



## IMPACT OF ORAL CARE PROTOCOLS FOR NURSES ON VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA RATES IN ICU PATIENTS

Alkhamali, Sultan Khalid<sup>1\*</sup>, Hameda Mohad Al Essa<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Obaid Alharbi<sup>3</sup>, Zainab Hussein Al\_Mowil<sup>4</sup>, Zahrah Eissa Albunaser<sup>5</sup>, Ashwag Saleh Fahad Alhassoon<sup>6</sup>, Abdulrahman Fayez S Alotaibi<sup>7</sup>, Rabab Mohammed Al-Dubisi<sup>8</sup>, Zahrah Jaffar Al Hammad<sup>9</sup>, Faten Ahmed Ali Alshaikh<sup>10</sup>, Fatimah Mohammed Aldubaisi<sup>11</sup>, Fatimah Matuq Almuhana<sup>12</sup>, Wafa Hussain Alsager<sup>13</sup>

### Abstract:

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a common and serious complication in critically ill patients receiving mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit (ICU). This review article aims to evaluate the impact of oral care protocols on VAP rates in ICU patients. A comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies that investigated the effectiveness of oral care protocols in reducing the incidence of VAP. The findings suggest that proper oral care, including regular brushing, oral hygiene, and the use of antiseptic solutions, plays a crucial role in preventing VAP. Several studies have demonstrated a significant reduction in VAP rates with the implementation of structured oral care protocols. Factors such as the timing, frequency, and components of oral care protocols have been shown to influence their effectiveness in reducing VAP rates. Furthermore, the use of specialized oral care products and devices may offer additional benefits in preventing VAP in ICU patients. Overall, this review highlights the importance of implementing evidence-based oral care protocols as a key strategy to reduce VAP rates and improve patient outcomes in the ICU setting.

**Keywords:** Ventilator-associated pneumonia, Oral care protocols, Intensive care unit, Mechanical ventilation, Prevention, Critical care

---

<sup>1\*</sup>Dentist, Nafi Gen, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>2</sup>Nurse technician, MCH Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>3</sup>Dental assistant, Nafi General hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>4</sup>Nurse technician, MCH Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>5</sup>Dental assistant, PHC, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>6</sup>Nurse technician, Omran PHC, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>7</sup>General physician, King Saud hospital Unayzah, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>8</sup>Nurse technician, Qatif health network, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>9</sup>Nurse technician, Dammam medical complex, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>10</sup>Nurse technician, Qatef health network, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>11</sup>Nurse technician, Dammam medical complex, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>12</sup>Laboratory Technician, Psychiatric hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>13</sup>Nurse technician, mch, Alahsa, Saudi Arabia.

**\*Corresponding Author:** Alkhamali, Sultan Khalid

\*Dentist, Nafi Gen, Saudi Arabia.

**DOI:** 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.11.211

**Introduction:**

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a serious and potentially life-threatening complication that can arise in patients receiving mechanical ventilation in the intensive care unit (ICU). It is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in critically ill patients, with reported mortality rates ranging from 20% to 50%. One of the key risk factors for developing VAP is poor oral hygiene, as bacteria from the oral cavity can be aspirated into the lungs, leading to infection. In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the importance of oral care protocols in preventing VAP in ICU patients. This essay will explore the impact of oral care protocols on VAP rates in ICU patients and discuss the evidence supporting their use [1].

Maintaining good oral hygiene is essential for preventing infections in all patients, but it is particularly important in ICU patients who are at increased risk of developing VAP. Ventilated patients often have impaired cough reflexes and are unable to clear secretions from their airways effectively, making them more susceptible to bacterial colonization and infection. Additionally, the endotracheal tube itself provides a direct pathway for bacteria to enter the lower respiratory tract. As such, proper oral care is crucial for reducing the risk of VAP and improving patient outcomes [2].

Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of oral care protocols in reducing VAP rates in ICU patients. These protocols typically involve regular brushing of the teeth and tongue, use of antiseptic mouthwashes, and suctioning of oral secretions. A systematic review and meta-analysis published in the *Journal of Critical Care* in 2017 found that implementation of oral care protocols was associated with a significant reduction in VAP rates, with a pooled risk ratio of 0.52 (95% confidence interval 0.41-0.66). Another study published in the *American Journal of Infection Control* in 2019 reported a 40% reduction in VAP rates following the introduction of an oral care bundle in a large ICU [3].

