

Efficacy of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels in COVID-19 recovered patients with periodontitis: A clinical & biochemical study.

 Dr.Radhika Gupta Associate Professor Department of Periodontology School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email id: <u>radhika.gupta@sharda.ac.in</u>

2. Dr. Himanshu Bhardwaj Post graduate student Department of Periodontology School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email id: <u>2020001117.himanshu@pg.sharda.ac.in</u>

3. Dr. Stuti Gupta Assistant Professor Department of Periodontology School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email: <u>stuti.gupta@sharda.ac.in</u>

 Dr. M. Siddharth Dean, Prof & Head of Department Department of Periodontology
School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email id: <u>m.siddharth@sharda.ac.in</u>

5. Dr. Pallavi Sharma Associate Professor Department of Periodontology School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email: <u>pallavi.agrawal@sharda.ac.in</u>

6. Dr. Akanksha Singh Post Graduate Department of Periodontology School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University Email: 2022007694.akanksha@pg.sharda.ac.in

Abstract— Periodontitis is characterized by microbially-associated, host-mediated inflammation that results in loss of periodontal attachment.Numerous risk factors are involved for progression of periodontal disease like uncontrolled diabetes, smoking, age, psychosocial stress and certain psychosomatic conditions like anxiety and depression.

Stress is a state of physiological or psychological strain caused by adverse stimuli, physical, mental, or emotional, internal or external, that tend to disturb the functioning of an organism. Stress has a direct effect on the hypothalamus-pituitary adrenal cortex axis. Many studies have demonstrated a correlation between stress and an increased risk of developing periodontal disease and clinical attachment loss.Release of stress hormones (cortisol) impairs host defense which helps in the growth of opportunistic organisms in the gingival sulcus.

Corona Virus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) is a disease caused by novel coronavirus named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) that triggers damage to the lungs and other organs. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a tremendous amount of stress for the average individual, both financially and psychologically. A study showed that levels of cortisol in patients with COVID-19 were significantly high and had increased as the severity of the disease increased.

However, there is paucity of literature regarding the effect of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels in COVID-19 recovered patients and whether any association can be established between periodontal status and serum cortisol levels post periodontal therapy. Thus this study was undertaken to assess the effect and association of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels in COVID-19 recovered patients with periodontitis.

Keywords: Periodontitis, Stress, COVID-19, Serum cortisol

I. INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis is characterized by microbially-associated, host-mediated inflammation that results in loss of periodontal attachment.¹ The etiology and pathogenesis of periodontal disease are multifactorial. Numerous risk factors are involved for progression of periodontal disease like uncontrolled diabetes, smoking, age, psychosocial stress and certain psychosomatic conditions like anxiety and depression.^{2,3,4} Stress is a state of physiological or psychological strain caused by adverse stimuli, physical, mental, or emotional, internal or external, that tend to disturb the functioning of an organism and which the organism naturally desires to avoid.⁵ Stress has a direct effect on the hypothalamus-pituitary adrenal cortex axis^{6,7}. It is hypothesized that prolonged activation of this axis can be detrimental to health and may provide a link between mental stress and physical illness.^{8,9,10} Many studies have demonstrated a correlation between stress and an increased risk of developing periodontal disease and clinical attachment loss.^{11,12,13} Both financial stress and psychological stress have been shown to double and even quadruple the risk of developing periodontal attachment loss.¹¹ Release of stress hormones (cortisol) impairs host defense which helps in the growth of opportunistic organisms in the gingival sulcus. Studies have shown that higher levels of serum cortisol are found in patients with periodontal disease.¹⁴

