Socio-demographic Determinants and Dental Fluorosis Prevalence among 12 to 16-Year Teenagers in Kanpur District, Uttar Pradesh India: An Explorative Study

Dr. Kriti Garg¹, Dr. Vishal Mehrotra², , Dr. Jyoti Kiran³, Dr. Shivi Rajput ⁴Dr. Akash Srivastava⁵, Dr. Aarayn Raj Srivasyava⁶,

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

² Professor & Head, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

³Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

⁴Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

⁵Private Practitioner, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

⁶Under Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research

Centre, Kanpur, UP, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Kriti Garg, Associate Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, UP, IndiaEmail: drkritigarg@gmail.com

Abstract:

Background: Fluorosis, caused by ingestion of an excessive amount of fluoride through food or water, is a major public health problem in India. Aims: To analyse the socio-demographic determinants of dental fluorosis prevalence among 12 to 16-Year teenagers in Kanpur District, Uttar Pradesh India.Methods and Material: A cross-sectional study was conducted among teenagers, residing in the city since childhood, in the age group of 12-16 years were selected from government schools. Teenagers were categorized into five age groups and were examined for dental fluorosis. Dean's criteria for assessment of dental fluorosis were used. The chi-square test and Spearman correlation were carried out. The level of significance was kept as p<0.05.Results: A total of 1461teenagers were

Section A-Research paper

examined for the present study. A major fraction of the study population was formed by females. It

was observed that the max number of participants was affected by varying severity of dental fluorosis

with a prevalence of 27.1%. Correlation and logistic regression analysis showed the occupation of

parents and dietary patterns to be significantly correlated with the presence of fluorosis in school

children. Conclusions: The prevalence and severity of the condition are found to have a huge burden on

the given urban population of Kanpur City. As the occupation of parents and dietary patterns showed

significant association with fluorosis, further interventional studies are recommended to be conducted

considering these socioeconomic factors.

Keywords: Diet, fluorosis, school children, social determinants.

Introduction:

Fluorine is a naturally occurring element and is one of the essential microelements required for proper

growth of the body, strengthening teeth, and preventing their deterioration. It exists in nature in the

form referred to as fluoride. [1] Fluoride can prevent dental caries and it is beneficial to bone

metabolism as an essential trace element in the body. [2,3] Increasing evidencehas shown that long-term

exposure to excessive fluoride will not only increase the risk of dental fluorosisand skeletal

fluorosisbut also impair neural development. [4,5] In India, 62 million people including 6 million

children, are estimated to have serious health problems due to the consumption of fluoride-

contaminated water. [6] About 96% of the fluoride is found in bones and teeth. [7] When ingested in

small quantities (<0.5 mg/L or 0.7 ppm) fluoride is beneficial for teeth by reducing dental caries,

whereas ingestion of higher concentrations (>1.5 mg/L) may cause fluorosis. Dental fluorosis

manifests as opaque white spots or lines, and in severe cases, enamel becomes discoloured and brittle,

leading to chipping. [8,9]

In India, fluorosis has been reported endemic in 20 out of 32 constituent states of India, and day by

day more new areas have been engulfed by this problem. [10] The occurrence of dental, skeletal

fluorosis, and muscular fluorosis has been reported by various studies conducted in various districts of

a: An Explorative Study
Section A-Research paper

Uttar Pradesh.^[11,12] Kanpur district (180 m above mean sea level) is a part of the Central Ganga Plain, an important Industrial town of Uttar Pradesh with industries ranging from that food products to tanneries.^[13] The city harbours a large number of populations because of its industrial significance. Although there is a central water supply in the city most of the people rely on groundwater for drinking and domestic purpose. People who consume groundwater have higher chances of developing dental fluorosis because of the higher level of fluoride in the deep groundwater of the city as reported by the Water Department of Kanpur district and other literature. ^[14,15,16] No study with sociodemographic determinants showing the prevalence of dental fluorosis has been carried out so far in this region. Keeping this in mind, the study was conceptualized to analyse the socio-demographic determinants of dental fluorosis prevalence among 12 to 16-year teenagers residing in the Kanpur city of Uttar Pradesh.

