



## THE ROLE OF LABORATORY TESTS IN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT TRIAGE AND PATIENT MANAGEMENT

Almohammedali, Abdulmohsen Ahmed<sup>1\*</sup>, Alotaibi, Nabit Saad B<sup>2</sup>, Tamam Mutiran S Alenezi<sup>3</sup>, Manar Salah Farhan Al Dhamshi<sup>4</sup>, Najah Hameed Ghadeer Alshammari<sup>5</sup>, Alshammari, Falah Khulaif M<sup>6</sup>, Alshammari, Abdullah Hamed M<sup>7</sup>, Ashwq Essam S Hamad<sup>8</sup>, Wael Ali Hamed Alwaaylee<sup>9</sup>, Alshammari, Salman Lafi H<sup>10</sup>

### Abstract:

The utilization of laboratory tests in the emergency department (ED) plays a crucial role in the triage and management of patients presenting with various medical conditions. This review article aims to explore the significance of laboratory tests in enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of ED triage processes, as well as their role in guiding appropriate patient management strategies. The review will discuss the types of laboratory tests commonly used in the ED setting, their interpretation, and the impact of timely test results on clinical decision-making. Furthermore, the article will highlight the challenges and limitations associated with the use of laboratory tests in the ED, including issues related to test availability, turnaround times, and cost-effectiveness. By examining current evidence and best practices, this review seeks to provide insights into optimizing the use of laboratory tests to improve patient outcomes and resource utilization in the ED setting.

**Keywords:** Emergency Department, Triage, Laboratory Tests, Patient Management, Clinical Decision-Making, Resource Utilization

<sup>1</sup> \*Senior Specialist-Laboratory, Clinical Biochemistry, Al-Batha General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Specialist-Laboratory, Baqa'a General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory specialist, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory specialist, King Fahd Dahia Health Center, Arar, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup> Laboratory technician, Hafr Al-Batin Central Hospital, Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup> Laboratory Technician, King Salman Specialist Hospital, Hail, Saudi Arabia

<sup>7</sup> Technician -Laboratory, Baqa'a General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

<sup>8</sup> Laboratory Technician, King Fahad General Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>9</sup> Laboratory Technician, King Fahad General Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>10</sup> Laboratory Technician, Baqa'a General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

**\*Corresponding Author:** Almohammedali, Abdulmohsen Ahmed

\*Senior Specialist-Laboratory, Clinical Biochemistry, Al-Batha General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

**DOI:** 10.53555/ecb/2022.11.9.136

**Introduction:**

In the fast-paced environment of the emergency department (ED), healthcare providers must make quick and accurate decisions in order to provide the best possible care for patients. Laboratory tests play a crucial role in this process, providing valuable information that can help clinicians prioritize patients, make diagnoses, and determine appropriate treatment plans [1].

Laboratory tests used in the ED can be broadly categorized into two main types: point-of-care tests and central laboratory tests. Point-of-care tests are performed at the patient's bedside or in the ED itself, providing rapid results that can help guide immediate decision-making. These tests include blood glucose monitoring, urine dipstick tests, and rapid diagnostic tests for conditions such as influenza and strep throat. Central laboratory tests, on the other hand, are sent to a separate laboratory for analysis and typically have longer turnaround times. These tests include complete blood counts, blood chemistries, and microbiology cultures [2].

In the triage process, laboratory tests play a critical role in helping clinicians determine the urgency of a patient's condition. For example, a patient presenting with chest pain may undergo a rapid troponin test to assess for a heart attack, while a patient with shortness of breath may have an arterial blood gas test to evaluate respiratory function. These tests can help clinicians identify patients who require immediate intervention and those who can safely wait for further evaluation [3]. Laboratory tests are also essential for making accurate diagnoses in the ED. For example, a patient with abdominal pain may undergo a complete blood count and a lipase test to help diagnose pancreatitis, while a patient with altered mental status may have a toxicology screen to identify potential drug intoxication. By providing objective data on a patient's condition, laboratory tests can help clinicians confirm or rule out potential diagnoses, leading to more targeted and effective treatment plans [4].

