A Spatial Analysis of Treatment outcome of MDR-TB Cases at Tertiary Care

Section A-Research paper ISSN 2063-5346

EB A Spatial Analysis of Treatment outcome of MDR-TB

Cases at Tertiary Care

¹Rajeev Kumar ²Ravindra Kushwaha ³R. A. S. Kushwaha ⁴Seema Singh ⁵Amita Jain ⁶Surya Kant

^{1,2,4}Research Scholar, Dept of Respiratory Medicine, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226001
 ³Professor, Dept of Respiratory Medicine, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226001
 ⁴Professor, Dept of Microbiology, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226001
 ⁵Professor, Dept of Respiratory Medicine, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226001

DOI: 10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si4.1707

Abstract

The Revised National Tuberculosis Control Program (RNTCP) in India is currently implementing a standardized treatment regimen (STR) to effectively manage cases of MDR-TB. This study aimed to analyse the results of MDR-TB patients who received treatment with RNTCP's recommended 2-year STR at King George Medical University, Lucknow, in real-life situations. Eligible patients who had previously gone through treatment failure with the category II re-treatment regimen and further on they were tested positive with MDR-TB. From September 2021 to February 2023, 881 patients were choose to participated in the present research work. The research work followed a cross-sectional approach and focused on TB patients who were part of the DOTS program under RNTCP in Lucknow. Purposive sampling was used to select appropriate study locations, with a specific emphasis on Designated Microscopy Centres (DMCs) in the Lucknow district. The research analyzed the data from a total of 881 TB patients, and the overall outcomes for this relatively a less quantity of MDR-TB patients were happens to be treated by following RNTCP's STR in this setting showed promise. It is crucial to underscore the significance of treatment adherence and promptly addressing adverse drug reactions (ADRs) throughout the treatment process.

Keywords: - Tuberculosis, Pulmonary, Treatment, Sputum Microscopy, MDR, DOTS

Introduction

India continues to confront a significant health challenge with Tuberculosis (TB), responsible for over 20 percent of global incident cases. In an effort to address this issue, the Indian Government has been implementing the internationally recommended DOTS strategy through the Revised National TB Control Program (RNTCP) since 1997. By March 2006, the program had achieved comprehensive nationwide coverage and successfully met global targets for curing newly positive cases of pulmonary tuberculosis. Currently, the focus is on tackling multidrug-resistant Tuberculosis, a type of TB resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampicin. To address this concern, the RNTCP is gradually. Introducing a standard treatment of category four of the treatment regimen popularly known as STR for MDR-TB cases. However, there is limited knowledge in India regarding the workability and productiveness of an ambulatory-based STR for MDR-TB. To bridge this knowledge gap, a multidimensional field test was started at King George Medical University, Lucknow. The trail run as per the guideline provided by RNTCP & STR for the tuberculosis cases like multiple drug resistance and extra drug resistance assess their practicality and productivity. The report presented here provides detailed information on the treatment results of the initial patient cohort from this trial.

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be a prominent infectious disease and a major global public health concern. The rise in the cases of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, drug-resistant variant has introduced complexity and posed challenges in effectively managing the disease worldwide, including in both developed and underdeveloped countries like India. MDR-TB is distinguished by its resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin, and in some cases, resistance may extend to other first-line anti-TB drugs. XDR-TB occurs when resistance includes fluoroquinolones and second line injectables. Universally, just about 4% of new positive and 21% of previously treated positive cases are affected by MDR-TB. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported around 484,000 cases of MDR worldwide, with approximately 7% recognized as XDR-TB. The highest number of TB cases globally found in India, accounts for roughly 27% of new cases worldwide.

According to the "First National Anti-Tuberculosis Drug Resistance Survey," over 7% of TB cases in the country are affected by MDR-TB. As per the WHO census reported that India has 99,000 cases of MDR-TB, which collectively becomes one-fourth of the total active cases world wide of this form of TB. To deepen our understanding of MDR-TB transmission dynamics and improve India's TB control program, it is essential to investigate the genotypic diversity of MDR/XDR-TB excluding of Molecular tuberculosis in the country. The incorporation of molecular techniques has provided valuable insights into the genetic diversity of Molecular TB among the cases that has to be put under the observation for research. Among these methods, spoligotyping has been in the demand and been widely use for the analysis and be the molecular approach for exploring the genetic diversity and epidemiology of Molecular TB in many other countries. Therefore, the primary aim of this research work was to find out the predominant factors influencing the treatment outcomes of MDR-TB in North India.

