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#### Abstract

Water borne diseases are viral, bacterial, and parasitic diseases which use water as a common means of transmission. Water borne diseases are caused by water that has been contaminated by human or animal wastes and include diseases such as cholera, typhoid, shigella, polio, meningitis and hepatitis A\&E. A Study to assess the knowledge on waterborne diseases among general population at Maraimalai Nagar. Objectives: To assess the knowledge on water borne diseases among general population. To associate the knowledge on water borne diseases among general population with their demographic variables. Methodology: Quantitative research and descriptive design was adopted to the study. A total of 100 general public were selected by non- probability convenient sampling technique. The samples were interviewed by self prepared interview schedule. The tool had two sections. Section - A Consists of demographic variables and Section -B Consists of 21 questionnaires to assess the level of knowledge on water borne diseases. The collected data analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics. Results: The result of the study reveals that among 100 general public, 23 (23\%) people had adequate knowledge on water borne diseases, 49 ( $49 \%$ ) people had moderately adequate knowledge on water borne diseases and $28(28 \%)$ people had inadequate knowledge on water borne diseases. CONCLUSION: Most of the people had moderately adequate knowledge, so investigator felt the need to help them to improve their knowledge through an educational approach by providing health education pamphlet.
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## 1. Inroduction

Water borne diseases are caused by water that has been contaminated by human or animal wastes and include diseases such as cholera, typhoid, shigella, polio, meningitis and hepatitis A\&E. Humans can act as hosts to the bacterial, viral or protozoal organisms that cause these diseases. In many countries whole sewage treatment is inadequate, human wastes are disposed of in open citrines, ditches and canals or are spread on cropland, resulting in extensive diarrheal disease it is estimated that 4 billion cases of diarrheal disease occur every year, causing 3 million to 4 million deaths, mostly among children worldwide the lack of sanitary waste disposal and of clean water for drinking, cooking and washing is to blame for over 12 million deaths a year.

Water borne diseases include dysentery, cholera, typhoid fever and a wide range of other parasite infections. These diseases kill more than a million people each year, many of these children in developing countries. People can convert these diseases when they drink water that contains dangerous micro-organisms, including viruses, bacteria and single-celled organisms called protozoa and longer parasites such as worms watery borne diseases can also occur if people eat food that has been prepared using contaminated water or when parasite enter the body directly through an open wound. Research suggests that in some settings climate change could affect water borne diseases because changes in temperature and rainfall can affect the survival of disease causing organisms.
According to the World Health Organization (WHO)2017, such diseases account for an estimated $3.6 \%$ of the total DAILY global burden of disease, and cause about 1.5 million human deaths annually. The World Health Organization estimates that $58 \%$ of that burden, or $8,42,000$ deaths per year, is attributable to unsafe water supply, sanitation and hygiene.

Sudhir Ben Nelson, Vishnu G Ashok, MadihaNazer, Manibalan. S, MadhumithaR.A (2017) Vector-borne diseases account for over 17\% of all infectious diseases. Up to 700 million people are infected and more than a million die each year from mosquito-borne illness. The extent of people's cooperation can determine the success or failure of the entire campaign for Mosquito control. Methods: A cross-sectional observational study was carried out in Kanyakumari district among 180 individuals selected through multi-stage sampling. Data was collected using a semi structured interview schedule. Results: Every one of the study
participants knew that mosquitoes spread diseases. Dengue was the most common disease related to Mosquito. Among the respondents, 113(62.7\%) answered that coconut shells most common mosquito breeding place. Coconut shells ( $66 \%$ ) \& Open drainages ( $61.1 \%$ ) were reason for water stagnation inside \& outside their own compound respectively. $71.1 \%$ have seen mosquito larva in stagnant water around their house and among them $75.8 \%$ have done something to kill larva. Most common method used was putting bleaching powder in the larva breeding places (39\%) followed by source reduction ( $26.5 \%$ ). Bleaching powder was also the most common method (57\%) used for prevention of mosquito breeding. 78.9\% of the households were using personal protective measures, mosquito coil ( $59.8 \%$ ), the most commonly used method. Only $38.5 \%$ of them said that fogging was done in their area in past 6 months. Conclusion: A good proportion of the households are taking preventive measures, but still so many households lacks practice or found to be doing wrong practices. Therefore, we recommend that community should be empowered with the right \& adequate knowledge.