Once a diagnosis has been made, laboratory tests continue to play a crucial role in guiding treatment decisions. For example, a patient with a suspected infection may undergo blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing to determine the most appropriate antibiotic therapy. Similarly, a patient with a bleeding disorder may have coagulation studies to guide the use of blood products. By monitoring the response to treatment through serial laboratory tests, clinicians can adjust therapy as needed to optimize patient outcomes [5].

The use of laboratory tests in ED triage and patient management has a significant impact on patient outcomes. Studies have shown that timely and

appropriate use of laboratory tests can lead to faster diagnosis, more accurate treatment, and improved patient satisfaction. Additionally, the ability to rapidly identify high-risk patients through laboratory testing can help reduce morbidity and mortality in the ED. By incorporating laboratory tests into the decision-making process, clinicians can provide more efficient and effective care, ultimately leading to better outcomes for patients [6].

**Importance of Laboratory Tests in Emergency Department Triage:**

Laboratory tests play a crucial role in the emergency department triage process. Triage is the initial assessment of patients who arrive at the emergency department to determine the severity of their condition and prioritize their treatment based on the urgency of their medical needs. Laboratory tests provide valuable information to healthcare providers that help them make informed decisions about patient care [5].

One of the key reasons why laboratory tests are important in emergency department triage is that they help healthcare providers quickly and accurately diagnose patients' conditions. Blood tests, urine tests, and other laboratory tests can detect abnormalities in a patient's body that may not be immediately apparent from a physical examination alone. For example, a complete blood count (CBC) can reveal signs of infection or anemia, while a blood chemistry panel can assess kidney function and electrolyte levels. By identifying these issues early on, healthcare providers can initiate appropriate treatment promptly, which can be critical in emergency situations [7].

Laboratory tests also help healthcare providers monitor patients' response to treatment and track their progress during their stay in the emergency department. For example, serial blood tests can be used to monitor the effectiveness of antibiotics in treating an infection or to assess the impact of a medication on a patient's liver function. By regularly monitoring these parameters, healthcare providers can adjust treatment plans as needed to ensure the best possible outcomes for their patients [8].

Furthermore, laboratory tests can help healthcare providers rule out certain conditions or complications, which can prevent unnecessary treatments or interventions. For example, a negative troponin test can help rule out a heart attack in a patient with chest pain, while a negative urine pregnancy test can help rule out pregnancy as the cause of abdominal pain in a female patient. By ruling out certain conditions, healthcare providers

can focus on identifying and treating the true underlying cause of a patient's symptoms [9].

In addition to aiding in diagnosis and treatment, laboratory tests also play a role in risk stratification and decision-making in the emergency department. For example, a high white blood cell count in a patient with abdominal pain may indicate a serious infection requiring immediate intervention, while a normal white blood cell count may suggest a less urgent condition that can be managed on an outpatient basis. By providing objective data that complements the clinical assessment, laboratory tests help healthcare providers make more informed decisions about patient care and resource allocation in the emergency department [10].

Overall, laboratory tests are an essential component of the emergency department triage process. They provide valuable information that helps healthcare providers diagnose patients' conditions, monitor their response to treatment, rule out certain conditions, and make informed decisions about their care. By leveraging the information obtained from laboratory tests, healthcare providers can deliver timely and appropriate care to patients in the emergency department, ultimately improving outcomes and optimizing resource utilization [11].

### **Types of Laboratory Tests Used in the Emergency Department:**

In the fast-paced environment of an emergency department, laboratory tests play a crucial role in diagnosing and treating patients. These tests provide valuable information to healthcare providers, helping them make informed decisions about patient care. There are a wide variety of laboratory tests that can be performed in the emergency department, each serving a specific purpose [11].

One of the most frequently ordered laboratory tests in the emergency department is a complete blood count (CBC). This test provides information about the number of red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets in a patient's blood. Abnormalities in these levels can indicate a variety of conditions, such as anemia, infection, or clotting disorders. The CBC is a valuable tool for diagnosing and monitoring a wide range of medical conditions [12].