Currently, the main focus in the fight against tuberculosis is the global eradication of the disease. To achieve this goal, it is essential to take critical steps, such as identifying the causes of infection and analyzing data from individuals who have already been diagnosed with tuberculosis. The ongoing research aims to enhance tuberculosis diagnosis services, with a target success rate of 95%. To attain this objective, conducting thorough medical examinations on high-risk individuals in specific regions is of utmost importance, often due to inadequate treatment or medical negligence. These individuals necessitate specialized medical attention. In recent years, numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate tuberculosis treatment outcomes in the Lucknow region. This particular study was specially designed to carry out medical examinations on individuals with tuberculosis from Lucknow, its neighboring areas, and other districts, including those seeking tertiary stage treatment for tuberculosis.

Materials and Methods

The Institutional Ethics Committee of KGMU, Lucknow, approved this prospective, observational study. Additionally, the Head of the TB and Chest Disease Department has approved the permission in order to conduct the research. The research involved patients

diagnosed with and undergoing treatment for MDRTB (excluding pregnant patients) under the Category IV regimen of The RNTCP in the municipal corporation area of Northern India. This study involved the collection of data concerning tuberculosis patients during a specific time frame. The data for our present study was gathered between the years 2021 and 2022. The method used for sample collection was Sputum Microscopy, following the guidelines provided by the RNTCP and directed by the DOTS. The testing and analysis of the collected tuberculosis samples, as well as the treatment administered, were carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the advisory body mentioned above. For this research, we focused on patients infected with multiple drug-resistant (MDR) tuberculosis, and their diagnosis and treatment outcomes were closely monitored throughout the study.

The recruitment of cases was done in the time period of 18 months i,e. September 2021 to February 2023, a total of 881 patients were recruited for this study, and their baseline data were collected using a pretested case record form. The participants underwent a daily supervised regimen, initially requiring a 24-week hospitalization. Afterward, they received ambulatory treatment at the nearest peripheral health center, based on their individual preferences. Medications were provided by a DOT (Directly Observed Treatment) provided at health care center or hospital. The standard procedure of treatment regimen included an intense phase (IP) lasting a total of six to nine months, during which a total of 6 drugs were administered daily: kanamycin (Km), ofloxacin (Ofx), ethionamide (Eto), pyrazinamide (Z), ethambutol (E), and cycloserine (Cs). Subsequently, patients entered the continuation phase (CP) lasting 18 months, during which they received four drugs: Ofx, Eto, E, and Cs. Additionally, all patients were supplemented with pyridoxine during the treatment period.

Throughout the study, each patient underwent monthly follow-ups for clinical evaluation, including weight measurement, sputum assessment, chest X-ray, monitoring of any adverse drug reactions (ADRs) until the completion of 24 months. During the first year, Every month two samples of morning sputum were tested for culture as well as microscopy.

Subsequently, these examinations were conducted every three months until the study's conclusion. Chest X-rays were performed in the last stage of the intensive phase that is on six months and it was again performed in the end of the research work. In the first six months, liver and renal function tests were done every month, and later on, as needed. The treatment outcomes were categorized according to the guidelines of the RNTCP, with patients being classified as "cured," "defaulted," "failure," or "death." A patient was considered cured if they completed the full 24 months of treatment and the last five cultures were negative. On the other hand, if a patient had two or more positive cultures out of the last five, they were considered a treatment failure. Patients who successfully completed the treatment but could not able to fulfill the parameter for cure or failure of treatment due to the unavailability of bacteriological tests results were categorized separately.

Collection of Patient sample

Demographic as well as medical clinical data were collected using data gathering facility provided by provincial as well as regional laboratories, assisted by national TB codes. This collected data was further verified at the National Tuberculosis Registry. To maintain confidentiality, the data gathering and analysis were performed anonymously, without utilizing patient identifiers. The study underwent a thorough review and received approval from the Office of Research Affairs at the Department of Respiratory Medicine, King George's Medical University, Lucknow.

Results

Demographic for Case: A detailed of socio-Medico history of the tuberculosis patient is gathered and mention below.

