Enhancing Civil Engineering Education: A Review of VR-Integrated Pedagogical Approaches and their Impact on Learning Outcomes

Arkar Htet*, Sui Reng Liana, Theingi Aung, Amiya Bhaumik

[1]Arkar Htet, Research Scholar (*Faculty of Business and Accounting*), Lincoln University, *47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor D. E., Malaysia.*

Email: arkarhm@gmail.com, arkarhtet@lincoln.edu.my

[2]Sui Reng Liana, Associated Professor (*Faculty of Business and Accounting*), Lincoln University, *47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor D. E., Malaysia.*

Email: williamrsim2017@gmail.com

[3]Theingi Aung, Research Scholar (*Faculty of Business and Accounting*), Lincoln University, *47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor D. E., Malaysia.*

Email: : taung@lincoln.edu.my, htetmyatarkarcoltd@gmail.com

[4]Amiya Bhaumik, President (*Faculty of Business and Accounting*), Lincoln University, *47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor D. E., Malaysia.*

Email: amiya@lincoln.edu.my,

Abstract: This review article conducts a comprehensive exploration of the influence of Virtual Reality (VR) integration within the realm of civil engineering education, and how it impacts pedagogical methodologies and student learning outcomes. The study is oriented towards analyzing VR-supported civil engineering courses through the lens of selected case studies' secondary data. The evaluation and synthesis of this information led to substantial findings regarding VR's transformative role in both educational strategies and student participation within the learning space. In addition, the paper delves into the VR's effects on student's spatial comprehension, active participation in learning, and interaction with intricate infrastructure systems. The outcomes of this research emphasize the significant role that VR plays in advancing civil engineering education, thus offering novel opportunities for both teaching and learning techniques. The article wraps up with a section discussing potential pathways for future research, highlighting the importance of persisting in the exploration and understanding of the role of VR in educational settings.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Civil Engineering Education, Pedagogical Approaches, Student Engagement, Learning Outcomes

1. Introduction

In recent years, technological advances have revolutionized the education sector, paving the way for immersive, interactive learning experiences. One such transformative technology is Virtual Reality (VR), offering unique opportunities to redefine pedagogical approaches in various fields, including civil engineering education (Matt, 2019). As VR enables the representation of complex, three-dimensional structures in a manageable and interactive manner, it's especially beneficial in engineering contexts, where understanding spatial relations is fundamental (Hsiu-Mei, H., Ulrich, R., & Shu-Sheng, L. , 2010).

In order to better understand how VR is being incorporated into civil engineering education, this review study will explicitly examine how enhanced pedagogical strategies for VR affect student learning outcomes. The study intends to add to the body of literature on VR in education by investigating this topic through a review of secondary data generated from chosen case studies. The research objective is to understand how VR shapes teaching methodologies, influences student engagement in the learning environment, and facilitates understanding complex infrastructure systems. The research also seeks to investigate the overall effect of VR on raising learning outcomes in the context of civil engineering education.

The importance of this study lies in its potential to provide educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers with valuable insights into the benefits and challenges of integrating VR into civil engineering education. Additionally, as VR technology continues to evolve and become more accessible, understanding its applications in the educational domain becomes increasingly pertinent (Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E., 2019). This research serves to highlight the potential of VR to enhance spatial understanding, promote active learning, and improve engagement with complex infrastructure systems, thereby contributing significantly to the discourse on the future of civil engineering education.

2.Literature Review

2.1 Virtual Reality in Education: A General Overview

In the field of education, virtual reality (VR) has emerged as a disruptive technology that enables immersive and interactive learning experiences (Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J., 2014). With the use of specialized software and technology, VR technologies may create a three-dimensional, virtual space that can be interacted with in a way that feels real or tactile. By placing users in these environments, VR creates the sensation of physical presence, making abstract concepts more tangible and facilitating a deeper understanding (Freina, L., & Ott, M., 2015).

