



ONTO MINUS EDGE DOMINATION NUMBERS IN GRAPHS

D. Jency Slezer¹ and Dr. Y. S. Irine Sheela²

¹ Reg No: 20213162092005, Research Scholar

² Associate Professor

^{1, 2} Department of Mathematics,

Scott Christian College (Autonomous), Nagercoil-629 003,

Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu, India.

(Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekappatti,
Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamilnadu, India).

Email: ¹ jencyslezer@gamil.com, ² irinesheela@gmail.com

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The onto minus edge dominating function is a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ such that f is onto and $f(N[e]) \geq 1$ for all $e \in E(G)$. The onto minus edge domination number of a graph G is a minimum weight of a set of onto minus edge dominating functions on G and it is denoted by $\gamma'OM(G)$.

In this paper we discuss about the onto minus edge domination number of Paths, Cycles and Bipartite graph.

Keywords and Phrases: Dominating function, onto minus edge dominating function, Paths, Cycles, Bipartite graph.

DOI:[10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si12.023](https://doi.org/10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si12.023)

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Mitchell and Hedetniemi were introduced the concept of edge domination. The minus dominating function was introduced by Dunbar et al [2]. Further the concept was extended to define other edge parameter like minus edge domination number which was introduced by B. Xu and S. Zhou. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The closed neighborhood $N_G[e]$ of an edge e in a graph G is the set consisting of e and all the edges having a common vertex with e . A function $f: E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is called an edge dominating function of G if $f(N[e]) \geq 1$ for every $e \in E(G)$. A function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is called a minus edge dominating function of G if $f(N[e]) \geq 1$ for every $e \in E(G)$. The minus edge domination number for a graph G is $\gamma'M(G) = \min \{w(f): f \text{ is minus edge dominating function of } G\}$

[4]. We denote $f(N[e])$ by $f[e]$. A function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be onto if every element in B has a pre-image in A . The onto minus edge dominating function is a function $O: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ such that O is onto and $O(N[e]) \geq 1$ for every $e \in E(G)$. The onto minus edge domination number of a graph G denoted by $\gamma'OM(G)$ is the minimum weight of a set of all onto minus edge dominating functions on G . That is $\gamma'OM(G) = \min \{w(f): f \text{ is onto minus edge dominating function of } G\}$. Minus edge dominating function exists for all graphs, but the onto minus edge dominating function do not exists for all graphs. For example the graph P_4 has no onto minus edge dominating function for such graphs we define the onto minus edge domination number is equal to ∞ .

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1

For $n > 6$,

$$\gamma'OM(P_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 1 & \text{if } n = 3k, k > 2 \\ \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Proof : We prove the result by considering three cases. Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-1}$ be the edges of P_n .

Case (i) : $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k$, where $k > 2$ is a positive integer.

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) = & \{0 \text{ if } i = 1, n - 2 && 1 \text{ if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} - 1 \text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 1, n - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(N[e_2]) = f(N[e_{n-3}]) = f(N[e_{n-2}]) = 2$ and $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for other edges. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of P_n if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k - 2)(-1) + (2k - 1)(1) + 2(0) \\ &= k + 1 \\ &= \lceil 3k/3 \rceil + 1 \\ &= \lceil n/3 \rceil + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii) : $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k + 1$, where $k > 1$ is a positive integer.

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \\ \equiv 0 \pmod{3} & -1 \text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $f(N[e_2]) = f(N[e_{n-1}]) = 2$ and $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for other edges. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of P_n if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k-1)(-1) + (2k)(1) + 1(0) \\ &= k+1 \\ &= [(3k+1)/3] \\ &= [n/3] \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii) : $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k + 2$, where $k > 1$ is a positive integer.

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1, n-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \\ \equiv 0 \pmod{3} & -1 \text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 1, n-1 \end{cases}$$

Then $f(N[e_2]) = f(N[e_{n-2}]) = 2$ and $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for other edges. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of P_n if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k-1)(-1) + (2k)(1) + 2(0) \\ &= k+1 \\ &= [(3k+2)/3] \\ &= [n/3] \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\gamma'OM(P_n) = \begin{cases} [\frac{n}{3}] + 1 & \text{if } n = 3k, k > 2 \\ [\frac{n}{3}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } n > 6.$$

Theorem 2.2

For $n > 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'OM(C_n) &= \begin{cases} [\frac{n}{3}] & \text{if } n = 3k+1, k \\ > 1 [\frac{n}{3}] + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof : We prove the result by considering three cases. Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n$ be the edges of C_n .