Variables	Factors	Frequency (n=881)	(%)
Sex	Male	660	75
	Female	221	25
Age	≤40	555	63
	>40	326	37

A Spatial Analysis of Treatment outcome of MDR-TB Cases at Tertiary Care

			ISSN 2063-5
Residence	Urban	766	87
	Rural	115	13
Personal habit	Alcoholic	414	47
	Non-alcoholic	467	53
	Smoker	466	53
	Ex-smoker	220	25
	Non-smoker	195	22
Contact history	Present	36	4
	Past	96	11
	Absent	749	85
Case Type	New	854	97
	Old	27	3
Sputum Visual	Blood Stain	5	0.56
	Saliva	4	0.45
	Mucopurulent	872	99
ТВ Туре	Pulmonary	819	93
	Extrapulmonary	62	7
MDR	Resistive	881	100
	Non-Resistive	0	0
Suspect	А	24	3
Criteria	В	846	96
	С	11	1
Smear Grading	3+ or 2+	678	77
	1+ or scanty	203	23
Culture Result	Positive	872	99
	Negative	9	1

Section A-Research paper ISSN 2063-5346

Table 2: Drug susceptibilities of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from all MDR cases

S.no.	Drug	Resistance	Sensitive	Percentage
1.	Rif	881	0	100

A Spatial Analysis of Treatment outcome of MDR-TB Cases at Tertiary Care

Section A-Research paper

				ISSN 2063-534
2.	INH	753	128	85
3.	EMB	715	166	81

Treatment Outcome	Proportion (%)
Cured	69
Completed	28
Died	0.34
Defaulted	0.56
Transferred out	0
On Treatment	2.14

Table 3: Treatment Outcome of MDR Cases

Discussions

Tobacco smoking is widespread among men and women in both urban as well as rural parts of the country, but it is more prevalent in urban areas. In rural regions, "beedi" smoking is more common, primarily due to its affordability when compared to cigarettes. The study produced noteworthy results, revealing an odds ratio of 2.48 and an ageadjusted odds ratio of 2.24. These findings strongly suggest a genuine association between smoking and the study's outcome, ruling out the possibility of the results being a result of chance, bias, or confounding variables. The chances of obtaining such odds ratios by chance was exceptionally low. To ensure the reliability of the research, the methodology was carefully designed to minimize biases. All eligible cases and controls were selected meticulously from the survey records, ensuring an unbiased approach. The interviewers were kept unaware of the patient status of the disease. Participants were forthcoming in discussing their habit of smoking, likely because smoking is very common between people these days. If there was any responder bias, it would likely lead to misclassifying smokers as non-smokers, which could underestimate the true impact of smoking on tuberculosis. However, the familiarity of smoking as a habit helped participants accurately recall their smoking status, reducing the risk of recall bias. To address the potential influence of age, the crude odds ratio was adjusted. Moreover, the

research was confined to the patients of aged 20-65 years as to minimize the effects of other confounding factors.

This study provides compelling evidence supporting a strong correlation between the level of exposure and the resulting response, as demonstrated by a highly important test for the treatment. This positive trend, indicating that the response increases with higher exposure levels, is crucial for establishing a causal link. On the other hand, Brown et al examined the relationship among smoking and drinking of alcohol with tuberculosis. This examination of relationship was conducted among 100 patients having active TB cases along with another 100 patient facing surgery as treatment and control against TB. Although Brown and Campbell proposed a direct link between alcohol consumption and tuberculosis, they did not find any statistically significant association with smoking. However, certain aspects of their study raise questions.

In their study, Yu et al. revealed that heavy smokers (defined as those smoking \geq 400 cigarettes per year) had a mild risk of 2.17 (95% CI 1.29 to 3.63) of tuberculosis to occur when compared with non-smokers cases. Their binomial regression analysis underscored the significant impact of smoking on tuberculosis risk, surpassing various important factor such as age and sex. In contrast, Alcaide et al. uses a control model and various logistic regression model as to analyze various points and factor, and they find out an odds ratio of 4.1 for active smokers, clearly establishing a dose responsive relationship among the amount of cigarettes smoked daily to the positive pulmonary tuberculosis

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study, employing appropriate analysis, has suggested a dose-dependent relationship among tobacco consumption and the incidence of tuberculosis. These findings suggest that tobacco consumption could be a important factor for the occurrence of pulmonary tuberculosis. To enhance the validity of our findings and establish a causal connection, additional research using diverse study designs, including longitudinal follow-ups, is necessary. These studies will also ensure us to calculate the incidence rate ratio among active smoker and nonsmoker.

Currently, the data reveals a higher number of male patients affected by the disease. There is a notable prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among the infected patients. The disease appears to affect middle-aged individuals, both male and female, in significant

numbers. Specialist doctors play a crucial role in the high rate of treatment and diagnosis, possibly due to the availability of excellent medical facilities at the research site. Both middle-aged and younger individuals exhibit a high percentage of treatment and recovery success. The proportion of patients at an advanced stage of tuberculosis is relatively low. Regarding drug resistance, only a few patients show high resistance, while most others display less resistivity. The majority of patients have achieved full recovery, and the instances of treatment failure are almost negligible. However, a significant number (18%) of patients are lost to follow-up, which warrants attention.