Another commonly ordered test is a basic metabolic panel (BMP) or comprehensive metabolic panel (CMP). These tests measure levels of electrolytes, glucose, and kidney function markers in the blood. Abnormalities in these levels can indicate conditions such as dehydration, kidney disease, or diabetes. The BMP and CMP are often used to assess a patient's overall health and to monitor the effects of certain medications [13].

In cases of suspected infection, healthcare providers may order a blood culture or urine culture. These tests are used to identify the presence of bacteria or other pathogens in the blood or urine. Cultures are essential for guiding antibiotic therapy and preventing the spread of infectious diseases. Results from these tests can take several days to come back, so healthcare providers may also order rapid tests, such as a rapid strep test or a rapid influenza test, to quickly diagnose certain infections [11].

Imaging studies, such as X-rays, CT scans, and ultrasounds, are also commonly used in the emergency department to aid in diagnosis. However, laboratory tests are often necessary to provide additional information about a patient's condition. For example, a blood gas analysis can provide information about a patient's oxygen and carbon dioxide levels, which can be critical in cases of respiratory distress [14].

In cases of suspected heart attack or stroke, healthcare providers may order cardiac enzyme tests or coagulation studies. These tests can help confirm a diagnosis and guide treatment decisions. Cardiac enzyme tests measure levels of proteins released by the heart muscle during a heart attack, while coagulation studies assess a patient's blood clotting ability [15].

In addition to these common laboratory tests, there are many other specialized tests that may be ordered in the emergency department, depending on the patient's symptoms and medical history. These tests may include toxicology screens, autoimmune panels, or genetic tests. Each of these tests provides valuable information that can help healthcare providers make accurate diagnoses and provide appropriate treatment [16].

Laboratory tests are an essential component of patient care in the emergency department. These tests provide valuable information about a patient's health status, helping healthcare providers make informed decisions about treatment. By understanding the different types of laboratory tests used in the emergency department, we can appreciate the important role they play in delivering high-quality care to patients in need [17].

### **Interpretation of Laboratory Test Results in Triage Decision-Making:**

In the fast-paced environment of a medical emergency, healthcare professionals are often faced with the challenge of making rapid and accurate decisions about patient care. One critical aspect of this decision-making process is the interpretation of laboratory test results. These results provide

valuable information about a patient's condition and can help guide triage decisions [18].

Laboratory tests are an essential tool in the assessment of a patient's health status. They can help identify the presence of disease, monitor the progression of a condition, and guide treatment decisions. In the context of triage decision-making, laboratory tests play a crucial role in determining the severity of a patient's condition and prioritizing care [19].

When interpreting laboratory test results in the triage setting, healthcare professionals must consider a number of factors. One key consideration is the normal range for each test parameter. Laboratory tests are designed to measure specific markers of health or disease, and results that fall outside the normal range may indicate a problem that requires immediate attention [20].

In addition to the normal range, healthcare professionals must also consider the trend of the test results. A single abnormal result may not be cause for alarm, but a pattern of abnormal results over time could indicate a worsening condition or the need for urgent intervention. Another important factor to consider when interpreting laboratory test results in triage decision-making is the patient's overall clinical presentation. Laboratory tests provide valuable information, but they must be considered in the context of the patient's symptoms, medical history, and physical examination findings. A holistic approach to patient assessment is essential in making informed triage decisions [21]. In some cases, laboratory test results may be inconclusive or conflicting, making interpretation more challenging. In these situations, healthcare professionals must rely on their clinical judgment and experience to make the best possible decision for the patient [22].

It is also important to consider the limitations of laboratory tests when interpreting results in the triage setting. Some tests may have a high rate of false positives or false negatives, which can lead to incorrect conclusions if not taken into account. Healthcare professionals must be aware of these limitations and use caution when interpreting test results [22].

