PHYTOREMEDIATION FOR GREENER AND CLEANER PLANET

Arup Kumar Poddar

Article History: Received: 15.02.2023 **Revised:** 31.03.2023 **Accepted:** 15.05.2023

Abstract

Phytoremediation is discussed in this article; it is a green technology that makes use of plants to cleanse, stabilise, and eliminate environmental toxins. We looked at three examples: the use of sunflowers to remove radioactive contaminants from water in Chernobyl, Ukraine; the use of willows to stabilise and reduce heavy metals in soil in Silver Bow Creek, Montana; and the use of alpine pennycress to remove heavy metals from contaminated soil in Bunker Hill, Idaho, USA. The key findings emphasise the adaptability of phytoremediation, the significance of plant selection, and the long-term viability and scalability of this approach. More funding should be allocated to support phytoremediation research and application, as well as more research to identify more phytoremediating plant species and promote phytoremediation as a cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternative to traditional remediation methods. This extensive analysis highlights the potential of phytoremediation as an efficient approach to environmental cleanup.

Keywords: Environmental pollution, Sustainable solution, Case studies, Plant selection, Large-scale application, Phytoremediation

Professor, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India

DOI: 10.31838/ecb/2023.12.1.303

1. Introduction

By utilising plants' inherent detoxification, stabilisation, and removal of pollutants, phytoremediation offers a novel and long-term answer to environmental degradation. This eco-friendly technology offers a viable alternative to conventional remediation strategies, considerably enhancing the cleanup of damaged areas and the earth as a whole. The vast spectrum of environmental contamination issues that phytoremediation has been shown to be able to handle has led to its widespread use over the years (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

Using three case studies—Chernobyl in the Ukraine, Silver Bow Creek in Montana, and Bunker Hill in Idaho—this essay gives a comprehensive discussion on the role of phytoremediation in attaining a cleaner and greener earth. These cases highlight the versatility, sustainability, and potential of phytoremediation for large-scale applications, underscoring its importance as an effective solution for environmental clean-up (Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The research methodology for this article involved a comprehensive literature review of peer-reviewed articles, technical reports, and case studies pertaining to phytoremediation. We focused on three case studies—Chernobyl, Ukraine; Silver Bow Creek, Montana, USA; and Bunker Hill, Idaho, USA—to exemplify the application of phytoremediation techniques in different scenarios. Information about plant species used, types of contaminants addressed, and outcomes achieved was systematically extracted from the sources. The data was then analyzed to identify key findings and develop recommendations.

PHYTOREMEDIATION'S ROLE IN A GREENER AND CLEANER PLANET

Phytoremediation is a biological process that utilizes the inherent properties of certain plants to remove, degrade, or contain environmental contaminants, ultimately leading to a greener and cleaner planet (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2021). By exploiting the natural abilities of plants to extract, stabilize, or metabolize various pollutants, phytoremediation offers an environmentally friendly, cost-effective, and aesthetically pleasing alternative to traditional clean-up methods (Pilon-Smits, 2005).

Different types of phytoremediation techniques have been identified and are used based on the nature of the pollutant and the characteristics of the contaminated site. These include phytoextraction, phytostabilization, phytodegradation, phytovolatilization, and rhizofiltration (Salt, Smith, & Raskin, 1998). Each of these techniques uses plants differently to remove, stabilize, or break down pollutants, making phytoremediation a versatile approach to environmental clean-up.

Phytoextraction uses plants that can accumulate high levels of pollutants in their tissues, thus removing contaminants from the soil (Salt et al., 1998). Reduced bioavailability and the elimination of migration to groundwater and the atmosphere are two benefits of phytostabilization (Mench, Lepp, Bert, Schwitzguébel, Gawronski, Schröder, & Vangronsveld, 2010). Phytodegradation, on the other hand, is the process by which plant enzymes or rhizosphere bacteria break down contaminants within plant tissues or the rhizosphere (Cunningham & Berti, 1993).

Rhizofiltration uses the root systems of plants to absorb and concentrate water-borne pollutants, while phytovolatilization involves the uptake and transpiration of volatile contaminants. Phytoremediation, which employs these many methods, is effective against many forms of environmental contamination, including metals, radionuclides, pesticides, and organic pollutants (US EPA, 2021).

