

SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF DAPAGLIFLOZIN AND ITS IMPURITIES IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM

B. Akhila^{1*}, B V Ramana²

Abstract

A new stability- indicating analytical method by LC-MS/MS was developed for the simultaneous determination of dapagliflozin and its synthesis impurities. A LC-MS/MS model was used for method development and validation. The separation was achieved in a column (50 x 3.0 mm, 1.8 μ m), using a mixture of acetonitrile: water (70:30, v/v) as mobile phase in isocratic mode. The method was properly validated according ICH guidelines with respect to linearity, specificity, precision, accuracy and robustness. The calibration curves of each analyte showed determination coefficients (r2) and the method was linear at the concentrations ranges for dapagliflozin and its impurities. Lastly, this method presented low limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for both dapagliflozin and impurities, being a technique with high sensitivity.

Key words: Analytical validation, Dapagliflozin, Drug impurities, LC-MS

^{1*}Research scholar, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Anantapur, Ananthapuramu-515002
 ²Professor & Principal, Dr K V Subba Reddy Institute of Pharmacy, Kurnool-518218

*Corresponding Author: B. Akhila

*Research scholar, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Anantapur, Ananthapuramu-515002, Email: akhila33friend@gmail.com, 9985100997

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2023.12.12.328

Introduction

SGLT2 Inhibitors are a new class of oral antihyperglycemic agents indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Association recently updated the guidelines for pharmacotherapy in type 2 diabetes and added SGLT2 inhibitors as an add-on to metformin or when metformin is not tolerated. ^[1]SGLT2 Inhibitors can be prescribed in monotherapy or combination with other oral antihyperglycemic agents and Insulin. Pharmacopeia indicated restrictions for active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and formulations for allowable levels of impurities.^[2] Moreover, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) mentioned strategies for the identification and quantification of impurities along with residual solvent in any in novel dosage forms ^[3-5]. Moreover, some impurities in trace levels could affect the efficacy and safety of API, as well as be carcinogenic ^[6]. Hence, monitoring and control of trace impurities in any API turn into a very tough assignment. Therefore, the process of minimizing such carcinogenic substances became important in pharmaceutical toxicology ^[7-9].

In the present work an analytical method by LC-MS/MS was developed and validated to identify and quantify the drug, dapagliflozin and its related impurities C and D in the marketed tablet formulation. ^[10-12] The analytical conditions for method development were selected on the basis of chemical nature of the dapagliflozin and its related impurities. By considering the chemical nature of dapagliflozin and its impurities the mobile phase system of phosphate buffer and the mixture of acetonitrile and water were selected for analysis.^{[13-} ^{15]} The several trials were done to separate dapagliflozin from its impurities by using various columns and by changing mobile phase composition and pH. To conduct the trials, various columns with different dimensions and particle size were used, gradient mobile phase system with various buffer pH such as 7.0, 6.8 and 6.7 with different ratios of acetonitrile and water were used. ^[16-17] To get the optimum separation of the compounds the buffer pH was adjusted and the acetonitrile composition in the mobile phase was increased to reduce the longer retention time of main drug peak. Finally the separation of all the impurities from the drug peak was achieved with the following chromatographic conditions.

Materials and Methods: [18-20]

HPLC grades of ammonium acetate and methanol were purchased from Merck (Mumbai, India). Analytes were obtained from synthink research chemicals (Hyderabad, India). A Shimadzu LC-MS/MS- 8050 system associated with the Nexera X2 HPLC and Lab Solutions software v.5.6 was used. Separations were accomplished on a 5 μ m particle size of Hypersil C18 column (4.6×250 mm) purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Method development:

Generally, for any analysis, sample preparation plays an important role; it affects the sensitivity, as well as better recovery of impurities. So, preferable combinations of acetonitrile, water, ammonium acetate, and methanol were used as diluents for chromatographic efficiency. In the present work, 0.01 M ammonium acetate in methanol was chosen as a diluent with column oven at 40° due to good response and recovery for impurities. Also, both isocratic and gradient modes of elution were performed. Nevertheless, from the observations, it was noticed that all the impurities were effectively separated by the gradient method. Similarly, a column of dimensions, namely Zorbax C8, Hypersil C18 column Phenomenox, Kromasil C8 and C18, were also investigated for resolutions. Finally, Hypersil C18 column was selected due to its better response, peak shape, linearity, and reproducibility even at a lower concentration.