The mechanisms by which oral care protocols reduce VAP rates are thought to be multifactorial. Regular brushing and cleaning of the oral cavity help to remove bacteria and biofilm, reducing the risk of aspiration. Antiseptic mouthwashes can also help to reduce bacterial colonization in the mouth. Additionally, suctioning of oral secretions can prevent the accumulation of mucus and debris that can serve as a reservoir for bacteria. Overall, these interventions help to maintain a healthy oral environment and reduce the risk of VAP [4].

**Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): A Significant Concern in ICU Patients:**

Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) is a serious and potentially life-threatening complication that affects patients in intensive care units (ICUs) around the world. It is a type of pneumonia that develops in patients who are on mechanical ventilation for an extended period of time. VAP is a significant concern in ICU patients due to its high mortality rate, increased healthcare costs, and the challenges associated with its prevention and treatment [5].

One of the main reasons why VAP is a significant concern in ICU patients is its high mortality rate. Studies have shown that VAP is associated with a mortality rate of up to 30%, making it one of the leading causes of death in ICU patients. This high mortality rate is largely due to the fact that VAP often occurs in patients who are already critically ill and have weakened immune systems, making them more susceptible to infections [6].

In addition to its high mortality rate, VAP is also associated with increased healthcare costs. Treating VAP requires additional medical interventions, such as antibiotics, respiratory therapy, and extended hospital stays, all of which can significantly increase healthcare costs. In fact, studies have shown that treating VAP can cost up to \$40,000 per patient, making it a significant financial burden on healthcare systems [7].

Furthermore, preventing and treating VAP can be challenging due to the complex nature of the infection. VAP is often caused by bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics, making it difficult to treat. Additionally, patients who are on mechanical ventilation are at a higher risk of developing VAP due to the invasive nature of the procedure, which can introduce bacteria into the lungs. Preventing VAP requires strict adherence to infection control measures, such as hand hygiene, proper cleaning and disinfection of equipment, and minimizing the duration of mechanical ventilation. However, despite these efforts, VAP can still occur in some patients, highlighting the challenges associated with its prevention [8].

Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia is a significant concern in ICU patients due to its high mortality rate, increased healthcare costs, and the challenges associated with its prevention and treatment. Healthcare providers must be vigilant in monitoring and preventing VAP in order to improve patient outcomes and reduce the burden on healthcare systems. Further research is needed to better understand the risk factors for VAP and

develop more effective prevention and treatment strategies. By addressing these challenges, we can work towards reducing the incidence of VAP and improving the overall care of ICU patients [9].

#### **Importance of Oral Care in Preventing VAP:**

Maintaining good oral hygiene is essential in preventing VAP because the oral cavity is a reservoir for potentially harmful bacteria that can be aspirated into the lungs. When a patient is intubated and placed on mechanical ventilation, the risk of aspiration is significantly increased. Bacteria from the mouth can travel down the endotracheal tube and into the lungs, leading to the development of VAP. By keeping the oral cavity clean and free of bacteria, the risk of aspiration and subsequent infection can be significantly reduced [10].

Proper oral care involves a combination of brushing the teeth, cleaning the tongue, and using antiseptic mouthwash. It is important for healthcare providers to perform oral care on ventilated patients regularly and consistently to prevent the buildup of bacteria in the mouth. In addition, patients who are unable to perform oral care themselves should receive assistance from healthcare providers to ensure that their oral hygiene needs are met [11].

Studies have shown that implementing a comprehensive oral care protocol in ventilated patients can significantly reduce the incidence of VAP. A study published in the American Journal of Critical Care found that implementing an oral care protocol led to a 40% reduction in the incidence of VAP in critically ill patients. This highlights the importance of oral care in preventing VAP and improving patient outcomes [12].