Phytoremediation's contribution to a cleaner, greener world goes beyond simply reducing pollution. Carbon sequestration benefits from this, as does a smaller carbon footprint and lessening the effects of global warming (Pilon-Smits, 2005). Plants employed in phytoremediation can provide habitat for local wildlife, contribute to soil stabilisation, and increase the aesthetic appeal of degraded lands, all of which help to promote biodiversity and ecological restoration (Mench et al., 2010).

However, there are difficulties associated with phytoremediation. Several factors, including soil composition, climate, and the bioavailability of contaminants, might affect the success of phytoremediation (Cunningham & Berti, 1993). To avoid secondary pollution, proper management is required for the disposal of plant biomass that has collected contaminants (Pilon-Smits, 2005). Despite these obstacles, research and technical developments are enhancing the efficacy and usability of phytoremediation at an ever-increasing rate.

To sum up, phytoremediation is essential to making the world a healthier, more beautiful place. Phytoremediation is a green approach to cleaning up polluted areas that uses plants' inherent detoxification properties to improve biodiversity, restore ecosystems, and reduce the effects of climate change. Phytoremediation provides a route towards a more sustainable and resilient future in the face of mounting environmental concerns (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2021).

CHERNOBYL, UKRAINE: SUNFLOWER PHYTOREMEDIATION FOR CLEANER PLANET

Large quantities of radioactive contaminants were released into the environment, including water bodies, during the 1986 nuclear disaster at Chernobyl, Ukraine (Dushenkov et al., 1997). Managing and lowering the levels of these radioactive pollutants was one of the major problems in the aftermath of the tragedy. Because conventional procedures for decontamination had limitations, other ones were investigated. The use of sunflowers (Helianthus annuus) in phytoremediation is one such option (Dushenkov et al., 1997).

Hyperaccumulators are plants with the capacity to take in and store large quantities of pollutants, such as sunflowers. Scientists used this property to their advantage by absorbing and storing radioactive materials like cesium-137 and strontium-90 around the Chernobyl disaster (Dushenkov et al., 1997). The sunflowers were grown on rafts in contaminated ponds near Chernobyl. The plants drank up the radioactive elements in the water as their roots expanded (Dushenkov et al., 1997).

Sunflowers helped lower water bodies' radioactive levels gradually over time. The gathered sunflowers, however, became radioactive waste and needed to be disposed of in a safe manner. Despite this, the study was a success in that it proved phytoremediation could be used as a safe and inexpensive way to remove radioactive waste (Dushenkov et al., 1997).

The sunflower project at Chernobyl was an early attempt at widespread phytoremediation. It laid the groundwork for future studies and applications of phytoremediation by making important contributions to the existing body of knowledge on the topic of using plants for environmental cleanup. Inherent features of different plant species impact their effectiveness in eliminating specific toxins; hence, the success of the study highlighted the importance of plant selection in phytoremediation (Dushenkov et al., 1997).

Finally, the sunflower phytoremediation operation at Chernobyl was crucial in mitigating the environmental damage caused by the nuclear tragedy. It proved that, even in the face of extensive and complicated contamination scenarios, phytoremediation may be an efficient method for environmental cleanup. Phytoremediation's potential to help make the world a better place was highlighted by this application (Dushenkov et al., 1997).

SILVER BOW CREEK, MONTANA, USA: WILLOW PHYTOREMEDIATION FOR CLEANER PLANET

Montana's Silver Bow Creek is a Superfund site due to its association with the state's mining past. These actions contributed to the poisoning of the area, particularly with heavy metals like copper, zinc, and cadmium (US EPA, 2000). The delicate environment was put at risk by using conventional cleanup techniques. As a result of this, a phytoremediation project including willow plants (Salix spp.) was initiated (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000) as a more environmentally friendly alternative.