Method optimization: ^[21-22]

Mobile phase A used was prepared by dissolving 0.77 g of ammonium acetate in 1000 ml Milli-Q water by sonication followed by filtration (0.22 μ m). Pure HPLC grade methanol was used as a mobile phase B. An LC-MS/MS system, coupled with an 8050 triple quadrupole detector, was used. Separation was achieved on a 5 μ m Hypersil C18 column (250×4.6 mm) with injection volume 10 μ l, 1 ml/min flow rate, sample cooler temperature at 15° and column oven temperature 40°. **Table-1** summarized conditions of MRM, Valco valve, and source gas parameters for mobile phases A and B under gradient mode against the blank solution (diluent).

 Table 1: Gradient Programme

Gradient program				
Time (minute)	Mobile Phase A	Mobile Phase B		
0.01	45	55		
8.00	45	55		

10.00	20				80		
13.50	Total Flow	Total Flow			0.8 ml		
15.00	45				55		
17.00	45				55		
17.00	Total Flow	N			0.8 ml		
20.00	Total Flow	N			1 ml		
21.50	20				80		
32.00	45				55		
37.00	Controller	•			Stop		
Multiple reactions	monitoring conditi	ons					
Parameters							
	Parameters						
Impurity	MRM	Q1 Prebias	CE	Q3 Prebias	Dwell Time (milliseconds)		
Impurity Dapagliflozin	MRM 305.70>69.05	Q1 Prebias 20.0	CE 22.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100		
Impurity Dapagliflozin Impurity 1	MRM 305.70>69.05	Q1 Prebias 20.0	CE 22.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100		
Impurity Dapagliflozin Impurity 1 Dapagliflozin	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0	CE 22.0 22.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100		
Impurity Dapagliflozin Impurity 1 Dapagliflozin Impurity 2	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0	CE 22.0 22.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100		
Impurity Dapagliflozin Impurity 1 Dapagliflozin Impurity 2 Dapagliflozin	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25 314.15>244.10	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0 20.0	CE 22.0 22.0 19.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0 26.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100 100		
ImpurityDapagliflozinImpurity 1DapagliflozinImpurity 2DapagliflozinValco Valve Condi	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25 314.15>244.10 tion for sample metatory	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0 20.0 thod	CE 22.0 22.0 19.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0 26.0	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100 100		
ImpurityDapagliflozinImpurity 1DapagliflozinImpurity 2DapagliflozinValco Valve CondiTime (min)	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25 314.15>244.10 tion for sample methods	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0 20.0 thod Command	CE 22.0 22.0 19.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0 26.0 Value	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100 100		
ImpurityDapagliflozinImpurity 1DapagliflozinImpurity 2DapagliflozinValco Valve CondiTime (min)17.00	MRM 305.70>69.05 364.15>291.25 314.15>244.10 tion for sample me	Q1 Prebias 20.0 22.0 20.0 thod Command FCV2=	CE 22.0 22.0 19.0	Q3 Prebias 24.0 30.0 26.0 Value 1	Dwell Time (milliseconds) 100 100 100		

Standard preparation:

Separately, 2.6 mg of impurity 1, 2 and DAPA were weighed accurately and dissolved completely in 100 ml diluent via sonication. One milliliter of the above impurity/ intermediate standard stock solution was further diluted to 100 ml with diluent. One hundred milligrams of accurately weighed DAPA was diluted to 5 ml. To evaluate the system suitability parameters, 10 μ l of the above-prepared solution was separately injected namely blank, standard, and sample preparations and their peak area responses were monitored. As per the pharmacopeias, the average peak area response of % relative standard deviation (RSD) of impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities should not be more than 15.0.

