Effect of Selected Physical Fitness Training onPlaying Ability of Soccer Player Section A-Research paper



Effect of Selected Physical Fitness Training onPlaying Ability of Soccer Player ¹Dr.Y.SantikumarSingh and ²Bichitra Singha AssistantProfessor,DepartmentofPESS.M.U.,India Phd. Scholar Santikumar12340@gmail.com and bichitrasingha0417@gmail.com

Abstract: The pre-test mean value of experimental group for speed and endurance was 5.51 and 4.32, and post-test mean value of experiment group was 4.84 and 6.14. The calculated "t" value of experimental group was found to be statistically significant as the valueobtain was 2.38 and 5.28, whereas tabulated value was with 2.04 With 18 degree of freedom at 0.05 level of significance. In thisdiscussion of hypothesis for experimental group, the hypothesis was accepted. The significance of difference between pre-test mean of control group for speed andendurance was 6.69 and 3.91,post –test mean value of control group was 5.65 and 6.14,and "t" value was 0.38 and 1.28, which is lowerthan the tabulated value i.e. 2.04 Was not found to be statistically significant. In this discussion of hypothesis for control group, thehypothesis was rejected. No significant development in the control group was showed through the pairs "t" test whereas in experimentalgroup there was significant effect on "Mc Donald soccer test" variables. There was significant effect on speed and enduranceofFootball players after six weeks continuous running training. Moreover, to have effectiveness on all the variables, longer training periodmightbeessential with thesameexercise.

1. Introduction

Now aday sportshasbecomeapartandparceloflife.Millions of fans follow different sports events all over theworldwithanenthusiasmboardingondevoting.Manypeopleparticipateinsportsandgameforallhappiness,pl easureforhealthandfitness,increaseparticipationinsportshasresultedincompetitionwhichhasbecomeanimport ant element of modern life. Competition provides themeans by which one can show one's worth by competingsuccessfully, for top level performance, it is very importantfor sports to select and nature a budding sports man as it isrecognized by all that athlete must possess some inherentqualitieswhich can be develop by means of systematizedand scientific training.

It is fact that apart from other factors, the performance of an individual in any games and sports mainly dependent uponphysical, physiological psychological factors. and Individual differing hysical abilities, mental abilities, physiological capacities and personality traits. The individuals onlydifferfrom differfrom not one another but also one anotherabilities within their ownself. It is said that human capabilities and capabilities are unlimited which seems betrue when unthinkable performance of worldto we see classsprinter, middleandlong distancer unners thrower, swimmers and football etc.

Oneofthemainobjectivesofphysicaleducationandtraining for improve physical education fitness, which is thecapacity for physical activity and is referred to as the organicvigororvitality. This vigororvitality is demonstrated through physical performance. Therefore, in the performance of physical activity skill, sports and games we will have to take into consideration first the development of various components of physical fitness are strength, endurance, speed, agility, flexibility, co-ordinance, power, balance, reaction time and accuracy.

Football has become a very popular game in the world and iscurrentlyplayedinmore than 260 countries are involved in

FIFA.Tomakeupthestandardofthegamefurther,thereisa dire need of the professionals who thoroughly
understandthe game,arewell familiarwith the coaching process

and area breast with latest training means and method.

Almost all the nation plays the game for both enjoyment and competition. Modern soccer is a very fast by its nature; thespectators and the players enjoy the game of soccer with agreat amount of merriment. It is a game of constant actionand requires continuous adaptation to changing to changingsituation by the team as a whole as well as by the individual players. Although it's a team game, there is ample room for players to display their brilliance through individual performance with the ballas well as through the team players of the players of the players.

As a result, there is a constantly increasing demand for moreknowledge and better training means to coach thegame.Sports scientists,coaches andphysicaleducation teachersare charged with the responsibility of training and teachingtheir players soccer techniques and tactics to develop teamswho performatthemaximum levelofeffectiveness.

Football is a strenuouscontact Olympic teamsports thatplacesemphasisonrunning,sprinting,throin,kicking,dribbling. It''s a team sports which require a high standard ofpreparationinordertocompleteninetyminuteofcompetitivelyplayandtoachievesuccess.Inthisgamemovem ent patterns characterized as intermittent and changecontinuously in response to different offensive and defensivesituationinwhichanthropometriccharacteristicandhighlevelofmuscleofstrength,musclepower,end urancecapacity

are the most important factors that give a clear advantage for successful participation in elitely even of the second s

2. StatementoftheProblem

From the above surface literature and background, researcher was interest to study of fitness training of Football play ers. Thus, the problem was stated as ``Effect Selected Physical Fitness Training on Playing Ability of Soccer player''.