Due to their fast growth, broad root systems, and great resistance to heavy metals, willow trees have proven to be successful in phytoremediation. Deep root systems make it less likely for polluted soil to erode and travel farther by wind or water (Environmental Protection Agency of the United States, 2000). In addition, willows have been shown to reduce heavy metal content in soil by absorbing and accumulating the metals in their biomass (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The willow trees were planted together with native plant species, strengthening the overall ecosystem. Plants were able to immobilise heavy metals in the soil, decreasing their bioavailability and subsequent leaching into groundwater. Phytoremediation, in which plants are used to stabilise rather than remove toxins, is known as phytostabilization (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

Aside from their role in phytostabilization, the willow trees and other plants also contributed to the restoration of the local ecosystem. They provided habitat for local fauna and enhanced the aesthetic appeal of the previously degraded landscape. This ecological restoration aspect underscores the multiple benefits of phytoremediation, beyond simply cleaning up pollution (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The Silver Bow Creek phytoremediation project thus demonstrated the potential of using native plant species for environmental clean-up and restoration. Despite the challenges posed by the heavy metal contamination, the project proved successful, contributing to the reduction of pollution and the restoration of a healthy, functioning ecosystem. This project thus serves as a compelling case study of how phytoremediation can contribute to a cleaner and greener planet (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

BUNKER HILL, IDAHO, USA: ALPINE PENNYCRESS PHYTOREMEDIATION FOR CLEANER PLANET

Bunker Hill in Idaho, USA, is a Superfund site that was extensively contaminated with lead and zinc due to historical mining activities (Chaney et al., 1997). The mining waste containing these heavy metals posed significant health and environmental risks. Traditional remediation methods were considered, but the scale of contamination and the risk of further environmental damage led to the exploration of alternative, more sustainable

solutions. One such solution was phytoremediation using alpine pennycress (Thlaspi caerulescens) (Chaney et al., 1997).
Alpine pennycress is known as a hyperaccumulator plant, able to absorb and accumulate high levels of heavy metals in its tissues without suffering any apparent harm (Chaney et al., 1997). Because of this exceptional capability, it was a prime choice for phytoremediation at Bunker Hill. Alpine pennycress flourished on soil tainted with lead and zinc, and as it developed, it absorbed the metals into its tissues (Chaney et al., 1997).
The alpine pennycress was able to diminish the soil's heavy metal concentrations over time. Phytoextraction is the practise of utilising plants to remove pollutants from the soil, thereby lowering their levels and the danger of exposure (Chaney et al., 1997). Heavy metals were taken up by plants, and then those plants were harvested and disposed of in an environmentally safe manner.
The use of alpine pennycress at Bunker Hill served to illustrate several advantages of phytoremediation. Phytoremediation is a greener, less expensive alternative to conventional remediation techniques that helps restore ecosystems (Chaney et al., 1997).
The success of phytoremediation in the Bunker Hill project proved its viability for long-term, environmentally responsible management of widespread pollution. As an illustration of the versatility of phytoremediation, the use of alpine pennycress to reduce heavy metal contamination at Bunker Hill can be employed in a wide range of contexts. The importance of phytoremediation in making the world a safer, healthier place is highlighted in this case study (Chaney et al., 1997).

A COMPARATIVE TABLE

An overview table of the three phytoremediation projects' most important metrics:

Location	Plant Used	Contaminant	Phytoremediation Method	Outcome
Chernobyl, Ukraine	Sunflower (Helianthus annuus)	Radioactive elements (Cesium-137, Strontium-90)	Phytoextraction	The sunflowers absorbed the radioactive elements from the water bodies, significantly reducing the levels of radioactivity (Dushenkov et al., 1997)
Silver Bow Creek, Montana, USA	Willow Trees (Salix spp.)	Heavy metals (Copper, Zinc, Cadmium)	Phytostabilization	The willow trees immobilized the heavy metals within the soil, reducing their leaching into the groundwater and their bioavailability (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000)
Bunker Hill, Idaho, USA	Alpine Pennycress (Thlaspi caerulescens)	Heavy metals (Lead, Zinc)	Phytoextraction	The alpine pennycress absorbed and accumulated the heavy metals from the soil, effectively reducing their concentrations (Chaney et al., 1997)