Method validation: ^[23,24]

Method was validated according to the USFDA and ICH guidelines. The appropriateness and efficacy of the chromatographic scheme were obtained from the system suitability test and it is proficient in the investigation without any bias. To guarantee the capacity of the chromatographic systems, these must placate pre-defined acceptance conditions to implement the examination of various samples. In the contemporary experiment, impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurity solutions were injected into the LC-MS/MS system for determining system suitability parameters such as peak area and its RSD and retention time, which were detailed after data incorporation using software (**Table-2, Fig-2**).

Table 2: Results for System Suitabil

System suitability parameters	Impurity-1	DPA	Impurity-II
%RSD of Peak areas obtained from six replicate injections of the standard solution	0.8	1.2	1.6



Figure 2: System suitability

The impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities were also checked for specificity by injecting them against the blank solution. The outcomes showed that the chosen method is unbiased concerning the presence of further components and interestingly, no nosiness was recorded at the RTs of impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities. (**Table-3, Fig-3**).

	Table 3: Blank Interference Results for impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities				
ame	Retention time (min)	Interference found at the retention time of CPP, CPE, and EFI			
CPP	12.940	No			
CPE	14.952	No			
EFI	27.831	No			
Blank	NA	No			



Drug standard	Procured from
Dapagliflozin (99.67%) and Impurities	Veeprho laboratories pvt ltd, Pune, India

Lable et commercial alag miormation	Table 5:	Commercial	drug	inf	ormation
--	----------	------------	------	-----	----------

Commercial formulations	Components	Manufacturer
FORZIGA Tablet	Dapagliflozin 10 mg	AstraZeneca Pharma India Limited, Bangalore, India.

Results and Discussion

At limit of quantification (LOQ), 4 levels of the precision method, namely system precision, intermediate precision (ruggedness), method precision (repeatability) and precision were evaluated. System precision suggested inconsistency in the dimensions of the analytical system, while repeatability (method precision) indicated the reproducibility of the method. Standard solution was prepared with impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities and injected (n=6) into the LC-MS/MS system from which peak area and RSD were derived, whereas form method precision, the % RSD data was obtained (**Table 6**).

Table 6: Method Precision	Results for impurity	1, 2, and DAPA im	purities
---------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------

Preparation	Impurity 1 (ppm)	Impurity 2 (ppm)	DAPAI (ppm)	
1	2.5	2.8	3.0	
2	2.6	2.9	3.1	
3	2.7	3.0	3.2	
4	2.7	2.9	3.2	
5	2.8	3.1	3.4	
6	2.5	2.7	3.1	
Average	2.6	2.9	3.2	
% RSD	4.6	4.9	4.3	

The peak area of each sample was noted and plotted against respective concentrations. The Eqn. y=mx+b, defined the linear relation between impurity concentration (x) and respective peak area

(y). From this analysis, a correlation coefficient (must be above 0.99) and slope-intercept values were derived (**Table 7**).

Linearity results						
Levels	IMP 1		IMP 2		DAPA IMP	
	Conc. in ppb	Area	Conc. in ppb	Area	Conc. in ppb	Area
5 %	2.508	259187	2.531	117538	2.569	305901
10 %	5.224	298024	5.272	137684	5.352	388002
25 %	12.538	1214979	12.653	619137	12.845	1482624
50 %	25.075	2661829	25.306	1341802	25.690	3110678
75 %	37.613	3873591	37.958	1991286	38.534	4712314
100 %	50.046	4817911	50.506	2451722	51.272	5857968
125 %	62.688	6522284	63.264	3349419	64.224	8271495
150 %	75.748	8502919	76.444	4296518	77.604	11062322
Slope	107848		55129		129684	
Intercept	-165458.4		-87086.3		-234051.5	
Correlation	0.9974		0.9994		0.9976	

Table 7: Linearity of impurity 1, 2, and DAPA impurities



Figure-4: Chromatogram of Dapagliflozin sample solution spiked with impurities



Figure-5: Calibration curves of Impurity C and D

	Mean recovery %		
Recovery level %	Impurity-C	Impurity-D	
70%	92.14	96.37	
100%	99.99	101.37	
130%	98.17	102.25	
Overall mean	96.76	99.99	
Overall SD	4.883	2.788	
Overall % RSD	4.96	2.80	