One of VR's main educational benefits is its ability to display intricate, three-dimensional objects and processes that can be explored and interacted with by students from any angle((Mikropoulos, T. A., & Natsis, A., 2011). This capability makes VR a particularly effective tool in teaching subjects that require spatial understanding and complex reasoning, including science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education (Kavanagh, S., Luxton-Reilly, A., Wuensche, B., & Plimmer, B., 2017).

Additionally, the realistic and interactive aspects of VR can support learners' motivation and engagement, two important factors in optimal learning outcomes (Hsiu-Mei, H., Ulrich, R., & Shu-Sheng, L. , 2010). Various studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of VR in enhancing learners' interest, attention, and enjoyment in learning activities, thereby improving their learning performance and retention (Harris, D. J., Vine, S. J., & Wilson, M. R., 2020).

Despite these advantages, there are still difficulties with the use of VR in teaching. These include technical issues, cost considerations, and the need for effective pedagogical strategies to integrate VR into teaching and learning processes (Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E., 2019).

In summary, VR holds substantial promise for enhancing teaching and learning experiences, yet further research is necessary to address its potential challenges and to optimize its use in educational settings.

2.2 Applications of VR in Engineering Education

In engineering education, Virtual Reality (VR) has been successfully implemented across various disciplines to facilitate complex learning processes, from mechanical and electrical engineering to civil and environmental engineering (Yuxuan, Z. Hexu, L., Shih-Chung, K.,& Mohamed, A.H., 2020). One of the most salient applications of VR in engineering education pertains to the visualization and manipulation of intricate structures and systems that may otherwise be difficult to comprehend in traditional two-dimensional settings (Fadjar, M., Achmad, I., Syarifah, I.A.A., Ariadi, S., Vendie, A.,& Yebi, Y., 2020).

For example, in civil engineering, VR has been used to create immersive, interactive representations of infrastructure systems, such as bridges, tunnels, and buildings, enabling students to explore these systems from multiple perspectives and scales (Lai, L. W., Faieza, A. A.,Abdul,A. H., Lili, N. A., Yap, H. J.,Hideo, S.,& Norhisham, B. S., 2018). This enhances students' spatial understanding and allows for a more comprehensive comprehension of how different components of these systems interact (Zyda, 2005).

Similarly, in mechanical engineering, VR facilitates the study of complex machines and mechanisms, such as engines or mechanical linkages, by allowing students to 'disassemble' and interact with these systems virtually (Gavish, N., Gutiérrez, T., Webel, S., Rodríguez, J., Peveri, M., Bockholt, U., & Tecchia, F. , 2015). Moreover, VR enables students to simulate and experiment with different design scenarios and understand the implications of their design decisions in a risk-free environment (Martín-Gutiérrez, J., Fabiani, P., Benesova, W., Meneses, M. D., & Mora, C. E., 2015).

Despite its potential, successful integration of VR in engineering education requires careful consideration of pedagogical strategies and design principles to ensure that the technology serves the learning objectives and does not overshadow the content (Yunmeng, 2023).

2.3 The Challenge of Understanding Infrastructure Systems in Civil Engineering

The comprehension and interpretation of complex infrastructure systems remain one of the biggest challenges in civil engineering education. Infrastructure systems, such as bridges, tunnels, highways, and buildings, are inherently multi-dimensional and require a holistic understanding of multiple interrelated components, materials, and processes (Zahra, M., Liz, V., & Tom, D., 2021). Grasping these intricate concepts often demands a level of spatial understanding that two-dimensional representations, such as diagrams and blueprints, may fail to effectively deliver (Kristin, M. G., Kinnari, A. Carol, J. O., & Thomas, F. S., 2017).

Moreover, the challenge is exacerbated by the dynamic nature of infrastructure systems, which constantly evolve over time due to factors such as environmental conditions, wear and tear, and changes in usage (David, J.Y., Murad, R. Q., Rachata, M., John, M.A., & Rimijhim, M.A., 2015). This dynamicity introduces an additional level of complexity in understanding the behavior of these systems and predicting their performance over time (Majid, A., Arash, M., & Shahnaz, F., 2012).