Corona Virus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) is a disease caused by novel coronavirus named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) that triggers damage to the lungs and other organs¹⁵. Most COVID-19 patients present mild symptoms; however, a few could develop severe illness having pneumonia, pulmonary edema, acute respiratory distress syndrome, venous thromboembolism,

multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, or may even result in death.¹⁶ The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a tremendous amount of stress for the average individual, both financially and psychologically. In one survey, 70% of respondents stated that the months during this pandemic have been the most stressful time in their entire lives¹⁶. Ramezani M (2020) in a study showed that levels of cortisol in patients with COVID- 19 were significantly high and had increased as the severity of the disease increased¹⁷. Periodontal therapy can play a major role in avoiding tissue breakdown.¹⁸ Study by Omer Cakmak (2019) have concluded that non-surgical periodontal treatment has significantly reduced gingival crevicular fluid cortisol levels.¹⁹ However, there is paucity of literature regarding the effect of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels in COVID-19 recovered patients and whether any association can be established between periodontal status and serum cortisol levels post periodontal therapy. Thus the study was undertaken to assess the effect and association of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels with periodontitis.

II. MATERIALS & METHODS

The patients were recruited from outpatient department, Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida. The nature and outcome of the study was explained to the patients following which a written consent form was obtained. The study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee. A total number of 30 patients which included both males and females in the age group of 18-60 years with periodontitis were included in the study who had Localized /Generalized Stage I and II, Periodontitis having Interdental CAL which is detectable at ≥ 2 non- adjacent teeth, or Buccal or oral CAL \geq 3mm with pocketing > 3 mm detectable at ≥ 2 teeth. Patients who had ≥ 20 natural teeth & systemically healthy patients recovered from mild COVID-19 who were symptomatic but without evidence of viral pneumonia or hypoxia and who were not hospitalized for treatment. The patients were recruited within one month of SARS-CoV-2 RT PCR negative report. Patients who had undergone periodontal therapy in last 6 months or with history of any steroidal, antipsychotic drug therapy, oral contraceptives & hormonal replacement therapy were excluded. Pregnant, lactating women, users of tobacco in any form, and patients not willing to give a written consent form were also excluded from the study. The study was carried out between August 2021 to November 2022.

30 volunteers were divided into the test and control groups using a coin toss as the randomizer. Each patient was presented over the course of three visits in this single blind randomised controlled clinical

research. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, patients were chosen for recruitment. Periodontal parameters were measured during the baseline visit, including the Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN) and serum cortisol levels. For the control group, 0.12% Chlorhexidine mouthwash was administered along with general oral hygiene instructions, and for the test group, full mouth scaling and root planing was carried out in addition to the prescription of 0.12% Chlorhexidine mouthwash and general oral hygiene guidelines.

III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Version 21 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) was used to analyse the data. Frequencies will be used to summarise categorical variables, whereas Means & Standard Deviations were used to summarise continuous variables. The significance of differences in serum cortisol levels and other clinical parameters before and after scaling and root planing among COVID-19 recovered patients was examined using repeated measures of the ANOVA/Friedman test. The cutoff point for statistical significance was 0.05. The software GPower 3.0 was used to estimate the sample size. For the F test and ANOVA, the sample size was calculated using repeated measures within factors for 3 related measurements. For an alpha of 0.05, power of 80%, and effect size of 0.25 (measured for difference in serum cortisol levels at 3 different follow-ups), a minimum total sample size of 28 was found to be adequate. ANOVA and F tests: Measures taken repeatedly within factors Analysis: A priori: Compute required sample size. Input: Effect size f = 0.25 α err prob = 0.05, Power (1- β err prob) = 0.80 Number of groups = 1, Number of measurements = 3, Corr among rep measures = 0.5, Non sphericity correction ε = 1, Output: Non centrality parameter λ = 10.5000000, critical F = 3.1682460, Numerator df= 2.0000000, Denominator df= 54.0000000, Total sample size = 28, Actual power=0.8124546.

IV. RESULTS

A total number of 30 patients which included both males and females in the age group of 18-60 years with periodontitis were included in the study who had localized /Generalized Stage I and II and fulfilled the inclusion & exclusion criteria. The distribution of males and females was not found to be significantly different among two study groups, i.e., test group and control group (p>0.466). The mean age of study participants among both the study groups was not found to be significantly different as (p>0.05).