Table-8: Results of accuracy study of impurities

	Table-9: LO) and LOQ data of	dapagliflozin	and impurities
--	-------------	-------------------	---------------	----------------

Compound name	LOD	LOQ	Precision at LOD level		Precision at LOQ level	
	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	Mean peak	%RSD	Mean peak	Mean peak
			area±SD		area±SD	area±SD
Impurity- C	0.217	0.434	1286±99.403	7.73	2676±127.59	4.77
Dapagliflozin	0.065	0.196	949±57.548	6.06	2092±153.63	7.34
Impurity-D	0.071	0.216	1688±213.62	12.66	5151±212.38	4.12
Overall % RSD				8.81		5.41

Table-10: Results of robustness of impurities

Altered Method Conditions	Total impurities % w/w±SD	% RSD	
	0.9 ml/min	0.143 ± 0.0104	2.17
Flow rate $(\pm 10\%)$	1.0 ml/min	0.147 ± 0.0031	7.07
	1.1 ml/min	0.141 ± 0.0021	1.49
Mobile phase-B composition- Organic phase ratio +2%	Acetonitrile 73%	0.144 ± 0.0111	7.71
	Acetonitrile 75%	0.147 ± 0.0031	7.07
	Acetonitrile 77%	0.145 ± 0.0040	2.76
	45°C	0.147 ± 0.0020	1.36
Column oven temperature $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C	50°C	0.147 ± 0.0031	7.07
	55°C	0.132 ± 0.0015	1.14
	6.3	0.164 ± 0.0025	1.52
pH of mobile phase-A ±0.2	6.5	0.147 ± 0.0031	7.07
	6.7	0.148 ± 0.0010	0.68

Conclusion:

The calibration curves of each analyte showed determination coefficients (r2) and the method was linear at the concentrations ranges for dapagliflozin and its impurities. Lastly, this method presented low limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for both dapagliflozin and impurities, being a technique with high sensitivity.

References

- 1. Han S, Hagan DL, Taylor JR, et al. Dapagliflozin, a selective SGLT2 inhibitor, improves glucose homeostasis in normal and diabetic rats. Diabetes. 2008; 57:1723–1729.
- 2. Sistare FD, Morton D, Alden C, et al. An analysis of pharmaceutical experience with decades of rat carcinogenicity testing: support for a proposal to modify current regulatory guidelines. Toxicol Pathol. 2011;39:716–744.
- Maeshima H, Ohno K, Nakano S, Yamada T. Validation of an in vitro screening test for predicting the tumor promoting potential of chemicals based on gene expression. Toxicol In Vitro. 2010; 24:995–1001.

 US Food and Drug Administration. FDA briefing document for the July 19, 2011 Meeting of the Endocrinologic and Metabolic Drugs Advisory Committee. NDA 202293; Dapagliflozin tablets. US Food and Drug Administration.

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCom mittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/ EndocrinologicandMetabolicDrugsAdvisoryC ommittee/UCM262994.pdf (Accessed January 9, 2014).

5. International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirement for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH harmonised tripartite guideline: guidance on genotoxicity testing and data interpretation for pharmaceuticals intended for human use S2(R1). International Conference on Harmonisation Web site. http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Sit

e/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Safety/S2_R1/Ste p4/S2R1_Step4.pdf (Accessed July 2, 2013).

 Obermeier M, Yao M, Khanna A, et al. In vitro characterization and pharmacokinetics of dapagliflozin (BMS-512148), a potent 4456 sodium–glucose cotransporter type II inhibitor, in animals and humans. Drug Metab Dispos. 2010; 38:405–414.

