

Ayush Jain<sup>[1]</sup>, Shikha Tyagi<sup>[1]</sup> Prashant Singh<sup>[2]</sup>, Prashant Sachan<sup>[2]</sup>, Shivansh Prakash<sup>[2]</sup>, Sachin Dev<sup>[2]</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> Supervisor, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad

<sup>[2]</sup> Undergraduate Scholars, Department of Civil Engineering, KIET Group of Institutions, Delhi-NCR, Ghaziabad

doi:10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si4.1287

**Abstract:** Prior to construction, the structural integrity of any building must be assessed. Since high-rise buildings constructed today have more critical combination force than conventional buildings, this analysis is more crucial for them. In order to establish the design loads for a G+5 building, this study compares wind loads. Determine the design loads for a structure that is exposed to wind loads in a given location using this analysis. That is common knowledge for a certain zone factor can be used to determine the wind loads in that zone. Then, using the fundamental wind speed and other elements specific to that area, it is also possible to determine the wind load in such area. A fast wind, however, is time-dependent and erratic. In this study, IS 875 (Part 3) rules are used to analyses and design a multi-story building for wind loads. In order to provide a clear understanding for the wind analysis of high-rise buildings, the G+5 story building is taken into consideration in this study along with numerous loads, including dead, live, and wind loads. Construction must be structural.

# Keywords: STAAD.Pro, AutoCAD, Wind Load, High-rise Buildings.

## **INTRODUCTION**

This project entails using STAAD to design and analyze each structural component of a G+5 multistory building in Ghaziabad. Software for the Pro V8i and hand

computation. Indian Standard Codes were followed throughout the design process. The Limit state method has been applied to manual designs. STAAD is used to analyze a portion of the building's structural structure. This analysis is necessary to safeguard our building from wind-related damage.

Slabs, a staircase, and the foundation are designed manually during the design phase, whereas RC Designers are used to design the beams and columns. The building's foundation is made to withstand the maximum axial load that STAAD's analysis of the structure yielded.

Typically, wind load governs structures taller than 10 meters. The IS-875 (Part 3)-1987 provides guidelines for wind analysis of tall buildings. Which primarily includes the impact of the degree of roughness, the type of terrain, the nearby buildings, the basic wind speed, the type of soil, and the significance of the wind direction alone.

Prior cyclone and wind statistics demonstrate that when wind blows, structures are impacted from all angles. For this reason, even though numerous structures have been damaged despite being properly wind-tested in accordance with IS-875 (Part 3), 1987. In this study, tall buildings in ZONE 3 are subjected to wind analysis. A five-story structure in Ghaziabad is chosen and its wind load is calculated for analytical purposes.

# LITRATURE REVIEW

# "Aman, Manjunath Nalwadgi, Vishal T, Gajendra" Analysis and design of Multi-storey Building by using STAAD.Pro, 2016.

Based on the requirements of the IS standards, a G+5 residential/commercial building was analyzed and designed using STAAD.Pro software. Only dead and live loads were applied, so the combined load was 1.5\*(D.L. + L.L.). The bending moments and shear forces that emerged from this analysis of the building's frame were then looked at. All building's components, including the slab, beam, column, footing, and staircase, were depicted in detail. From which it was deduced that the structure was secure and cost-effective because the horizontal deflections were within 20mm. Additionally, the outcomes of Kani's technique and STAAD.Pro were remarkably comparable.

## "Riddhima Singh, Manoj Kumar, Siddhant Singh, Shorya Rathi, Zohaib Ahmed Khan" Seismic analysis of multistoried building using STAAD.Pro software, 2022.

This essay used the STAAD.Pro programme to examine the seismic analysis of multistory buildings. According to this study, the failure factors for multistory structures include a lack of structural integrity, poor building techniques, large loads, weak mortar, etc. So, in order to avoid failure of multistory buildings, it is necessary to adhere to the correct instructions given by Indian Standard Code. Because of inadequate resistance in the design and structure, these earthquakes have primarily caused destruction. The four steps of this paper's technique are as follows: creating a plan on STAAD.Pro, assigning member properties, and allocating member supports. After this generating, run the static analysis and a load case.

