

Department Of Computer Science and Informational Technology Meerut Institute Of Engineering And Technology, Meerut

Abhay Agarwal, Anshuman Patel, Arpan Sunil, Harshit Singh Prof.Sunil Kumar

Abhay.agarwal.csit.2019@miet.ac.in , anshuman.patel.csit.2019@miet.ac.in, arpan.sunil.csit.2019@miet.ac.in,harshit.singh.csit.2019@miet.ac.in Sunil.kumar@miet.ac.in

Abstract:

According to formal studies, it has been concluded that BC is one of the foremost common cancers which is diagnosed particularly in women, BC can affect both men and women, but it is more commonly diagnosed in women. Around 14.7% of cases of Breast Cancer are found in India. Many pieces of research are conducted from time to time for earlydetection of BC, which can save lives and help in the timely diagnosis of the disease and the patient can get possible treatment sooner. But It has been found that only 86% of them are diagnosed correctly. Biopsy used to detect Cancer contains several shortcomings such as it carries a small risk of bleeding or infection or may cause false defection of disease Hence, the need for a new alternative method arises that is easy to implement, less risky, rble, safer, cost more effective and can predict more accurate results. The model proposed in the paper is a combination of the Artificial Neural network K-meest neighbor Decision Support Vector Machine Decision tree.

I.1 Introduction

According to an exploration conducted by the WHO, it is one of the most well-known kinds of disease in ladies. BC is one of the major diseases among females in India and is one of the major causes of death. About 5%.0f Indian women are affected by Breast cancer, while it influences around 12.5% of absolute ladies in Europe and the US. Ladies with Breast Cancer growth in Malaysia are bound to be available at a later phase of the sickness than ladies in different nations.

In most cases, Breast Cancer can be easily diagnosed if relevant symptoms occur in the body. On the contrary, it may happen that no symptoms appeared that conclude that some women with breast cancer do not have any symptoms. Hence, BC screening has taken a major part in the earlier detection of cancerous cells i.e. malignant ones in the patient's body.

Early Recognition of this disease advantages early treatment and determination as it is so basic for long haul endurance. This is due to the reason that if a disease is diagnosed earlier, there is a higher chance for its treatment. Also, the chance of dying from such a disease tends to be reduced as it gets detected earlier and engaged as the main part of a patient's endurance. Deferring in recognizing malignant growth at a later stage will prompt the spread of illness in the patient's whole body.

A late cancer diagnosis is connected to the illness advancing to cutting edge stages, which increase the probability of a patient's death.

As per an investigation of 91 specialists, Breast cancer female patients who start their treatment in not less than 90 days after the beginning of side effects have a preferable possibility of making due over the people who stand by over 90 days.

From various studies and research across the world, it has been concluded that early detection of breast cancer in a patient's body not only provides early treatment and cure to the disease but also prevents malignant i.s. Cancerous

cells are prevented from spreading throughout the patient's body.

The primary commitment of the paper is an assessment and investigation of the role of various AI approaches in breast cancer early detection.

Using Machine Learning methods submerged with each other to predict and diagnose breast cancer not only to prevent excessive treatment for the disease but also to provide more accuracy and integrity to early diagnosis of a disease which helps the patient to provide timely treatment and help doctors and patients to make a more decision to cure and prevent the spread of disease in patient's entire body.

For example, The choice on whether the patient needs a medical procedure depends on the aftereffects of the breast cancer test. Mammograms can give bogus positive outcomes which can prompt pointless techniques. Sometimes benign cells can be found when surgery is performed to remove cancer cells. The patient will be exposed to superfluous, unsavoury, and expensive medical procedures.

AI calculations are performing well in medical services datasets like pictures, x-beams and different blood tests. A few systems are more qualified to little informational indexes, while others are more qualified to huge informational indexes.

I.2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Researchers used various machine learning approaches to diagnose BC in the past, which has been discussed in this section.

Arpita Joshi and Ashish Mehta (1) conducted a study in which they compared the classification results obtained from the techniques KNN and SVM. A Decision Tree and a Random Forest (Recursive Partitioning and Conditional Inference Tree). Wisconsin Breast Cancer data was analysed using a dataset obtained from UCT's repository. KNN was the best elifier based on simulation results, followed by SVM, Random Forest, and Decision Tree.

