



## Unique Fixed-Point Theorem for H-contraction mapping in Partially ordered metric space

ANGELA PEREIRA<sup>\*†</sup> AND S. N. LEENA NELSON<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Research Scholar (Reg.No.:20213282092008), Department of Mathematics,  
Women's Christian College, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: angel.alencier@gmail.com

<sup>‡</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Women's Christian College,  
Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: leenawcc@gmail.com

<sup>†‡</sup> Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, 627012, Tamil Nadu, India.

---

### ABSTRACT

In the framework of partially ordered metric spaces, a fixed point theorem based on an H-contraction type mapping of rational type is presented in this study.

### KEYWORDS

Unique Fixed points, rational type contraction, Partially Ordered metric space

### SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE

54H25, 47H10, 47H09

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The traditional Banach contraction principle [1] has been essential to obtaining a unique solution to the results in fixed point theory and approximation theory. Undoubtedly, it is a crucial and well-liked technique in many areas of mathematics for resolving current nonlinear analysis problems. Since then, the underlying contraction condition has been improved, leading to a wide range of generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in metric fixed point theory. Then, by weakening its hypotheses on a wide range of spaces, including rectangular metric spaces, pseudo-metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, quasi-metric spaces, quasi-semi-metric spaces, probabilistic metric spaces, D-metric spaces, G-metric spaces, F-metric spaces, and cone metric spaces, vigorous research work was obtained and is soon to be used to support the findings that have already been made [4-16]. It seems sense that there would be interest in establishing practical fixed point findings given the prominence of work on the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point as well as common fixed point theorems using monotone mappings on cone metric spaces, partly ordered metric spaces, and other spaces.

---

\* Corresponding Author

The aim of this paper is to give a version of H-contraction [3] theorem in partially ordered metric spaces for a pair of self-mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type. These results generalize and extend the results of Harjani et.al.[2] in partially ordered metric space.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### Definition 2.1 [3]

A mapping  $Y: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  be a rational type contraction, where  $(\mathbb{E}, \varrho)$  is a complete metric space, is called H-rational type Contraction if there exist positive real numbers  $\wp, \varphi, \tau$  such that  $0 \leq \wp + \varphi + 2\tau < 1$  for all  $\eta, \kappa \in \mathbb{E}$ , the following inequality holds,

$$\varrho(Y\eta, Y\kappa) \leq \wp\varrho(\eta, \kappa) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)[1 + \varrho(\eta, Y\eta)]}{1 + \varrho(\eta, \kappa)} + \tau[\varrho(\eta, Y\eta) + \varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)]$$

### Definition 2.2 [2]

Let  $(\mathbb{E}, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $Y: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ . We say that  $Y$  is a nondecreasing mapping if for  $\eta, \kappa \in \mathbb{E}, \eta \leq \kappa \Rightarrow Y\eta \leq Y\kappa$ .

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

### Theorem 3.1

Let  $(\mathbb{E}, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric  $\varrho$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $(\mathbb{E}, \varrho)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $Y: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  be a continuous and nondecreasing mapping such that

$$\varrho(Y\eta, Y\kappa) \leq \wp\varrho(\eta, \kappa) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)[1 + \varrho(\eta, Y\eta)]}{1 + \varrho(\eta, \kappa)} + \tau[\varrho(\eta, Y\eta) + \varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)] \quad (1)$$

For  $\eta, \kappa \in \mathbb{E}, \eta \geq \kappa$ , with  $\wp + \varphi + 2\tau < 1$ . If there exists  $\eta_0 \leq Y\eta_0$ , then  $Y$  has a fixed point.

#### Proof.

If  $Y\eta_0 = \eta_0$ , then the proof is finished. Suppose that  $\eta_0 < Y\eta_0$ . Since  $Y$  is a nondecreasing mapping, we obtain by induction that

$$\eta_0 < Y\eta_0 \leq Y^2\eta_0 \leq \dots \leq Y^\gamma\eta_0 \leq Y^{\gamma+1}\eta_0 \leq \dots$$

Put  $\eta_{\gamma+1} = Y\eta_\gamma$ . If there exists  $\gamma \geq 1$  such that  $\eta_{\gamma+1} = \eta_\gamma$ , then from  $\eta_{\gamma+1} = Y\eta_\gamma = \eta_\gamma$ ,  $\eta_\gamma$  is a fixed point and the proof is finished. Suppose that  $\eta_{\gamma+1} \neq \eta_\gamma$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