2. Result

Three major phytoremediation initiatives from around the world are summarised in the table above. These projects show how various plant species can be used to effectively remove various types of environmental toxins.
In the first instance, researchers in Ukraine employed sunflowers (Helianthus annuus) to remove radioactive cesium-137 and strontium-90 from polluted water sources around the former Chernobyl nuclear power plant (Dushenkov et al., 1997). Phytoextraction is a type of phytoremediation that makes use of a plant's capacity to absorb and store pollutants in its biomass. The sunflowers at Chernobyl proved effective in significantly reducing the levels of radioactivity in the surrounding water bodies, demonstrating the potential of phytoremediation in mitigating the impacts of nuclear disasters (Dushenkov et al., 1997).
The second case comes from Silver Bow Creek, Montana, USA, where willow trees (Salix spp.) were utilized to manage the site's heavy metal contamination, specifically copper, zinc, and cadmium (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Unlike phytoextraction, the willows

served to immobilize the heavy metals within the soil, reducing their leaching into groundwater and their bioavailability. This method, known as phytostabilization, is particularly useful in preventing the spread of contaminants, thereby reducing their overall impact on the environment (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The third case is from Bunker Hill, Idaho, USA, where alpine pennycress (Thlaspi caerulescens) was grown on soil contaminated with lead and zinc (Chaney et al., 1997). Similar to the Chernobyl case, alpine pennycress was employed for its hyperaccumulating properties, effectively reducing the heavy metal concentrations in the soil through phytoextraction. This successful application demonstrates the potential of specific plant species in managing heavy metal contamination (Chaney et al., 1997).

These three cases illustrate the versatility and effectiveness of phytoremediation in addressing different types of environmental contamination. Whether it's radioactive elements in water or heavy metals in the soil, certain plant species have the ability to either extract or stabilise poisons, resulting in a cleaner and healthier environment.

These instances further illustrate why it is crucial to select the right plants for phytoremediation. Various plant species have varying absorption and stabilising capacities (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997), making plant selection vital to the success of phytoremediation initiatives.

In conclusion, the work carried out at Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill demonstrates that phytoremediation holds great promise for ecological restoration. These cases illustrate how phytoremediation, through the use of selected plant species, can help make the world a better place.

These cases illustrate the broad environmental challenges that phytoremediation can address. The United States' EPA describes phytoremediation as "a cost-effective alternative to conventional remediation methods that also contributes to the restoration of ecosystems and biodiversity."

The environmental disasters at Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill show the potential of phytoremediation as a solution to the worldwide problems of soil degradation and water pollution. Phytoremediation has the potential to significantly contribute to our goal of a cleaner and greener planet because it is a long-term and efficient strategy for environmental cleaning (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997).

3. Discussion

The results presented here provide a comprehensive picture of phytoremediation's potential as a sustainable method for cleaning up various types of environmental contamination. Phytoremediation can be seen in action at Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997).

One of the key implications of these findings is the flexibility of phytoremediation. The use of sunflowers to reduce radioactivity in water bodies in Chernobyl, willows to stabilize heavy metals in the soil at Silver Bow Creek, and alpine pennycress to extract lead and zinc from the soil at Bunker Hill, demonstrates that phytoremediation can be applied to a wide range of environmental contexts and contaminants (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997).

Another significant takeaway from these results is the importance of plant selection in phytoremediation. Each plant species possesses unique characteristics and abilities to absorb, accumulate, or stabilize different contaminants. The choice of plant species is, therefore, a crucial factor in the success of phytoremediation projects. This highlights the need for further research to identify more plant species that can be used for phytoremediation and to understand better the mechanisms through which they interact with different contaminants (Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997).

Moreover, the results underscore the sustainability of phytoremediation. Unlike some traditional remediation methods that can be invasive and potentially harmful to the environment, phytoremediation utilizes natural processes to mitigate pollution. This not only minimizes further environmental damage but also contributes to the restoration of ecosystems and biodiversity (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The results also point to the potential of phytoremediation as a means of combating widespread contamination. These three cases illustrate the potential of phytoremediation for widespread environmental cleanup. The vast environmental degradation we are currently experiencing makes phytoremediation a potentially valuable instrument for doing so (Chaney et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

The examination of these findings concludes that phytoremediation has the potential to be an efficient and long-term answer to environmental cleanup. As previously discussed (Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000), we may harness the power of nature to reduce pollution and make the world a better place by selecting and applying the right plant species.

KeyFindings

The overview of phytoremediation initiatives in Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill highlights the promise of phytoremediation as a long-term and adaptable response to different forms of environmental degradation.

The adaptability of phytoremediation is the first major discovery. Radioactive elements in water, heavy metals in soil, and other pollutants were all addressed by various plant species in these three examples (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997).

