



Fixed point theorems on B_4 - metric spaces

Ch. Srinivasa rao¹, S. Ravi Kumar^{2*}, K.K.M. Sarma³

^{1,2,3}Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530003, India.

(*Corresponding author email: sangamravi4u@gmail.com)

Abstract

Recently, B_4 - metric spaces are introduced as a generalization of metric spaces. In this paper, we introduce new contractive mappings on B_4 - metric spaces and investigate relationships among them. Examples and counterexamples are provided as and when necessary. In addition, we obtain fixed point theorems for self-maps on B_4 -metric spaces

Keywords: Diameter, Fixed point theorem, Periodic point, S-metric space, B_4 - metric space.

1. Introduction

The generalizations of the contraction principle in different directions as well as many new fixed point results with applications have been established by different researchers ([1]-[6],[8],[9],[12], [14] - [16]). Recently, K.K.M. Sarma, Ch. Srinivasa Rao, and S. Ravi Kumar [11] have introduced the concept of a B_4 - metric space as a generalization of S - metric space. In this paper, we present results on fixed point theory in B_4 - metric.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [11] Let $Y_0 \neq \phi$ and $B_4: Y_0^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function meeting the criteria below:

$$\forall f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0, a \in Y_0.$$

$$B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) \leq$$

$B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, a_0) + B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, a_0) + B_4(h_0, h_0, h_0, a_0) + B_4(t_0, t_0, t_0, a_0)$. Then, B_4 is called a B_4 - metric on Y_0 and the pair (Y_0, B_4) is called a B_4 - metric space.

Example 2.1. [11] Let $Y_0 \neq \phi$ and define the function $B_4: Y_0^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{as } B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f_0 = g_0 = h_0 = t_0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, B_4 is a B_4 - metric on Y_0 .

Example 2.2. [11] Let $Y_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and define the function $B_4: Y_0^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = |f_0 - g_0| + |g_0 - h_0| + |f_0 + g_0 + h_0 - 3t_0|$, $\forall f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, B_4 is a B_4 - metric on Y_0 .

Definition 2.2. [13] Let $Y_0 \neq \phi$, and $S: Y_0^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function meeting the criteria below:

$$\forall f_0, g_0, h_0, a \in Y_0 S(f_0, g_0, h_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_0 = g_0 = h_0$$

$S(f_0, g_0, h_0) \leq S(f_0, f_0, a_0) + S(g_0, g_0, a_0) + S(h_0, h_0, a_0)$. Then, S is called an S - metric on Y_0

and the pair (Y_0, S) is called an S -metric space.

Lemma 2.1. [11] Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Then,

$$B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) = B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, f_0) \quad (2.1)$$

The relation between a S - metric and a

B_4 - metric is given in [11] as follows:

Lemma 2.2. [11] Let (Y_0, S) be a S - metric space.

Define $B_{4S}: Y_0^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$B_{4S}(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = S(f_0, g_0, h_0) + S(f_0, g_0, t_0) + S(f_0, h_0, t_0) + S(g_0, h_0, t_0),$$

$$\forall f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0 \in Y_0.$$

Then B_{4S} is a B_4 - metric on X . This B_{4S} - metric is called the B_4 - metric induced by S on Y_0 .

Lemma 2.4. [11] Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Define $S_b: Y_0^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$S_b(f_0, g_0, h_0) = B_4(f_0, f_0, g_0, h_0) + B_4(f_0, g_0, g_0, h_0) + B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, h_0), \forall f_0, g_0, h_0 \in Y_0.$$

Then (Y_0, S_b) is a S -metric space with index 2. (S_b is called the S -metric with index 2 induced by B_4).

Example 2.3. Let $Y_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and the function

$B_4: Y_0 \times Y_0 \times Y_0 \times Y_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, be defined as

$$B_4(1, 1, 1, 2) = B_4(2, 2, 2, 1) = B_4(2, 2, 2, 3) = B_4(3, 3, 3, 2) = B_4(3, 3, 3, 4) = B_4(4, 4, 4, 3) = 3$$

$$B_4(1, 1, 1, 3) = B_4(3, 3, 3, 1) = B_4(4, 4, 4, 2) = B_4(2, 2, 2, 4) = 6, B_4(1, 1, 1, 4) = B_4(4, 1, 1, 1) = 9,$$

$$B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = 0, \text{ if } f_0 = g_0 = h_0 = t_0$$

$$B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = 1, \text{ otherwise, } \forall f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0 \in Y_0.$$

Then B_4 is a B_4 - metric on Y_0 .

