AN OVERVIEW OF SURGEON, NURSING AND PHARMACY PRACTICE IN MANAGEMENT ASCENDING CHOLANGITIS

Dr. Talal Hussain Balfas[1]*, Dr. Bothyna saleh kordi[1], Yazeed Said Bayazeed[2], Shaima Ahmed Alwael[2], Turki Abutalib Abutalib[3], Abdullah Mohammed Hommadi[3], Majid Ahmed Alsahfi[4], Mohammed Ali Alghanmi[4], Yousuf Odah Aljohani[5], Bandar Ali Abid[6], Mutlaq Mohammed Mutlaq Alharbi[7], Areej Mohammed Samkari[8], Zaki Mabruk B Alharbi[9], Mohammed Aifan Musallam Alhulayfi[10], Nawaf Abdulhavez Alsulame[11]

Abstract:
The hepatobiliary system is affected by cholangitis, which is a dangerous condition that poses a threat to health and life. Within the scope of this study, an update is provided concerning the clinical and pathological characteristics of the various types of cholangitis. Imaging examination of the hepatobiliary system has become an important technique in the treatment of cholangitis in recent years. There are a number of modalities that are often utilized as diagnostic and therapeutic techniques. Three of these modalities are endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, and endoscopic ultrasonography. The progression of cholangitis must be controlled by the utilization of biliary drainage operations that are performed using these approaches. Despite the fact that immunosuppressive medicines have also been shown to provide clinical responses in idiopathic adenocarcinoma (IAC), there have been reports of promising findings regarding the function of antibiotic treatment in the management of the disease. In general, surgical operations in patients with cholangitis are limited to those individuals in whom other therapeutic options have been unsuccessful. This is due to the high rate of problems that frequently arise after surgical procedures.

[1]*General Surgery, King Saud Medical City
[2]Pharmacist, King Fahad general hospital
[3]Pharmacist, Alazizyah children Hospital
[4]Pharmacist Assistant, King Abdullah medical, complex
[5]Pharmacist Assistant, Maternity hospital
[6]Pharmacist, Health Surveillance Centers at King Abdulaziz International Airport
[7]Pharmaicist, Dharyah hospital
[8]Nurse technician, Alzaher primary health centre
[9]Technician-Nursing, Eradah Complex for Mental Health and Addiction in Jeddah
[10]Technician-Nursing, Al-Jafr primary Health care
[11]Nurse Techision, Ajyad Emergency Hospital

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Talal Hussain Balfas
*General Surgery, King Saud Medical City

DOI: 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.03.60

Introduction:

There are a number of complex end-stage hepatobiliary illnesses known as cholangitis syndromes. In light of this all-encompassing concept, the diagnostic criteria for cholangitis encompass a wide variety of disorders across the body. These conditions are typically linked to significant inflammation and fibrosis of the hepatobiliary system, which is defined by the gradual constriction and obstruction of the bile ducts over time. One of the key approaches to the management of cholangitis is the utilization of therapeutic procedures with the goal of removing obstructive lesions in the biliary-hepatic ducts. Nevertheless, liver transplantation is the only treatment that has been proven to be curative for cholangitis, particularly in patients whose disease has progressed. However, there is a growing sense of optimism as a result of the fact that there have been reports of advances in treatments that involve antibiotics and antifibrotic medicines [1].

There are many different types of cholangitis, each of which has its own unique etiology and pathodevelopment. The onset of cholangitis can be brought on by a combination of inherited and acquired factors.4. Another possible manifestation of cholangitis is that of a primary immunological disease. principal sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), secondary cholangitis, and immunological cholangitis are the three principal categories that can be used to classify cholangitis cases [2]. Cholangitis can be classified in a comprehensive classification scheme.

A role for immunological dysregulation in the course of PSC has been hypothesized, despite the fact that PSC is a devastating condition whose etiology is yet unknown. PSC can also be made more difficult by bacterial infections that are caused by a stasis of the bile fluid.7 On the other hand, acute cholangitis (AC), which is also referred to as recurrent pyogenic cholangitis, supportive cholangitis, and ascending cholangitis, is the most prevalent type of secondary cholangitis. AC is characterized by infections that involve the biliary system and lead to inflammation and obstruction of the bile ducts. These infections are the hallmark of AC. IgG4-associated cholangitis (IAC) has also brought to light the covert function of the immune system, which has been an important aspect of this condition. Autoantibodies belonging to the IgA class that exhibit a reactive nature against biliary epithelial cells have recently been discovered in the IAC study. Bile stones and abnormalities in the bile ducts have also been linked to the occurrence of this ailment [3]. Despite this, it is possible that the immune system is not the only factor that contributes to inflammatory bowel disease (IAC).

Antibiotics are one type of medical treatment that may be effective in treating patients who have cholangitis. On the other hand, decompression of the biliary tree is required in the majority of instances. Mortality rates linked with surgical care of cholangitis range from ten percent to forty percent, and these rates have been found to be correlated with the severity of the disease. Regardless of the clinical severity, randomized comparative trials have shown that endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) facilitates biliary decompression with significantly lower rates of morbidity and death than surgical procedures. There is also a different method known as percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD), which has a purpose that is not as clearly established. The timing of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in relation to the beginning of cholangitis and the question of whether or not duct clearance should be tried during the initial ERCP continue to be contentious [4].

Review:

The primary methods of decompression that are utilized in the treatment of cholangitis are endoscopic and percutaneous draining. Although the two techniques are designed to accomplish the same thing, they take very distinct approaches to the technical aspects of the procedure and have very different adverse event profiles. PTBD is linked to bleeding, bacteremia, and the formation of abscesses, whereas pancreatitis is the most common adverse event with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). In the course of conducting a comprehensive review and meta-analysis, we utilized both direct and indirect evidence of the methodologies, and our findings revealed that the technical success was equivalent. It has been established through studies that endoscopic drainage results in a significantly shorter length of stay. A further finding from the indirect comparison was that periprocedural bleeding was the most common adverse event associated with post-traumatic body trauma [5].