The authors [2] investigated the performance of Back Vector Machine, Fake Neural Arrange, and Nave Bayes on the Wisconsin Demonstrative Breast Cancer (WDHC) dataset by combining these machine learning procedures with include selection and extraction strategies to find the most appropriate strategy. Due to its longer computation time, SVM-LDA was preferred over all other strategies in the reenactment.

A comparison study on ANN and SVM and coordinates was conducted by Kalyani Wadkar, Prashant Pathik, and Nikhil Wagh DL. For better dataset preparation, use distinct classifiers such as CNN, KNN, and Initiation V3. The test came about and .Execution analysis revealed that ANN could be a superior classifier than SVM as ANN has higher. Anji Reddy Vaka, Badal Soni and Sudheer Reddy K. [4] displayed a novel strategy for breast cancer discovery utilizing machine learning strategies like Credulous Bayes classifier, SVM classifier, Bi-clastering AdaBoost methods, CNN classification and bidirectional Recurrent Neural Networks (HA-BIRNN). A comparison of machine learning strategies and the proposed strategy (Profound Neural Organize with Back Esteem) was performed, and it was discovered that the DNN calculation was advantageous in terms of both execution and efficiency. And, in the most recent therapeutic frameworks, image quality is critical, whereas other methods did not perform as expected.

Monica Tiwari, Rashi Bharaka, Praditi Shah, and Reena Lokare [51] demonstrated a novel approach to breast cancer detection using machine learning procedures. Calculated Relapse, Irregular Woodland, K-Nearest Neighbor, and Choice Tree are all examples. Profound Learning procedures Fake Neural Arrange, Convolutional Neural Arrange, and Repetitive Neural Arrange, as well as Bolster Vector Machine and Credulous Bayes Classifier. A comparison of ML and deep learning strategies revealed that the precision of CNN shows (97.3%) and ANN shows (99.3%) was more productive than machine learning models.

Abdullah Al Nahid and Yinan Kong (6) demonstrated a novel strategy for breast cancer detection through ML image classification procedures such as Convolutional Neural Organize (CNN), Customary Neural Organize (NN), Irregular Timberland (RF) calculation, Bolster Vector Machines (SVM), and Bayesian strategy. The CNN strategy was discovered to be the most popular strategy for breast cancer discovery because Convolutional Neural Arrange (CNN)

procedures extricate highlights all inclusive utilising parts and these global highlights were used for image classification.

K. Anastra. DeT.Chakravarty and K.Sriram [7] performed a comparative investigation between distinctive machine learning procedures. Learning calculations such as backpropagation systems, manufactured neural systems (ANN), and convolutional neural systems (CNN) performed. And Bolster Vector Machine(SVM) performed on the Wisconsin Breast Cancer (unique) dataset. Profound and convolutional neural systems.A organize utilizing ALEXNET was utilized to include extraction and examination of both kind and malignant tumors. The reenactment. It appears that the Bolster Vector Machine is the foremost viable approach and gives way better results. Vasundhara, B.V.Kiranmayce and Chalumuru Suresh [8], have proposed an intuitive classification of mammograms. Pictures as generous, harmful and ordinary utilizing diverse machine learning calculations proposed. Comparative analysis are A. SR, [28-03-2023

16:22]. Between Support Vector Machines, Convolutional Neural Systems, and Irregular Woodlands performed. Reux concluded that CNN is the foremost exact classifier since it gives intuitive classification of computerized mammograms through sifting and morphological operations.

Muhammet Fatih Ak (9) used De William H. Walberg's dataset from the College of Wisconsin Healing Center. This dataset was linked to information visualisation and machine learning strategies such as logistic regression, kincarest neighbours, bolster vector machine, Gullible Bayes, choice tree, irregular woodland, and turn woodland. R, MINITAB, and Python were chosen for the machine learning and visualisation procedures. A comparative examination between all procedures was performed. The comes about gotten with the calculated relapse demonstrate counting all highlights appeared the most noteworthy classification exactness (98.15%), and the proposed approach appeared an advancement in classification exactness as takes after:

Sivapriya J. Arvind Kumar V, Siddarth Sai S and Sriram [10] performed a comparative investigation based on SVM. Calculated Relapse. Gullible Bayes and Irregular Woodland The Wisconsin BC dataset isused for comparison. Based on the results of the tests performed, the Irregular Woodland calculation appeared the most noteworthy exactness (99.76%) with the most reduced blunder rate. Boa constrictor All tests were performed utilizing the Information Science Stage in a mimicked environment