- Jeyabaskaran. M, Rambabu. C, Dhanalakshmi.
 B. RP-HPLC method development and validation of dapagliflozin in bulk and tablet formulation. International journal of pharmaceutical and analytical research. 2013; 2(4):221-226.
- Sanagapati M, Dhanalakshmi K, Nagarjunareddy G, Sreenivasa S. Development and validation of a RP-HPLC method for the estimation of dapagliflozin in API. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2014; 5(12):5394-7.
- Sanagapati M, Dhanalakshmi K, Reddy NG, Sreenivasa S. Method development and validation of Dapagliflozin API by UV spectroscopy. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res. 2014 Jul;27(1):270-272.
- 10. Mohammad Yunoos, Gowri sankar D. A validated stability indicating highperformance liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous determination of metformin hcl and dapagliflozin in bulk drug and tablet dosage form. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and clinical research. 2015; 8(3):320-326.
- 11. Shyamala NB, Kavitha M. Pooja and JVC Sharma Validated RP-HPLC method for Simultaneous estimation of Metformin Hydrochloride and Dapagliflozin in tablet dosage form. American journal of Biological and Pharmaceutical Research. 2015; 2(2):109-13.
- 12. Aubry AF, Gu H, Magnier R, Morgan L, Xu X, Tirmenstein M, Wang B, Deng Y, Cai J, Couerbe P, Arnold M. Validated LC–MS/MS methods for the determination of dapagliflozin, a sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor in normal and ZDF rat plasma. Bioanalysis. 2010 Dec;2(12):2001-9.
- 13. Karuna PC, China E, Basaveswara Rao MV. Unique UV spectrophotometric method for reckoning of Dapagliflozin in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms. J Chem Pharm Res. 2015;7(9):45-9.
- 14. Sarkar S, Patel VP. Method Development and Validation of Dapagliflozin Drug in Bulk and Tablet Dosage form by RP-HPLC. Int J Pharma Res Health Sci. 2017; 5 (4):1755-59.
- 15. Manasa S, Dhanalakshmi K, Reddy GN, Sreenivasa S. Method development and validation of dapagliflozin in API by RPHPLC and UV-spectroscopy. Int J Pharm Sci Drug Res. 2014; 6(3):250-252.
- 16. Meira RZC, Maciel AB, Murakami FS,

Oliveira PR, Bernardi LS. In Vitro Dissolution Profile of Dapagliflozin: Development, Method Validation and analysis of Commercial Tablets. International Journal of Analytical Chemistry. 2017 available from https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2051520

https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2951529

- 17. Verma MV, Patel CJ, Patel MM. Development and stability indicating HPLC method for dapaglif lozin in api and pharmaceutical dosage form. Int J Appl Pharm. 2017; 9(5):33-41.
- Debata J, Kumar S, Jha SK, Khan A. A New RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation of Dapagliflozin in Bulk and Tablet Dosage Form. Int J Drug Dev & Res.2017; 9: 48-51.
- Jeyabaskaran M, Rambabu C, Dhanalakshmi B. RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation of Dapagliflozin in Bulk and Table Formulation. Int. J. of Pharmacy and Analytical Research.2013; 2(4):221-226.
- 20. Sura S, Modalavalasa RR, Kothapalli CB. Validation of a Newly Developed Stability Indicating RP-Liquid Chromatographic Method for the Quantitative Determination of Dapagliflozin. Der Pharma Chemica. 2018; 10(1): 93-102.
- 21. Pate CJ, Verma MV, Patel MM. Simultaneous estimation of Dapagliflozin in API and pharmaceutical dosage form by development and stability indicating HPLC method. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2017; 6(7): 1618-1632.
- 22. Mohammad Y, Gowri DS. A validated stability indicating HPLC method for simultaneous determination of metformin hydrochloride and dapagliflozin in bulk drug and tablet dosage form. A J Pharm Clin Res.2015; 8:320-326.
- 23. Shyamala, Nidhi B, Kavita M, Sharma P, Sharma JVC. Validated RP-HPLC method for Simultaneous estimation of Metformin Hydrochloride and Dapagliflozin in tablet dosage form. American journal of Biological and Pharmaceutical Research, 2015; 2(2): 109-113.
- 24. Afshan Urooj, P Shyam Sundar, R Vasanthi, M Alagar Raja, K Rajeswar Dutt, KNV Rao, H Ramana. Development and Validation of RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Dapagliflozin and Metformin in bulk and in synthetic mixture. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical sciences. 2017;6(7): 2139-2150.