EB DOES THE SURGICAL APPROACHES AFFECT PATIENT CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

¹Dr. Sudhir Kumar Rawat, ²Dr. Vijendra Damor, ³Dr Ajay Varun, ⁴Dr. Avadhesh Bhati

¹Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, SBKS Medical College, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Government Medical College, Ratlam, M.P,

India

¹Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, Government Medical College, Ratlam, M.P.

India

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Government Medical College, Ratlam, M.P.,

India

Corresponding Author:Dr Avadhesh Bhati Gmail :avadh.bhati@gmail.com

ABSTRACTS

Background: Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the major surgical treatment for end-stage osteoarthritis (OA). Surgical approaches for total knee arthroplasty (TKA) include the medial parapatellar (MPA), subvastus (SV), midvastus (MV), and lateral parapatellar approach (LPA); it remains unclear which approach is superior.

Aim: this study evaluates the affects of various TKA approaches on patient's clinical outcomes.

Methods: This study was conducted in the department of orthopedics, Smt. B.K Shah Medical Institute & Research centre and Dhiraj hospital, Gujarat. Patients having undergone Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Issue8),1302-1314 1302

TKA at our institution were retrospectively organized into matched groups according to surgical approach (MPA, MV, SV, or LPA). Outcomes between the groups were compared using the Short-Form 12 (SF-12), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Knee Society Score (KSS), and range of motion (ROM) up to 2 years postoperative.

Results: A total of Sixty-eight OA patients were enrolled in our study. Mean age was 62.4 years, predominantly female (62%), mean BMI were 33.5 Kg/m². Most participants (90% and 96%), improved ability to walk and reduced knee pain were very important TKA outcomes while 80%-86% improved ability to perform daily activities, climb stairs, participate in recreational activities and change position. There was no difference in outcomes between the MPA and MV groups up to 2 years. The SV group had significantly higher SF-12 Physical Composite Score (PCS) and WOMAC stiffness score at 2 years, but significantly lower flexion at 1 year than the MPA group. The LPA group.

Conclusion: There was no significant difference between the MPA and MV approach. The SV approach had some improved long-term outcomes over the MPA approach (SF-12 and WOMAC), but had significantly lower flexion at 1 year. The LPA group showed inferior outcomes than the MPA group but had more severe valgus preoperative deformity

Key words: Osteoarthritis, Total knee replacement, MPA, MV, SV, LPA, Outcomes

INTRODUCTION

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the major surgical treatment for severe or end-stage osteoarthritis. The procedure relieves patients of pain and improves their quality of life. Despite good clinical evaluation by physicians, it has been reported that approximately 20% of patients Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Issue8),1302-1314 1303

are dissatisfied with the outcome [1-2]. TKA is a preference- sensitive procedure, performed to improve patients' quality of life. Patients must weigh the benefits and risks of surgery in the context of their personal preferences and values [3]. Many approaches have been described for total knee arthroplasty (TKA), including the medial parapatellar (MPA), subvastus medialis (SV), midvastus (MV), and lateral parapatellar (LPA) approaches. The most commonly used of these is the MPA, which is considered the standard to which others are compared [4-5]. When discussing patient dissatisfaction following TKA a differentiation can be made between implantrelated, patient-related and surgery-related factors [6]. Systematic reviews of the studies that examined the effectiveness of TKR reported that most of the studies were observational, and stated that the time to prosthesis failure or revision surgery were the main or only outcome measures, rather than patient-centred outcomes. The wide variations in the types of prosthesis and in the outcome measures used also made it difficult to come to a clear conclusion about the effectiveness of TKR [7-8]. In a standard MPA, a midline incision is used and a medial parapatellar arthrotomy is made. It allows for excellent exposure and is relatively straightforward to perform.1 An SV approach typically also involves a midline skin incision, but the incision may be positioned more oblique and medially. From there, the border of the vastus medialis is visualized, its fascia is incised, and the vastus medialis is bluntly elevated from the medial intermuscular septum [9]. Each approach has advantages and disadvantages. For example, although the MPA provides an excellent view of the joint, it involves violating the extensor mechanism and medial structures.1 The SV and MV approaches are "quadriceps sparing," but are more technically difficult to perform and often reserved for thinner patients [10-11]. More appropriate selection of TKA candidates offers the opportunity to improve both patient outcomes and the use of valuable healthcare resources [12].

Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Issue8),1302-1314

Aims and objectives: the aim of the current study to investigate the affects of patient's clinical outcomes by different approaches of TKA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective comparative study, carried out in the department of orthopedics, Smt. B. K. Shah medical institute & research centre and dhiraj hospital, Vadodara, Gujarat. Patients were included if they were older than 30 years and underwent primary TKA for severe osteoarthritis.