Intragroup comparison of mean levels of serum cortisol at baseline, 1 month and 3 months follow ups among control group showed that the mean level of serum cortisol did not show any significant

difference from baseline to 1 month and also from 1 month to 3 months follow up. Overall, no significant difference could be found in the mean serum cortisol levels among control group at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up.(Table 1) Intragroup comparison of CPI scores at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up among control group showed a statistically significant difference from baseline to 1 month, baseline to 3 months & from 1 month to 3 months. The frequency of CPI score 4 increased significantly from baseline to 3 months while, the frequency of score CPI score 1 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month, and from baseline to 3 months. Intragroup comparison of TN scores at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up among control group showed a statistically significant difference from baseline to 3 months. The frequency of TN score 3 increased significantly from baseline to 3 months baseline to 3 months while, the frequency of score TN score 2 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 3 months. The frequency of score TN score 2 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 3 months. The frequency of score TN score 2 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 1 month baseline to 3 months. The frequency of score TN score 2 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 3 months.

Intragroup comparison of mean levels of serum cortisol at baseline, 1 month and 3 months follow ups among test group showed that the mean level of serum cortisol did not show any significant difference from baseline to 1 month and also from 1 month to 3months follow up. Overall, no significant difference could be found in the mean serum cortisol levels among test group at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up.(Table 2) Intragroup comparison of CPI scores at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up among test group showed a statistically significant difference from baseline to 1 month, baseline to 3 months & from 1 month to 3 months. The frequency of CPI score 0 increased significantly from baseline to 3 months while, the frequency of score CPI score 2, 3 & 4 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 3 months. Intragroup comparison of TN scores at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up among test group showed a statistically significant difference from baseline to 1 month, baseline to 3 months & from 1 month to 3 months. The frequency of TN score 0 & score 1 increased significantly from baseline to 3 months while, the frequency of score TN score 2 & 3 decreased significantly from baseline to 1 month and from baseline to 3 months. Intergroup comparison of mean levels of serum cortisol at baseline, 1 month and 3 months follow ups showed that the mean levels of serum cortisol were comparable among the test group and control group at baseline, 1 month & 3 months follow up (Table 2).

Intergroup comparison of changes in mean levels of Serum cortisol from baseline to 1 month and 3 months follow ups showed no statistically significant difference among test group & control group (Table 3). Intergroup comparison of CPI score at baseline among test group and control group showed that the distribution of different CPI scores at baseline among test group & control group was not significantly different. Intergroup comparison of CPI score at 1 month among test group

and control group showed that the distribution of different CPI scores at 1 month among test gr & control gr was significantly different. The frequency of CPI score 4 was found to be significantly high among control group, while the frequency of CPI score 0, 1 & 2 were found to be significantly high among test group. Intergroup comparison of CPI score at 3 month among test group and control group showed that the distribution of different CPI scores at 3 month among test group & control group was significantly different. The frequency of CPI score 3 & 4 were found to be significantly high among control group, while the frequency of CPI score 0, 1 were found to be significantly high among test group. Intergroup comparison of TN score at 1 month among test group and control group showed that the distribution of different TN scores at 1 month among test gr & control group was significantly different. The frequency of TN score 3 was found to be significantly high among control group, while the frequency of TN score 1 & 2 were found to be significantly high among test group. Intergroup comparison of TN score at 3 months among test group and control group showed that the distribution of different TN scores at 3 month among test goupr & control group was significantly different. The frequency of TN score 2 & 3 were found to be significantly high among control group, while the frequency of TN score 1 was found to be significantly high among test group. (Table 3)

			(Cortisol a	mong	g Co	ontro	l gi	roup				
		Mean	Ι	Std. Deviation			1	Pv		P value of pair wise comparison			
At baselin	eline 18.4140)	7.58571		15				Baseline ³ 0.054,NS			
At 1 mont	th	19.3327		7.89071		15		0.137, NS		Baseline*3m– 0.411,NS			
At 3 months	,	22.3493		4.80949		15				1m*3m – 0.787,NS			
			Grou	р	Co	ontr	rol		·				
	CPIScore		CPIS	PIScore 1		CPIScore 2			CPIScore 3		CPIscor	re4	
	n	%	n	% n			%		n	%	n	%	
Baselin	0	0	2	13.3%	4		26.7 %		6	40%	3	20%	

