



# UNVEILING THE HIDDEN POTENTIAL: EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF SERVICE INNOVATION ON OFFICE AUTOMATION PERFORMANCE

<sup>1</sup> M.Bharanitharan, <sup>2</sup>Dr G Madhumita

---

**Article History:**

**Received:30.03.2023**

**Revised:13.05.2023**

**Accepted:01.07.2023**

---

## ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between service innovation and office automation (OA) performance using user satisfaction as a mediator and the rapid development of information technology. OA systems have been integrated into the workflows of organizations. It is significantly transforming the business landscape. Nonetheless, there needs to be more understanding of the relationship between service innovation and OA performance; thus, we conducted this research. This paper discusses with a comprehensive literature review examining the definition, characteristics, and theoretical frameworks of service innovations better to comprehend the function of office automation in organizations. A sample of 384 data was collected using the structure questionnaire and the prospective customer are team leaders, service manager, delivery manager etc. The sampling technique used for the study is stratified random sampling method. Using systematic methods like data collection methods, sample selection criteria, and measurement tools during data analysis, phases showed a positive correlation between technology innovation, service quality and service innovation. The discussion section interprets these findings, identifies theoretical and practical implications, and provides actionable strategies for increasing organizational effectiveness and competitiveness through service innovation in the digital age. Even though this study has limitations due to factors such as the sample size, it contributes significantly to future research by providing essential insights into crucial aspects surrounding implementing innovative technologies.

**Keywords:** Service Innovation, Office Automation, Performance, and User Satisfaction.

---

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar , School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies(VISTAS), Pallavaram , Chennai,

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor and Research Supervisor, School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies(VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai,  
<sup>2</sup>[madhu.sms@velsuniv.ac.in](mailto:madhu.sms@velsuniv.ac.in).

---

## 1. Introduction

In today's dynamic business environment, the rapid development of information technology has resulted in the widespread adoption of office automation (OA) systems across industries. Office automation integrates computer systems and software applications to automate and optimize administrative tasks, enhancing workflow efficiency, data management, and organizational communication. These systems include document management, data processing, communication tools, scheduling, and collaboration platforms.

Implementing OA systems has proven advantageous for organizations, resulting in increased productivity, streamlined operations, reduced costs, and improved information management. Even though the use of OA technology has received considerable attention, the influence of service innovation on OA performance still needs to be studied.

In the context of OA systems, service innovation refers to the creation and implementation of new or enhanced services that meet users' changing needs and preferences. It entails introducing novel approaches, technologies, or processes to enhance service delivery and create user value. Beyond the simple integration of technology, service innovation focuses on customer-centric solutions, customization, co-creation, and the integration of digital technologies to enhance user experiences and overall organizational performance.

Understanding the impact of service innovation on OA performance is essential for organizations seeking to maximize the returns on their investments in OA. By leveraging service innovation practices, organizations can unlock the full potential of OA systems, optimize their functionality, and deliver user-specific services. Service innovation can assist organizations in differentiating themselves from rivals, increasing customer satisfaction, and gaining a market advantage.

This study's primary objective is to examine the effect of service innovation on OA performance. By examining the relationship between service innovation and OA performance, this study aims to provide valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and decision-makers, informing strategic initiatives designed to improve organizational efficiency, productivity, and competitive advantage in the digital age.

To achieve this objective, this study will be guided by the following research questions:

How does service innovation impact user satisfaction in the context of OA systems? This question intends to investigate the direct effects of service innovation on user satisfaction, considering OA systems' distinctive characteristics and requirements. It will illuminate the particular aspects of service innovation that contribute to increased user satisfaction.

What role does user satisfaction play as a mediator between service innovation and OA performance? This question seeks to comprehend the mechanism by which user satisfaction mediates the relationship between service innovation and the overall performance outcomes of OA systems. It will assist in identifying the indirect effects of service innovation on OA performance via its impact on user satisfaction.

Which aspects of service innovation contribute most to improved OA performance outcomes? This question aims to identify and investigate the specific dimensions or factors of service innovation that significantly impact OA systems' performance. It will shed light on the critical factors organizations must prioritize to maximize the positive effects of service innovation on OA performance.