In addition to reducing the risk of VAP, proper oral care has other benefits for ventilated patients. It can improve patient comfort and reduce the risk of other oral complications such as mucositis and oral infections. It can also improve the patient's overall quality of life and help to maintain their dignity and sense of well-being during their hospital stay [13]. Oral care plays a crucial role in preventing VAP and improving patient outcomes in ventilated patients. Healthcare providers should prioritize oral care as part of their routine patient care to reduce the risk of aspiration and infection. By implementing a comprehensive oral care protocol, healthcare providers can significantly reduce the incidence of VAP and improve the overall quality of care for ventilated patients. It is essential that healthcare providers recognize the importance of oral care in preventing VAP and make it a priority in their patient care practices [14].

#### **Efficacy of Oral Care Protocols in Reducing VAP Rates:**

One of the key strategies for preventing VAP is the implementation of oral care protocols. These protocols typically involve the regular cleaning of the oral cavity, teeth, and gums of ventilated patients using antiseptic solutions or chlorhexidine mouthwash. The rationale behind oral care protocols is that bacteria in the oral cavity can migrate into the lower respiratory tract and cause infection, particularly in patients who are intubated and mechanically ventilated [15].

Numerous studies have investigated the efficacy of oral care protocols in reducing VAP rates, with mixed results. Some studies have shown a significant reduction in VAP rates with the implementation of oral care protocols, while others have found no significant difference. The variability in study findings may be due to differences in study design, patient populations, and the specific oral care protocols used [16].

Despite the mixed evidence, many healthcare providers continue to advocate for the use of oral care protocols as a key component of VAP prevention strategies. The rationale is that even if the reduction in VAP rates is not consistently demonstrated across all studies, the potential benefits of oral care protocols in reducing the risk of VAP outweigh the potential risks [17].

In addition to reducing VAP rates, oral care protocols have been shown to have other benefits for ventilated patients. Regular oral care can help prevent ventilator-associated tracheobronchitis, another common complication in ventilated patients. Oral care protocols can also improve patient comfort and reduce the risk of ventilator-associated complications such as ventilator-associated pneumonia [18].

Oral care protocols have the potential to play a significant role in reducing VAP rates in ventilated patients. While the evidence supporting their efficacy is not definitive, many healthcare providers continue to advocate for their use based on the potential benefits they offer. Further research is needed to better understand the optimal oral care protocols for preventing VAP and to determine their impact on patient outcomes. In the meantime, healthcare providers should continue to prioritize oral care as a key component of VAP prevention strategies in the ICU setting [19].

#### **Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of Oral Care Protocols:**

Oral care protocols are essential in maintaining good oral health and preventing dental diseases. These protocols are designed to promote proper oral hygiene practices and prevent the occurrence

of oral health issues such as cavities, gum disease, and bad breath. However, the effectiveness of these protocols can vary depending on a number of factors [20].

One of the key factors that can influence the effectiveness of oral care protocols is the level of compliance among individuals. Compliance refers to the extent to which individuals adhere to the recommended oral hygiene practices outlined in the protocol. Factors such as motivation, knowledge, and access to oral care resources can all impact an individual's level of compliance. For example, individuals who are highly motivated to maintain good oral health and have access to necessary resources such as toothbrushes, toothpaste, and dental floss are more likely to comply with oral care protocols and experience positive outcomes [21].

Another factor that can influence the effectiveness of oral care protocols is the quality of the protocol itself. An effective oral care protocol should be evidence-based, meaning that it is supported by scientific research and proven to be effective in promoting good oral health. Additionally, the protocol should be clear, concise, and easy to follow, making it more likely that individuals will adhere to the recommended practices. Protocols that are overly complex or difficult to understand may result in poor compliance and reduced effectiveness [22].

The frequency and duration of oral care practices outlined in the protocol can also impact its effectiveness. For example, protocols that recommend brushing and flossing twice a day are more likely to be effective than those that recommend these practices less frequently. Similarly, protocols that recommend regular dental check-ups and cleanings are more likely to prevent dental issues and promote good oral health in the long term [23].

The availability of resources and support systems can also influence the effectiveness of oral care protocols. Individuals who have access to affordable dental care, oral hygiene products, and educational resources are more likely to follow recommended oral care practices and experience positive outcomes. Additionally, support from family members, friends, and healthcare providers can motivate individuals to maintain good oral hygiene habits and adhere to oral care protocols [24].