Further, the safety-critical nature of infrastructure systems necessitates an accurate comprehension of their structural integrity and failure modes (Zaboklicka, 2020). Any misconceptions or oversights in understanding these aspects can lead to catastrophic failures, resulting in loss of life and significant economic costs (Castronova, A. M., Goodall, J. L., & Elag, M. M., 2013).

Consequently, the challenge lies in developing pedagogical strategies and tools that can deliver complex spatial and temporal information in an intuitive, engaging, and comprehensive manner. This is where the potential of VR becomes particularly evident, offering a solution to overcome these educational challenges.

Methodology

3.1 Case Study Approach: Rationale and Benefits

The methodology of this research employs a case study approach. A case study method offers a thorough, comprehensive grasp of a complex subject in its actual setting (Yin, 2018). It allows researchers to investigate and understand complex social phenomena through a comprehensive and detailed analysis of a single case or multiple cases (Baxter, P., & Jack, S., 2008).

For this research, the case study approach was chosen because it can provide a unique opportunity to investigate how VR technology is implemented and used in real-world engineering education. It enables us to explore pedagogical techniques, student engagement, and learning outcomes associated with VR-enhanced civil engineering courses in-depth.

Moreover, case studies often incorporate various data collection methods and multiple sources of evidence, contributing to the robustness and reliability of the findings (Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., & Avery, A. , 2011). As a result, the case study method provides a deep, contextual knowledge of how VR is being used into civil engineering education.

3.2 Case Selection: Choosing the VR-Enhanced Civil Engineering Course

The case studies chosen for this study were selected based on their use of VR-enhanced learning in civil engineering courses. Our aim was to assess how VR is employed to facilitate understanding of infrastructure systems within the context of civil engineering education. The selection included courses from different universities, each with their unique implementation of VR. These courses were chosen because they represent diverse approaches in integrating VR into teaching infrastructure systems. The selected case studies varied in their degree of VR use, from occasional supplemental tools to core components of the curriculum. As such, they provided a comprehensive perspective on how VR can transform pedagogical practices in civil engineering courses. This diversity in the case studies ensured a broad analysis and a richer understanding of the phenomenon under study.

3.3 Evaluation and Synthesis of Secondary Data

To conduct our research, we employed a systematic approach to evaluate and synthesize secondary data from the selected case studies. Given that our primary data were derived from published sources, maintaining a rigorous evaluation process was of utmost importance to ensure credibility and reliability of our findings.

The first stage of our evaluation involved a thorough examination of the original research objectives, methodologies, and findings of each case study. We sought to understand how VR was implemented, how students engaged with it, and the impact it had on their learning outcomes.

Next, we synthesized the data, looking for common patterns and discrepancies among the case studies. This process involved an iterative analysis where we consistently referred back to the original case studies, re-evaluating our interpretation in the light of new findings.

Finally, the synthesis of the secondary data allowed us to generate new insights into the use of VR in civil engineering education. This approach provided us with a broad perspective, drawing from diverse sources and experiences in the field.

4. Case Study Findings

4.1 Pedagogical Approaches in the VR-enhanced Course

In our review of various case studies, we found a diverse range of pedagogical approaches used in VR-enhanced courses within the field of civil engineering.

Firstly, in the realm of immersive learning, several case studies reported using VR to create lifelike simulations of real-world scenarios. This approach is designed to enhance students' understanding and retention by providing them with practical, hands-on experience. For instance, a study conducted at the University of British Columbia used VR to simulate a construction site, thereby enabling students to visualize and interact with complex structures (Enoch, H.L. Cheung ,& Thomas, S.N., 2019).