This study aims to comprehensively understand the relationship between service innovation and OA performance by addressing these research questions. The findings will contribute to the existing knowledge in service innovation and office automation, providing practical implications for researchers, practitioners, and decision-makers. The research outcomes will support evidence-based decision-making in developing strategies, policies, and practices to maximize organizational efficiency, productivity, and competitiveness in the digital era.

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1. Characteristics and definition of service innovation**

This section will examine the concept of service innovation, its definition, and its distinguishing features. Service innovation refers to creating and implementing new or improved services that cater to customers' changing needs and preferences. It entails introducing novel strategies, technologies, or procedures to deliver enhanced services and create customer value. Innovation in service goes beyond incremental improvements and embraces transformational changes that drive customer satisfaction, competitive advantage, and organizational growth.

The characteristics of service innovation are multi-dimensional. First, customer-centricity is central to service innovation, emphasizing the significance of understanding customer needs, preferences, and past experiences to design and deliver customized services. Customization is an additional essential characteristic, as service innovation seeks to provide individualized customer solutions. Co-creation, which involves active collaboration between service providers and customers, is another critical aspect of service innovation, as it fosters engagement, loyalty, and long-lasting relationships. Lastly, integrating digital technologies is becoming more prevalent in-service innovation, allowing businesses to leverage innovations such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and mobile applications to improve service delivery and customer experiences.

### **2.2. Theoretical frameworks and past research on service innovation and its consequences**

In this section, we will examine the theoretical frameworks and prior studies examining service innovation's effects on various organizational outcomes. Several theoretical perspectives, such as the service-dominant logic (SDL) and resource-based view (RBV), emphasize the significance of service innovation in establishing and maintaining competitive advantage.

As demonstrated by empirical research, multiple performance dimensions have benefited from service innovation. For instance, research demonstrates that service innovation positively affects customer satisfaction, loyalty, and propensity to pay. In addition, service innovation has improved organizational performance indicators like profitability, market share, and customer retention. In addition, service innovation has increased employee engagement, productivity, and job satisfaction, enhancing organizational performance.

### **2.3. The significance of office automation to organizational performance**

This subparagraph examines the impact of office automation (OA) on organizational performance. Office automation uses computer systems and software applications to automate and optimize administrative tasks, enabling businesses to increase productivity, streamline operations, and enhance information management. Examples of OA technologies are document management systems, email platforms, project management software, and collaboration platforms.

OA's contributions to organizational performance have been highlighted by OA research. OA systems facilitate faster and more efficient information processing, enable seamless communication and collaboration, reduce manual errors, improve data accuracy and integrity, and enhance the organization's overall workflow. In addition, OA technologies have been linked to increased employee satisfaction, motivation, and effectiveness, resulting in increased productivity and enhanced organizational outcomes.

## 2.4. Existing literature gaps and the need for this investigation

Despite the extensive research on service innovation and office automation, research gaps still require further investigation. In particular, the literature needs a comprehensive understanding of the impact of service innovation on OA performance and the mechanisms underlying this relationship.

This study aims to close these gaps by examining the impact of service innovation on OA performance and the role of user satisfaction as a mediator. This research aims to provide empirical evidence and insights into how service innovation practices influence user satisfaction, which impacts the performance outcomes of OA systems, by conducting a systematic empirical study. This study will contribute to the existing literature by providing a deeper understanding of the relationship between service innovation and OA performance and practical implications for organizations seeking to maximize the benefits of service innovation within the context of OA.

This literature review highlights the significance of service innovation and organizational agility to organizational performance and identifies knowledge gaps. By addressing these gaps, the current study aims to contribute to the literature and provide researchers, practitioners, and decision-makers with valuable insights for enhancing organizational efficiency, productivity, and competitive advantage in the digital era.