Hina Aria, Hajar Mouannif, Hassan Al Motame, and Thomas N

I.3 Methodology

1. Boosting:

It is one of the techniques used in machine learning so that the error can be minimized in predictive data analysis such as in breast cancer detection. It is used to create a strong classifier over a weak classifier. It simply combines the weak classifier to build a stronger classifier which can help to reduce more training errors as possible. It helps us to transform the weak classifier. It simply combines the weak classifier to build a stronger classifier which can help to reduce more training errors as possible. It helps us to transform the weak classifier stronger ones. It helps to predict more accurately whether the person is suffering from Breast cancer or not [11][12].

We collect a gathering of models which are amassed towards securing a few strong understudies whose lead is predominant. Each feeble model in this gathering is fit for giving greater dependability to all datasets which were formerly absent. Every most recent mode highlights one's endeavours for problematic insights reasonable till the ongoing second, therefore the user acquires, close to the completion of the collaboration, a strong understudy with a lower tendency. Helping can be utilized for backslide as well as portrayal issues. All of the base models that were regularly considered for assistance are models with low variation but high tendency. AdaBoost and inclination support are supported by two meta-calculations. The two techniques attempt to take students in different ways than they make them [13][14].

2. Support Vector Machine(SVM):

SVMs are employed in classification., regression and outlier detection. The calculation's goal is to distinguish between data points in order to find a hyperplane in a Multilayer space. This helps us to provide higher-speed computation and higher performance with a limited number of dataset samples [15][16]

It is an extremely strong and flexible AI model, fit for performing straight or nonlinear grouping. In sum, the data is taken from the provided dataset and the model is then trained to predict the accurate result. It helps us to analyze whether the cell in the breast is cancerous or not, which helps to detect that the patient is suffering from breast cancer disease. There are many different hyperplanes that could be used [17].

The goal is to locate a plane that has the most noticeable edge or the greatest distance between the statistical sections of the two classes. The border interval is expanded so that With higher dependability, the statistics focal points can be grouped [18].

The objective is to extend the edges between the hyperplane and the information of interest [19].

3. Random Forest Classifier:

It is a type of supervised algorithm. used in ML. It works by making Decision trees on different data inputs or samples. It is one of the most popular supervised algorithms used in ML. As the name suggests, it is a forest that is developed by the combination of various trees and these trees here are the decision tree and we can compute the result by taking the mean of all the trees to predict a more accurate result. It makes decisions based on the number of majority votes available. It has been also seen that in many cases decision trees indignantly, are unable to find correct output but collectively many trees help us to predict a more accurate and correct result. It is more reliable as the training needed to train the model is lower as compared to other models. It has been noticed that it even works efficiently if the data is missing in the dataset. It is a combination of decision trees. A decision tree uses either Recursive Partitioning or a Conditional Inference Tree for its development. In recursive partitioning, the decision tree is developed by patting nodes. The source set into subsets is what advanced the tree. If a subset has a value that is similar to the objective variable, the recursion is terminated. Tree of Contingent Inference includes a non-parametric test to stay away from overfitting. Random forests can manage missing characteristics, and are tenacious, out and resemble data, yet The size of the trees can consume a large amount of memory. The HYPER-Boundaries must be tuned [2][21].

Random Forest is involved at the greatest in the model. It uses random examples to increase efficiency. Every tree group casts a new ballot decision. It was utilized in the independent mode for looking over areas. The random forest approach is a technique in which trees are fitted with the bootstrap test and the results are combined. Random forests employ another technique to make the various fitted trees less connected with one another: while tending to each tree, as opposed to simply examining the discernments in the example ,we can simply test over the main part to keep the random subset [22][23].

| Classification Report | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| Train | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 252 |
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 146 |
| Accuracy | | | 1.01 | 397 |
| Macro Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Weighted Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Test | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.93 | 0.95 | 1.93 | 104 |

| 1 | 1.93 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 65 |
|------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| Exactness | | | 1.93 | 172 |
| Macro Average | 1.93 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 172 |
| Weighted Average | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 172 |

4. Ensemble Methods:

Ensemble learning is an Artificial Intelligence technique in which multiple models work together to solve a comparative problem and achieve best returns [24][25].

The crucial supposition is that by using fragile designs, we can obtain the most information unambiguously as well as areas of strength for as.