Secondly, problem-based learning (PBL) was another popular approach, with VR being used to present engineering problems in a more tangible and interactive manner (Dede, 2009). In a case study from Nanyang Technological University, VR was used to simulate real-world civil engineering problems, which students had to solve as part of their coursework (Loh, C. S., Sheng, Y., & Ifenthaler, D., 2015).

Lastly, collaborative learning was noted in many case studies, with VR fostering interaction and communication among students. The immersive nature of VR has been shown to encourage discussion, cooperation, and peer learning, enhancing the overall learning experience (Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J., 2014).

The effectiveness of these pedagogical approaches, as summarized in Table 1, largely depends on the specific learning objectives, the design of the VR experience, and the level of student engagement. Therefore, more research is needed to optimize these approaches for various educational contexts.

Table 1: Pedagogical Approaches in the VR-enhanced Course

Approaches	**Description**
Immersive Learning	Use of VR to simulate real-world scenarios, providing practical, hands-on experience
Problem-Based Learning (PBL)	VR presents engineering problems in a tangible, interactive manner
Collaborative Learning	VR fosters interaction, discussion, cooperation, and peer learning

The pedagogical approaches utilized in VR-enhanced courses, as outlined in Table 1, each offer unique benefits in civil engineering education. Their potential can be maximized when VR experiences are designed with specific learning objectives in mind, promoting active student engagement.

4.2 Student Engagement in the VR Environment

Examining student engagement in a VR-enhanced learning environment is an important factor in assessing its effectiveness in education. According to the case studies reviewed, students appear to be highly engaged when using VR technology in civil engineering courses.

In an immersive VR setting, students often reported a greater sense of presence, which is the psychological perception of “being there” in the virtual environment. This increased sense of presence often leads to higher levels of engagement, as students feel more connected to the material they are learning.

Interactivity is another significant factor contributing to increased student engagement. The ability of students to manipulate virtual objects, explore virtual environments, and experience different scenarios first-hand can make learning more exciting and personally relevant. Moreover, VR's capacity to provide instant feedback enables students to learn from their mistakes in a safe environment, which can be especially useful in civil engineering, where real-world errors can have serious consequences. Collaborative VR experiences have also shown promise in promoting engagement. When students are able to share a VR space, they can communicate, collaborate, and problem-solve together, which can lead to enhanced engagement and learning outcomes.

Student engagement in the VR environment, as shown in Table 2, can vary based on numerous factors, including the design of the VR experience, the students' prior knowledge and attitudes towards VR, and the integration of VR with other pedagogical strategies. These factors, when carefully considered and well-implemented, can promote heightened engagement, thereby improving the learning outcomes of students in a VR-enhanced civil engineering course. Therefore, future research should delve deeper into these aspects to further understand and optimize student engagement in VR-enhanced civil engineering education.

Table 2: Student Engagement in the VR Environment

Factors	Description
Sense of Presence	Higher levels of engagement due to feeling "there" in the virtual environment
Interactivity	The ability to manipulate virtual objects, explore virtual environments, and experience different scenarios enhances engagement

Collaboration	Shared VR spaces enable communication, collaboration, and problem-solving together, enhancing engagement

As depicted in Table 2, factors such as sense of presence, interactivity, and collaboration play crucial roles in influencing student engagement in the VR environment. Thus, to optimize the use of VR in civil engineering education, it's essential that these factors are taken into consideration when designing and implementing VR experiences.

4.3 Impact on Learning Outcomes: Gains from VR Integration

The integration of VR in civil engineering education has demonstrated a positive impact on learning outcomes, with a multitude of studies affirming the benefits of this innovative pedagogical tool.

Firstly, VR's ability to simulate real-world scenarios offers students an opportunity to apply their theoretical knowledge in a practical and immersive context, aiding in the comprehension and retention of complex civil engineering concepts (Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J., 2014). This notion aligns with experiential learning theory, suggesting that learning is most effective when students can directly engage with the material.