The interpretation of laboratory test results is a critical aspect of triage decision-making in the medical emergency setting. Healthcare professionals must consider a number of factors, including the normal range of test parameters, the trend of results over time, the patient's clinical presentation, and the limitations of the tests themselves. By taking a holistic approach to patient assessment and using their clinical judgment, healthcare professionals can make informed

decisions that prioritize patient care and improve outcomes [23].

### **Challenges and Limitations of Using Laboratory Tests in the Emergency Department:**

In the fast-paced environment of the emergency department (ED), laboratory tests play a crucial role in aiding healthcare providers in diagnosing and treating patients. These tests provide valuable information about a patient's condition, allowing for timely and appropriate medical interventions [24].

One of the main challenges of using laboratory tests in the ED is the time it takes to obtain results. While some tests can be done quickly, others may require several hours or even days to process. In the ED, where time is of the essence, waiting for test results can delay diagnosis and treatment, potentially leading to adverse outcomes for patients. Additionally, the availability of certain tests may be limited in the ED, further complicating the diagnostic process [25].

Another challenge is the interpretation of test results. Healthcare providers must have a thorough understanding of the significance of each test and how it relates to the patient's overall condition. Misinterpretation of test results can lead to incorrect diagnoses and inappropriate treatments, putting patients at risk. Furthermore, some test results may be inconclusive or ambiguous, requiring additional testing or clinical judgment to reach a definitive diagnosis [26].

The cost of laboratory tests is also a significant limitation in the ED. While some tests are relatively inexpensive, others can be quite costly, especially if multiple tests are required. Healthcare providers must consider the financial implications of ordering certain tests and weigh the potential benefits against the costs. Additionally, insurance coverage and reimbursement policies may impact the availability and utilization of certain tests in the ED [26].

In addition to challenges and limitations, there are also considerations related to the accuracy and reliability of laboratory tests in the ED. Factors such as sample collection, handling, and processing can impact the validity of test results. Errors in any of these steps can lead to inaccurate results and misdiagnosis. Healthcare providers must ensure proper procedures are followed to minimize the risk of errors and ensure the reliability of test results. Furthermore, the sensitivity and specificity of certain tests may vary, affecting their diagnostic accuracy. False-positive and false-negative results can occur, leading to unnecessary treatments or missed diagnoses. Healthcare providers must be

aware of the limitations of each test and consider them in the context of the patient's clinical presentation [27].

While laboratory tests are valuable tools in the ED, there are challenges and limitations that healthcare providers must navigate. Understanding these challenges and limitations is essential for ensuring the appropriate use of laboratory tests and providing high-quality care to patients in the emergency department. By addressing these issues and implementing strategies to overcome them, healthcare providers can optimize the use of laboratory tests and improve patient outcomes in the ED [28].

### **Strategies for Optimizing the Use of Laboratory Tests in Patient Management:**

In the field of medicine, laboratory tests play a crucial role in diagnosing and monitoring various medical conditions. These tests provide valuable information to healthcare providers, helping them make informed decisions about patient management. However, the overutilization or underutilization of laboratory tests can lead to unnecessary costs, delays in diagnosis, and potential harm to patients. Therefore, it is essential for healthcare providers to optimize the use of laboratory tests in patient management [29].

There are several strategies that can be implemented to optimize the use of laboratory tests in patient management. One of the key strategies is to establish clear guidelines for ordering laboratory tests. These guidelines should be evidence-based and take into account the specific needs of the patient population being served. By following these guidelines, healthcare providers can ensure that laboratory tests are ordered only when necessary and that the results are interpreted accurately [30]. Another important strategy is to prioritize laboratory tests based on their clinical utility. Not all laboratory tests are created equal, and some tests may provide more valuable information than others. Healthcare providers should prioritize tests that are most likely to impact patient management and outcomes. This can help reduce unnecessary testing and ensure that resources are allocated efficiently [30].