5. Bagging:

While preparing a model, in any case expecting issue, we get a capacity that takes a data, returns a stock up final product, and is depicted concerning the structure dataset (recall that a dataset is a seen model coming from a genuine undefined essential dissemination), the fitted model is similarly influenced by variability: if a different dataset had been considered, we would have most likely gotten a different model. Bagging is then essential: we want to fit a few free models and "typicalize" their expectations in order to obtain a model with a lower change. The problem is that a completely independent model cannot be fitted because it would require a large amount of information. In addition, we rely on the "vague properties" of bootstrap tests to fit independent models [26][27][26].

| Classification Report | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| Train | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 252 |
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 146 |
| Accuracy | | | 1.01 | 397 |
| Macro Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Weighted Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Test | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.93 | 0.93 | 1.93 | 104 |
| 1 | 1.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 65 |
| Exactness | | | 1.93 | 172 |
| Macro Average | 1.93 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 172 |
| Weighted Average | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 172 |

6. KNN:

KNN or K-Nearest Neighbour is one of the sorts of AI calculations. This can be applied in Regression or Characterization however it is predominantly utilized for grouping as it were. This calculationThe relationship between the new records and the accessible cases brings them closer together and places the new case in a grouping that is essentially identical to the open arrangements K-Nearest Neighbour tends to both portrayal and return tasks.

Characterization can be depicted as the procedure in which it orchestrates the articles preparing models in the part space while considering the K nearest. It lessens the weight of creating a model, adjusting several cut-off points, or similarly suspecting. It obtains the possibility of proximity by taking into account a numerical condition known as Euclidean distance, which computes the The separation between two points on a plane [27][28][29].

The Euclidean distance is attainable if a focus areas in a plane are A(x0, y0) and B(x1, y1).-

$$\sqrt{(x0-x1)^2+(y0-y1)^2}$$



Euclidean Distance Equation

A distance measure is used to determine which of the K cases in the organisation dataset are similar to other data. The most commonly used distance measure for certified regarded input factors is Euclidean distance [30][31].

During the K-NN computation, the following advances should be followed.

- a. Separate the data into planning and test data
- b. Choose a value of K.
- c. Determine which distance measurement will be used.
- d. Choose a model from the requested test data and register the distance to its n planning tests.
- e. Classify the determined distances and perform the K-nearest data tests
- f. Assign the test class to the class with the most votes from its neighbour.

Significant optimisation boundaries for KNN can given as follow

• N-neighbours - it tends to be characterized as the quantity of closest neighbours K in the KNN calculation.



| Classification Report | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| Train | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 252 |
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 146 |
| Accuracy | | | 1.01 | 397 |
| Macro Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Weighted Average | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 397 |
| Test | Precision | Recall | Fl-score | Support |
| 0 | 1.93 | 0.93 | 1.93 | 104 |
| 1 | 1.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 65 |
| Exactness | | | 1.93 | 172 |
| Macro Average | 1.93 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 172 |
| Weighted Average | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 172 |

I.4 Decision Tree

Decision trees are one of the most common managed learning strategies. In contrast to solo realisation (where there is no closure result variable to coordinate the creating experience and data is examined via estimations to find plans), direct learning examines your continuous data and you are currently aware of which lead you want to anticipate in the new information you receive. This is the type of estimation used by autonomous vehicles to become aware of walkers and things, or affiliations used to measure client lifetime regard and beat rates [32][33].

Decision Trees are AI computations that consistently segment instructive assortments into more prominent unobtrusive data bunches based on an obvious component., until they show up at units that are adequately little to be addressed through some imprint. They expect that you have data that is named (set apart with no less than one name, for instance the creature name in the photos of creatures), so they attempt to mark new data with the assistance of that data. These computations are spectacular to address affiliation (where machines sort information into classes, regardless of whether an email is spam) and backslide (where machines sort out values, similar to a property cost)

problems. Backslide trees are used when the contingent variable is conventional or quantitative (for example, if we want to measure the likelihood that a client will default on a development), whereas Characterization trees are used when the restrictive variable is obvious or emotional (for instance to explore the blood grouping of a person). The significance of Decision Trees stems from the fact that they serve numerous functions. They are possibly the most complex estimation in AI, and they are used in a variety of applications [34][35].