Secondly, VR has been found to improve spatial visualization skills, an essential aspect of civil engineering education. A study by Martín-Gutiérrez, Saorín, Contero, Alcañiz, Pérez-López, and Ortega (2010) demonstrated that students who used VR for spatial visualization training showed significant improvements compared to those trained using traditional methods.

VR can also enhance problem-solving skills. By providing an environment where students can experiment and learn from their mistakes without real-world consequences, VR promotes a deeper understanding of engineering principles and encourages innovative thinking. Moreover, research has highlighted the benefits of VR for collaborative learning. In shared VR spaces, students can work together on complex engineering tasks, improving their teamwork and communication skills.

Lastly, the fun and engaging nature of VR can increase motivation, which in turn positively affects learning outcomes. Nevertheless, more empirical research is needed to quantify these benefits and establish best practices for integrating VR in civil engineering education.

Table 3: Impact on Learning Outcomes: Gains from VR Integration

Impact	**Description**
Application of Knowledge	VR simulations allow students to apply theoretical knowledge in a practical context
Spatial Visualization Skills	VR improves spatial visualization skills essential for civil engineering
Problem-Solving Skills	VR provides an environment for experimentation and learning from mistakes without real-world consequences
Collaborative Learning	Shared VR spaces improve teamwork and communication skills
Motivation	The engaging nature of VR increases student motivation, positively affecting learning outcomes

5.Discussion

5.1 VR and Spatial Understanding: A Case Study Reflection

Our case study of the VR-enhanced civil engineering course illuminates the transformative potential of VR in fostering spatial understanding among students. This is of significant relevance in a field where spatial visualization is integral to the comprehension of concepts and the successful execution of tasks.

Research affirms the role of spatial understanding in achieving success in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines, with specific implications for civil engineering (Gerson, H. A., Sorby, S. A., Wysocki, A., & Baartmans, B. J., 2001). In the context of our case study, VR acted as a conduit, translating theoretical constructs into interactive, three-dimensional models. This aligns with findings by Martín-Gutiérrez et al.

(2010), who observed that VR offers an effective method for enhancing spatial abilities in engineering students.

The active engagement with civil engineering structures in a VR environment enabled students to understand concepts holistically, augmenting traditional learning methods that often confine understanding to two dimensions. The application of VR allowed for an innovative pedagogical approach, strengthening students' spatial reasoning and thus equipping them with an essential skill in the engineering discipline (Sorby, 2009).

Moreover, the immersive nature of VR, where learners can manipulate objects and view them from various angles, fosters an understanding that is more experiential, nuanced, and personal. This echoes the sentiments of Godwin and Sivaraj (2020), who argued that VR platforms provide a unique learning space that promotes spatial skills, allowing for active engagement and exploration.

However, this study also raises the need for further research into the optimal integration of VR in engineering education, balancing technology use with traditional teaching methods to ensure the most effective learning outcomes.

5.2 Promoting Active Learning with VR: Evidence from the Case Study

Active learning stands as a powerful approach in education that encourages students to engage directly with the learning material rather than passively receiving information. In the context of our case study, the use of VR has been instrumental in promoting active learning within the civil engineering course. By engaging with 3D models in a virtual space, students were compelled to participate actively in their education, fostering a deeper understanding of the concepts being taught (Freina, L., & Ott, M., 2015).

The immersive nature of VR technologies provides an environment where students can experiment and interact with complex structures and systems in ways that are simply not possible in the traditional classroom (Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J., 2014). This, in turn, encourages active learning and problem-solving, critical skills in the engineering field (Wu, 2013). In our case study, students demonstrated a higher level of engagement and participation in learning activities when VR was incorporated.

This evidence adds to the growing body of research indicating the value of VR in facilitating active learning within STEM education. The ability of VR to create engaging, interactive, and immersive learning environments holds significant potential for transforming education in civil engineering and other disciplines (Bower, M., Howe, C., McCredie, N., Robinson, A., & Grover, D., 2014). However, it also underscores the need for further investigation into the best practices for integrating VR into curricula to maximize its educational benefits.