Inclusion criteria:

- Patients > 30 years of age
- Patients diagnosed severe osteoarthritis
- Patients willing for TKA and provide consent for that.

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients < 30 years of age
- Valgus deformity
- Occurrence of fractures in lower limbs receiving TKAs
- Progression of dementia during the follow-up period

Patient demographic characteristics were recorded. At the pre-operation interview, patients' views on the onset, etiology and experience of joint problems, referral and listing for TKR, and expectations of the TKR were explored. At the post operation interviews, patients discussed the experience of the hospital stay, operation and recovery, and their perception of the TKR outcome.

Short-Form 12 (SF-12), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Knee Society Score (KSS), knee function scores, and range of motion (ROM) were recorded at yearly intervals from the initial surgical date. The WOMAC scores were reported on Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Issue8),1302-1314 1305

a scale of 0 (worst) to 100 (best). Charts were retrospectively reviewed in order to organize patients by surgical approach used. The MV and SV approaches were generally chosen to offer a potentially less invasive approach to patients with varus or neutral alignment and lower body mass index (BMI). The LPA was used in cases of severe valgus deform ity, as it is the lead surgeon's preferred approach for such cases. Patient groups were matched using propensity score matching for age, BMI, and gender.

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was done by using SPSS Statistics version 22. We used the Student t test and chi square test to compare the cohorts; A p<0.05 considered statistically significant

RESULTS

A total of 50 patients of severe osteoarthritis eligible for TKR who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in our study. Age ranged of the patients was 33 to 85 years, Mean age (SD) were 62.4 (8.1). Majority of the patients were female (62%), upper socio-economic class (38%), comorbidities was present in 54% patients and 34% were chronic smokers. Mean (SD) BMI were 32.5 (6.3) kg/m² [table: 1].

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristic of the study Participant

Participant characteristic		N=50
Age (years), mean (SD)		62.4 (8.1)
Gender	Male, n (%)	19 (38%)
	Female, n (%)	31 (62%)
Socio-economic status	Lower	15 (30%)
	Middle	16 (32%)

DOES THE SURGICAL APPROACHES AFFECT PATIENT CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF TOTAL

	Upper	19 (38%)
Comorbid conditions	Present	27 (54%)
	Absent	23 (46%)
Chronic smoker	Yes	17 (34%)
	No	33 (66%)
BMI, mean (SD)		33.5 (5.5)

KNEE ARTHROPLASTY- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Most participants indicated that improved ability to walk and reduced knee pain were very important TKA outcomes (90% and 96%, respectively), while 80%-86% considered improved ability to perform daily activities, climb stairs, participate in recreational activities and change position very important. More variability was observed with respect to the importance of other outcomes [table: 2].

 Table 2: TKA expectations, n (%) indicating a 'very important' TKA outcome

TKA outcome	Frequency (%)
Perform daily activities	41 (82%)
Go upstairs	43 (86%)
Walk	48 (96%)
Relieve pain	45 (90%)
Squat	27 (54%)
Kneel	30 (60%)
Change position, for example, get up from chair	40 (80%)
Straighten knee/leg	30 (60%)

DOES THE SURGICAL APPROACHES AFFECT PATIENT CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF TOTAL

KNEE ARTHROPLASTY- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Exercise or participate in sports	32 (64%)
Walk without aids	34 (68%)
Enjoy well- being	33 (66%)

Table 3: comparison of outcome scores in patients underwent various TKA approaches
--

Outcome	MPA (mean	MV (mean ±	SV (mean ±	LPA (mean ±
	± SD)	SD)	SD)	SD)
SF-12 MCS	52.3 ± 10.4	52.3 ± 10.9	58.0 ± 2.3	43.0 ± 7.1
SF-12 PCS	41.0 ± 10.1	44.0 ± 10.4	43.1 ± 13.1	34.5 ± 3.7
WOMAC pain	80.0 ± 20.7	81.4 ± 18.2	86.4 ± 19.3	71.7 ± 10.4
WOMAC stiffness	70.4 ± 24.1	72.1 ± 19.4	75.0 ± 21.6	66.3 ± 7.5
WOMAC function	78.5 ± 20.2	78.9 ± 18.3	84.6 ± 18.7	61.7 ± 4.5
WOMAC total	77.8 ± 19.	78.9 ± 16.6	83.3 ± 18.5	67.0 ± 6.6
Extension	0.2 ± 1.4	0.5 ± 1.8	0 ± 0	2.5 ± 2.9
Flexion	119.4 ± 10.3	114.7 ± 19.3	114.0 ± 8.2	110.0 ± 11.5
KSS function	83.2 ± 21.2	85.2 ± 18.9	85.0 ± 28.1	43.8 ± 54.4
KSS knee	91.5 ± 11.6	93.0 ± 9.8	94.8 ± 2.9	95.3 ± 2.5
KSS total	173.5 ± 28.9	176.3 ± 25.6	176.8 ± 31.5	139.0 ± 56.1