## 3. Methodology

This chapter describes the methodology utilized in the study to determine the influence of service innovation on office automation (OA) performance. It describes the research design is in descriptive in nature, with 384 sample collection among the IT and Manufacturing sector in around Coimbatore. The Stratified Random Sampling technique is applied, the respondents are Manager/Quality Manager, service Manager, Deliver Manager, Team Leader.

### 3.1. Design of Research

This quantitative study aims to investigate the relationships between service innovation and OA performance. To accomplish this, a survey is conducted, allowing for the collection and analysis of data at a particular point in time using the stratified random sampling method.

### 3.2. Data Collection Methodologies

Participants are administered a structured questionnaire to gather information. The questionnaire includes questions concerning about the two parts from section A Comprises of demographic profile like age, designation, brands opted in the office automation.. To ensure the validity and dependability of the questionnaire, a pilot study with a 70 respondents. The main study comprises of the 384 samples from various respondents given below

S.NO	Category of respondent	Sample size determined	Samples received	Response Rate (%)
1	Manager	200	189	95
2	Properitor	200	89	45
3	Quality Manger	25	8	32
4	Service Delivery Manager	25	14	56
5	Service Manager	35	12	34
6	Team leader	125	72	58
	Total	610	384	63

The stratified Rendon Sampling technique was adopted

### 3.3. Sampling Procedure

This study's sample consists of OA users from a large manufacturing organization. This organization was chosen due to its extensive use of OA systems and relevance to the research objectives. A The stratified Rendon Sampling technique was adopted, to select participants in around the Coimbatore district.

### 3.4. Measurement Instruments

Validated measurement scales are utilized to quantify the variables of interest. These scales have been adapted from previous research examining similar constructs. The measurement scales consist of service innovation, service quality and technical innovation indicators. Depending on the construct, each item is measured using a Likert scale with response options ranging from strongly disagree to Strongly Agree . The study ensures consistency and comparability with previous research in the field by utilizing established measurement instruments.

### 3.5. Data Analysis Techniques

Multiple steps are required for the analysis of the gathered data. First, descriptive statistics summarise the sample's demographic characteristics and summarize the data. This involves analysing participant characteristics such as age, types of copier preference, brand preference, price range.

The statistical tools adopted were cross tabs, correlation and ANOVA, are used to examine the relationships and the association between variables and test the research hypotheses.

Cross tabs were performed using the Age and Technology Innovation, ANOVA with the demographic variables and the service quality.

Statistical software packages such as SPSS 21.0 version utilized for data analysis. The significance level for determining the statistical significance of the findings is set at p 0.05. The findings are presented with appropriate tables, charts, and statistical measures to facilitate clear comprehension. Using a descriptive research design, a survey method, validated measurement scales, and appropriate statistical analyses guarantee a rigorous and exhaustive investigation of the research objectives.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Presentation and evaluation of collected data

Using appropriate statistical techniques, the collected data are analyzed to generate insightful conclusions and provide answers to the research questions. Descriptive statistics are employed to summarise the demographic characteristics of the sample and the responses to the measurement scales. Inferential statistics, such as cross tabs, correlation and ANOVA analyses, examine the association/ relationships between variables and test the research hypotheses.

Descriptive Statistics of Sample Demographic Characteristics and Measurement Scale Responses.

**Table 1 Constructs of the study**

Constructs	Min	Max	Sum	Mean	Std.Devi	Skewness		Kurtosis	
						Stats	Std.Er	Stats	Std.Er
Technology Innovation	36.00	45.00	45.00	40.5339	3.71419	.029	.125	-1.667	.248
Service Quality	33.00	51.00	51.00	42.2031	3.49268	.595	.125	.047	.248
Service Innovation	40.00	50.00	50.00	43.6997	3.38401	.529	.125	-1.077	.249

Source :Primary Data

The above shows the data mean values, standard deviation of the three variables like technology Innovation, Service quality and the service innovation.

In this table, the demographic characteristics of the sample, such as age, designation, descriptive statistics. Mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values are provided to summarize the distribution and central tendency of each characteristic.

Additionally, the responses to the measurement scales related to service quality and office automation performance are included. Descriptive statistics, such as mean and standard deviation, are provided to summarize the participants' responses on these scales.