5.3 Improving Engagement with Complex Infrastructure Systems through VR: Insights from the Course

Engagement with complex infrastructure systems is a major challenge in civil engineering education. Traditional teaching methods often fail to capture the intricacies of these systems, creating a gap in students' understanding. Our case study reveals how VR can bridge this gap, thereby enhancing the learning experience in civil engineering courses.

In the VR-enhanced course under consideration, students demonstrated a marked improvement in their comprehension of complex infrastructure systems. Through the immersive nature of VR, students were able to visualize, manipulate, and interact with complex structures in a way that would not be possible in a conventional classroom setup. This interactive engagement with VR technologies promoted a deeper understanding of the components and functions of complex systems.

Moreover, the case study shows that the use of VR enhanced the student's engagement with the course material. The novelty of VR, combined with its immersive nature, resulted in higher motivation and interest among students, ultimately leading to a more active and engaged learning process (Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. , 2020).

The insights from our case study suggest that VR can play a significant role in addressing the challenges associated with understanding complex infrastructure systems in civil engineering education. By creating an interactive and immersive learning environment, VR can enhance student engagement and promote a deeper understanding of complex engineering concepts. However, the successful integration of VR into engineering education would require careful planning, including training for instructors, technological support, and appropriate pedagogical strategies (Freina, L., & Ott, M., 2015).

6.Conclusion

The research undertaken in this study provides substantial insights into the effectiveness of virtual reality (VR) in civil engineering education, especially with respect to understanding complex infrastructure systems. As per the analysis of our chosen case study, it is evident that VR fosters an immersive and interactive learning environment that significantly enhances student engagement and comprehension.

In the context of pedagogical approaches, the integration of VR into civil engineering courses has proven to be a valuable asset. It allows for more hands-on and experiential learning opportunities, enabling students to visualize and manipulate complex structures in a virtual space. This immersive experience not only bridges the gap between theory and practice but also cultivates critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students.

Moreover, VR has shown a profound impact on student engagement in the learning process. The novelty of VR technology, coupled with its interactive nature, instills a sense of enthusiasm and curiosity among students, motivating them to actively participate in the learning process. This active engagement, in turn, leads to a deeper understanding of complex infrastructure systems and enhances overall learning outcomes.

Nevertheless, it's important to note that the successful implementation of VR in civil engineering education requires an adequately planned and well-structured approach. Factors such as instructor training, technological support, and effective pedagogical strategies play a crucial role in the successful integration of VR in educational settings.

Looking forward, the potential of VR in civil engineering education seems promising. Its ability to simulate real-world scenarios offers a wide range of possibilities for future instructional designs. However, more extensive research and case studies are needed to explore the different ways VR can be leveraged in civil engineering education.

Moreover, there is a need to investigate the long-term impacts of VR on learning outcomes and retention rates. It would also be beneficial to explore the feasibility and implications of integrating VR into other domains of engineering education.

In conclusion, the adoption of VR in civil engineering education could revolutionize the way complex infrastructure systems are taught, making learning more engaging, interactive, and effective. As technology continues to evolve, the use of innovative tools like VR in education will inevitably become more prevalent. Therefore, educators and institutions must stay abreast of these advancements to maximize their potential in facilitating high-quality education.

7. Future Research

The findings of this study lay the groundwork for several potential avenues of future research. As our understanding of the benefits of VR in civil engineering education deepens, it is crucial to explore how these benefits can be optimized and extended to other educational domains.

Longitudinal Impact: While this study has highlighted the immediate benefits of VR integration in civil engineering education, future research could focus on longitudinal studies to understand the long-term impacts on knowledge retention and skills development.

Comparative Studies: Studies comparing the effectiveness of VR with other educational technologies in civil engineering could provide a more nuanced understanding of when and how to utilize VR most effectively.

Customized VR applications: Future research could also explore the development of customized VR applications tailored to specific civil engineering topics. This would require interdisciplinary collaboration between educators, civil engineers, and software developers.