MPA = medial parapatellar approach; MV = mid-vastus approach, sub-vastus approach, lateral parapatellar approach, SF-12 MCS = Short-Form 12 Mental Composite Score; SF-12 PCS = Short-Form 12 Physical Composite Score; WOMAC = Western Ontario and Mac-Master Universities Osteoarthritis Index, KSS = Knee Society Score

 Table 4: Overall outcomes or satisfaction scores in patients underwent various TKA

 approaches

Overall satisfaction with TKA results	Percentage score %
Very satisfied	74%
Somewhat satisfied	17%
Somewhat or very satisfied	91%
Somewhat or very dissatisfied	9%
OMERACT-OARSI and somewhat/very satisfied	78%

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that patients had a strong desire to state that their TKR outcome was successful despite the continued experience of pain and immobility. Different reasons and rationalizations were made by the participants in an attempt to diminish any disappointment with their remaining pain and disability. As a result of these explanations, and despite the fact that they had considerable pain and disability, they continued to consider the TKR with high regard [13].

Present study has variably found that younger patient age, greater BMI and the presence of comorbidities were associated with worse TKA outcomes. Despite wide variability in age, BMI and comorbidities, none of these variables independently predicted our TKA outcome. A possible explanation for this finding is that these factors influence TKA outcome through their effects on TKA need, readiness and willingness and expectations [14-15].

In our study majority of the participants indicated that improved ability to walk and reduced knee pain while most of them considered improved ability to perform daily activities, climb stairs, Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Issue8),1302-1314 1309

participate in recreational activities and change position were very important TKA outcomes, our findings were correlate with many other studies [16-18].

Although there are several randomized controlled trials comparing the MPA, MV, SV, and LPA approaches, many are small in sample size, report mixed results, and have short follow-up duration [19-20].

In our study of MV patients, we found no significant difference in SF-12, WOMAC, ROM, or KSS scores at 1 year postoperative. This was consistent with the results of prior studies, which tend to show short-term benefits of quadriceps-sparing approaches, but no difference in long-term outcomes [21-22].

Current study observed SV approached patients showed some promising results. The SV group had higher SF-12 PCS and WOMAC stiffness scores at 1 year postoperative, concordance to S.P. Sidhu et al [23].

The MPA group did, however, have significantly higher flexion at 1 year postoperative as compared to MV, SV and LPA, accordance with the Varela et al [24].

Our comparison of the MPA and LPA in valgus knees actually showed significantly lower SF-12 PCS (p = 0.011) and WOMAC function scores (p = 0.022) at 1 year postoperative for the LPA group. There was no significant difference in other components of the SF-12, WOMAC, ROM, or KSS, our results comparable with the other studies [25-26].

Overall satisfaction level after TKA are majority of the participants have very or somewhat satisfied with their surgical results, our finding were similar with study conducted by other researchers [27-28].

CONCLUSION

We have concluded that patient age, BMI and the presence of comorbidities were associated with TKA outcomes. Compared with a standard MPA, the MV approach shows no significant difference in outcomes up to 2 years. The SV approach shows superior SF-12 and WOMAC scores at 2 years postoperative, but worse flexion at 1 year. The LPA for valgus knees had inferior SF-12 and WOMAC scores than the MPA, but selected for a more severe preoperative valgus deformity. Overall outcomes of TKA were very or somewhat satisfied.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: none

SOURCE OF FUNDING: none

REFERENCES

- Baker, P. N., van der Meulen, J. H., Lewsey, J. & Gregg, P. J. National Joint Registry for England and Wales. The role of pain and function in determining patient satisfaction after total knee replacement. Data from the National Joint Registry for England and Wales. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br. 89, 893–900 (2007).
- 2. Losina E, Walensky RP, Kessler CL. Cost-effectiveness of total knee arthroplasty in the United States: patient risk and hospital volume. Arch Intern Med. 2009; 169:1113–21.
- 3. Sloan M, Premkumar A, Sheth NP. Projected volume of primary total joint arthroplasty in the U.S., 2014 to 2030. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2018; 100:1455–60.
- 4. Vaishya R, Vijay V, Demesugh DM, et al. Surgical approaches for total knee arthroplasty. J Clin Orthop Trauma 2016; 7:71-9.
- 5. Aslam MA, Sabir AB, Tiwari Vetal (2017) Approach to total knee replacement: a randomized double blind study between medial parapatellar and midvastus approach in the early postoperative periodinasianpopulation.JKneeSurg30:793–797