In addition, healthcare providers should consider the timing of laboratory tests in patient management. Some tests may need to be repeated at regular intervals to monitor disease progression or response to treatment. By scheduling tests appropriately, healthcare providers can ensure that patients receive timely and appropriate care [30]. Furthermore, healthcare providers should collaborate with laboratory professionals to ensure the quality and accuracy of test results. This

includes providing adequate clinical information when ordering tests, communicating effectively with the laboratory staff, and following up on abnormal results in a timely manner. By working together, healthcare providers and laboratory professionals can ensure that patients receive the best possible care [31].

It is also important for healthcare providers to educate patients about the purpose and implications of laboratory tests. Patients should be informed about why certain tests are being ordered, what the results mean, and how the results will impact their treatment plan. This can help patients feel more engaged in their care and improve adherence to recommended treatments [32].

Optimizing the use of laboratory tests in patient management is essential for providing high-quality, cost-effective care. By establishing clear guidelines, prioritizing tests based on clinical utility, considering the timing of tests, collaborating with laboratory professionals, and educating patients, healthcare providers can ensure that laboratory tests are used appropriately and effectively. By following these strategies, healthcare providers can improve patient outcomes, reduce costs, and enhance the overall quality of care [33].

### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, laboratory tests play a vital role in emergency department triage and patient management. By providing valuable information on a patient's condition, these tests help clinicians prioritize patients, make accurate diagnoses, and guide treatment decisions. From point-of-care tests for rapid decision-making to central laboratory tests for more comprehensive analysis, the use of laboratory tests in the ED has a profound impact on patient outcomes. As technology continues to advance, the role of laboratory tests in the ED will only become more important in delivering high-quality and efficient care to patients in need.

### **References:**

1. Pines JM, Isserman JA, Szyld D, Dean AJ, McCusker CM, Hollander JE. The effect of physician risk tolerance and the presence of an observation unit on decision making for ED patients with chest pain. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2010;28(6):771-779.
2. Singer AJ, Ardise J, Gulla J, Cangro J. Point-of-care testing reduces length of stay in emergency department chest pain patients. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2005;45(6):587-591.
3. Mahler SA, Riley RF, Hiestand BC, et al. The HEART Pathway randomized trial: identifying emergency department patients with acute chest