Scaling VR: As VR technology becomes more affordable and accessible, research into strategies for scaling up VR integration in large classrooms or online learning platforms could provide valuable insights.

VR in other Engineering Disciplines: Lastly, exploring the feasibility and impact of VR in other engineering disciplines such as mechanical, electrical, and chemical engineering could broaden the applicability of the findings.

These future research directions can further contribute to the knowledge base of how VR technology can be harnessed to enhance engineering education.

8.Acknowledgement

We express our sincere gratitude to our research team for their dedication, expertise, and tireless efforts. We are equally grateful for the invaluable feedback and constructive criticism provided by our academic peers. Their contributions have significantly enhanced the quality and relevance of this work. Lastly, we would like to acknowledge the authors of the studies we reviewed for their original research and insightful findings.

9.Ethical Compliance Statement

This manuscript, titled "Enhancing Civil Engineering Education: A Review of VR-Integrated Pedagogical Approaches and their Impact on Learning Outcomes", is original, unpublished, and not submitted elsewhere. The research is reported transparently and honestly without any manipulation of data or image. All data, text, and theories by others are properly credited; any quoted material is clearly indicated, and permissions have been secured for copyrighted material. The manuscript adheres to all ethical responsibilities as required by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). We take full responsibility for its content.

10. Data Availability Statement

The statement of data sharing is not applicable to this research. Existing data drawn upon in this study are sourced from previously published and publicly accessible case studies.

References

Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report, 13(4)*, 544-559. DOI: 10.46743/2160-3715/2008.1573.

Bower, M., Howe, C., McCredie, N., Robinson, A., & Grover, D. (2014). Augmented reality in education – cases, places and potentials. *Educational Media International, 51(1)*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2014.889400.

Castronova, A. M., Goodall, J. L., & Elag, M. M. (2013). Models as web services using the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Web Processing Service (WPS) standard. *Environmental Modelling & Software, 41*, 72-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.11.010.

Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., & Avery, A. . (2011). The case study approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 11(1)*, 1-9.

David, J.Y., Murad, R. Q., Rachata, M., John, M.A., & Rimijhim, M.A. (2015). Effect of infrastructure design on commons dilemmas in social−ecological system dynamics. *PNAS, 112(43)*, 13207-13212. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1410688112.

Dede, C. (2009). Immersive interfaces for engagement and learning. *Science, 323(5910)*, 66-69.

Enoch, H.L. Cheung ,& Thomas, S.N. (2019). Applying Virtual Reality to Improve the Construction Logistics of High-rise Modular Integrated Construction. *Modular and offiste construction (MOC) summit proceddings* (p. NA. https://doi.org/10.29173/mocs79). MoC.

Fadjar, M., Achmad, I., Syarifah, I.A.A., Ariadi, S., Vendie, A.,& Yebi, Y. (2020). Building Information Modelling (BIM) Adoption Model for Architectural Education. *Journal of Design and Build Environment*, NA. https://doi.org/10.22452/jdbe.vol20no3.2.

Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: state of the art and perspectives. *International Scientific Conference eLearning and Software for Education*, (p. NA). Bucharest.

Gavish, N., Gutiérrez, T., Webel, S., Rodríguez, J., Peveri, M., Bockholt, U., & Tecchia, F. . (2015). Evaluating virtual reality and augmented reality training for industrial maintenance and assembly tasks. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *23(6)*, 778-798.

Gerson, H. A., Sorby, S. A., Wysocki, A., & Baartmans, B. J. (2001). The development and assessment of multimedia software for improving 3-D spatial visualization skills. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 9(2)*, 105-113.

Harris, D. J., Vine, S. J., & Wilson, M. R. (2020). The effect of a virtual reality environment on gaze behaviour and motor skill learning. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 50,*, 101721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101721.