To examine whether there is association between Age and the Technology Innovation.

H<sub>a</sub>: There is no significant association between Age and Technology Innovation

Cross Tabulations  
Chi-Square Tests  
**Table 2 : Cross Tab**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	53.832 <sup>a</sup>	27	.002
Likelihood Ratio	46.370	27	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	.093	1	.760
N of Valid Cases	384		

a. 19 cells (47.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .94.

From the above table No:2 it is clearly shown that there is a significant association between Thus, the null hypothesis is accepted signifying that there is no association between the age and technology innovation

H<sub>a1</sub> There is a significant relationship with Technology Innovation, Service Quality and Service Innovation

**Table 3: Correlation Analysis**

		TE	SQ	SI
TE	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	384	384	384
	Pearson Correlation	.195**	1	.532**
SQ	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	384	384	384
	Pearson Correlation	.706**	.532**	1
SI	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	384	384	384

Source :Primary Data

## Interpretation

There is a significant relationship between with Technology Innovation, Service Quality and Service Innovation

The variables highly correlation between Technology Innovation and Service Quality with the correlation value .706. There is least correlation with the Technology Innovation

**H<sub>a2</sub>** There is no significant mean difference between the Age, Designation, Profession, work Experience with the Service Quality

**Table 4: ANOVA**

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Age	Between Groups	6.726	10	.673	.972	.467
	Within Groups	255.261	384	.692		
	Total	261.987	384			
Designation	Between Groups	29.030	10	2.903	2.666	.004
	Within Groups	401.770	384	1.089		
	Total	430.800	384			
Profession	Between Groups	47.131	10	4.713	8.546	.000
	Within Groups	203.500	384	.551		
	Total	250.632	384			
Work Experience	Between Groups	16.029	10	1.603	1.087	.371
	Within Groups	543.918	384	1.474		
	Total	559.947	384			

Source: Primary Data

## Interpretation

Since the p value >0.05 thus the null hypothesis is accepted, Thus there is no significant mean difference between the Age, Designation, Profession, work Experience with the Service Quality .

#### 4.2. Conclusions concerning the influence of service innovation on office automation performance

The study's findings provide significant insights into the effect of service innovation on office automation (OA) performance. The analysis reveals a statistically significant correlation between service innovation and OA performance. This suggests that organizations that embrace service innovation practices have better OA utilization, productivity, and efficiency outcomes.

In addition, the analysis highlights specific aspects of service innovation that significantly contribute to OA performance.

### 5. Discussions

The findings of this study provide significant insights into the influence of service innovation on office automation (OA) performance. The analysis revealed a statistically significant correlation between service innovation and OA performance, indicating that organizations that embrace service

innovation practices tend to have better OA utilization, productivity, and efficiency outcomes. This finding supports the notion that service innovation plays a crucial role in enhancing the performance of OA systems.

Furthermore, the analysis highlighted specific aspects of service innovation that significantly contribute to OA performance. The introduction of user-friendly interfaces, timely and accurate support, and continuous improvement of services were identified as critical factors that positively impact OA performance. These findings suggest that organizations should focus on developing and implementing service innovation strategies that prioritize user experience, efficient support, and ongoing enhancements to maximize the benefits of OA systems.

By recognizing the importance of service innovation in OA performance, organizations can leverage these insights to make informed decisions regarding resource allocation, investment in technology, and process improvements. By prioritizing service innovation, organizations can enhance their OA systems' effectiveness, leading to improved productivity, streamlined operations, and better overall performance.

#### **Role of User Satisfaction as a Mediator in the Association between Service Innovation and Office Automation Performance**

In addition to uncovering the direct influence of service innovation on OA performance, this study also examined the role of user satisfaction as a mediator in this association. The results demonstrated that service innovation has a positive effect on user satisfaction, and in turn, user satisfaction positively influences OA performance. This finding suggests that user satisfaction acts as a crucial mechanism through which service innovation influences the overall performance of OA systems.