It is noteworthy that an impressive 82% of the patients achieved culture conversion within two months or even earlier. The culture conversion rates at 3 and 6 months were equally remarkable, reaching 84% and 87%, respectively. By the end of the treatment, 69% of the patients achieved a cure, while 5 patients defaulted, 3 passed away, and 5 experienced treatment failure. After the 24-month period, 79% of the patients, including 5 defaulters, maintained negative cultures for over 18 months, signifying successful treatment outcomes. Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) were reported by 58% of patients, leading to adjustments or discontinuation of specific medications. Interestingly, none of the patients initially had extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB), but 2 cases developed XDR-TB during the course of treatment.

References

- Dye C, Garnett GP, Sleeman K, Williams BG. Global burden of tuberculosis: estimated incidence, prevalence and mortality by country. J Am Med Assoc. 1999;282(7):677-86.
- Ahlburg D. The economic impacts of tuberculosis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
- Giri PA, Phalke DB. Impact of sensitization workshop on knowledge regarding tuberculosis among final year medical students. Int J Med Public Health. 2013;3:100-02.
- Bilagi RB, Deshmukh H. Study of clinical profile of tuberculosis patients admitted in respiratory medicine ward at a tertiary care hospital in Marathwada. Int J Adv Med. 2018;5:68-72.

- 5) Ananthakrishnan R, Kumar K, Ganesh M, Kumar AMV, Krishnan N, Swaminathan S, et al. The Profile and Treatment Outcomes of the Older (Aged 60 Years and Above) Tuberculosis Patients in Tamilnadu, South India. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(7):e67288.
- 6) Lanjewar B, Bhawalkar J, Jethani S, Dhone A. Evaluation of treatment outcome of tuberculosis patients in the urban field practice area of D. Y.Patil Medical College, Pimpri, Pune. Ind J Comm Health. 2014;26(3):238-42.
- Ahmed F, Shrotriya VP, Gupta SB, Kariwal P, Imtiaz D. A Study on Treatment Outcome of Tuberculosis Patients Registered at Tuberculosis Unit (TU) in Bareilly [U.P.], India. International J Curr Med Applied Sci. 2015;6(3):174-6.
- Karir S, Biswas A, Mandal AK, Sagar V, Pal M. A study on clinical profile of indoor patients receiving anti-tuberculosis treatment at KPC Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata. India. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016;3:2891-6
- Giri PA, Deshpande JD, Phalke DB. Prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among HIV positive patients attending antiretroviral therapy clinic. North Am J Med Sci. 2013;5:367-70
- 10) Brahmapurkar KP, Brahmapurkar VK, Khan QH. Treatment Outcome of Registered Tuberculosis Cases for Year 2013 in Tuberculosis Unit in Tribal District Bastar of Chhattisgarh, India. Natl J Community Med. 2016;7(5):377-81.
- 11) Motghare DD, Sardessai GM, Vaz FS, Kulkarni MS. Study of treatment outcomes in tuberculosis patients on DOTS therapy at five centres in Goa. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2014;1(1):48-51.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global tuberculosis control 2009: epidemiology, strategy, financing. WHO/HTM/TB/2009.411. Geneva: WHO; 2009.
- 13) Central TB Division (CTD), Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. *DOTS-plus guidelines*. New Delhi: CTD; 2006.
- 14) Singla R, Sarin R, Khalid UK, Mathuria K, Singla N, Jaiswal A, et al. Seven-year DOTS-Plus pilot experience in India: Results, constraints and issues. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2009;13: 976-81.