The second major conclusion is that plant selection is crucial. Careful plant selection in phytoremediation initiatives is necessary because each plant species used in these projects displays a distinct ability to absorb, accumulate, or stabilise certain pollutants (Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997).

The third major discovery is that phytoremediation can be maintained indefinitely. When compared to conventional remediation techniques, phytoremediation, which relies on natural processes, is more cost-effective and less harmful to the environment (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

Last but not least, one major discovery is the widespread applicability of phytoremediation. These three projects show how phytoremediation can be used to effectively manage environmental contamination on a wide scale (Chaney et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

In sum, these findings highlight the need for more research and application of this green technology known as phytoremediation (Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997).

4. Conclusion

Phytoremediation, the practise of using plants to remediate contaminated environments, is a promising green technology solution to a global issue. The aftermath of nuclear disasters like those at Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill are only a few of the places where phytoremediation has been demonstrated to be beneficial (Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

Important results highlight the significance of plant selection, showing that the achievement of phytoremediation is strongly dependent on the accurate determination of plant species that contain unique characteristics to absorb, accumulate, or stabilise particular pollutants. The sustainability of phytoremediation was also highlighted, with an emphasis on its role in restoring ecosystems and biodiversity (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Phytoremediation is a cost-effective and ecologically benign alternative to conventional remediation methods.

Moving forward, politicians, researchers, and environmental managers will need to work together to increase phytoremediation's visibility and uptake. More resources should be committed to the study and use of phytoremediation, and more research is needed to identify additional plant species for phytoremediation. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; Chaney et al., 1997; Dushenkov et al., 1997). These initiatives will help fully realise the potential of phytoremediation to contribute to a cleaner and greener earth.

Key Recommendations

Several suggestions for maximising phytoremediation's benefits for cleaning up polluted areas can be derived from the discussion's primary results.

To begin, there has to be more study into the topic of phytoremediation in order to discover new plant species that may be employed for the process. Plant selection is crucial to the effectiveness of phytoremediation initiatives, as evidenced by the experiences at Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill. If new plant species are found to have the ability to absorb, collect, or stabilise various toxins, phytoremediation can be used to address a wider variety of environmental pollution issues.

Second, there needs to be a greater push to have people use phytoremediation because it's so much better for the environment and the budget than other remediation techniques. Phytoremediation is a sustainable method of cleaning up polluted areas since it helps restore ecosystems and biodiversity.

Thirdly, environmental managers and politicians should think about using phytoremediation to address widespread contamination. Large-scale phytoremediation projects in Chernobyl, Silver Bow Creek, and Bunker Hill show the promise of this approach for dealing with pollution on a landscape scale.

Finally, additional resources should be made available to advance the study and implementation of phytoremediation. As a result, this environmentally friendly technology will advance quicker and be used more widely in the fight against pollution.

In conclusion, these suggestions emphasise the importance of expanding phytoremediation's reach through research, marketing, and funding so that it may realise its full potential in making the world a cleaner, greener place.

5. References

Chaney, R. L., Malik, M., Li, Y. M., Brown, S. L., Brewer, E. P., Angle, J. S., & Baker, A. J. M. (1997). Phytoremediation of soil metals. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 8(3), 279-284.

Cunningham, S. D., & Berti, W. R. (1993). Remediation of contaminated soils with green plants: An overview. In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant, 29(4), 207-212.

Dushenkov, V., Vasudev, D., Kapulnik, Y., Gleba, D., Fleisher, D., Ting, K. C., & Ensley, B. (1997). Removal of uranium from water using terrestrial plants. Environmental Science & Technology, 31(12), 3468-3474.

Mench, M., Lepp, N., Bert, V., Schwitzguébel, J. P., Gawronski, S. W., Schröder, P., & Vangronsveld, J. (2010). Successes and limitations of phytotechnologies at field scale: outcomes, assessment and outlook from COST Action 859. Journal of Soils and Sediments, 10(6), 1039-1070.

Pilon-Smits, E. (2005). Phytoremediation. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 56, 15-39.

Salt, D. E., Smith, R. D., & Raskin, I. (1998). Phytoremediation. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, 49, 643-668.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2000). Introduction to Phytoremediation. EPA/600/R-99/107. Retrieved from https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockey=100024IJ.txt

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). An introduction to phytoremediation. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-07/documents/phytoremediation.pdf