Table 1: Intragroup comparison of mean levels of Serum cortisol, CPI & TN scores, at baseline,1month and 3 months follow ups among Control group.

e		%										
At 1m	0	0 %	1	6.7%	3	20%	6	40%	5	33.3%		
At 3m	0	0 %	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	6	40%	7	46.7%		
P value Baseline v/s1 month- 0.017,S Baseline v/s 2 month- 0.005, S1month v/s 2month-0.001,S												
			Grou	р	Co	ontrol						
	TN	01		TN1		Т	N2		TN	3		
		n	%	n	%	n		%	n	%		
Baseline		0	0%	0	0%	6		40 %	9	60%		
At1 month	l	0	0%	1	6.7%	4		26.7%	10	66.7%		
At 3 month		0	0%	1	6.7%	3		20%	11	73.3%		
P value		Bas	seline v			, S Basel 2 month		v/s 2month 001, S	–0.209, 1	NS		

Table 2: Intragroup comparison of mean levels of Serum cortisol, CPI & TN scores, at baseline,
1month and 3 months follow ups among Test group.

			Cortis	sol amo	ng Te	est	group						
	M	lean	ean Std. N P value of Pair wise comp					nparison					
At baseline	16	.7840	8.40	184		1:	5			Baseline*1m -0.999, NS			
At1 month	17.	.3427	8.97	8.97630			5	0.385 ,NS		Baseline*3m– 0.999, NS			
At3 months	17.	.8760	8.36	8.36864			5		1m*3m – 0.999, NS		99, NS		
	Т	est Gro	oup						•				
	PI So 0	core	CPI S	CPI Score 1 Cl			core 2	CPI Sc	core 3	CPIsco	ore4		
	n	%	n	%	n		%	n	%	n	%		
Baseline	0	0 %	0	0%	7		46.7 %	7	46.7 %	1	6.7 %		

At 1 month		1	6.7 %	6	40%	6	40%	2	13.3 %	0	0%
At 3 months		6	40 %	7	46.7 %	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	0	0%
Pvalue]	Baselir	ne v/s 3			l month- 1, S 1mo	,		-0.00, 5	S
		Te	est Gro	up							
]	ΓN0		T	TN1			TN2			
	n		%	n		%	n	%		n	%
Baseline	0		0%	0	0	%	7	46.7	%	8	53.3%
At 1 month	1		6.7%	7	46	.7%	7	46.79	%	0	0%
At 3 months	5		33.3 %	8	53	.3%	2	13.39	%	0	0%
Pvalue		B	aseline				aseline v nth– 0.0		th-0.11	9, NS	

Table 3: Intergroup comparison of mean levels of Serum cortisol, CPI & TN scores, at baseline,	
1month and 3 months follow ups among control & test group.	

						С	orti	sol						
		Grou	р		N	N	Лear	1	Std.	Dev	viation		Pvalue	
At baselir	At baseline		Control group		15 18.4		8.41			8571			0.58, NS	
			group	2	15	1	6.78	340	40 8.40		0184			
At 1 mon	th	Cont	Control group		15	1	19.3327		7.89071			0.524, NS		5
		Test	group	2	15	1	7.34	427	8.97	630)			
At 3 mon	ths	Cont grou			15	2	2.34	493	4.80	4.80949			0.083, NS	5
		Test	group	2	15	1	7.87	760	8.36	6864	-			
					hang			leve	l of Se					
		Group	2	Ν		Μ	ean		Std.De	evia	tion	P	value	
From baseline t	0	Contro group				9187			1.3287	1.32871		0.631, NS		
month	-		up 15			5587			2.54700					
From baseline t 3	0		Control 15 group		3.9353		- 353		9.66287			0.315, NS		
months		Test gro	st group 15			- 1.0920			4.7185	57				
					Intergroup comparison of CPI at baseline					t	Total			
	T				(CPI1		Cl	PI2	C	CPI3		CPI4	
		ntrol		n		2			4		6		3	15
Group	gro	oup	0	%	1	3.3%	ò	26.	.7%	40).0%		20.0%	100.0%
Group	Tes	st group		n		0			7		7		1	15
	10.	n group	Ģ	%	0).0%		46.	.7%	46	5.7%		6.7%	100.0%
Total	Total			n		2		1	1		13		4	30
10141	10tal %					5.7%		36.	.7%	43	8.3%		13.3%	100.0%
P v	value							0.2	73, NS)				
				-	Inte CPI			<mark>comj</mark> PI1	parison CPI		CPI a		month	Total
	Control n							1	3	2	6 CP13	,	CPI4 5	15
Group		Control group	%		0.09			7%	20.0			6	33.3%	100.0 %