## "Vinay K V, Kavya N, Praveen Yadav B, Gowtham M, Raghavendra N" Wind analysis and design of G + 5 residential building using STAAD.Pro software, 2020.

STAAD.Pro software was used to study the wind analysis of residential buildings. In this study, we discovered that the software is capable of figuring out how much reinforcement is required for any concrete segment. The methodology stages utilized on this project are broken down into the following sections: first, using AutoCAD, plan and model the structure. The next step is to research all potential load scenarios or load cases on structures. STAAD.Pro software study of the building comes last. With the use of building analysis, all of the failing frame sections may be located, allowing for simple data changes for better sections throughout design. After analyzing the building, we were able to see the details of each member's reinforcement, which helped us when designing our own structure.

## **OBJECTIVE**

STAAD-Pro will be used to analyze and design a G+5-story residential structure that complies with IS code.

- Analysis of a five-story Reinforced Concrete Cement Framed building with wind pressure.
- Wind load calculation for a five-story.

• To compare the advantages of building analysis and design that take wind effect into account.

# METHODOLOGY

Salient feature of building:

Utility of building: Hostel

Building plan size:  $48.34m*12.69m = 626.484m^2$ 

Floor area: 560m<sup>2</sup>

Floor to floor height: 4.5m

Number of floors: G+5

Size of beam: 230mm\*400mm

Size of column:

(Group 1) = 230mm\*600mm

(Group 2) = 230mm\*300mm

The general breakdown of a procedure is as follows:

- Planning
- Modelling
- Loads & Load Combination
- Analysis
- Designing & Detailing

# **STEP 1: PLANNING & MODELLING**



### **FLOOR PLAN**

## **STEP 2: MODELING & STRUCTURE ANALYSIS**

Structural analysis is the technique of estimating how a particular structure will perform under specific loading conditions. It is a crucial component of any engineering project. In structural design, the following performance characteristics are frequently significant:

- Stress
- Deflection
- Support reaction
- Bending moment
- Shear force

#### **Model Geometry Generation**



#### **3** Dimensional Rendered View



# Specifying Supports

All column bases have restrictions on translation and rotation along each of the three global axes. In other words, fixed supports are offered at these nodes.



**Assigned Support** 

## **STEP 3: LOADS & LOADS COMBINATION**

#### **Dead Load**

As per the IS 875:1987 (Part I)

Floor finish =  $-1 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

Self-weight =  $0.15*25 = 3.75 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

#### **External Wall**

Taking a 230mm brick wall,

Weight of wall for first floor = (4.5-0.3)\*0.23\*20 = 19.32kN/m

Weight of wall for rest of floor = 0.23\*20\*(3-0.3) = 12.42kN/m

## Internal Wall

Taking a 115mm brick wall,

Weight of wall for first floor = 19.32/2 = 9.66kN/m

Weight of wall for rest of floor = 12.42/2 = 6.21 kN/m

#### Self-weight of Beam

Weight = 0.23\*0.4\*25 = 2.3kN/m



**Dead Load** 

## Live Load

As per the IS 875:1987 (Part II)

Unit weight of concrete = 25kN/m<sup>2</sup> (IS 456, Cl 19.2.1)

Self-weight = 0.15\*25

 $= 3.75 \text{kN/m}^3$ 

Live load on rooms, bath, and toilets =  $2kN/m^2$ 

Live load on corridors, stair case, balconies, and kitchens = 3kN/m<sup>2</sup>

Live load on roofs =  $2kN/m^2$ 

Floor finish =  $1 kN/m^2$ 



V

Live Load

#### Wind Load

As per the IS Code 875:1987 (Part III) and Revised version at 2015

Section A-Research paper Design wind flow (Vz) = Vb \* k1 \* k2 \* k3 \* k4 [Clause 5.3 of IS 875:1987 (Part-III)]

- Vb = Basic wind speed
- k1 = Probability factor = 1 (Clause 5.3.1)
- k2= Terrain, height, and structure size factor = 0.894 (As per clause 5.3.2)
- k3 = Topography factor = 1 (As per Clause 5.3.3)
- k4 = Importance factor on cyclonic region

Wind pressure  $(Pz) = 0.6 * (Vz)^2$  (Clause 5.4)



**X** Direction





**Z** Direction

#### Wind Analysis and Design of G+5 Building using STAAD.Pro



-X Direction



-Z Direction

## **STEP 4: ANALYSIS**

It is possible to study the bending moment and the shear force using the graphs created by post processing after the analysis in STAAD. The image below shows the schematics for the whole building.