Objectivesofthestudy

The main objective of the study was to find out the effect ofselected fitnesstrainingofsoccer player.

Hypothesisofthestudy

It was hypothesis that there was significance effect of training programme on the playing ability of soccer players.

Delimitationofthestudy

- 1) The study was delimited to Twenty (20) male playersfromtheManipurUniversity,Canchipurwhoparticipated intheinter-collegelevelofcompetition.
- 2) Theageofthesubjectswererangedbetween18-25years.
- 3) ThetestwasconductedattheManipuriUniversityCampusfieldofManipur.
- 4) McDonaldSoccertestwasadministeredtotestskillabilityofthe players.

Limitationsofthestudy

- 1) Other exercise and activities which may affect the resultofthisstudywererecognized as a limitation.
- 2) There is no control on the dietary habits and other off thetraining periods, were considered as the limitation of thestudy.
- 3) Any bias come from the subjects was also considered asthelimitationof the study.

Definition of the terms50MeterDashTest

Sprint or speed test can be performed over varying distances, depending on the factors being tested and there levance to the sport. The 50 Meter Sprint is part of the International Physical Fitness Test.

Cooper12-minuteRun Test

The cooper 12 minute run is a popular maximal running testof aerobic fitness, in which participants try

and cover asmuchdistance astheycanin12minutes.

SignificantofDTUDY

The significant of the study was justified on the followingpoints:

- 1) The result of this test may be detecting the speed and endurance of Football players.
- 2) This test may helps the teacher, coaches and players toknowtheeffectivenessofspeedandenduranceoffootballplayers,
- 3) The present study may helpful to know how quick theirspeed and endurance increase.
- 4) This test may be helps the individual to know how goodinspeedandendurance level.

3. Methodology

Sourcesofdata

For this purpose of study, 20 (twenty) male football playerswereselectedfromtheManipurUniversitywhohasparticipatedintheinter-collegelevelofcompetition.Hence,

 $those entires ubjects we reacted as the sources of data for this \ study.$

Selectionofsubject

For these purpose of study, (20) male football players wereselected randomly as subjects from Manipur University whohas participated in the inter-college level competition. The subjects of a gewere range between 18–25 years.

Selection f test

To measure the endurance and speed by using the modified50 meter run dash and 12 minutes continuous running, wasselected astestadministration.

Criterionmeasure

The criterion measures chosen for testing the hypothesis inthis study was numerical score obtained from the physicalefficiencyindex(PEI) modifiedtest.

Administration of test <a>50MeterDashTest:

Sprint or speed test can be performed over varying distances, depending on the factors being tested and there levance to the sport. The 50 Meter Sprint is part of the International Physical Fitness Test.

<u>Purpose</u>:Todeterminethespeedandacceleration.

Equipments: Measuring Tape or marked track, stopwatch, conemarkers, flatand clear surface of at least 70 meters.

Procedure: The test involves running a single maximum print over 50 meters, with the time recorded. A thoroughwarm should be given, including some practice starts up and accelerations. Start from a stationary standing position (hands cannot touch the ground), with one footin front ofthe other. The front foot be behind must the starting line.Oncethesubjectisreadyandmotionless,thestartergivesthe instructions "set" then "go". The tester should providehints for maximizing speed (such as keeping low, drivinghard with the arms and legs) and the participant should beencouragedtonotslowdownbeforecrossingthe finishline.

Scoring: Twotrailsareallowed, and the best time is recorded to the nearest 2 decimal places. The timing starts from the first movement (if using a stopwatch) or when the timing system is triggered, and finishes when the chest crosses the finish line and / or the finishing timing gate is triggered.

Cooper12-minuteRun Test

The cooper 12 minute run is a popular maximal running testof aerobic fitness, in which participants try and cover asmuchdistance astheycanin12minutes.

<u>Purpose</u>: To test aerobic fitness (the ability of the body touseoxygentopower itwhile running

Equipmentrequired: flatovalorrunningtrack, markercones, recordingsheets, stop watch.

<u>Procedure</u>: Placemarkers asset intervals around the track to a idmeasuring the complete ddistance. Participants run for

12 minutes, and the total distance covered is recorded. Walking is allowed, though the participants must be encouraged to push themselves as hard as they can to maximize the distance covered.