Then, from equation (1) and as the elements  $\eta_\gamma$  and  $\eta_{\gamma-1}$  are comparable, we get, for  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1}) &= \varrho(Y\eta_{\gamma-1}, Y\eta_\gamma) \\ &\leq \wp\varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y\eta_\gamma)[1 + \varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, Y\eta_{\gamma-1})]}{1 + \varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, Y\eta_{\gamma-1}) + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y\eta_\gamma)] \\ &= \wp\varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1})[1 + \varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma)]}{1 + \varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma) + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1})] \\ \varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1}) &\leq \frac{\wp + \tau}{[1 - \varphi - \tau]} \varrho(\eta_{\gamma-1}, \eta_\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Again, using induction,

$$\varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1}) \leq \left[ \frac{\wp + \tau}{1 - \varphi - \tau} \right]^\gamma \varrho(\eta_0, \eta_1)$$

Put  $\varpi = \frac{\wp + \tau}{1 - \varphi - \tau} < 1$

Moreover, by the triangular inequality, we have, for  $\delta \geq \gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\eta_\delta, \eta_\gamma) &\leq \varrho(\eta_\delta, \eta_{\delta-1}) + \varrho(\eta_{\delta-1}, \eta_{\delta-2}) + \dots + \varrho(\eta_{\gamma+1}, \eta_\gamma) \\ &\leq (\varpi^{\delta-1} + \varpi^{\delta-2} + \dots + \varpi^\gamma) \varrho(\eta_0, \eta_1) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\varpi^\gamma}{1 - \varpi} \right) \varrho(\eta_0, \eta_1) \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that  $\varrho(\eta_\delta, \eta_\gamma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta, \gamma \rightarrow \infty$ .

So,  $\{\eta_\gamma\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and, since  $\Xi$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $\sigma \in \Xi$  such that  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\gamma = \sigma$ .

Further the continuity of  $Y$  implies

$$Y\sigma = Y\left(\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\gamma\right) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y\eta_\gamma = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{\gamma+1} = \sigma$$

And this proves that  $\sigma$  is a fixed point.

This finishes the proof.

In what follows, we prove that **theorem 3.1** is still valid for  $Y$ , not necessarily continuous, assuming the following hypothesis in  $\Xi$ :

If  $\{\eta_\gamma\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $\Xi$  such that  $\eta_\gamma \rightarrow \eta$ , then  $\eta = \sup\{\eta_\gamma\}$  (2)

**Theorem 3.2**

Let  $(\Xi, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric  $\varrho$  in  $\Xi$  such that  $(\Xi, \varrho)$  is a complete metric space. Assume that  $\Xi$  satisfies equation (2). Let  $Y: \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$  be a nondecreasing mapping such that

$$\varrho(Y\eta, Y\kappa) \leq \wp \varrho(\eta, \kappa) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)[1 + \varrho(\eta, Y\eta)]}{1 + \varrho(\eta, \kappa)} + \tau[\varrho(\eta, Y\eta) + \varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)]$$

With  $\wp + \varphi + 2\tau < 1$ . If there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Xi$  with  $\eta_0 \leq Y\eta_0$ , then  $Y$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.**

Following the proof of **Theorem 3.1**, we only have to check that  $Y\sigma = \sigma$ .

As  $\{\eta_\gamma\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $\Xi$  and  $\eta_\gamma \rightarrow \sigma$ , then, by (2),  $\sigma = \sup\{\eta_\gamma\}$ . Particularly,  $\eta_\gamma \leq \sigma$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since,  $Y$  is a nondecreasing mapping, then  $Y\eta_\gamma \leq Y\sigma$ , for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  or, equivalently,  $\eta_{\gamma+1} \leq Y\sigma$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, as  $\eta_0 < \eta_1 \leq Y\sigma$  and  $\sigma = \sup\{\eta_\gamma\}$ , we get  $\sigma \leq Y\sigma$ .

Suppose that  $\sigma < Y\sigma$ . Using a similar argument that in proof of Theorem 2 for  $\eta_\gamma \leq Y\eta_\gamma$ , we obtain that  $\{Y^\gamma \eta\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y^\gamma \eta = \kappa$  for certain  $\kappa \in \Xi$ .

Again, using **equation (2)**, we have that  $\kappa = \sup\{Y^\gamma \sigma\}$ .