#### **Frame Analysis**





Deflection



#### **Beam Deflection**





Displacement







**Axial Force** 



# **Bending Moment**

#### **DESIGN RESULT**

STAAD Analysis and Design		4 <u>1</u>		×
++ Processing Element Stiffness Matrix.	8:26:17			- 1
++ Advanced Math Solver Saving displacement	8:26:17			
++ Finished Advanced Solver factor.	50 ms			
++ Calculating Member Forces.	8:26:17			
++ Analysis Successfully Completed ++				
++ Using In-Core Advanced Math Solver				
++ Advanced Math Solver Factorizing Matrix	8:26:19			
++ Processing Element Stiffness Matrix.	8:26:19			
++ Advanced Math Solver Saving displacement	8:26:19			
++ Finished Advanced Solver factor.	70 ms			
++ Calculating Member Forces.	8:26:19			
++ Analysis Successfully Completed ++				
++ Processing Element Forces.	8:26:19			
++ Processing Element Corner Forces.	8:26:20			
++ Processing Element Stresses.	8:26:20			
++ Creating Displacement File (DSP)	8:26:20			
++ Creating Reaction File (REA)	8:26:20			
++ Calculating Section Forces1-110.	8:26:20			
++ Calculating Section Forces2.	8:26:20			
++ Calculating Section Forces3	8:26:20			
++ SECT DISP member 1668 1460 of 1463				
++ SECT DISP member 10 10 of 1463				
++ Creating Section Displace File (SCN)	8:26:21			
++ Creating Element Stress File (EST)	8:26:21			
++ Creating Element JT Stress File (EJT)	8:26:21			
++ Creating Element JT Force File (ECF)	8:26:22			
++ Done.	8:26:22			
<pre>Error(s), 0 Warning(s), 2 Note(s)</pre>				
++ End STAAD.Pro Run Elapsed Time = 14 Secs				
C:\Users\Public\Documents\STAAD.Pro CONNECT Ed	ition\anl			
View Output File				
non ouput no				
Go to Post Processing Mode				
			De	
Stay in Modeling Mode			D	nie