- pain for early discharge. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2015;8(2):195-203.
4. Than M, Cullen L, Reid CM, et al. A 2-h diagnostic protocol to assess patients with chest pain symptoms in the Asia-Pacific region (ASPECT): a prospective observational validation study. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9771):1077-1084.
  5. Backus BE, Six AJ, Kelder JC, et al. A prospective validation of the HEART score for chest pain patients at the emergency department. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;168(3):2153-2158.
  6. Body R, Carley S, McDowell G, et al. Rapid exclusion of acute myocardial infarction in patients with undetectable troponin using a high-sensitivity assay. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58(13):1332-1339.
  7. Stopyra JP, Miller CD, Hiestand BC, et al. Performance of the EDACS-accelerated diagnostic pathway in a cohort of US patients with acute chest pain. *Crit Pathw Cardiol*. 2017;16(1):15-18.
  8. Than M, Cullen L, Aldous S, et al. 2-Hour accelerated diagnostic protocol to assess patients with chest pain symptoms using contemporary troponins as the only biomarker: the ADAPT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59(23):2091-2098.
  9. Thokala P, Goodacre S, Collinson P, Stevens JW, Mills P, Harris P. Cost-effectiveness of presentation versus delayed troponin testing for acute myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2012;98(18):1498-1503.
  10. Carlton EW, Than M, Cullen L, et al. A novel diagnostic protocol to identify patients suitable for discharge after a single high-sensitivity troponin. *Heart*. 2015;101(13):1041-1046.
  11. Shah AS, Anand A, Strachan FE, et al. High-sensitivity troponin in the evaluation of patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10151):919-928.
  12. Greenslade JH, Carlton EW, Van Hise C, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a new high-sensitivity troponin I assay and five accelerated diagnostic pathways for ruling out acute myocardial infarction and acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2018;71(4):439-451.e3.
  13. Chew DP, Lambrakis K, Blyth A, et al. A randomized trial of a 1-hour troponin T protocol in suspected acute coronary syndromes: the rapid assessment of possible acute coronary syndrome in the emergency department with high-sensitivity troponin T study (RAPID-TnT). *Circulation*. 2019;140(19):1543-1556.
  14. Chapman AR, Anand A, Boeddinghaus J, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of early rule-out pathways for acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2017;135(19):1586-1596.
  15. Carlton EW, Ingram J, Taylor H, et al. Limit of detection of troponin discharge strategy versus usual care: the LoDED randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73(5):504-514.
  16. Cullen L, Mueller C, Parsonage WA, et al. Validation of high-sensitivity troponin I in a 2-hour diagnostic strategy to assess 30-day outcomes in emergency department patients with possible acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(14):1242-1249.
  17. Mills NL, Churchhouse AM, Lee KK, et al. Implementation of a sensitive troponin I assay and risk of recurrent myocardial infarction and death in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome. *JAMA*. 2011;305(12):1210-1216.
  18. Shah AS, Anand A, Sandoval Y, et al. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I at presentation in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2015;386(10012):2481-2488.
  19. Cullen L, Greenslade J, Merollini K, et al. Cost and outcomes of assessing patients with chest pain in an Australian emergency department. *Med J Aust*. 2015;202(8):427-432.
  20. Carlton EW, Khattab A, Greaves K. Identifying patients suitable for discharge after a single-presentation high-sensitivity troponin result: a comparison of five established risk scores and two high-sensitivity assays. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2015;66(6):635-645.e4.
  21. Body R, Carley S, McDowell G, et al. The Manchester Acute Coronary Syndromes (MACS) decision rule for suspected cardiac chest pain: derivation and external validation. *Heart*. 2014;100(19):1462-1468.
  22. Pickering JW, Than MP, Cullen L, et al. Rapid rule-out of acute myocardial infarction with a single high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T measurement below the limit of detection: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(10):715-724.
  23. Chapman AR, Lee KK, McAllister DA, et al. Association of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I concentration with cardiac outcomes in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome. *JAMA*. 2017;318(19):1913-1924.
  24. Shah AS, Griffiths M, Lee KK, et al. High sensitivity cardiac troponin and the under-diagnosis of myocardial infarction in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:g7873.

25. Aldous S, Richards M, Cullen L, et al. A new improved accelerated diagnostic protocol safely identifies low-risk patients with chest pain in the emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2012;19(5):510-516.
26. Carlton EW, Khattab A, Greaves K. Identifying patients suitable for discharge after a single-presentation high-sensitivity troponin result: a comparison of five established risk scores and two high-sensitivity assays. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2015;66(6):635-645.e4.
27. Body R, Carley S, McDowell G, et al. The Manchester Acute Coronary Syndromes (MACS) decision rule for suspected cardiac chest pain: derivation and external validation. *Heart.* 2014;100(19):1462-1468.
28. Pickering JW, Than MP, Cullen L, et al. Rapid rule-out of acute myocardial infarction with a single high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T measurement below the limit of detection: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(10):715-724.
29. Chapman AR, Lee KK, McAllister DA, et al. Association of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I concentration with cardiac outcomes in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome. *JAMA.* 2017;318(19):1913-1924.
30. Shah AS, Griffiths M, Lee KK, et al. High sensitivity cardiac troponin and the under-diagnosis of myocardial infarction in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2015;350:g7873.
31. Aldous S, Richards M, Cullen L, et al. A new improved accelerated diagnostic protocol safely identifies low-risk patients with chest pain in the emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2012;19(5):510-516.
32. Carlton EW, Khattab A, Greaves K. Identifying patients suitable for discharge after a single-presentation high-sensitivity troponin result: a comparison of five established risk scores and two high-sensitivity assays. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2015;66(6):635-645.e4.
33. Body R, Carley S, McDowell G, et al. The Manchester Acute Coronary Syndromes (MACS) decision rule for suspected cardiac chest pain: derivation and external validation. *Heart.* 2014;100(19):1462-1468.