Example 2.4. Suppose Y_0 is a set with at least 4 elements. Define $B_4: Y_0^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f_0 = g_0 = h_0 = t_0 \\ 6, & \text{if } f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0 \text{ are distinct} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, B_4 is B_4 - metric with index 2.

3.Main Results

Now we state various contraction conditions [13].

Definition 3.1. [13] Let (Y_0, S) be an S - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 .

Define various contraction conditions on Y_0 as follows: for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$. (3.1)

$$S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

There exists a positive integer p such that (3.2)

$$S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

There exist positive integers p and q such that,

$$(3.3) \quad S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^q g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

For any given $x_0 \in Y_0, \exists$ a positive integer $p(x_0)$, such that,

$$(3.4) \quad S(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0)$$

$$< \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), S(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

For any given $x_0, y_0 \in Y_0, x_0 \neq y_0, \exists$ a positive integer $p(x_0, y_0)$, such that (3.5)

$$S(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

Now we introduce contractive conditions on B_4 - metric spaces.

Definition 3.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 . We introduce various contractive conditions as follows: for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$.

$$(3.6) \quad B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0) \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

\exists a positive integer p , such that,

$$(3.7) \quad B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, T_0^p g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

There exist positive integers p, q such that, (3.8) $B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^q g_0) <$

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

For any given $x_0 \in Y_0, \exists$ a positive integer $p(x_0)$, such that, (3.9)

$$B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0 \right) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, f_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, g_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} g_0, f_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0)} f_0, g_0 \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

For any given $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0, \exists$ a positive integer $p(x_0, y_0) \ni$

(3.10)

$$B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0 \right) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, f_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, g_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, f_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, g_0 \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition 3.1. Let (Y_0, S) be a S - metric space, (Y_0, B_{4_s}) be the induced B_4 -metric space.

Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 . If T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.1), for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$.

Then T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.6), for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$ with B_{4_s}

Proof. Let the inequality

$$S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \\ S(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

be satisfied,

for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$. Then by Lemma 2.2, We have $B_{4_s}(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) + S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \\ &\quad + S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) + S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 4S(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0)$$

$$< 4 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(f_0, f_0, g_0), S(f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \\ S(g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), S(f_0, f_0, T_0 g_0), \\ S(g_0, g_0, T_0 f_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 4S(f_0, f_0, g_0), 4S(f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \\ 4S(g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), 4S(f_0, f_0, T_0 g_0), \\ 4S(g_0, g_0, T_0 f_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_{4_s}(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_{4_s}(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \\ B_{4_s}(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), B_{4_s}(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 g_0), \\ B_{4_s}(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_{4_s}(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ B_{4_s}(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ B_{4_s}(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \\ B_{4_s}(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ B_{4_s}(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.6) is satisfied in (X, B_{4_s}) .

Proposition 3.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space, (Y_0, S_b) be the S - metric space with index 2 induced by B_4 . Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 . If T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.6), then T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.1). for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$

Proof. Let the inequality (3.6) be satisfied. Using the inequality (3.6) and, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_b(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) &= B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) + B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \\
&+ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \\
&= 3B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \\
&< 3 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
&< \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), 3B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ 3B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), 3B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ 3B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) + B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0) + B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0) + B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0) \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0) + B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 g_0) \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) + B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 f_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S_b f_0, f_0, g_0, S_b(f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), S_b(g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0) \\ S_b(f_0, f_0, T_0 g_0), S_b(g_0, g_0, T_0 f_0) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

And so, the inequality (3.1) is satisfied on (Y_0, S_b) .

Corollary 3.1. Let (Y_0, S) be a S - metric space, (Y_0, B_{4_s}) be the B_4 - metric space induced by S . Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 . If T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.2). [resp. (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5)], then T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.7) [resp. (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10)].

Corollary 3.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space, (Y_0, S_b) be the metric space obtained by the metric induced by B_4 . Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 . If T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.7) [resp. (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10)]. Then T_0 satisfies the inequality (3.2) with S_b [resp. (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5)]

The implications of the following prop can be easily established.

Proposition 3.3. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-mapping of Y_0 .