Significant tuning parameters for Decision trees are given as following-

- max depth This is the tree's under certain. min sample leaf The number of samples needed to become a leaf node.. This has the potential to smooth the model [36][37].
- max leaf nodes This is the number of features to take in order to get the best split [38].
- min sample split This is the smallest the sample count needed to divided an internal node [39].
- criterion This is a measure of the quality of a split.

The code snippet can be given as following -

```
11
    param_grid = {'max_depth': np.arange(3,
        ), 'max_features': np.arange(3,5)}
12
13
    tree = GridSearchCV
        (DecisionTreeClassifier(), param_grid
        , cv = 5)
14
15
    tree.fit( train_x, train_y )
16
17
    The overall accuracy score for
                                     the Train
        Data is: 0.957286432160804
18
19
    The overall accuracy score for the Test
        Data is: 0.8947368421052632
20
```

I.5 Conclusion and future scope

This undertaking is mostly founded on the progression of prescient models to accomplish extraordinary accuracy in anticipating the authentic illness results utilizing regulated AI calculations. The findings indicate that combining complex data with various characterization, highlight determination, and dimension reduction strategies can provide useful hardware for derivation in this area. Much more study is needed in this field to improve the execution of the data collection techniques so that it can predict more factors.

This dataset contains 32 distinct elements that contribute to condensing the multifaceted massive dataset to a few key points. The overall large number of calculations used, including the K-Closest Neighbor (KNN), Backing Vector Machine (SVM), and Strategic Relapse support vector contributes the most eminent precision of 92.7% when

appearing differently in relation to different estimations. Subsequently, along these lines, we can recommend that SVM is the most suitable method for deciding the assumption for Bosom Malignant growth event with complex datasets.

Bibliography

[1] Arpita Joshi and Dr. Ashish Mehta "Comparative Analysis of Various Machine Learning Techniques for Diagnosis of Breast Cancer" (2017).

[2] David A. Omondiagbe, Shanmugam Veeramani and Amandeep S. Sidhu "Machine Learning Classification Techniques for Breast CancerDiagnosis" (2019).

[3] Kalyani Wadkar, Prashant Pathak and Nikhil Wagh "Breast Cancer Detection Using ANN Network and Performance Analysis with SVM" (2019).

[4] Anji Reddy Vaka, Badal Soni and Sudheer Reddy "Breast Cancer Detection by Leveraging Machine Learning" (2020).

[5] Monika Tiwari, Rashi Bharuka, Praditi Shah and Reena Lokare "Breast Cancer Prediction using Deep Learning and Machine Learning Techniques".

[6] Abdullah-Al Nahid and Yinan Kong "Involvement of Machine Learning for Breast Cancer Image Classification: A Survey" (2017).

[7] K.Anastraj, Dr.T.Chakravarthy and K.Sriram "Breast Cancer detection either Benign Or Malignant Tumor using Deep Convolutional Neural Network With Machine LearningTechniques " (2019).

[8] S.Vasundhara, B.V. Kiranmayee and Chalumuru Suresh "Machine Learning Approach for Breast Cancer Prediction" (2019).

[9] Muhammet Fatih Ak "A Comparative Analysis of Breast Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Using Data Visualization and Machine Learning Applications" (2020).

[10] Sivapriya J, Aravind Kumar V, Siddarth Sai S, Sriram S "Breast Cancer Prediction using Machine Learning" (2019).