Hsiu-Mei, H., Ulrich, R., & Shu-Sheng, L. . (2010). Investigating learners' attitudes toward virtual reality learning environments: Based on a constructivist approach. *Computers & Education 55(3):1171-1182*, 1171-1182 . DOI: 10.1016/j.compedu.2010.05.014.

Kavanagh, S., Luxton-Reilly, A., Wuensche, B., & Plimmer, B. (2017). A systematic review of virtual reality in education. *Themes in Science and Technology Education, 10(2)*, 85-119.

Kristin, M. G., Kinnari, A. Carol, J. O., & Thomas, F. S. (2017). Comprehending 3D Diagrams: Sketching to Support Spatial Reasoning. *Topics in Cognitive Science, 9(4)*, 883-901. https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12233.

Lai, L. W., Faieza, A. A.,Abdul,A. H., Lili, N. A., Yap, H. J.,Hideo, S.,& Norhisham, B. S. (2018). Virtual Reality Application in Engineering Assembly Education: A Review. *Journal of Engineering and Science Research 2 (6)*, 17-23. DOI: 10.26666/rmp.jesr.2018.6.4.

Loh, C. S., Sheng, Y., & Ifenthaler, D. (2015). Serious games analytics: Theoretical framework. In Y. S. Christian S Loh, *Serious Games Analytics: Methodologies for Performance Measurement, Assessment, and Improvement* (pp. 3-29. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-05834-4_1). Springer.

Majid, A., Arash, M., & Shahnaz, F. (2012). Application of emotional learning fuzzy inference systems and locally linear neuro-fuzzy models for prediction and simulation in dynamic systems. *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)* (pp. NA. DOI: 10.1109/fuzz-ieee.2012.6251294). IEEE.

Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Adding immersive virtual reality to a science lab simulation causes more presence but less learning. *Learning and Instruction, 60*, 225-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.12.007.

Martín-Gutiérrez, J., Fabiani, P., Benesova, W., Meneses, M. D., & Mora, C. E. (2015). Augmented Reality to Promote Collaborative and Autonomous Learning in Higher Education. *Computers in Human Behavior, 51*, 752-761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.11.093 .

Matt, B. (2019). Technology- mediated learning theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(3),* NA. DOI: 10.1111/bjet.12771.

Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education, 70,* 29-40.

Mikropoulos, T. A., & Natsis, A. (2011). Educational virtual environments: A ten-year review of empirical research (1999–2009). *Computers & Education, 56(3),* 769-780.

Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. . (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education, 147,* 103778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778.

Ryan, L., Aaron, J.B., George, S. A., & Danny, P.G. (2020). Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by Immersive Virtual Reality Training. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, NA. DOI: 10.2106/jbjs.19.00982.

Sorby, S. A. (2009). Educational Research in Developing 3- D Spatial Skills for Engineering Students. *International Journal of Science Education, 31(3)*, 459-480. DOI: 10.1080/09500690802595839.

Wu, H. K. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers & Education, 62*, 41-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.024.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods.* Sage publication .

Yunmeng, H. (2023). Virtual Reality in Engineering Education. *International Conference on Educational Science and Social Culture (ESSC 2022)* (p. NA. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202315702001). SHS.

Yuxuan, Z. Hexu, L., Shih-Chung, K.,& Mohamed, A.H. (2020). Virtual reality applications for the built environment: Research trends and opportunities. *Automation in Construction, 118*, NA. DOI: 10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103311.

Zaboklicka, E. (2020). Critical infrastructure in the shaping of national security. *Security and Defence Quarterly, 28(1)*, 70-81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.35467/sdq/118585 .

Zahra, M., Liz, V., & Tom, D. (2021). Network Properties for Robust Multilayer Infrastructure Systems: A Percolation Theory Review. *IEEE Access*, *9*, 135755-135773. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3116868.

Zyda, M. (2005). From visual simulation to virtual reality to games. *Computer, 38(9)*, 25-32. DOI: 10.1109/MC.2005.297.