## 2. Methodology

Quantitative approach and non-experimental descriptive design used. Sampling techniques was non probability convenient sampling technique. The study variables are (Knowledge on water borne disease) and demographic variables are(Age, sex, marital status, education, occupation income, type of family, area of living, toilet facilities and sanitary state). This study was conducted at Maraimalai Nagar and the target population of was general population between 18-60 yrs. The sample size of the study was 100 . The tool encompasses of 2 sections. Section A - consist of 10 questions to assess the demographic variables. Section B - was self prepared questionnaire consisting of 21 items on knowledge on water borne diseases. Each question carry one score for correct answer and zero score for wrong answer.

## Ethical Considerations

Formal approval was obtained from the institution review board and institutional ethical committee of SRM University, Kattankulathur, Kanchipuram, Tamilnadu, India. To execute the study the researcher obtained official written permission obtained from the head of department of management in SRM University, kattankulathur, kanchipuram, Tamilnadu. Content validity was received from the various expert from the field of nursing, bio-statistician and research expert.

## 3. Results

4.1 Section A Frequency and percentage distribution demographic variables of general public N=100

| Demographic variables |  | Frequency <br> (n) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 18-30 Years | 34 | 34 |
|  | 31-40 Years | 29 | 29 |
|  | 41-50 Years | 35 | 35 |
|  | 51-60 Years | 2 | 2 |
| Sex | Male | 38 | 38 |
|  | Female | 62 | 62 |
| Education | Profession | 20 | 20 |
|  | Graduate Or Postgraduate | 13 | 13 |
|  | Intermediate Or Post High School | 13 | 13 |
|  | High School Certificate | 13 | 13 |
|  | Middle School Certificate | 18 | 18 |
|  | Primary School | 14 | 14 |
|  | Illiterate | 9 | 9 |
| Marital Status | Married | 67 | 67 |
|  | Unmarried | 26 | 26 |
|  | Widow | 3 | 3 |
|  | Widower | 4 | 4 |
| Occupation | Profession | 19 | 19 |
|  | Semi Profession | 15 | 15 |
|  | Clerical, Shop Owner, Farmer | 15 | 15 |
|  | Skilled Worker | 29 | 29 |
|  | Semi-Skilled Worker | 12 | 12 |
|  | Unskilled Worker | 3 | 3 |
|  | Unemployment | 7 | 7 |
| Family Income | Rs 1,500-Rs 4,700 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Rs 4,701- Rs 7,800 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Rs 7,801- Rs 11,800 | 31 | 31 |
|  | Rs 11,801- Rs 15,700 | 46 | 46 |
| Type of Family | Joint | 22 | 22 |
|  | Nuclear | 76 | 76 |
|  | Extended | 2 | 2 |
| Toilet Facilities | Available | 100 | 100 |
|  | Not Available | 0 | 0 |
| Sanitary State | Very Clean | 31 | 31 |
|  | Clean | 64 | 64 |
|  | Dirty | 5 | 5 |


| Source of Water | Tap Water | 23 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Borehole | 57 | 57 |
|  | Municipality Supply | 8 | 8 |
|  | Well Water | 12 | 12 |

Table 4.1.2 Frequency and percentage distribution of the assessment and the level of knowledge

| $\mathrm{N}=100$ |  | Number <br> $(\mathbf{n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of knowledge | 28 | 28 |
| In adequate knowledge | 49 | 49 |
| Moderately adequate knowledge | 23 | 23 |
| Adequate knowledge | 100 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |

The above table 2 reveals that among 100 study population, 23 (23\%) people had adequate knowledge, 28 ( $28 \%$ ) people had inadequate
knowledge and 49 (49\%) people had moderately adequate knowledge.