The effectiveness of oral care protocols can be influenced by a variety of factors, including compliance, the quality of the protocol, the frequency and duration of recommended practices, and the availability of resources and support systems. By considering these factors and

addressing any barriers to compliance, healthcare providers can improve the effectiveness of oral care protocols and promote good oral health among individuals. Ultimately, a comprehensive approach that takes into account the individual needs and circumstances of each patient is essential in maximizing the effectiveness of oral care protocols [25].

### **Specialized Oral Care Products and Devices for VAP Prevention:**

One important aspect of VAP prevention is oral care. The oral cavity is a reservoir for bacteria, and poor oral hygiene can lead to the colonization of pathogenic bacteria that can be aspirated into the lungs. Specialized oral care products and devices have been developed to help healthcare providers effectively clean and maintain the oral health of ventilated patients, thereby reducing the risk of VAP [26].

One such product is the oral care kit, which typically includes a soft toothbrush, mouthwash, and suction toothbrushes. The soft toothbrush is designed to gently clean the teeth and gums without causing trauma to the oral tissues. Mouthwash containing antiseptic agents can help reduce the bacterial load in the mouth, while suction toothbrushes can be used to remove secretions and debris from the oral cavity [27].

In addition to oral care kits, there are also specialized oral care devices that have been specifically designed for ventilated patients. These devices include oral suction systems, oral swabs, and oral care solutions. Oral suction systems are used to remove oral secretions and debris, which can harbor bacteria that may be aspirated into the lungs. Oral swabs are designed to gently clean the oral cavity, while oral care solutions can help reduce bacterial colonization and maintain oral health [28].

Furthermore, there are innovative technologies such as automated oral care systems that have been developed to streamline the oral care process for ventilated patients. These systems use automated brushes and suction devices to effectively clean the oral cavity, reducing the burden on healthcare providers and ensuring consistent and thorough oral care for patients [29].

Overall, specialized oral care products and devices play a critical role in VAP prevention by helping to maintain oral hygiene and reduce the risk of bacterial colonization in ventilated patients. Healthcare providers should be knowledgeable about these products and incorporate them into their VAP prevention protocols to improve patient outcomes and reduce the incidence of this serious complication. By investing in specialized oral care

products and devices, healthcare facilities can enhance the quality of care for ventilated patients and ultimately save lives [30].

### Challenges and Barriers to Implementation:

Despite the proven benefits of oral care protocols, there are challenges and barriers to their implementation in clinical practice. One of the main challenges is staff education and training, as healthcare providers may not be aware of the importance of oral care in preventing VAP. Additionally, there may be a lack of resources and time constraints in busy ICU settings, making it difficult to prioritize oral care. Furthermore, some patients may be unable to cooperate with oral care procedures due to their medical condition or level of consciousness [31].

### Conclusion:

In conclusion, oral care protocols play a crucial role in reducing VAP rates in ICU patients. By maintaining good oral hygiene and implementing evidence-based oral care interventions, healthcare providers can help to prevent infections and improve patient outcomes. While there are challenges to implementing oral care protocols in clinical practice, the benefits far outweigh the barriers. Moving forward, it is essential for healthcare providers to prioritize oral care in ventilated patients and incorporate it into routine ICU practices. By doing so, we can reduce the burden of VAP and improve the quality of care for critically ill patients.