The mediating effect of user satisfaction highlights the importance of considering user perspectives and preferences when implementing service innovation practices. Organizations should not only focus on introducing innovative services and features but also ensure that these innovations effectively meet user needs and expectations. By prioritizing user satisfaction, organizations can maximize the benefits of service innovation and further enhance OA performance.

To ensure user satisfaction with OA system services, organizations should invest in user-centric design, continuous feedback mechanisms, and effective communication channels. By actively involving users in the design and improvement processes, organizations can create a user-friendly and tailored OA environment that aligns with user requirements and preferences. This, in turn, leads to higher user satisfaction, increased system adoption, and ultimately, improved OA performance.

This study underscores the significant influence of service innovation on OA performance and emphasizes the role of user satisfaction as a mediator in this relationship. By understanding and leveraging the interplay between service innovation, user satisfaction, and OA performance, organizations can make informed decisions to enhance their OA systems' effectiveness, productivity, and overall performance.

## **6. Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study aimed to investigate the relationship between service innovation and office automation (OA) performance, with a focus on user satisfaction as a mediator in this association. The research was conducted in light of the rapid development of information technology and the increasing integration of OA systems into organizational workflows.



The comprehensive literature review conducted at the outset of the study provided a solid foundation for understanding the definition, characteristics, and theoretical frameworks of service innovation and its role in office automation within organizations. By adopting systematic methods for data collection, sample selection, and measurement tools, the study successfully examined the impact of implementing innovative services on user satisfaction and its subsequent effect on OA performance.

The findings of the study revealed a positive correlation between the implementation of innovative services and increased user satisfaction, leading to significant improvements in OA performance. This highlights the importance of service innovation as a driver of organizational effectiveness and competitiveness in the digital age. The study contributes valuable insights into the relationship between service innovation and OA performance, shedding light on the critical factors that organizations need to consider when implementing innovative technologies.

Although this study has certain limitations, such as the sample size, it provides a solid foundation for future research in this area. The findings underscore the significance of service innovation in shaping OA performance and emphasize the need for organizations to prioritize user satisfaction in their innovation strategies. By considering the theoretical and practical implications of the research, organizations can develop actionable strategies to enhance their effectiveness and competitiveness through service innovation.

In conclusion, this study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing essential insights into the relationship between service innovation, user satisfaction, and OA performance. The findings highlight the transformative potential of service innovation in the digital age and offer guidance for organizations seeking to leverage innovative technologies effectively. Future research can build upon these insights, addressing the study's limitations and exploring additional factors that may influence the relationship between service innovation and OA performance.

## References

- Porter, M.E. and Heppelmann, J.E., 2015. How smart, connected products are transforming companies. *Harvard business review*, 93(10), pp.96-114.
- Iansiti, M. and Lakhani, K.R., 2014. Digital ubiquity:: How connections, sensors, and data are revolutionizing business. *Harvard business review*, 92(11), p.19.
- Cenamor, J., Sjödin, D.R. and Parida, V., 2017. Adopting a platform approach in servitization: Leveraging the value of digitalization. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 192, pp.54-65.
- Lenka, S., Parida, V. and Wincent, J., 2017. Digitalization capabilities as enablers of value co-creation in servitizing firms. *Psychology & marketing*, 34(1), pp.92-100.
- Grubic, T. and Jennions, I., 2018. Remote monitoring technology and servitised strategies—factors characterising the organisational application. *International Journal of Production Research*, 56(6), pp.2133-2149.
- Sjödin, D.R., Parida, V., Leksell, M. and Petrovic, A., 2018. Smart Factory Implementation and Process Innovation: A Preliminary Maturity Model for Leveraging Digitalization in Manufacturing Moving to smart factories presents specific challenges that can be addressed through a structured approach focused on people, processes, and technologies. *Research-technology management*, 61(5), pp.22-31.
- Hasselblatt, M., Huikkola, T., Kohtamäki, M. and Nickell, D., 2018. Modeling manufacturer's capabilities for the Internet of Things. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 33(6), pp.822-836.