<u>Scoring</u>: There are Coopertest norm tables for general guidelines for interpreting the results of this test for adults. There are also several equations that can be used to estimate $VO_{2max}(in ml/kg/min)$ from the distance score (a formula foreither km sorm iles).

DesignoftheStudy

The selected twenty (20) male football players were divided into two Groups as Experimental group and control group; each group consisting of ten (10) subjects. The Experimental groups was given five (5) days (Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Friday and Saturday) continuous running

perweekfortheperiodofsix(6)weeksrespectively,and(ThursdayandSunday)weregivenrest.Theseadministrat ors on thesubjects of experimentalgroup andcontrolgroupbeforeadministeringtheselectedtrainingdesign to obtain data of initial test (Pre- training Test Score).The duration of the training period was 6 weeks (42 days).An experimental group was given (5) day" selected per weekrespectively.ThecontrolgroupwaskeeponlyFootballpractices without giving any specific selected training. Thefinal post – test item was re-administered on Mc. Donaldsoccer test.

AdministrationofTraining

The training for the experimental group was administered atFootballgroupas"SpeedandContinuousrunningforendurance". Theselectedtrainingprogramswereadminist ered consecutively to the experimental group and control group as without any Training of Running. The training was give five (5) days in a week (Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Friday and Saturday) for the period of six (6)weektrainingprogramme(ThursdayandSunday)weregiven rest. In this way, training programme was outfor worked the experimental group only. The respective training wasadministeredfortheexperimental groups and table of training programme are as follow:

Day	Warming	ParticularNeed	Cooling	
•	Up U		Down	
Day1	15 minutes	30minutescontinuous	15 minutes	
Monday	warmup	running	coolingdown	
Day2 Tuesday	15 minutes	30minutescontinuous	15 minutes	
	warmup	running	coolingdown	
Day3 Wednesda	15 minutes	40minutescontinuous	15 minutes	
Wednesda	warmup	running	coolingdown	
У	-	-	-	
Day4	Deet	Deet		Deet
Thuršday	Rest	Rest		Rest
Day5	15 minutes	Speedrunningcontinuous for20 minutes	10 minutes	
Friday	warmup		coolingdown	
Day6	15 minutes	Speedrunningcontinuous for20 minutes	10 minutes	
Saturday	warmup	for20 minutes	coolingdown	

 Table1:TrainingscheduleofContinuousRunningforexperimentalgroup

 WeeklyTrainingProgramme

Day7 Sunday Rest Rest	Rest
--------------------------	------

CollectionofData

The data was collected on different sheet for each test. Thescore of trail were recorded and best was considering as rawscore. Item were demonstrated and explained to the subjectby the researcher. They had been giving a chance to all thepractice and become familiar with the test and how exactlywhattobedone,theentiresubjectwillbemotivatedtoperformtheirbest.ThedatawascollectedbyusingMc Donald soccertest.

The collection of data was plain within the stipulation timeand itwasutilized in the afternoon.

StatisticalProcedure

The researcher collects the necessary data in the specifiedscoring tables. To find out the cardio respiratory

enduranceoffootballplayersofManipurUniversity,thestatisticaltechniquesPair,,t"testwasemployed.Theleve lofsignificantwassetat0.05.Theresultwasanalysedstatistical technique and the basis of statistical result wasadministered.

AnalysisofDataandResults of the Study

The main purpose of the study was compared with the studyofSpeedandEnduranceoffootballplayersfromexperimentalgroupandcontrolgroup.Thescorewereachie application McDoneld ved result of Soccer as of а testwascomputerized. The statistically analysis of the data collected 20 players from experimental group (10) and the control group (10) of Manipur University, Canchipur. To analysis of the collection of data the following

statisticaltechniqueswereused. Descriptivestatisticsmeanandstandarddeviationwereadopted andfortestingth esignificant differenceamongthe experimental group and control group pair t – test was employed for this study and the level of significant was set at 0.05.

LevelofSignificance

The level of significance set at 0.05. The result was analysed statistical and the basis of statistical result interpretation was administered.

4. Findings

The comparison between the pre-test and post-test stage of experimental and control group was statistically analysed using pair "t" test. The data pertaining to the experimental and control group of football players Manipur University are presented intables 2.

The comparison of Mc Donald soccer test between pre-testandpost-testscoresforexperimentalandcontrolgroupbetweenfootballsplayersare presentedintable2.

Table 2: Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores for Experimental (EG) of SpeedTest.