Moreover, from  $\eta_0 \leq \sigma$ , we get  $\eta_\gamma = Y^\gamma \eta_0 \leq Y^\gamma \sigma$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\eta_\gamma < Y^\gamma \sigma$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$  because  $\eta_\gamma \leq \sigma < Y\sigma < Y^\gamma \sigma$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

As  $\eta_\gamma$  and  $Y^\gamma \sigma$  for comparable and distinct for  $\gamma \geq 1$ , applying the contractive condition we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\eta_{\gamma+1}, Y^{\gamma+1}\sigma) &= \varrho(Y\eta_\gamma, Y(Y^\gamma\sigma)) \\ &\leq \wp\varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y^\gamma\sigma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(Y^\gamma\sigma, Y^{\gamma+1}\sigma)[1 + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y\eta_\gamma)]}{1 + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y^\gamma\sigma)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y\eta_\gamma) + \varrho(Y^\gamma\sigma, Y^{\gamma+1}\sigma)] \\ &= \wp\varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y^\gamma\sigma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(Y^\gamma\sigma, Y^{\gamma+1}\sigma)[1 + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1})]}{1 + \varrho(\eta_\gamma, Y^\gamma\sigma)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(\eta_\gamma, \eta_{\gamma+1}) + \varrho(Y^\gamma\sigma, Y^{\gamma+1}\sigma)] \end{aligned}$$

Making  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality, we obtain

$$\varrho(\sigma, \kappa) \leq \wp\varrho(\sigma, \kappa)$$

As  $\wp < 1$   $\varrho(\sigma, \kappa) = 0$  thus,  $\sigma = \kappa$

Particularly,  $\sigma = \kappa = \sup\{Y^\gamma\sigma\}$  and, consequently,  $Y\sigma \leq \sigma$  and this is a contradiction.

Hence, we conclude that  $\sigma = Y\sigma$ .

Now, we present an example where it can be appreciated that hypotheses in **Theorem 3.1** do not guarantee uniqueness of the fixed point. This example appears in [10].

Let  $\Xi = \{(1,0), (0,1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and consider the usual order

$$(\eta, \kappa) \leq (\sigma, t) \Leftrightarrow \eta \leq \sigma, \kappa \leq t.$$

Thus,  $(\Xi, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set whose different elements are not comparable.

Besides,  $(\Xi, d_2)$  is a complete metric space considering,  $d_2$ , the Euclidean distance. The identity map  $Y(\eta, \kappa) = (\eta, \kappa)$  is trivially continuous and nondecreasing and assumption **equation (1)** of **Theorem 3.1** is satisfied since elements in  $\Xi$  are only comparable to themselves. Moreover,  $(1,0) \leq Y(0,1)$  and  $Y$  has two fixed points in  $\Xi$ .

In what follows, we give a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of the fixed point in

**Theorem 3.1** and **3.2**. This condition appears in [17] and

$$\text{For } \eta, \kappa \in \Xi, \text{ there exists a lower bound or an upper bound.} \quad (3)$$

In [10], it is proved that the above-mentioned condition is equivalent,

$$\text{For } \eta, \kappa \in \Xi, \text{ there exists } \sigma \in \Xi \text{ which is comparable to } \eta \text{ and } \kappa. \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 3.3.**

Adding condition (equation (4)) to the hypothesis of Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2) one obtains uniqueness of the fixed point of  $Y$ .

**Proof.**

Suppose that there exists  $\sigma, \kappa \in \Xi$  which are fixed point.

We distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.** If  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$  are comparable and  $\kappa \neq \sigma$ , then using the contractive condition we have

$$\varrho(\kappa, \sigma) = \varrho(Y\kappa, Y\sigma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \wp \varrho(\kappa, \sigma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\sigma, Y\sigma)[1 + \varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa)]}{1 + \varrho(\kappa, \sigma)} + \tau[\varrho(\kappa, Y\kappa) + \varrho(\sigma, Y\sigma)] \\ &= \wp \varrho(\kappa, \sigma) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\sigma, \sigma)[1 + \varrho(\kappa, \kappa)]}{1 + \varrho(\kappa, \sigma)} + \tau[\varrho(\kappa, \kappa) + \varrho(\sigma, \sigma)] \\ &= \wp \varrho(\kappa, \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\wp < 1$  is the last inequality, it is a contradiction. Thus,  $\kappa = \sigma$

**Case 2.** If  $\kappa$  is not comparable to  $\sigma$ , then by equation (4) there exists  $\eta \in \Xi$  comparable to  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$ .

Monotonicity implies that  $Y^\gamma \eta$  is comparable to  $Y^\gamma \kappa = \kappa$  and  $Y^\gamma \sigma = \sigma$  for  $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

If there exists  $\gamma_0 \geq 1$  such that  $Y^{\gamma_0} \eta = \kappa$  then as  $\kappa$  is a fixed point, the sequence  $\{Y^\gamma \eta: \gamma \geq \gamma_0\}$  is constant, and, consequently,  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y^\gamma \eta = \kappa$ .