Case (i) : $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k$, where $k > 1$ is a positive integer. Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) = \{0 &\text{ if } i = n && 1 \text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \\ &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} && -1 \text{ if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = n \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(N[e_1]) = f(N[e_{n-1}]) = f(N[e_n]) = 2$ and $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for other edges. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of C_n if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k - 1)(-1) + (2k)(1) + 1(0) \\ &= k + 1 \\ &= \lceil 3k/3 \rceil + 1 \\ &= \lceil n/3 \rceil + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii) : $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k + 1$, where $k > 1$ is a positive integer.

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) = \{0 &\text{ if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 2, 4, 5 && 1 \text{ if } i \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i = 2, 5 && -1 \text{ if } i \\ &= 4 && \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for all edges i except $i = 2, 6$.

For $i = 2, 6$ $f(N[e_i]) = 2$. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of C_n if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k - 1)(-1) + (2k)(1) + 2(0) \\ &= k + 1 \\ &= \lceil (3k + 1)/3 \rceil \\ &= \lceil n/3 \rceil \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii) : $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

In this case $n = 3k + 2$, where $k > 1$ is a positive integer.

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) = & \{0 \text{ if } i = 3k, 3k + 2 \\ & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } i \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 3k + 2 \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ except } i = 3k \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ if } i \\ -1 \text{ if } i \end{array}$$

Then $f(N[e_1]) = f(N[e_{n-2}]) = f(N[e_n]) = 2$ and $f(N[e_i]) = 1$ for other edges. Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of C_n if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (k - 1)(-1) + (2k + 1)(1) + 2(0) \\ &= k + 1 + 1 \\ &= [(3k + 2)/3] + 1 \\ &= [n/3] + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\gamma' \text{OM}(C_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{n}{3} & \text{if } n = 3k + 1, k > \\ 1 \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{for } n > 5.$$

Theorem 2.3

$$\text{For } m \leq n, \gamma' \text{OM}(K_{m,n}) = \{m \text{ if } m, n \geq 3 \quad 3 \text{ if } m = 2 \text{ and } n > 2$$

Proof : Let $K_{m,n}$ be the bipartite graph with $m + n$ vertices and mn edges. Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{mn}$ be the edges of $K_{m,n}$.

Case (i): If $m, n \geq 3$

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) = & \{1 \text{ if } i = 1, n + 2, n + 3, nk + 1 \text{ where } k = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1 \quad -1 \text{ if } i \\ & = n \\ & + 1 \quad 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(N[e_1]) = m - 2, f(N[e_{n+1}]) = m$

For $i = 2, 3, f(N[e_i]) = 2$

For $4 \leq i \leq n, f(N[e_i]) = 1$

For $n + 2 \leq i \leq 2n, f(N[e_i]) = 1$

For $i = nk + 1$ where $k = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1, f(N[e_i]) = m - 2$

For $i = nk + 2, nk + 3$ where $k = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1, f(N[e_i]) = 2$

For $nk + 4 \leq i \leq n(k + 1)$ where $k = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1, f(N[e_i]) = 1$

Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of $K_{m,n}$.

Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (1)(-1) + [(m+1)(1) + mn - (m+2)](0) \\ &= m \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii): If $m = 2$ and $n > 2$

Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_i) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, 2, n+2, n+3 \\ -1 & \text{if } i \\ &= n+1 \\ & 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(N[e_1]) = 1$

For $i = 2, 3, f(N[e_i]) = 3$

For $4 \leq i \leq n+2, f(N[e_i]) = 2$

For $n+3 \leq i \leq 2n, f(N[e_i]) = 1$

Thus f is an onto minus edge dominating function of $K_{m,n}$. Also, the weight of the function f is

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= (1)(-1) + (4)(1) + (mn - 5)(0) \\ &= -1 + 4 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma' OM(K_{m,n}) = \{m \text{ if } m, n \geq 3\}$ $3 \text{ if } m = 2 \text{ and } n > 2$ for $m \leq n$.

References

1. J.A Bondy and U.S.R Murty, Graph Theory with Applications. Macmillan, London, and Elsevier, New York, 1976.
2. Haynes T.W, Hedetniemi S.T and Slater P.J., Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Marcel Dekker, INC, 1998.
3. Jean Dunbar, Stephen Hedetniemi, Michael A. Henning, Alice McRae, Minus domination in graphs. Discrete Math 199(1996), 35 - 47.

4. A note on the minus edge domination number in graphs S.M. Sheskhholeslami.
5. S. Jerlin Mary and Y.S. Irene Sheela, Onto minus domination number of paths and cycles