- [11] Hiba Asria, Hajar Mousannifb, Hassan El Moatassime, Thomas Noeld "Using Machine Learning Algorithms for Breast Cancer Risk Prediction and Diagnosis" (2016).
- [12] Dana Bazazeh and Raed Shubair "Comparative Study of Machine Learning Algorithms for Breast Cancer Detection and Diagnosis" (2016).
- [13] Ramik Rawal "Breast Cancer Prediction Using MachineLearning" (2020).
- [14] S. Karthik, R. Srinivasa Perumal and P. V. S. S. R. Chandra Mouli "Breast Cancer Classification Using Deep Neural Networks" (2019).
- [15] Abdullah-Al Nahid, Aaron Mikaelian and Yinan Kong "Histopathological breast-image classification with restricted Boltzmann machine along with backpropagation." (2018).
- [16] Syed Jamal Safdar Gardezi, Ahmed Elazab, Baiying Lei and Tianfu Wang "Breast Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Using Mammographic Data: Systematic Review" (2019).
- [17] Sebastien Jean Mambou , Petra Maresova , Ondrej Krejcar , Ali Selamat and Kamil Kuca.
- [18] "Breast Cancer Detection Using Infrared Thermal Imaging and a Deep Learning Model" (2018).
- [19] Hannah Le "Using Machine learning models for breast cancer detection" (2018).
- [20] Saleem Z. Ramadan "Methods Used in Computer- Aided Diagnosis for Breast Cancer Detection Using Mammograms: A Review" (2020).
- [21] M. Tahmooresi, A. Afshar, B. Bashari Rad, K. B. Nowshath and M. A. Bamiah "Early Detection of Breast Cancer Using Machine Learning Techniques".
- [22] Mandeep Rana, Pooja Chandorkar, Alishiba Dsouza and Nikahat Kazi "Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Recurrence Prediction Using Machine Learning Techniques" (2015).
- [23] Shubham Sharma , Archit Aggarwal and Tanupriya Choudhury "Breast Cancer Detection Using Machine Learning Algorithms" (2018).
- [24] Ram MurtiRawat ,Shivam Panchal ,Vivek Kumar Singh ,Yash Panchal "Breast Cancer Detection Using K-Nearest Neighbors, Logistic Regression and Ensemble Learning" (2020).
- [25] Mohseni, S., Yang, F., Pentyala, S., Du, M., Liu, Y., Lupfer, N., ... & Ragan, E. (2021, May). Machine learning explanations to prevent overtrust in fake news detection. In Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (Vol. 15, pp. 421-431).
- [26] Narayan, Vipul, et al. "Enhance-Net: An Approach to Boost the Performance of Deep Learning Model Based on Real-Time Medical Images." Journal of Sensors 2023 (2023).
- [27] Babu, S. Z., et al. "Abridgement of Business Data Drilling with the Natural Selection and Recasting Breakthrough: Drill Data With GA." Authors Profile Tarun Danti Dey is doing Bachelor in LAW from Chittagong Independent University, Bangladesh. Her research discipline is business intelligence, LAW, and Computational thinking. She has done 3 (2020).
- [28] NARAYAN, VIPUL, A. K. Daniel, and Pooja Chaturvedi. "FGWOA: An Efficient Heuristic for Cluster Head

Selection in WSN using Fuzzy based Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm." (2022).

- [29] Faiz, Mohammad, et al. "IMPROVED HOMOMORPHIC ENCRYPTION FOR SECURITY IN CLOUD USING PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION." Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results (2022): 4761-4771.
- [30] Narayan, Vipul, A. K. Daniel, and Pooja Chaturvedi. "E-FEERP: Enhanced Fuzzy based Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network." Wireless Personal Communications (2023): 1-28.
- [31] Tyagi, Lalit Kumar, et al. "Energy Efficient Routing Protocol Using Next Cluster Head Selection Process In Two-Level Hierarchy For Wireless Sensor Network." Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results (2023): 665-676.
- [32] Paricherla, Mutyalaiah, et al. "Towards Development of Machine Learning Framework for Enhancing Security in Internet of Things." Security and Communication Networks 2022 (2022).
- [33] Sawhney, Rahul, et al. "A comparative assessment of artificial intelligence models used for early prediction and evaluation of chronic kidney disease." Decision Analytics Journal 6 (2023): 100169.
- [34] [Srivastava, Swapnita, et al. "An Ensemble Learning Approach For Chronic Kidney Disease Classification." Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results (2022): 2401-2409.
- [35] Mall, Pawan Kumar, et al. "FuzzyNet-Based Modelling Smart Traffic System in Smart Cities Using Deep Learning Models." Handbook of Research on Data-Driven Mathematical Modeling in Smart Cities. IGI Global, 2023. 76-95.
- [36] Mall, Pawan Kumar, et al. "Early Warning Signs Of Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Machine Learning Technique." Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results (2022): 4784-4792.
- [37] Pramanik, Sabyasachi, et al. "A novel approach using steganography and cryptography in business intelligence." Integration Challenges for Analytics, Business Intelligence, and Data Mining. IGI Global, 2021. 192-217.
- [38] Narayan, Vipul, et al. "Deep Learning Approaches for Human Gait Recognition: A Review." 2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart Communication (AISC). IEEE, 2023.
- [39] Narayan, Vipul, et al. "FuzzyNet: Medical Image Classification based on GLCM Texture Feature." 2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart Communication (AISC). IEEE, 2023