Subjects and Methods:

This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of dental fluorosis among 12–16 years teenagers in Kanpur City, Uttar Pradesh. For study purposes, the entire geographical area of Kanpur was divided into four zones: North, West, South, and East. All government higher secondary schools were selected from these four zones. Official permission was obtained from the district educational officer (DEO), Kanpur district. The institutional ethical committee clearance was taken prior and informed consent was obtained from the respective school headmasters and parents of the teenagers. The socioeconomic status (SES) of the teenagers obtained from the school records was recorded according to the modified Kuppuswamy's socioeconomic scale, and the scale was updated using Consumer Price Index 2016. [17] The scale contains three questions, about the education of parents, occupation of parents, and family income per month in rupees.

For the study, government schools with a sufficient number of teenagers were approached, and care was taken to include a nearly equal number of teenagers from each zone. Based on their ages, the teenagers were separated into five groups. Teenagers were selected randomly from the government schools, satisfying the following inclusion criteria:

Socio-demographic Determinants and Dental Fluorosis Prevalence among 12 to 16-Year Teenagers in Kanpur District, Uttar Pradesh India: An Explorative Study Section A-Research paper

• The teenagers in the age group of 12–16 years

• The children who were lifelong residents of that particular region

• The teenagers who were using groundwater as a source of drinking water from birth

• In Teenagers with teeth, at least more than 50% of the crown erupted and no restoration or

orthodontic brackets.

• Teenagers without the presence of any systemic disease, acute infection of the oral cavity, and on

any medication

A study-specific proforma was prepared based on the World Health Organization oral health

assessment form, 1997. [18] It consisted of two parts, first consisted of information on demographic

data, permanent residential address, information on sources of drinking water, and aids used for oral

hygiene maintenance and 2nd part consisted of table for recording fluorosis using the Dean's Fluorosis

Index (WHO criteria). [19]

The questionnaire was used to fill out personal data by means of face-to-faceinterviews to avoid

misinterpretation of questions. All the recordings were done in the daylight and the teenager was made

to sit in an ordinary plastic chair facing away from direct sunlight. The oral examination of study

subjects was conducted one in the respective school's premises using a plane mouth mirror under

natural light. Type-III clinical examinationwas followed throughout the study. [20] Instruments were

used and disinfected with an antiseptic solution after every use. A single examiner performed all the

examination procedures in this study so as to maintain consistency and to eliminate inter examiner

bias over a period of 2 years and a trained nurse accompanied the examiner to help in recording the

data. Assessment of dental fluorosis was done using Dean's index. The recording was made on the

basis of the two teeth that are most affected. If the two teeth were not equally affected, the score for

the less affected of the two was recorded and dental caries was assessed using dentition status and

treatment needs. [18,21]

Statistical analysis

The obtained data were coded and compiled systematically using the Microsoft Excel program. Statistical analysis of the data was done in the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS), version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL). The chi-square test and Spearman correlation were carried out. The

level of significance was kept as p<0.05.

family members. [Table 1]

Results:

A total of 1461 teenagers were examined for the present study. Teenagers belonged to the age group of 12–16 years. A major fraction of the study population was formed by females which were 58.31% (852) while males formed the remaining 41.68% (609). The number of teenagers belonging to each group was, group A-246 (16.83%), group B-357 (24.43%), group C-391 (26.7%), group D-219 (14.9%), and Group E-248 (16.9%). Socioeconomic status reported for the greater part of the study was upper lower class (415, 28.4%) and lower middle class (289, 19.78%) while 214 (14.64 %) were upper middle and 169 (11.5%) were upper classes. Five hundred and forty-five (37.30%) participant families had less than or equal to four family members and 916 (62.69%) families had more than four