Then (3.6) \Rightarrow (3.7) \Rightarrow (3.8) and (3.7) \Rightarrow (3.9) \Rightarrow (3.10)

The following example shows that (3.10) need not imply (3.9)

Example 3.1. Let $Y_0 = [0,1] \cup \{3\}$ and define the function $B_4: Y_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Define $B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0) = |f_0 - h_0| + |g_0 - h_0| + |f_0 + g_0 + h_0 - 3t_0|, \forall f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0 \in Y_0$.

Then, by Example 2.2, B_4 is a B_4 - metric on Y_0 . Define $T_0: Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ by

$$T_0 f_0 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{f_0}, & \text{if } x \in [0,1], \quad f_0 \neq \frac{1}{2}, f_0 \neq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } f_0 = \frac{1}{2} \\ 3, & \text{if } f_0 = \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } f_0 = 3 \end{cases} .$$

Then T_0 is a self-map on the B_4 - metric space. $Y_0 = [0,1] \cup \{3\}$.

Further, T_0 satisfies (3.10), but does not satisfy (3.9).

4. Fixed point theorems

In this section, we show that under certain contractive conditions a fixed point, if exists, is unique. And we obtain some fixed point theorems for self-maps on a B_4 -metric space.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 which satisfies the inequality (3.10). If T_0 has at most one fixed point.

Proof. Suppose that f_0 and g_0 are two fixed points of T_0 , such that $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$.

Then by inequality (3.10), \exists a positive integer $p = p(x_0, y_0)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0 \right) < \\
& \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, f_0 \right) \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, g_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, f_0 \right), \\ B_4 \left(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, g_0 \right) \end{array} \right\} \\
& = \max\{B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), 0, 0, B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, f_0)\} \\
& = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) \text{ (by lemma 2.1)} \\
& \text{Therefore } B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) < B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), \text{ a contradiction.}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_0 = g_0$. Therefore, T_0 has at most one fixed point.

In view of Proposition 3.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be an B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 , satisfying the inequality (3.6). [resp. (3.7)] has at most one fixed point.

Proof. T_0 satisfies (3.6) $\Rightarrow T_0$ satisfies (3.10)

\Rightarrow a fixed point, if exists, is unique.

Theorem 4.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 , satisfying the inequality (3.8). If T_0 has at most one fixed point.

Proof. Suppose that f_0 and g_0 are two fixed points of T_0 .

Then by inequality (3.8), there exist positive integers p, q such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) = B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^q g_0) \\
& < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, g_0), B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, T_0^q g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
& = \max\{B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), 0, 0, B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, f_0), B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0)\} \\
& = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) \text{ (by lemma 2.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) < B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0)$,

which is a contradiction. Hence $f_0 = g_0$.

Definition 4.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space and $A \subset Y_0$. Then, A is called B_4 - bounded if $\exists r > 0$, such that $B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) < r, \forall f_0, g_0 \in A$.

Definition 4.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-mapping of Y_0 , and $f_0 \in Y_0$. A point f_0 is called a periodic point of T_0 , if \exists a positive integer n , such that $T_0^n f_0 = f_0$. The least positive integer satisfying the condition $T_0^n f_0 = f_0$ is called the periodic index of f_0 .

Lemma 4.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose $\{f_{0_n}\}$ and $\{g_{0_n}\}$ or such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{0_n} = f_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{0_n} = g_0$. Then $B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, g_{0_n}) \rightarrow B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0)$

Lemma 4.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose $\{f_{0_n}\}$ converges to f_0 and $g_0 \in Y_0$. Then, $B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, g_{0_n}) \rightarrow B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0)$.

Proof. Take $g_{0_n} = g_0, \forall n$, in the Lemma 2.4.

Definition 4.3. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is self-map on Y_0 , and $A \subset Y_0, f_0 \in Y_0$.

Then (1). $\delta(A) = \sup\{B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0) : f_0, g_0 \in A\}$.

(2) $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n) = \{f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0^2 f_0, \dots, T_0^n f_0\}$.

(3) $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, \infty) = \{f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0^2 f_0, \dots, T_0^n f_0, \dots\}$.

$\delta(A)$ is called diameter of A .

If $\delta(A) < \infty$, then we say that A is bounded.