Given the shorter duration of stay, fewer adverse events, and more accurate measurement of patient values, the panel decided that ERCP was preferable to PTBD. This aligns with data from the National Institute of Health (NIS) of over a quarter million patients with cholangitis, which demonstrates that between 1998 and 2009, there was an increase in the utilization of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) (54.2%-57%) in comparison to percutaneous

transluminal cholangiopancreatography (PTBD) (5.0% to 4.6%) and surgical procedures (8.2% to 2.8%). The mortality rate was lower among individuals who were handled with ERCP in comparison to those who were receiving PTBD (3.3% versus 8.9%). Comparatively, a shorter percentage of patients who were treated with ERCP had a duration of stay that was more than ten days (26.8 versus 55.5%). A larger number of patients who were managed by PTBD compared to those who were managed by ERCP had malignancy (30.2% versus 11.2%). This is something that should be addressed, despite the fact that the authors attempted to correct for confounding variables such as hospital volume. These results may have been skewed in favor of ERCP due to the presence of more common malignancies and comorbidities. In addition, the fact that the studies that were included were retrospective further reduces the validity of our findings [6].

In addition, a sizeable proportion of patients who were handled by PTBD had some aspect of their anatomy that had been surgically changed, which would have rendered ERCP a technically problematic procedure. In patients who have undergone procedures in the context of a Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy, percutaneous transluminal biopsy (PTBD) may be performed more swiftly and with less sedation than endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) paired with device-assisted enteroscopy through the use of a double balloon. Furthermore, the role of innovative techniques for these challenging settings, such as EUS-guided biliary drainage, has not been thoroughly examined in the context of cholangitis [7]. This is a significant limitation. There is a lack of clarity regarding the data regarding the severity of the disease and the factors that had a significant role in the decision-making process regarding the continuation of PTBD. Due to the fact that PTBD can be performed with minimum sedation or topical analgesic, the panel acknowledged that there is a subset of critically sick patients who would not be perfect candidates for ERCP. As a result, PTBD might be an acceptable treatment method. In patients with cholangitis for whom the conventional endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) technique is either not feasible or has been unsuccessful, new research is required to evaluate the function that EUS-guided biliary drainage plays. It is not possible to provide a comprehensive overview of EUS-guided drainage approaches within the confines of this document [7-9].

In the context of cholangitis, the scheduling of upper respiratory tract (ERCP) procedures is a contentious issue due to the potential for poor resuscitation and increased periprocedural bacterial translocation if the procedure is conducted too early. On the other hand, the accumulation of cholangitis-associated morbidity might occur if source management is delayed. ERCP performed within 48 hours of the patient's admission is related with a considerable reduction in the length of hospitalization and has been shown to reduce the risk of inpatient mortality by a factor of two, according to a systematic evaluation of the timing of ERCP. Due to the fact that this is the cut-point in the majority of the literature on the subject, the 48-hour period was selected. This interval tackles the labor and financial concerns that are associated with weekend procedures [8].

Conclusion:

The endoscopic therapy of cholangitis, which is the initial treatment, is controversial. There is a school of thought that suggests initial management should consist solely of decompression with the use of a biliary stent. However, there is also the possibility of performing therapeutic techniques to remove obstructions, such as sphincterotomy and stone extraction, in addition to decompression. In the context of this clinical topic, the outcomes that are of importance include the effectiveness of decompression, the occurrence of adverse events, the duration of hospitalization, and the requirement for more intervention. Guidelines for clinical practice in the therapy of cholangitis were developed by the application of the GRADE methodology. ERCP 48 hours versus >48 hours after presentation, the role of ERCP versus PTBD for compression, and the question of whether patients with cholangitis should be managed with decompression alone or more extensive endoscopic therapy are some of the topics that are addressed in these guidelines, which are evidence-based approaches that will help with management in patients with cholangitis. With the help of this document, doctors will be able to make use of the most significant literature that is currently accessible in order to give informed care to patients who have cholangitis.

References:

1. Lai EC, Mok FP, Tan ES, et al. Endoscopic biliary drainage for severe acute cholangitis. N Engl J Med 1992;326:1582-6.
2. Wani S, Sultan S, Qumseya B, et al. The ASGE'S vision for developing clinical practice guidelines: the path forward. Gastrointest Endosc 2018;87:932-3.
3. Iqbal U, Khara HS, Hu Y, et al. Emergent versus urgent ERCP in acute cholangitis: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointest En- dosc 2020;91:753-60.
4. Bramer WM, Giustini D, de Jonge GB, et al. De-duplication of database search results for systematic reviews in EndNote. J Med Libr Assoc 2016;104:240-3.
5. Kumar R, Kwek A, Tan M. Outcomes of intensive care unit (ICU) patients with cholangitis requiring percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatogra- phy (ERCP) [abstract]. Gastrointest Endosc 2016;83:AB247-8.
6. Park CS, Jeong HS, Kim KB, et al. Urgent ERCP for acute cholangitis re- duces mortality and hospital stay in elderly and very elderly patients. Hepatobil Pancreat Dis Int 2016;15:619-25.
7. Sugiyama M, Atomi Y. Treatment of acute cholangitis due to choledo- cholithiasis in elderly and younger patients. Arch Surg 1997;132: 1129-33.
8. Yamamiya A, Kitamura K, Ishii Y, et al. Feasibility of initial endoscopic common bile duct stone removal in patients with acute cholangitis. World J Clin Cases 2017;5:280-5.