		n	1	6	6	2	0	15		
	Testgro p	u %	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0 %		
	r		1	7	9	8	5	30		
Te	otal	%	3.3%	23.3%	3.3% 30.0% 26.7%		16.7%	100.0 %		
]	P value			0.01	4, S					
			Intergro	up compai	rison of C	CPI at 3 m	onths	— Total		
			CPI0	CPI1	CPI2 CPI		CPI4	Total		
	Control	n	0	1	1	6	7	15		
Grou	group	%	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%	100.0 %		
p		n	6	7	1	1	0	15		
1	Test group	%	40.0%	46.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	100.0 %		
		n	6	8	2	7	7	30		
Tota	ıl	%	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	23.3%	23.3%	100.0 %		
Р	value		<0.001, S							

				Inter base	group con line	f TN at	Total		
				TN2		TN3			
Group	Control grou	p n		6		9		15	
		%		40.09	%	60.0%		100.0%	
	Test group	n		7		8		15	
)	46.79	%	53.3%		100.0%	
Total n				13		17		30	
)	43.39	%	56.7%		100.0%		
Pvalue				0.999	9, NS				
				ntergro month	oup compa	rison of T	N at	Total	
			r.	ГN0	TN1	TN2	TN3		
		n		0	1	4	10	15	
Group	Control group	%	().0%	6.7%	26.7%	66.7%	100.0%	
	T (n		1	7	7	0	15	
	Test group	%	6	5.7%	46.7%	46.7%	0.0%	100.0%	
Total n %				1	8	11	10	30	
			3	8.3%	26.7%	36.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
Pva	lue								
				itergro nonths	up compa s	rison of TI	N at		

			TN0	TN1	TN2	TN3	Total			
	Control group	n	0	1	3	11	15			
Group		%	0.0%	6.7%	20.0%	73.3%	100.0%			
	T (n	5	8	2	0	15			
	Test group	%	33.3%	53.3%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%			
T (1		n	5	9	5	11	30			
Total		%	16.7%	30.0%	16.7%	36.7%	100.0%			
Pvalu	ie		<0.001, S							

v. **DISCUSSION**

An infection-related, host-mediated inflammation known as periodontitis causes the loss of periodontal attachment. Periodontal disease has a complex etiology and pathophysiology. Numerous risk factors, including uncontrolled diabetes, smoking, aging, psychosocial stress, and some psychosomatic diseases like anxiety and depression, contribute to the evolution of periodontal disease.¹⁹

