol ($n = 86$), oral estradiol valerate 4 mg/day was initiated on luteal day 21 and

either stopped at menstrual cycle day 3 (Protocol A, $n = 28$) or continued during the period of ovarian stimulation until the day of chg. injection (Protocol B, $n = 58$).

IVF parameters and pregnancy outcome of luteal E2 treatments group were compared with a standard GnRH antagonist protocol ($n = 69$) which the patients received no hormonal pretreatment.

With regard to the outcome of the study, cancellation rate was lower with luteal E2 group (15.1% vs 37.7%, $p < 0.01$). Moreover, patients treated with

luteal estrogen resulted in an increased number of oocytes retrieved (4.5 ± 2.9 vs 3.2 ± 1.9 ; $p < 0.01$).

A trend toward increases in number of normally fertilized embryos (2.9 ± 2.1 vs 2.3 ± 1.9 ; $p = 0.043$), and increased prevalence of good quality embryos (51.2% vs 25%; $p = 0.047$) were noted.

So, the results of the previously mentioned two studies agreed with ours regarding that the luteal estradiol prior to ovarian stimulation increased number of eggs retrieved. While these results come in contrast to ours regarding the quality of

embryo and the pregnancy rate when used in patients deemed to have a poor ovarian response to IVF.

Omar et al., (10) conducted a retrospective study to evaluate luteal estradiol pretreatment of poor and normal responders during GnRH antagonist protocol.

They included women undergoing IVF program who were given a course of 4 mg oral estradiol-17b daily from day 20 of the same cycle until day 1 of their next cycle

before starting an antagonist protocol, forming pretreatment-group but control-group started on day 3 stimulation without pretreatment. The total was

divided into 2 groups (poor (group 1, $n = 148$) and normal responders (group 2, $n = 244$)). Their findings showed for group 1 a significant

decrease in cancellation rate (3% vs 14%) and a significant improvement in clinical outcomes (clinical pregnancy per transfer and live birth rate

respectively: 47% and 44% vs 12% and 11%). For group 2, this pretreatment could increase significantly the maturation rate (77% vs 68%). The

rate

of frozen embryos was improved in both groups: (group 1: 11% vs 2% and group 2: 53% vs 41%). Luteal estradiol pretreatment increases the frozen

embryos rate whatever the nature of the ovarian response, but especially

for normal responders it coordinates follicular recruitment increasing the maturation rate. In the case of poor responders, it affects positively clinical outcomes decreasing the canceled cycles.

Concerning the second lot (Group 2, n = 244) treating a normal responder, no significant difference was observed in the number of oocytes retrieved ($11.46 \pm$ vs 12.02 ± 3.31) which comes in contrast to our result.

In the agreement with our study, the aforementioned study showed an important increase in follicular maturation.

The aforementioned study showed an important increase in embryological outcomes (maturation, fertilization and frozen embryos rate) which was not a point of study in ours.

Conclusion

The present study confirmed that a luteal estradiol pre-treatment resulted in more synchronized follicles and increased number of mature follicles with prolongation of stimulation and increased FSH dose of stimulation, without deleterious impact on the chemical pregnancy rates.

REFERENCES

1. Homburg R. Ovulation induction and controlled ovarian stimulation, a practical guide. 2nd ed. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing;2014.
2. Nardoo LG, Bosch E, Lambalk CB, Gelbaya TA. Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation regimens: a review of the available evidence for clinical practice. Produced on behalf of the BFS Policy and Practice Committee. Hum Fertil (Camb) 2013.
3. Kolibianakis E, Zikopoulos K, Camus M, Tournaye H, Van Steirteghem A, Devroey P. Modified natural cycle for IVF does not offer a realistic chance of parenthood in poor responders with high day 3 FSH levels, as a last resort prior to oocyte donation. Hum Reprod, 2004;19, 2545–2549.
4. Al-Inany HG, Aboulghar M, Mansour R and Serour GI. Optimizing GnRH antagonist administration: meta-analysis of fixed vs flexible protocol. Reprod Biomed Online, 2005;10,567–570.
5. Fanchin R, Salomon L, Castelo-Branco A. Luteal estradiol pre-treatment coordinates follicular growth during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation with GnRH antagonists. Hum Reprod.2003; 18(12):2698–703.
6. Kolibianakis EM, Collins J, Tarlatzis BC. Among patients treated for IVF with gonadotrophins and GnRH analogues, is the probability of live birth dependent on the type of analogue used? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2006.

7. Blockeel C, Engels S, De Vos M. Oestradiolvalerate pretreatment in GnRH – antagonist cycles: a randomized controlled trial. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2012; 24: 272–80.
8. Frattarelli JL, Hill MJ, McWilliams GD. A luteal estradiol protocol for expected poor responders improves embryo number and quality. *FertilSteril*. 2008; 89: 1118–11222.
9. Chang EM, Han JE, Won HJ. Effect of estrogen priming through luteal phase and stimulation phase in poor responders in in-vitro fertilization. *J AssitReprod Genet*.2012; 29: 225–30.
- 10.Omar S, Aicha M, Ismail K, Noureddine L. Luteal estradiol pretreatment of poor and normal responders during GnRH antagonist protocol, *Gynecological Endocrinology*, 2019. DOI:10.1080/09513590.2019.1622086