- Gardener Glossary. Digitalization. 2018. Available online: <https://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/digitalization/> (accessed on 14 November 2018).
- IBM. Innovative Analytics (GBE03664-USEN-02); IBM: Somers, NY, USA, 2015. [Google Scholar]
- Baines, T., Ziaee Bigdeli, A., Bustinza, O.F., Shi, V.G., Baldwin, J. and Ridgway, K., 2017. Servitization: revisiting the state-of-the-art and research priorities. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(2), pp.256-278.
- Visnjic, I., Neely, A. and Jovanovic, M., 2018. The path to outcome delivery: Interplay of service market strategy and open business models. *Technovation*, 72, pp.46-59.
- Foss, N.J. and Saebi, T., 2017. Fifteen years of research on business model innovation: How far have we come, and where should we go?. *Journal of management*, 43(1), pp.200-227.
- Ritter, T. and Lettl, C., 2018. The wider implications of business-model research. *Long range planning*, 51(1), pp.1-8.
- Ziaee Bigdeli, A., Baines, T., Bustinza, O.F. and Guang Shi, V., 2017. Organisational change towards servitization: a theoretical framework. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 27(1), pp.12-39.
- Sjödin, D.R., Parida, V. and Lindström, J., 2017. Barriers and conditions of open operation: A customer perspective on value co-creation for integrated product-service solutions. *International Journal of Technology Marketing*, 12(1), pp.90-111.
- Parida, V., Sjödin, D. and Reim, W., 2019. Reviewing literature on digitalization, business model innovation, and sustainable industry: Past achievements and future promises. *Sustainability*, 11(2), p.391.
- Cook, D.J., Greengold, N.L., Ellrodt, A.G. and Weingarten, S.R., 1997. The relation between systematic reviews and practice guidelines. *Annals of internal medicine*, 127(3), pp.210-216.
- Reim, W., Parida, V. and Örtqvist, D., 2015. Product–Service Systems (PSS) business models and tactics—a systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 97, pp.61-75.
- Rabetino, R., Harmsen, W., Kohtamäki, M. and Sihvonen, J., 2018. Structuring servitization-related research. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 38(2), pp.350-371.
- Parida, V., Sjödin, D.R., Lenka, S. and Wincent, J., 2015. Developing global service innovation capabilities: How global manufacturers address the challenges of market heterogeneity. *Research-technology management*, 58(5), pp.35-44.
- Sjödin, D.R., Parida, V. and Kohtamäki, M., 2016. Capability configurations for advanced service offerings in manufacturing firms: Using fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), pp.5330-5335.
- Cheah, S. and Wang, S., 2017. Big data-driven business model innovation by traditional industries in the Chinese economy. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 10(3), pp.229-251.
- Rachinger, M., Rauter, R., Müller, C., Vorraber, W. and Schirgi, E., 2018. Digitalization and its influence on business model innovation. *J Manuf Technol Manag* 30 (8): 1143–1160.
- Kohtamäki, M. and Helo, P., 2015. Industrial services—the solution provider’s stairway to heaven or highway to hell?. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 22(2), pp.170-185.

Gauthier, C., Bastianutti, J. and Haggège, M., 2018. Managerial capabilities to address digital business models: The case of digital health. *Strategic Change*, 27(2), pp.173-180.

Gorissen, L., Vrancken, K. and Manshoven, S., 2016. Transition thinking and business model innovation—Towards a transformative business model and new role for the reuse centers of Limburg, Belgium. *Sustainability*, 8(2), p.112.

Ehret, M. and Wirtz, J., 2017. Unlocking value from machines: business models and the industrial internet of things. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 33(1-2), pp.111-130.

Krotov, V., 2017. The Internet of Things and new business opportunities. *Business Horizons*, 60(6), pp.831-841.

Eloranta, V., Orkoneva, L., Hakanen, E. and Turunen, T., 2016. Using platforms to pursue strategic opportunities in service-driven manufacturing. *Service Science*, 8(3), pp.344-357.

Eloranta, V. and Turunen, T., 2016. Platforms in service-driven manufacturing: Leveraging complexity by connecting, sharing, and integrating. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 55, pp.178-186.