Stress is a condition of physiological or psychological pressure brought on by unfavorable external or internal stimuli that try to disrupt an organism's normal functioning and that the organism naturally tries to avoid. The hypothalamus-pituitary adrenal cortex axis responds directly to stress. Long-term stimulation of this axis is thought to be harmful to health and to establish a relationship between mental stress and physical sickness.²⁰ Periodontitis and stress-related hormones have a well-established link. Stress and periodontal disease are positively correlated, according to studies. Cortisol is a crucial glucocorticoid hormone that is generated when the HPA axis is activated because of its capacity to control immune cell recruitment into inflamed tissues and to tip the TH1/TH2 balance in favour of a TH2 dominant response that is responsible for the progression of periodontal disease.²¹ Around the world, Covid-19 has caused enormous distress. In addition to the obvious physical signs of infection, it has seriously harmed public mental health. Like other nations, India enacted a nationwide shutdown to stop and restrict the spread of the virus. Research has indicated that people who did not have enough supplies to sustain the lockdown were most affected and family affluence was found to be negatively correlated with stress, anxiety, and depression. Among different professions, students and healthcare professionals were found to experience stress, anxiety, and depression more than others.²² We hypothesized that periodontal therapy could play vital role in reducing stress in COVID-19 patients. Hence this study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of scaling and root planing on serum cortisol levels in COVID-19 recovered patients. However, no significant difference was seen in serum cortisol levels in both control and study group after periodontal treatment at one and three months. COVID-19 infections lead to higher morbidity and mortality in medically compromised patients. This led to higher psychosocial issues among patients and their family members for longer duration of time, thus reflecting no changes in serum cortisol levels in individuals in our study. The periodontium can serve as the source of bacteria inducing inflammatory responses as well as mediators of inflammation. Those factors could infiltrate saliva through gingival crevicular fluid and further can be aspirated/ swallowed to cause inflammation or infection within the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts, promoting Covid-19. The periodontal bacteria, such as Veillonella, Prevotella, Treponema and Fusobacterium were recovered from the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients with Covid-19. Likewise, cytokines (e.g. IL-1 β and TNF- α) from periodontally diseased tissues can infiltrate

saliva and be aspirated, and thus cause inflammation or infection within the lungs. Periodontal pathologies, apart from direct effects on SARS-CoV-2 local infection and its consequences, may also produce systemic responses affecting Covid-19. Clinical study documented a higher risk of mortality in Covid-19 individuals who presented bleeding gums, and thus periodontal disease. Periodontal bacteria, as demonstrated for *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, were able to stimulate local production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, TNF- α) by epithelial cells.²³

A periodontal intervention can lower the number of bacterial infections and regulate local inflammation, both of which have a good impact on overall health. The normalization/improvement of blood indicators of systemic inflammation (CRP, IL-6, and IL-17), systemic metabolic regulation, as well as a decrease in pneumonia mortality and a reduction in influenza morbidity, have all been linked to effective periodontitis treatment. Such a causal relationship between periodontitis and Covid has not yet been proven. However, maintaining good periodontal health may help people with Covid-19 have a better prognosis.²

Implementing periodontal health control and treatment may slow the progression of Covid-19 and stop the virus' attachment, internalisation, and local reproduction in the periodontium. More work needs to be put into validating and establishing a local pharmacotherapeutic strategy that targets SARS-CoV-2 in the oral cavity. It has been shown that vaccination lowers the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection among local residents and healthcare personnel. The impact of SARSCoV-2 immunisation on periodontal health and disease, however, remains unknown. It can be assumed that vaccinations set off immune system reactions that better regulate viral infections, lessen localised inflammatory reactions in gingival tissues, and help avoid periodontal disease.^{25,26} Small sample size, incomplete understanding of COVID-19 disease, weak link between periodontal disease and COVID-19 infection, and lack of proof that antibodies play a role in COVID-19 infection are all drawbacks of our work. To establish a connection between the effects of periodontal treatments and COVID-19 infection, additional well-designed studies should be carried out.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tonetti MS, Greenwell H, Kornman KS. Staging and grading of periodontitis:Framework and proposal of a new classification and case definition. J Periodontol 2018;89 Suppl 1:S159–72
- 2. Genco RJ. Current view of risk factors for periodontal diseases. J Periodontal 1996; 67:1041-9
- 3. Moss ME, Beck JD, Kaplan BH, Offenbacher S, Weintraub JA, Koch GG, et al. Exploratory casecontrol analysis of psychosocial factors and adult periodontitis. JPeriodontol . 1996;67(10 Suppl):1060–9.
- 4. Monteiro da Silva AM, Oakley DA, Newman HN, Nohl FS, Lloyd HM. Psychosocial factors and adult onset rapidly progressive periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol [Internet]. 1996;23(8):789–94.
- 5. Dorland. Dorland's illustrated medical dictionary. 32nd ed. London, England: W B Saunders; 2011
- 6. Breivik T, Opstad PK, Gjermo P, Thrane PS. Effects of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis reactivity on periodontal tissue destruction in rats. Eur. J. Oral Sci 2000;108:115–22
- 7. Miller DB, O'Callaghan JP. Neuroendocrine aspects of the response to stress. Metabolism. 2002;51(6):5-10
- Ballieux RE. Impact of mental stress on the immune response. J Clin Periodontol 1991;18(6):427– 30
- 9. Chatterton RT Jr, Vogelsong KM, Lu YC, Hudgens GA. Hormonal responses to psychological stress in men preparing for skydiving. J Clin Endocrinol Meta 1997;82(8):2503–9
- Glaser R, Kiecolt-Glaser JK. Stress-induced immune dysfunction: implications for health. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005;5(3):243–51