Variabl es	0	Broups	Ν	Mea ns	SD	SE	Df	Välue
Speed Test(50	E G	Pre- Test	10	5.51	0.44	0.66	18	2.38
M		Post- Test	10	4.89	0.16	0.4		
Run)								

Significant at 0.05 levelTabulatedvalue(18)=2.04

In the above table-2, the significant of difference betweenpre-testandpost-

testmeanscoresofexperimental group with regard of 50 meter dash speed test are shown. The pre-test mean value of experimental group was 5.51 and post-testmeanvaluewas4.89. The calculated,,t" value of experimental group was found to be statistically significant the value obtain was 2.38, whereas tabulated value was with 2.02 With 18 offreedomat0.05 levelof significant.

The mean scores of pre-test and post- test on speed test wasdepicted graphicallyinFigure:2.




Figure 2: Comparison of pre-test and post-test scores forExperimentalGroup on50meter dashrunspeed test.

Variables	Groups	N Mea ns	SD	SE Df	t-Value	
SpeedTest For	E Pre- G Test	10 6.69	0.39	0.06 18	0.39	
(50MDash	Post- Test	10 5.65	0.32	0.05		

Table3:ComparisionofPre-TestScores forControlGroupofSpeedTest

Significant at 0.05 levelTabulatedvalue(18)=2.04

In the above table-3, the significant of difference betweenpre-testandposttestmeanscoresofcontrolgroupwithregard of speedtest are shown. The pre-test mean of controlgroup was 6.69, post-test mean value of control group was5.65,and,,t"valuewas0.8,,which is lower than the tabulated value i.e. 2.04 was not found to be statistically significant.

Themeanscoresofpre-testandpost-testonspeedtestwasdepicted graphicallyinfigure 3.



Figure 3: Comparison of pre-test and post-test scores forcontrolgrouponspeedand endurance test.

 ${\bf Table 4: } Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores for Experimental Group for Endurance \ Test \\$

Variabl es	Groups	Ν	Means	SD	SE	Df	t-Value
Endura nceT	EG Pre- Test	10	4.32	0.23	0.04	18	5.28
est	EG Post- Test	10	6.14	0.29	0.05		

Significant at 0.05 levelTabulatedvalue(18)=2.04

In the above table 4, the significance difference between pre-testandpost-testmeanscoresofexperimental group with regard of endurance test are shown. The pre-test mean value of experimental group was 4.32 and post-test mean value of experimental group was 6.14. The calculated "t" value of the experimental group was found to be Significant as the value obtain was 5.28, whereas tabulated value was 2.04 with 18degreeoffreedomat 0.05levelofsignificant. The mean scores of pre-test and post-test on endurance wasdepicted graphicallyinfigure:4.




Figure 4: Comparison of pre-test and post-test scores for experimental grouponendurance test

Table 5: Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores forControlGroupofEnduranceTest.

Variable		Groups	Ν	Means	SD	SE	df	t-Value		
		Pre-Test		3.91	0.16	0,04	18	1.84		
Test	G	Post-Test	10	4.37	0.27	0.05				
Cianificant	Significant at 0.05 lovelTabulated value (18)-2.04									

Significant at 0.05 levelTabulatedvalue(18)=2.04

In the above table-5. the significant of difference betweenpre-testandposttestmeanscoresofcontrolgroupwithregard of Endurance test are shown. The pre-test mean of control group was 3.91, post-test mean value of control group was 4.37, and "t" value was 1.84, which is lower thanthe tabulated value i.e. 2.04 was not found to be statistically significant.

Themeanscores of pre-test and post-test on endurance test was depicted graphically.




Figure 5: Comparison of pre-test and post-test score forcontrolgroup(CG) onEndurancetest

5. Discussion of the Finding

On the basis of the finding and it was concluded that Experimental group footballers of Manipur University has shown the significant effect on speed and endurance compared with the control group footballers of Manipur University Canchipur. Infinding, the significance of difference between pre-test and post-

testmeanscoresofexperimental group with regard of Speed and Endurance areshown. The pre-test mean value of experimental group forspeed was 5.51 and post-test mean value of experimental group was 4.89, and the pre-test mean value of experimental group for experimental group was 6.14. The calculated "t" value of experimental group was found to be statistically significant the value obtain was 2.38 and 5.28. whereas tabulated value was with 2.04 with 18 degree of freedom at 0.05 levelof significant, the significant of difference between pre-test mean of control group with regard of speed and endurance test are shown. The pre-test mean of control group for speed and endurance was 6.69 and 3.91, and the "t" value was 0.39 and 1.84, which is lower than thetabulated value i.e. 2.04 was not found to be statistically significant.