Most of the fathers of teenagers attended senior secondary (28.47%) and pre-university (37.4%). Most of them were into agriculture (36.7%) whereas 409 (27.9%) were business, 342 (23.4%) stated village workers. Among mothers, 594 (40.6%) had attended senior secondary and 432 (29.5%) attended pre-university. Although high proportions of mothers were educated 637 (43.6%) described their occupation as housewives. Illiteracy was reported as zero among both fathers and mothers of study subjects. [Table-2]

The number of teenagers having dental fluorosis also varied according to the grades of fluorosis. In group A, out of a total of 83 teenagers, 6 teenagers (2.43%) had grade 5 fluorosis (severe) In group B, 6.16% of teenagershad grade 1 fluorosis while (2.24%) had grade 5 fluorosis. [Table-3] Among the geographic zones, into which the city was divided, the South zone had 114 teenagers (28.78%) affected while the east zone had 109 teenagers (27.52%) affected with dental fluorosis. [Table 4].

Section A-Research paper

A correlation analysis performed on socio-demographic factors and fluorosis against each other

showed the occupation of the father and mother and dietary pattern to be significantly correlated with

the presence of fluorosis in study subjects. [Table-5]

Discussion:

Several studies have been reported from the adjacent town of Kanpur, whose results have shown that

the area has high fluoride content in water. [22] The prevalence of fluoride-rich areas and fluorosis in

Uttar Pradesh has been reported in the literature for long. [23,24] In the result of studies conducted in

areas of Barabanki, [25] Meerut, Agra, [26] Unnao, [27] it has been significantly shown that these areas

have high fluoride content in the water. Results of a study done by Bhalla et al and Ravalika KN

shows 18% as well as 19% dental fluorosis prevalent in school children residing since childhood and

consuming groundwater both at school and home. [16,28] Studies done by Verma et al., Kola SR and

Narayanamurthy showed a high prevalence of 64.3%, 70.3%, 31.05% of dental fluorosis, respectively,

in the residing areas of children found similar to the present study. [29,30,31]

The current study not only determines the prevalence of dental fluorosis but also analyzes the

socioeconomic factors that have been linked to the disorder, as previously described by Ravalika KN.

The prevalence of dental fluorosis was higher in the current study participants who ate a vegetarian

diet than in those who ate a mixed or non-vegetarian diet. This finding is similar to the findings

reported by Ravalika KN in the study done in the same age group population where vegetarianism was

inversely associated with fluorosis. [28] Fluoride is almost eliminated through the kidneys, which is

directly proportional to the pH of the urine. A diet that prevents urine from becoming acidic or

alkaline causes fluoride clearance variations in the urine. Diet-induced changes with long-term effects

on urinary pH play a role in decreasing (vegetarian-induced alkaline urine) or increasing (protein-

induced acidic urine) the chances of developing dental fluorosis. [28]

In our study, both parents'occupations came to be a significant determinant for fluorosis which was

dissimilar to the study done by Ravalika KN et al, where only fathers'occupations came to be a

determinant for fluorosis. [28] The current study's prevalence reveals a significant impact of dental

fluorosis in the metropolitan population, where aesthetics will be one of the primary concerns, along

with general health.

Limitations

Only an oral examination was performed in this study to report dental fluorosis (since it is an

accessible biomarker), but no skeleton fluorosis assessment or medical interventions such as blood and

urine fluoride level measurement were performed.

Conclusion

Kanpur City is one of the major industrial towns of Uttar Pradesh, situated on the bank of the Ganges

River. Because of the large number of tanneries and other industries, a high quantity of chromium and

other heavy metals have been reported in the river water. The groundwater quality is fairly well as

reported by the Municipality of Kanpur City. The prevalence of dental fluorosis was found to be

greater in this population, indicating a significant burden of the disease in an urban population. The

prevalence and severity of the disorder were shown to be associated with dietary patterns and the

parent's occupation. These socioeconomic characteristics should be taken into account in further

interventional studies. It is recommended that policymakers explore these determining elements while

implementing defluoridation schemes complementing conventional models.