## SUMMARY

Postprocessing:	Displacements	Reactions	Beam Results	Plate Results	Solid Results	Dynamics	Reports							
wind analysis 2.ST	D - Whole Structure						G in win	d analysis 2.STC	) - Beam End F	forces:				
							H I	HAII Su	mmary ) En	velope /				
							Beam	L/C	Node	Fx kN	Fy kN	Fz kN	Mx kip-in	My kip-in
							1034	14 WIND (+X) I	439	-0.962	-0.104	-0.009	-0.148	0.159
									440	0.962	0.104	0.009	0.148	0.087
								15 WIND (+X) I	439	-0.527	-0.043	-0.008	-0.138	0.147
									440	0.527	0.043	0.008	0.138	0.090
								16 WIND (-X) I	439	-1.027	-0.105	-0.019	0.121	0.333
									440	1.027	0.105	0.019	-0.121	0.219
								17 WIND (-X) I	439	-0.592	-0.044	-0.019	0.130	0.320
									440	0.592	0.044	0.019	-0.130	0.223
								18 WIND (+Z) I	439	0.104	-2.826	0.011	-0.288	-0.187
									440	-0.104	2.826	-0.011	0.288	-0.134
								19 WIND (+Z) I	439	0.540	-2.765	0.012	-0.279	-0.200
									440	-0.540	2.765	-0.012	0.279	-0.131
4								20 WIND (-7) I	439	0.419	2.821	0.019	0.281	-0.317
					_				440	-0 419	-2 821	-0 019	-0 281	-0 223
							. win	d analysis 2.STC	440 ) - Beam Force	-0 419	-2 821	_0 019	_0 281	_0 223
								d analysis 2.STD	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force	-0 419 Detail: :es \ Max Be	-2 821 ending Mom	ents ∖ Max	_0 281	-0 223 ο Ο Σ
							eam	d analysis 2.STC	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Forc Dist m	Detail: e Detail: es Max Be Fx kN	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN	ents AMax : Fz kN	Shear Force Mx kip-in	-0 223
					_		Beam 1034	d analysis 2.STC ▶ ▶ All (Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X) I	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Forc Dist m 0.000	Detail: ces à Max Be Fx kN -0.962	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104	_0 019 ents \ Max 3 Fz kN -0.009	281	-0 223 es / My kip-in 0.159
							Eeam 1034	d analysis 2.STC ► ► All (Ma L/C 14 WIND (+x) I	A40 - Beam Force ax Axial Forc Dist m 0.000 0.813	-0 419 2 Detail: 2 Detail: 2 Max Be Fx kN -0.962 -0.962	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104	ents Max 5 Fz kN -0.009	_0 281	_0 223
	_						■ win	d analysis 2.STD ► J \ All \ Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X)	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625	-0 419 Detail: :es \ Max Be Fx kN -0.952 -0.962 -0.962	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104	.0 019 ents ∖ Max : Fz kN -0.009 -0.009 -0.009	281	-0 223 -0 223 
	_						Beam 1034	d analysis 2.STD > > All (Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X)	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438	-0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104	ents Max : Fz kN -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009	0.0281 Shear Force Mx kip-in -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148	-0 223 
							Beam 1034	1 analysis 2.STC ► ► All All Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X)1	440 2 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250	.0 419 2 Detail: 2 Example 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104	ents \ Max : Fz kN -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009	0.0281	-0 223 -0 223 
	_						win     H      H     H	d analysis 2.5TD ► [▶] \All \Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X)   15 WIND (+X)	440 2 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.000	.0 419 • Detail: • S Max Be Fx • 0.962 • 0.962	-2 821 Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043	ents Max : Fz kn -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009	0.281 Shear Force Mx -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148	-0 223 -0 223 
							EII WIN H ( ) Beam 1034	d analysis 2.STD →  >  \All {Me L/C 14.WIND (+X)1 15.WIND (+X)1	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Forc Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813	-0 419 • Detail: • S Max Be Fx +N -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952	-2 821 ending Mom Fy KN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.043	0 019 Ents Max : Fz kN -0.009 -0.008 -0.088 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008 -0	0.0281	-0 223 -0 223 
	_						Beam 1034	2 analysis 2.STD ► ► All / Mai L/C 14 WIND (+X) I 15 WIND (+X) I	440 2 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625	-0.419 • Detail: • O.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.527 -0.527 -0.527	-2 821 ending Mom Fy -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043	ents Max : Fz 0.009 -0.008 -0.008	0 281	-0 223 
	_						■ win	1 analysis 2.STC >> > ↑ All {Me L/C 14 WIND (+X)1 15 WIND (+X)1	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438	.0 419 2 Detail: 2 Cotail: 2	-2 821 ending Mom Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043	Ents Max : Fz 0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.009 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008 -0.008	0.0281	-0 223 
	_						Image: window of the second	1 analysis 2.STD > > } All ∫Ma L/C 14 WIND (+X) 1 15 WIND (+X) 1	440 2 - Beam Force ax Axial Force 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250	.0 419 • Detail: • Ses Max Be Fx + N • 0 952 • 0 962 • 0 952 • 0 9	-2 821 Fy KN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043	ents À Max : Fz kn 0 009 -0 008 -0 008 -0 008 -0 008	0 281 Shear Force Mx kip-in -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138	0 223 My kip-in 0 159 0 098 0 036 -0 087 0 147 0 028 -0 087 0 147 0 028 -0 087 0 147 0 028 -0 030
					_		1034	1 analysis 2.510 ► 12 All (Me L/C 14 WIND (+X) I 15 WIND (+X) I	440 2 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0 000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.000 0.813 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000000	.0 419 Detail: Ees Max Be Fx kN -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.952 -0.527	-2 821 Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.045 -0.055	-0 019 Fz kn -0 009 -0 0009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 009 -0 008 -0 00	0 281 Shear Force Mx kip-in -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.138 -0.121 -0.148	-0.223 -0.223 My kip-in 0.159 0.098 -0.026 -0.026 -0.087 0.028 -0.027 0.027 0.028 -0.031 -0.031 -0.031 -0.033
T							E win I  Beam 1034	1 analysis 2.510 ► ► All / Mall / M	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force bit m 0.000 0.013 1.625 2.436 3.250 0.000 0.813 3.250 0.000 0.813	.0 419 Detail: Exes Max Be Fx N -0 952 -0 527 -0	-2 821 Fy kN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.105		0 281	-0 223 My kip-in 0 098 0 036 -0 087 0 147 0 028 -0 087 0 0147 0 028 -0 087 0 031 -0 090 0 333 0 195
Ţ							E win	Analysis 2.STD     P     P     All {Ma         LC     14 WIND (+X) I     15 WIND (+X) I	440 - Beam Force ax Axial Force Dist m 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 2.438 3.3250 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 1.625 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.000 0.813 0.815 0.000 0.813 0.815 0.81	0 419	-2 821 ending Mom Fy KN -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.104 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.105 -0.105		0 281 Mx kip-in -0.143 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.148 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.138 -0.121 -0.121	0 223 My kip-in 0 1551 0 098 -0 026 -0 087 -0 026 -0 087 -0 028 -0 087 0 028 -0 033 0 195 0 033 0 090 0 333 0 195 0 090 0 057