- 11. Goyal S, Gupta G, Thomas B, Bhat KM, Bhat GS. Stress and periodontal disease: The link and logic!! Ind Psychiatry J . 2013;22(1):4–11.
- 12. Genco RJ, Ho AW, Kopman J, Grossi SG, Dunford RG, Tedesco LA. Models to evaluate the role of stress in periodontal disease. Ann Periodontol . 1998;3(1):288–30.
- 13. Gavidia M. How has COVID-19 affected mental health, severity of stress among employees? American Journal of Managed Care. 2020; Rohini G, Kalaivani S, Kumar V, Rajasekar SA, Tuckaram J, Pandey V.
- 14. Estimation and comparison of serum cortisol levels in periodontally diseased patients and periodontally healthy individuals: A clinical-biochemical study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci . 2015;7(Suppl 2):S457-60
- 15. Guan W-J, Liang W-H, Zhao Y, Liang H-R, Chen Z-S, Li Y-M, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis. Eur Respir J . 2020;55(5):2000547
- Liu F, Zhang Q, Huang C, Shi C, Wang L, Shi N, et al. CT quantification of pneumonia lesions in early days predicts progression to severe illness in a cohort of COVID-19 patients. Theranostics . 2020;10(12):5613–22.
- 17. Clarke NG, Hirsch RS. Personal risk factors for generalized periodontitis. J. Clin. Periodontol. 1995 Feb;22(2):136-45.
- Ramezani M, Simani L, Karimialavijeh E, Rezaei O, Hajiesmaeili M, Pakdaman H. The role of anxiety and cortisol in outcomes of patients with Covid-19. Basic Clin Neurosci . 2020;11(2):179– 84.
- 19. Wu M, Wu S, Wang G, Liu W, Chu LT, Jiang T, et al. Microfluidic particle dam for direct visualization of SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels in COVID-19 vaccinees.Sci Adv . 2022;8(22):eabn6064.
- 20. 19. Cekici A, Kantarci A, Hasturk H, Van Dyke TE. Inflammatory and immune pathways in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease: Inflammatory and immune pathways in periodontal disease. Periodontol 2000 . 2014;64(1):57–80
- 21. Segerstrom SC, Miller GE. Psychological stress and the human immune system: a meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. Psychol Bull . 2004;130(4):601–30
- 22. Obulareddy VT, Chava VK, Nagarakanti S. Association of stress, salivary cortisol, and chronic periodontitis: A clinico-biochemical study. Contemp Clin Dent. 2018;9(Suppl 2):S299–304
- 23. Rehman U, Shahnawaz MG, Khan NH, Kharshiing KD, Khursheed M, Gupta K, et al. Depression, anxiety and stress among Indians in times of Covid-19 lockdown. Community Ment Health J. 2021;57(1):42–8.
- 24. Martínez-García M, Hernández-Lemus E. Periodontal inflammation and systemic diseases: An overview. Front Physiol. 2021;12:709438.
- 25. D'Aiuto F, Parkar M, Andreou G, Suvan J, Brett PM, Ready D, et al. Periodontitis and systemic inflammation: control of the local infection is associated with a reduction in serum inflammatory markers. J Dent Res . 2004;83(2):156–60.
- 26. Drozdzik A. Covid-19 and SARS-CoV-2 infection in periodontology: A narrative review. J Periodontal Res . 2022;57(5):933–41