References:

1. Medjedovic E, Medjedovic S, Deljo D, Sukalo A. Impact of fluoride on dental health quality.

Mater Sociomed. 2015; 27: 395-98. DOI: 10.5455/msm.2015.27.395-398

2. Saravanan S, Kalyani C, Vijayarani M, Jayakodi P, Felix A, Nagarajan S, et al. Prevalence of

dental fluorosis among primary school children in rural areas of Chidambaram taluk, Cuddalore

district, Tamil Nadu, India. Indian J Community Med 2008;33:146-50.

3. Featherstone JD. Prevention and reversal of dental caries: role of low level fluoride. Community

Dent Oral Epidemiol 1999;27:31–40.

- 4. Kumar S, Chauhan A, Kumar A, Kumar S, Gupta A, Roy S, Kumari SC. Dental fluorosis and associated risk factors in early adolescents in India. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2018;5: 32. doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2017-0200.
- 5. Xu K, An N, Huang H, Duan L, Ma J, Ding J et al. Fluoride exposure and intelligence in schoolage children: evidence from different windows of exposure susceptibility. Xu et al. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1657-64. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09765-4
- Arlappa, N, Qureshi, A, Srinivas, R. Fluorosis in India: an overview. Int J Res Dev Health 2013;
 1:97-102.
- 7. Peter S. Essentials of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 4th ed. New Delhi: Arya Medi Publishers; 2009:237- 82.
- 8. Beltrán- Aguilar ED, Barker L, Dye BA. Prevalence and severity of dental fluorosis in the United States, 1999- 2004. NCHS Data Brief 2010; 53:1- 8.
- 9. Jha SK, Singh RK, Damodaran T, Mishra VK, Sharma DK, Rai D. Fluoride in groundwater: Toxicological exposure and remedies. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 2013;16:52-66.
- Choubisa SL, Choubisa L, Choubisa DK. Endemic fluorosis in Rajasthan. Indian J Environ Health 2001;43:177-89.
- 11. Kumar S, Rai D, Rai PK, Shukla AB, Saxena A. To study the causes of fluoridated water problem in Unnao district and its feasible measure to solve the problem. In Uttar Pradesh sodic land reclamation research, achievements, division of natural resource management and engineering. Lucknow: UPCAR; 2007: 90- 3.
- 12. Jha SK, Nayak AK, Sharma YK. Fluoride occurrence and assessment of exposure dose of fluoride in shallow aquifers of Makur, Unnao district Uttar Pradesh, India. Environ Monit Assess 2009;156:561- 6.
- 13. Sankararamakrishnan N, Sharma AK, Iyengar L. Contamination of nitrate and fluoride in ground water along the Ganges Alluvial Plain of Kanpur district, Uttar Pradesh, India. Environ Monit Assess 2008;146:375–82. doi 10.1007/s10661-007-0085-5

- 14. Hydrogeology and Ground Water Development Prospects of Kanpur Metropolis, Uttar Pradesh.

 Available from: http://www.localbodies.up.nic.in/dist30.pdf. [Last accessed on April 2021]
- 15. Sharma AK, Iyengar L, Sankararamakrishnan N. Ground Water Quality in Kanpur District along the Gangetic Plain. Pollution in Urban Industrial Environment. 1st ed. New Delhi: Allied Publishers Pvt. Ltd.; 2005: 95- 100.
- 16. Bhalla A, Malik S, Sharma S. Prevalence of dental fluorosis among school children residing in Kanpur City, Uttar Pradesh, India. Eur J Gen Dent 2015;4:59-63.
- 17. Shaikh Z, Pathak R. Revised Kuppuswamy and B G Prasad socioeconomic scales for 2016. Int J Community Med Public Health 2017;4:997–99.

doi: 10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20171313.