## CONCLUSION

The analysis and design of a multi-story dormitory utilizing STAAD is the subject of our project. The structure is represented by a three-dimensional space frame in the model. The loads were estimated in accordance with codal requirements and

divided into three categories: dead, live, and earthquake loads. In order to apply the maximum load for the worst condition, the relevant load combinations were also applied.

STAAD is used when compared to manual analysis, analysis of multistory buildings was completed much more quickly. In contrast to manual calculations, it is seen that the reinforcement % in the sections is higher in software design cases. On the building, a specific section's shear fluctuation and moment variation may be seen clearly. Utilizing software increases accuracy.

We develop the residential building's plan using AutoCAD, and then we design the residential building using STAAD. The bending moment and all weights contribute to the building, according to a pro and structural study. For certain constructions, we specifically calculate the wind load and analyze the wind conditions. Obtaining the right conditioning of loads was the primary goal of manual computation. Following are some of the primary reasons why reinforced buildings sustain damage from wind load:

i. The construction materials were of poor quality.

ii. The absence of design in the planning process and the mobility of the frame.

iii. Insufficient beam details during reinforcing.

iv. The reaction of the earth and foundation.

# REFERENCES

[1] Dr. S. R. Karve & Dr. V. L. Shah, "Illustrated Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings".

[2] N. Krishna Raju, "Advanced Reinforcement Concrete Design".

[3] B. Gireesh Babu, "Seismic Analysis and Design of G + 7 Residential Building using STAAD.Pro", International Journal of Advanced Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology, Volume 3, Issue 3, 2017.

[4] Aman, Manjunath Nalwadgi, Vishal T, Gajendra, "Analysis and Design of the Multi-storey Building by using STAAD.Pro", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, Volume 3, Issue 6, June 2016.

[5] IS 456 (2000), Plain and reinforced concrete, Bureau of Indian standard, New Delhi, India.

[6] IS 875, PART 1 (1987), Dead load, Bureau of Indian standard, New Delhi, India.

[7] IS 875, PART 2 (1987), Live load, Bureau of Indian standard, New Delhi, India.

[8] IS 875, PART 3 (1987), Wind load, Bureau of Indian standard, New Delhi, India.

[9] Vinay K. V., Kavya N., Praveen Yadav B., Gowtham M. & Raghavendra N., "Wind analysis and design of G + 5 residential building using STAAD.Pro, Volume 9, Issue 7, July 2020.

[10] Riddhima Singh, Manoj Kumar, Siddhant Singh, Shorya Rathi, Zohaib Ahmed Khan, "Seismic analysis of multistoried building using STAAD.Pro software, Volume 8, Issue 2, May 2022.

[11] Vinay K V, Kavya N, Praveen Yadav B, Gowtham M, Raghavendra N, "Wind analysis and design of G + 5 residential building using STAAD.Pro software", Volume 9, Issue 7, July 2020.