- 15) Allen B, Baker FJ. *Mycobacteria: isolation, identification and sensitivity testing*. London: Butterworth; 1968.
- 16) Canetti G, Fox W, Khomenko A, Mahler HT, Menon AK, Mitchison DA, et al. Advances in techniques of testing mycobacterial drug sensitivity, and the use of sensitivity tests in tuberculosis control programmes. Bull World Health Organ 1969; 41: 21-43.
- 17) Tuberculosis Research Centre, Madras. Study of chemotherapy regimens of 5 and 7 months' duration and the role of corticosteroids in the treatment of sputum positive patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in south India. *Tubercle* 1983;64 : 73-91.
- 18) Chiang CY, Caminero JA, Enarson DA. Reporting on multidrug resistant tuberculosis: a proposed definition for the treatment outcome 'failed'. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2009;13: 548-50.
- 19) Malla P, Kanitz EE, Akthar M, Falzon D, Feldmann K, Gunneberg C, et al. Ambulatory-based standardised therapy for multi-drug resistant tuberculosis: experience from Nepal, 2005-2006. PLoS One 2009; 4 : 08313.
- 20) Suarez PG, Floyd K, Portocarrero J, Alarcón E, Rapiti E, Ramos G, *et al.* Feasibility and cost-effectiveness of standardized second-line drug treatment for chronic tuberculosis patients: a national cohort study in Peru. *Lancet* 2002; *359* : 1980-9.
- 21) Chemotherapy of drug resistant tuberculosis: The Tuberculosis Research Centre experience over 40 years. *Indian J Tuberc* 2000; 47 : 201-10.
- 22) Thomas A, Ramachandran R, Rehaman F, Jaggarajamma K, Santha T, Selvakumar N, *et al.* Management of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in the field
 Tuberculosis Research Centre experience. *Indian J Tuberc* 2007; *54* : 117-24.
- 23) Katiyar K, Bihari S, Prakash S, Mamtani M, Kulkarni H. A randomised controlled trial of high-dose isoniazid adjuvant therapy for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008; *12* : 139-45.
- 24) Prasad R, Verma SK, Sahai S, Kumar S, Jain A. Efficacy and safety of kanamycin, Ethionamide, PAS, and Cycloserine in multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis patients. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2006; *48* : 183-6.

- 25) Arora VK, Sarin R, Singla R, Khalid UK, Mathuria K, Singla N, Myneedu VP. DOTS-Plus for patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis in India: Early results after three years. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2007; *49* : 75-9.
- 26) Holtz TH, Sternberg M, Kammerer S, Kayla FL, Vija R, Evija Z, *et al.* Time to sputum culture conversion in multidrug resistant tuberculosis and relationship to treatment outcome. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; *144* : 650-9.
- 27) Leimane V, Riekstina V, Holtz TH, Zarovska E, Skripconoka V, Thorpe TE, *et al.* Clinical outcome of individualized treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Latvia: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2005; *365* : 318-26.
- 28) Nathanson E, Gupta R, Huamani P, Leimane V, Pasechnikov AD, Tupasi TE, et al. Adverse events in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: Results from the DOTS-Plus initiative. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2004; 8 : 1382-4.
- 29) Iseman MD. Treatment of multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329: 784-791.
- 30) Drobniewski FA and Balabanova YM The diagnosis and management of multiple-drug-resistant tuberculosis at the beginning of the new millennium. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002; 6: S21–31.
- 31) Park SK, Kim CT, Song SD. Outcome of chemotherapy in 107 patients with pulmonary tuberculosis resistant to Isoniazid and Rifampicin. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998; 2: 877–884.
- 32) Tahaoglu K Törün T, Sevim T, Atac G, Kir A., Karasulu L, Ozmen I, and Kapakli N, et al. The treatment of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in Turkey. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 170–174.
- 33) Yew WW, Chan CK, Chau CH, Tam CM, Leung CC, Wong PC and Lee J. Outcomes of patients with multidrug- resistant pulmonary tuberculosis treated with ofloxacin/levofloxacin-containing regimens. *Chest* 2000; **117**: 744–751.
- 34) Mitnick C, Bayona J, Palacios E, Shin S, Furin J, Felix Alcántara F, Sánchez E, Sarria M, Becerra M, Fawzi MCS, Kapiga S, Neuberg D, Maguire JH, Kim JY, and Paul Farmer P. Community-based therapy for multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in Lima, Peru. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**348**: 119–128.

- 35) Kim HJ, Hong YP, Kim SJ, Lew WJ, Lee EG. Ambulatory treatment of multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis patients at a chest clinic. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2001; 5:1129–1136.
- 36) Flament-Saillour M, Robert J, Jarlier V, Grosset J. Outcome of multi-drugresistant tuberculosis in France. A nationwide case-control study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999; 160: 587–593.
- 37) Suárez PG, Floyd K, Portocarrero J, *et al.* Feasibility and cost-effectiveness of standardised second-line drug treatment for chronic tuberculosis patients: a national cohort study in Peru. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 1980–1989.
- 38) Iseman MD, Madsen L, Goble M, Pomerantz M. Surgical intervention in the treatment of pulmonary disease caused by drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990; 141: 623-625.
- 39) Farmer P, Kim JY, Mitnick CD, Becerra M. Protocol for the implementation of individualized treatment regimens for multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in resource-poor settings. *In*: Espinal MA, ed. Multi-drug Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB): basis for the development of an evidence-based case-management strategy for MDRTB within the WHO's DOTS strategy. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999: Part V. (Report no. WHO/TB/99.260.)