- 18. Oral Health Survey- Basic Methods. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO;1997:26- 9.
- 19. Oral Health Survey- Basic Methods. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO;1997:35- 6.
- 20. Hiremath SS. Textbook of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 2nd ed. New Delhi: Elsevier India; 2011: 192.
- 21. Rozier RG. Epidemiologic indices for measuring the clinical manifestations of dental fluorosis:

 Overview and critique. Adv Dent Res 1994;8:39-55.
- 22. Agnihotri N, Pathak VN, Khatoon N. Hydrochemical assessment and factor analysis of groundwater with special reference to fluoride in Kanpur Dehat, U.P, India. IOSR J Appl Chem 2014;7:52- 6.
- 23. Nanda RS. Fluoride intake in Lucknow, India. J Int Soc Fluoride Res 1975;8:86-90.
- 24. Prevention and Control of Fluorosis- Health Aspect. Vol. 1. Ministry of Rural Development, New Delhi: Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission; 1994.

Available at: https://www.ircwash.org/sites/default/files/245.4-11868.pdf

25. Singh M, Saini A, Saimbi CS, Bajpai AK. Prevalence of dental diseases in 5- to 14- year- old school children in rural areas of the Barabanki district, Uttar Pradesh, India. Indian J Dent Res 2011;22:396- 9.

Socio-demographic Determinants and Dental Fluorosis Prevalence among 12 to 16-Year Teenagers in Kanpur District, Uttar Pradesh India: An Explorative Study

Section A-Research paper

26. Garg S. Prevalence of fluorosis among children and adults. Int J Pharm Res Innov 2011;4:25-31.

27. Srivastava AK, Singh A, Yadav S, Mathur A. Endemic dental and skeletal fluorosis: Effects of

high ground water fluoride in some North Indian villages. Int J Oral Maxillofac Pathol

2011;2:7- 12

28. Ravalika KN, Rajesh G, Rao A, Shenoy R, Pai M. Sociodemographic Determinants of Dental

Fluorosis in Mangaluru, Karnataka, India: An Explorative Study. World J Dent 2019;10:123-28.

29. Verma A, Shetty BK, Guddattu V, Chourasia M, Prachi Pundir P. High prevalence of dental

fluorosis among adolescents is a growing concern: a school based cross-sectional study from

Southern India. Environ Health Prev Med 2017;22:17. doi: 10.1186/s12199-017-0624-9

30. Kola SR, Mallela MK, Puppala R, Kethenaeni B, Tharasingh P, Reddy VS. Prevalence of dental

caries and dental fluorosis among 6-12 years old school children in relation to fluoride

concentration in an endemic fluoride belt of Mahabubnagar district, Telangana state, India. J NTR

Univ Health Sci 2019;8:29-36.

Acknowledgement:

Authors would like to acknowledge all children, parents, headmaster of school and institutional ethical

committee for all cooperation during the study period.

Source(s) of support: Nil

Presentation at a meeting: Nil

Conflicting Interest: Nil

Tables

Table 1:Distribution of study participants according to sociodemographic variables

Sociodemographic variables		Total		Dental Fluorsis		
		Number of study subjects	Percentage (%)	Number of study subjects	Percentage (%)	
Age (in years)	Group A-12 years	246	16.83	83	5.68	
	Group B-13 years	357	24.43	112	7.66	
	Group C-14 years	391	26.76	96	6.57	
	Group D- 15 years	219	14.98	77	5.27	
	Group E-16 years	248	16.97	28	1.91	
Gender	Male	609	41.68	152	10.40	
	Female	852	58.31	244	16.70	
Numbers of members in family	≤4	545	37.30	187	12.79	
	>4	916	62.69	209	14.30	
Socioeconomic status	Lower	374	25.98	82	5.61	
	Upper lower	415	28.40	94	6.43	
	Lower middle	289	19.78	78	5.33	
	Upper middle	214	14.64	73	4.99	
	Upper	169	11.56	69	4.72	
Diet	Vegetarian	661	45.24	164	11.22	
	Non- Vegetarians	294	20.12	109	7.46	
	Mixed	506	34.63	123	8.41	