Table 4.1.3 Association between the level of knowledge on water borne disease among general population with their demographic variables $\mathrm{N}=100$

| Knowledge Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inadequate knowledge |  | Moderately adequate knowledge |  | Adequate knowledge |  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chi } \\ \text { Square } \\ \text { Test } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |  |  |
| Age | 18-30 Years | 12 | 43 | 14 | 29 | 8 | 35 | 34 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} 3.981 \\ 6 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.679 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 31-40 Years | 7 | 25 | 14 | 26 | 8 | 35 | 29 | 29 |  |  |


|  | 41-50 Years | 9 | 32 | 19 | 39 | 7 | 30 | 35 | 35 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 51-60 Years | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 6 | 21 | 21 | 43 | 11 | 48 | 38 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 4.697 \\ 2 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.096 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | 22 | 79 | 28 | 57 | 12 | 52 | 62 | 62 |  |  |
| Education | Profession | 2 | 7 | 15 | 31 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 16.732 \\ 12 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.160 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Graduate Or Postgraduate | 5 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |  |
|  | Intermediate Or Post High School | 4 | 14 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 13 |  |  |
|  | High School Certificate | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 30 | 13 | 13 |  |  |
|  | Middle School Certificate | 7 | 25 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 18 |  |  |
|  | Primary School | 5 | 18 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
|  | Illiterate | 3 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
| Marital Status | Married | 21 | 75 | 32 | 65 | 14 | 61 | 67 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 3.476 \\ 6 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.747 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Unmarried | 6 | 21 | 14 | 27 | 6 | 26 | 26 | 26 |  |  |
|  | Widow | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
|  | Widower | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Occupation | Profession | 6 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 30 | 19 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 9.838 \\ 12 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.630 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Semi Profession | 5 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 17 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Clerical, Shop Owner, Farmer | 3 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 17 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Skilled Worker | 9 | 32 | 16 | 33 | 4 | 17 | 29 | 29 |  |  |
|  | Semi-Skilled Worker | 2 | 27 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
|  | Unskilled Worker | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| Family Income | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rs } 1,500-\mathrm{Rs} \\ 4,700 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 2.802 \\ 6 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.833 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rs 4,701-Rs } \\ 7,800 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 17 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Rs 7,801- Rs | 9 | 32 | 17 | 35 | 5 | 22 | 31 | 31 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs } 11,801-\mathrm{Rs} \\ 15,700 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 46 | 22 | 45 | 11 | 48 | 46 | 46 |  |  |
| Type of Family | Joint | 6 | 21 | 11 | 22 | 5 | 22 | 22 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} 0.836 \\ 4 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.934 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Nuclear | 21 | 75 | 37 | 76 | 18 | 78 | 76 | 76 |  |  |
|  | Extended | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Toilet Facilities | Available | 28 | 100 | 49 | 100 | 23 | 100 | 100 | 100 | NA | NA |
|  | Not Available | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |


| Sanitary State | Very Clean | 7 | 25 | 16 | 33 | 8 | 35 | 31 | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 1.746 \\ 4 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.782 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clean | 20 | 71 | 31 | 63 | 13 | 57 | 64 | 64 |  |  |
|  | Dirty | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Source of Water | Tap Water | 5 | 18 | 12 | 25 | 6 | 26 | 23 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 4.958 \\ 6 \mathrm{df} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.549 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Borehole | 19 | 68 | 27 | 55 | 11 | 48 | 57 | 57 |  |  |
|  | Municipality Supply | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 8 |  |  |
|  | Well Water | 4 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 12 |  |  |

NS - Not Statistical Significance Association between Demographical Variables and Knowledge levels at $95 \%$ ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). NA - Not Applicable Table 4.1.3 Reveals that were no significant association between the level of knowledge on water borne disease among general population and with their demographic variables.

## 4. Conclusion

The findings of the present study reveals that among 100 samples 23 ( $23 \%$ ) people had adequate knowledge, $28(28 \%)$ people had inadequate knowledge, and $49(49 \%)$ people had moderately adequate knowledge. There is no significant association between the level of knowledge on water borne disease among general population and with their demographic variables.
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