- 6. Gaillard R, Cerciello S, Lustig S et al (2017) Risk factors for tibial implant malpositioning in total knee arthrosplasty-consecutive series of one thousand,fourhundredandseventeencases. Int Orthop41:749–756
- Dieppe P, Basler HD, Chard J et al. Knee replacement surgery for osteoarthritis: effectiveness, practice variations, indications and possible determinants of utilization. Br J Rheumatol 1999; 38:73–83
- Dieppe P, Chard J, Faulkner A, Lohmander S. Osteoarthritis. Clinical Evid 2001;5:808– 22.
- Hofmann AA, Tkach TK, Evanich CJ, et al. Posterior stabilization in total knee arthroplasty with use of an ultracongruent polyethylene insert. J Arthroplasty 2000; 15:576-83.
- 10. Matsueda M, Gustilo RB. Subvastus and medial parapatellar approaches in total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2000; 161-8. 8.
- 11. Roysam GS, Oakley MJ. Subvastus approach for total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized, and observer-blinded trial. J Arthroplasty 2001; 16:454-7.
- 12. Lawson EH, Gibbons MM, Ko CY, et al. The appropriateness method has acceptable reliability and validity for assessing overuse and underuse of surgical procedures. J Clin Epidemiol 2012; 65:1133–43.
- 13. Kennedy LG, Newman JH, Ackroyd CE, Dieppe P. Are our patients waiting too long for their knee replacements? [abstract]. Rheumatology 2001 Suppl 1:73
- 14. Manabu Yamada, Arata Nakajima, Masato Sonobe, Yorikazu Akatsu, Keiichiro Yamamoto, Junya Saito, et al. The impact of postoperative inclination of the joint line on

clinical outcomes in total knee arthroplasty using a prosthesis with anatomical geometry, Scientific Reports | (2023) 13:979 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28182-2

- 15. Stucinskas, J. et al. Moderate varus/valgus malalignment after total knee arthroplasty has little effect on knee function or muscle strength. Acta Orthop. 86(6), 728–733 (2015)
- 16. G. M. Woolhead, J. L. Donovan and P. A. Dieppe, Outcomes of total knee replacement : a qualitative study, Rheumatology 2005;44:1032–1037
- 17. Twiggs JG, Wakelin EA, Fritsch BA, et al. Clinical and statistical validation of a probabilistic prediction tool of total knee arthroplasty outcome. J Arthroplasty 2019;34:2624–31
- 18. Hawker GA, Bohm E, Dunbar MJ, et al. Patient appropriateness for total knee arthroplasty and predicted probability of a good outcome. RMD Open 2023; 9:e002808. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002808
- 19. Xu G, Fu X, Tian P, et al. The lateral and medial approach in total arthroplasty for valgus knee: a meta-analysis of current literature. J Comp Eff Res 2020; 9:35-44.
- 20. Liu HW, Gu WD, Xu NW, et al. Surgical approaches in total knee arthroplasty: a metaanalysis comparing the midvastus and subvastus to the medial peripatellar approach. J Arthroplasty 2014; 29:2298-304.
- 21. RicardaLechner, MatteoLazzeri Wilhelm Oberaigner Paul Nardell, Tobias Roth Paul Köglberger et al. Does the type of surgical approach affect the clinical outcome of total knee arthroplasty, Orthopäde2021 50:674–680
- 22. Kaoru Toguchi, Arata Nakajima, Yorikazu Akatsu, Masato Sonobe, Manabu Yamada, Hiroshi Takahashi, et al. Predicting clinical outcomes after total knee arthroplasty from

preoperative radiographic factors of the knee osteoarthritis, BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders (2020) 21:9

- 23. Sahil Prabhnoor Sidhu, Lyndsay E. Somerville, Aamir Sohail Sidhu, Ryan T. Willing, Matthew G. Teeter, Brent A. Lanting, Does surgical approach affect patient outcomes of total knee arthroplasty, Can J Surg 2021 October 1; 64(5). doi: 10.1503/cjs.010920
- 24. Varela-Egocheaga JR, Suarez-Suarez MA, Fernandez-Villan M, et al. Minimally invasive subvastus approach: improving the results of total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized trial. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010; 468:1200-8.
- 25. Dowsey MM, Nikpour M, Dieppe P, Choong PFM. Associations between preoperative radiographic changes and outcomes after total knee joint replacement for osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2012; 20:1095–102. 26.
- 26. Sowers MF, Karvonen-Gutierrez CA, Jacobson JA, Jiang Y, Yosef M. Associations of anatomical measures from MRI with radiographically defined knee osteoarthritis score, pain, and physical functioning. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93:241–51
- 27. Allen KD, Golightly YM, Callahan LF, et al. Race and sex differences in willingness to undergo total joint replacement: the Johnston County osteoarthritis project. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2014; 66:1193–202. 54
- 28. Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, et al. Criteria used when deciding on eligibility for total knee arthroplasty--between thinking and doing. Knee 2016; 23:300–5.