Table 2: Distribution of study participants according to parents' education and occupation

Sociodemographic variables		Total		Dental Fluorsis		
		Number of study subjects	Percentage (%)	Number of study subjects	Percentag e (%)	
Father's	SS*	416	28.47	116	7.93	
education	PUC**	401	27.44	97	6.63	
	UG#	367	25.11	94	6.43	
	PG##	277	18.95	89	6.09	
Father's	Agriculture	537	36.75	126	8.62	
occupatio	Business	409	27.99	97	6.63	
n	Village worker	342	23.40	69	4.72	
	Academicians	116	7.93	54	3.69	
	Professionals	57	3.90	50	3.42	
Mother's	SS	594	40.65	137	9.37	
education	PUC	432	29.56	112	7.66	
	UG	399	27.31	109	7.46	
	PG	36	2.46	38	2.6	
Mother's	Housewife	637	43.60	129	8.82	
occupatio	Business	352	24.09	111	7.59	
n	Village worker	201	13.75	87	5.95	
	Academicians	18388	12.526.02	53	3.62	
	Professionals	88	6.02	16	1.09	
Family	≤6000	679	46.47	144	9.85	
income	6000–18000	518	35.45	129	8.82	
(in rupee	19000-31,000	372	25.46	84	5.74	
per month)	32000–47,000	108	7.39	39	2.66	

^{*} Secondary school **Pre university course # Undergraduate ## Postgraduate

Table 3: Distribution of study participants according to age group, grades, and percentage of fluorosis

Age group (in years)	Numbe r of children	Questionabl e fluorosis(%) (grade-1)	Very mild fluorosis (%) (grade-2)	Mild fluorosis (%) (grade-3)	Modera te fluorosi s (%) (grade-4)	Severe fluorosis (%) (grade-5)	Tota 1
Group A (12 years)	246(16.8 3)	16(6.5 0)	23(9.3 4)	31(12.60	7(2.84	6(2.43	83
GroupB (13 years)	357(24.4 3)	22(6.1 6)	41(11. 48)	28(7.84)	13(3.6 4)	8(2.24	112
GroupC (14 years)	391(26.7 8)	19(4.8 5)	34(8.6 9)	27(6.9)	9(2.3)	7(1.79	96
GroupD (15 years)	219(14.9 8)	13(5.9 3)	31(14. 15)	17(7.76)	11(5.0 2)	5(2.28	77
GroupE (16 years)	248 (16.97)	3(1.2)	14(5.6 4)	6(4.41)	3(1.2)	2(0.8)	28
Total	1461	73(4.9 9)	143(9. 78)	109(7.46	43(4.9 4)	28(1.9 1)	

Table 4: Distribution of study participants having dental fluorosis according to the zone

City Geographic zone	Number of teenagers examined	Number of teenagers having dental fluorosis (%)
North Kanpur	238	92(23.23)
South Kanpur	567	114(28.78)
East Kanpur	409	109(27.52)
West Kanpur	247	81(20.45)
Total	1461	396

Table 5: Correlation between fluorosis status of study participants and sociodemographic variable

Sociodemograph ic variables	Occupation Of father		Occupatio n of mother		Diet		Fluorosis	
	r	p	r	P	r	p	r	p
Occupation of father	1							
Occupation of mother	0.03	0.591	1					
Diet	0.06 9	0.374	0.61 4	0.086	1			
Fluorosis	0.84 3	0.028*	0.99 8	0.037*	0.9 21	0.001*	1	

Only the values showing significance have been tabulated *Significance, p < 0.05