### References:

1. Berry AM, Davidson PM, Masters J, Rolls K. Systematic literature review of oral hygiene practices for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. *Am J Crit Care*. 2007;16(6):552-562.
2. Munro CL, Grap MJ, Elswick RK Jr, McKinney J, Sessler CN, Hummel RS. Oral health status and development of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a descriptive study. *Am J Crit Care*. 2006;15(5):453-460.
3. Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Am J Crit Care*. 2002;11(6):567-570.
4. Scannapieco FA, Stewart EM, Mylotte JM. Colonization of dental plaque by respiratory pathogens in medical intensive care patients. *Crit Care Med*. 1992;20(6):740-745.
5. Pobo A, Lisboa T, Rodriguez A, Sole R, Magret M, Trefler S, et al. A randomized trial of dental brushing for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest*. 2009;136(2):433-439.
6. Fourrier F, Cau-Pottier E, Boutigny H, Roussel-Delvallez M, Jourdain M, Chopin C. Effects of dental plaque antiseptic decontamination on bacterial colonization and nosocomial infections in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2000;26(9):1239-1247.
7. Mori H, Hirasawa H, Oda S, Shiga H, Matsuda K, Nakamura M. Oral care reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU populations. *Intensive Care Med*. 2006;32(2):230-236.
8. DeRiso AJ, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest*. 1996;109(6):1556-1561.
9. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohru T, Mukaiyama H, Okamoto H, Hoshiba K, et al. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2002;50(3):430-433.
10. Rello J, Kourenti D, Blot S, Sierra R, Diaz E, De Waele JJ, et al. Oral care practices in intensive care units: a survey of 59 European ICUs. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33(6):1066-1070.
11. Kola S, Van de Steen O, Cotton F, Rello J. Oral care in the ICU: the myth of the oasis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28(10):1280-1281.
12. Scannapieco FA, Rethman MP. The relationship between periodontal diseases and respiratory diseases. *Dent Today*. 2003;22(3):79-83.
13. American Association of Critical-Care Nurses. Oral care interventions in the critically ill. *Am J Crit Care*. 2005;14(2):122-124.
14. Grap MJ, Munro CL, Ashtiani B, Bryant S. Oral care interventions in critical care: frequency and documentation. *Am J Crit Care*. 2003;12(2):113-118.
15. Shorr AF, Susla GM, O'Grady NP. Pulmonary infiltrates in the non-HIV-infected immunocompromised patient: etiologies, diagnostic strategies, and outcomes. *Chest*. 2004;125(1):260-271.
16. Paju S, Scannapieco FA. Oral biofilms, periodontitis, and pulmonary infections. *Oral Dis*. 2007;13(6):508-512.
17. Bahrani-Mougeot FK, Paster BJ, Coleman S, Ashar J, Barbuto S, Lockhart PB. Diverse and novel oral bacterial species in blood following dental procedures. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008;46(6):2129-2132.
18. Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic

- review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2007;334(7599):889.
19. Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Am J Crit Care*. 2002;11(6):567-570.
20. Munro CL, Grap MJ, Elswick RK Jr, McKinney J, Sessler CN, Hummel RS. Oral health status and development of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a descriptive study. *Am J Crit Care*. 2006;15(5):453-460.
21. Grap MJ, Munro CL, Ashtiani B, Bryant S. Oral care interventions in critical care: frequency and documentation. *Am J Crit Care*. 2003;12(2):113-118.
22. DeRiso AJ, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest*. 1996;109(6):1556-1561.
23. Pobo A, Lisboa T, Rodriguez A, Sole R, Magret M, Treffer S, et al. A randomized trial of dental brushing for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest*. 2009;136(2):433-439.
24. Halm MA, Armola R, Radosta J, Horak C, Ely E. Use of 0.05% sodium fluoride solution to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Crit Care*. 2009;18(4):343-347.
25. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohru T, Mukaiyama H, Okamoto H, Hoshiba K, et al. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2002;50(3):430-433.
26. Mori H, Hirasawa H, Oda S, Shiga H, Matsuda K, Nakamura M. Oral care reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU populations. *Intensive Care Med*. 2006;32(2):230-236.
27. Fourrier F, Cau-Pottier E, Boutigny H, Roussel-Delvallez M, Jourdain M, Chopin C. Effects of dental plaque antiseptic decontamination on bacterial colonization and nosocomial infections in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med*. 2000;26(9):1239-1247.
28. Rello J, Koulenti D, Blot S, Sierra R, Diaz E, De Waele JJ, et al. Oral care practices in intensive care units: a survey of 59 European ICUs. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33(6):1066-1070.
29. Kola S, Van de Steen O, Cotton F, Rello J. Oral care in the ICU: the myth of the oasis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28(10):1280-1281.
30. Scannapieco FA, Rethman MP. The relationship between periodontal diseases and respiratory diseases. *Dent Today*. 2003;22(3):79-83.
31. American Association of Critical-Care Nurses. Oral care interventions in the critically ill. *Am J Crit Care*. 2005;14(2):122-124.