On the other hand, if  $Y^\gamma \eta \neq \kappa$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$ , using the contractive condition, we obtain, for  $\gamma \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(Y^\gamma \eta, \kappa) &= \varrho(Y^\gamma \eta, Y^\gamma \kappa) \\ &= \varrho(Y(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta), Y(Y^{\gamma-1} \kappa)) \\ &\leq \wp \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^{\gamma-1} \kappa) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \kappa, Y^\gamma \kappa)[1 + \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^\gamma \eta)]}{1 + \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^{\gamma-1} \kappa)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^\gamma \eta) + \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \kappa, Y^\gamma \kappa)] \\ &\leq \wp \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, \kappa) + \varphi \frac{\varrho(\kappa, \kappa)[1 + \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^\gamma \eta)]}{1 + \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, \kappa)} \\ &\quad + \tau[\varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, Y^\gamma \eta) + \varrho(\kappa, \kappa)] \\ \varrho(Y^\gamma \eta, \kappa) &\leq \frac{\wp + \tau}{1 - \tau} \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, \kappa) \end{aligned}$$

Using induction,

$$\varrho(Y^\gamma \eta, \kappa) \leq \left(\frac{\wp + \tau}{1 - \tau}\right)^\gamma \varrho(Y^{\gamma-1} \eta, \kappa) \quad \text{for } \gamma \geq 2$$

And as  $\frac{\wp + \tau}{1 - \tau} < 1$ , the last inequality gives us  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y^\gamma \eta = \kappa$ .

Hence, we conclude that  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y^\gamma \eta = \kappa$ .

Using a similar argument, we can prove that  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} Y^\gamma \eta = \sigma$

Now, the uniqueness of the limit gives us  $\kappa = \sigma$ .

This finishes the proof.

**Remark 3.4.** It is easily proved that the space  $C[0,1] = \{\eta: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{continuous}\}$  with the partial order given by

$$\eta \leq \kappa \Leftrightarrow \eta(t) \leq \kappa(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1]$$

And the metric given by

$$\varrho(\eta, \kappa) = \sup\{|\eta(t) - \kappa(t)|: t \in [0,1]\}$$

Satisfies condition (equation (2)). Moreover, as for  $\eta, \kappa \in C[0,1]$ , the function  $\max(\eta, \kappa)(t) = \max\{\eta(t), \kappa(t)\}$  is continuous,  $(C[0,1], \leq)$  satisfies also condition (equation (4)).

## REFERENCE

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3(1922), 133–181.
- [2] Harjani, J., López, B. and Sadarangani, K., 2010, January. A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis* (Vol. 2010). Hindawi.
- [3] Angel A Pereira, S. N. Leena Nelson. (2022). Unique Fixed-Point Theorem for H-Contraction Mapping in Complete Metric Space. *Mathematical Statistician and Engineering Applications*, 71(2), 684–692.
- [4] D. S. Jaggi, “Some unique fixed point theorems,” *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 223–230, 1977.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, and D. O’Regan, “Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,” *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 109–116, 2008.
- [6] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, “Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1379–1393, 2006.
- [7] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, “Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 3403–3410, 2009.
- [8] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, “Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 3-4, pp. 1188–1197, 2010.
- [9] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, “Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 4341–4349, 2009.
- [10] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, “Existence of extremal solutions for quadratic fuzzy equations,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2005, no. 3, pp. 321–342, 2005.
- [11] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, “Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations,” *Order*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 2005.
- [12] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, “Applications of contractive-like mapping principles to fuzzy equations,” *Revista Matemática Complutense*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 361–383, 2006.
- [13] J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, and R. Rodríguez-López, “Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, no. 8, pp. 2505–2517, 2007.
- [14] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, “Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in

- partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations,” *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 2205–2212, 2007.
- [15] D. O’Regan and A. Petrus,el, “Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 1241–1252, 2008.
- [16] A. Petrus,el and I. A. Rus, “Fixed point theorems in ordered  $L$ -spaces,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 411–418, 2006.
- [17] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, “A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1435–1443, 2004.
- [18] Y. Wu, “New fixed point theorems and applications of mixed monotone operator,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 883–893, 2008.
- [19] A. Cabada and J. J. Nieto, “Fixed points and approximate solutions for nonlinear operator equations,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 113, no. 1-2, pp. 17–25, 2000.