DiscussionofHypothesis

Originally, it was hypothesized that there were significant effect on Speed and Endurance for Experimental group. In the finding, the significance of difference between pre-testand post-test mean scores of experimental group with regardofSpeedand Endurance Testare shown.

The pre-test mean value of experimental group for speed andendurance was 5.51 and 4.32 and post-test mean value of experimental group for was4.49 and 6.14. The calculated, to be statistically significant as the value obtain was 2.38 and 5.28, where a stabulated value was with 2.04 with 18 degree of freedom at

0.05 level of significant. In this discussion of hypothesis for experimental group, the hypothesis was accepted.

The significant of difference between pre-test and posttestmeanscoresofcontrolgroupwithregardSpeedandEndurance Test are shown. The pre-test mean for controlgroup was 6.69 and 3.91, post-test mean value of controlgroup was 5.65 and 4.37, and the "t" value was 0.34 and 1.84, which is lower than thetabulated value i.e. 2.04 wasnot found to be statistically significant. In this discussion of hypothesis for control group, the hypothesis was rejected.

6. ConclusionandRecommendation

6.1 Conclusion

Within the limitations of the present study, the followingconclusionswere drawn:

The pre-test mean value of experimental group for speed and endurance was 5.51 and 4.32, and post-test mean value of experiment groupwas4.84 and 6.14. The calculated, t''value of experimental group was found to be statistically significant as the value obtain was 2.38 and 5.28, where a stabulated value was with 2.04 With 18 degree of freedom at

0.05levelof significance.Inthis discussion of hypothesis for experimental group, the hypothesis was accepted.

The significance of difference between pre-test and post-testmeanscores of control group with regard of Speed and Endurance test are shown. The pre-

testmeanofcontrolgroup for speed and endurance was 6.69 and 3.91,post –testmean value of control group was 5.65 and 6.14,and "t" valuewas0.38and1.28,whichislowerthanthetabulatedvalue

i.e. 2.04 wasas not found to be statistically significant. In this discussion of hypothesis for control group, the hypothesis was rejected.

No significant development in the control group was showedthroughthepairs,,t^{**} testwhereasinexperimental group there was significant effect on "McDonald soccertest" variables.

The rewassignificant effect on speed and endurance of Football players after six weeks continuous running training.

Moreover, to have effectiveness on all the variables, longertrainingperiodmightbeessential with the same exercise.

Recommendations

Based on the results obtained from the study, the following recommendations were made:

- 1) It was recommended that to have better effect on speedandendurance, the continuous running exercise was also one of the effective training means to be employed in the football players.
- 2) The same types of training schedule might be plannedfor longer duration of training programme to get betterimprovementinthefootball players.
- 3) It was also recommended that a similar study might berepeated by selecting subject of different age, sex and level of achievement other than those employed in the present study.
- 4) Itwasrecommendedthatsimilarstudymaybeconducted on a largepopulation for theother parts ofthecountry.
- 5) From findings of the study it is also recommended that astudymaybecarriedoutwith otherplayers.

References

- [1] **Jagdev** Singh, "Comparative effects of harness runningandweight– jacketrunningonlegstrength,lengthofthe stride and sprinting speed", (unpublished master"sthesis,JiwajiUniversity)
- [2] Arunabha Roy, "Comperative of acceleration running, resistance running and sand running on sprinting

speed, Explosive legstrengthand length of the stride, "(Unpublished Master thesis, Jiwaji University, 1980).

- [3] **Wm Dudley Rogers**, "A study to determine the effects of the weight of football uniforms on speed and agility,"Completed research in health, physical education and recreation10(1968):111.
- [4] **Harli'AHamak**, "Theeffectofselectedprogressiveresistance exercise programme upon Muscular strengthandspeed", Completedresearchinhealth, physicaleducationand recreation 2 (1968) :98.
- [5] **LeeJ.Tressel**, "Theeffectsofselectedresistanceprogrammeuponmuscularstrengthandspeed,"Completed research in health, physical education andrecreation2(1969)116
- [6] **K. Uppal and jagdev Singh**, "Comparative effects ofharnessrunningandweightjacketrunningonlegstrength, length of stride and sprinting speed," SNIPESjournal6(April1986) :47
- [7] **Miller, Douglas Keith**, " A comparison of the effects ofHill Training, Weight Training and sprint Training

on800meterrunperformance" DissertationAbstractsInternationalVol.53No.7(January, 1993):2291

Effect of Selected Physical Fitness Training onPlaying Ability of Soccer Player Section A-Research paper