Theorem 4.3. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-mapping of Y_0 , such that Every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{T_0^n f_0\}$ is convergent in $Y_0, \forall f_0 \in Y_0; \exists h \in [0,1)$ such that

$$B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0$. Then $B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^j f_0) \leq h \delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)]$

$\forall i, j \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_0 \in Y_0$;

$\delta[\mathcal{O}_{f_0}(f_0, \infty)] \leq \frac{3}{1-h} B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0) \forall f_0 \in Y_0$;

$f_0 \in Y_0 \Rightarrow \{T_0^n f_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence;

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0^n f_0 = g_0, T_0$ has one and only one fixed point.

Proof. (i) For each $f_0 \in Y_0$ and all $1 \leq i, j \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}$

We have $T_0^{i-1}, T_0^i, T_0^{j-1}, T_0^j \in \mathcal{O}(f_0, n)$

It follows from (3.1) $B_4(T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^j f_0)$

$$= B_4(T_0(T_0^{i-1}f_0), T_0(T_0^{i-1}f_0), T_0(T_0^{j-1}f_0), T_0(T_0^{j-1}f_0))$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^i f_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^j f_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^{i-1}f_0, T_0^j f_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^{j-1}f_0, T_0^i f_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq h\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)]$$

$$B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^j f_0) \leq h\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)]$$

This shows that $\exists j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0^j f_0)$

(ii) We have $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, \infty) = \{f_0, T_0^2 f_0, \dots, T_0^n f_0, \dots\}$ $\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0^j f_0)$

for some $1 \leq k \leq n$

Also $\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] \leq \delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n+1)] = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0^l f_0)$ for some $1 \leq l \leq n+1$. Given f_0 and $n, \exists k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, \infty) = \sup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] = \frac{\sup}{k \rightarrow \infty} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0) n < m = n + k \text{ (say)}$$

$$B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0) \leq h\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n+k)], n+k \leq m$$

Therefore $\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, m)] = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0, f_0)$

$$\leq h\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n+k_1)]$$

$$\leq h^2 \delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n+k_2)], n+k_2 \leq n+k_1$$

Now $B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0^k f_0) \leq B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) + B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) + B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) +$

$$B_4(T_0^k f_0, T_0^k f_0, T_0^k f_0, f_0)$$

$$\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] \leq 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) + B_4(T_0^k f_0, T_0^k f_0, T_0^k f_0, f_0)$$

$$\leq 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) + h\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, k)$$

$$\leq 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0) + h\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)$$

$$\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] - h\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n) \leq 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0)$$

$$\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] \leq \frac{3}{1-h} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \forall n$$

Therefore $\delta[\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n)] \leq \frac{3}{1-h} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, T_0 f_0), \forall f_0 \in Y_0$.

(iii) $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(f_0, n) = \{f_0, T_0^2 f_0, \dots, T_0^n f_0\}$

Given x and m , $\exists k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, such that $\delta[O_{T_0}(f_0, n)] = B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, f_{0_k}), n < m$

$$B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_{n+1}}) \leq h\delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_{n-1}}, n + k_1)], n + 1 \leq k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_n}, m)] &= B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_{n+k_1}}) \leq h\delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_{n-1}}, n + k_1)], n + k_1 \leq m \\ &\leq h^2\delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_{n-2}}, n + k_2)], n + k_2 \leq n + k_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq h^n\delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_{n-n}}, f_0 + k_m)], n + k_n \leq n + k_{n-1} \leq m$$

$$\leq h^n\delta[O_{T_0}(f_0, n)]$$

$$\leq h^n\delta[O_{T_0}(f_0, \infty)]$$

$$\leq h^n \frac{3}{1-h} B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0)$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore, $\delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_n}, m)] \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore, $B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_m}) \leq \delta[O_{T_0}(f_{0_n}, m)] \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore, $\{f_{0_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(iv) Suppose $\{T_0^{-n} f_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent, say to g_0 (by (i)).

That is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0^{-n} f_0 = g_0$ Write $f_{0_n} = T_0^n f_0$

$$T_0(f_{0_n}) = T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0) = T_0(T_0^{-n+1} f_0) = f_{0_{n+1}}$$

$$B_4(T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0 y).$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0^{-n} f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0^{-n} f_0), \\ B_4(T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, g_0), B_4(f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_m}), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_{0_n}), B_4(f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, y), B_4(f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_{n+1}}, f_{0_n}), \\ B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), \\ B_4(f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, f_{0_n}, T_0 g_0), B_4(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= h \max\{0, 0, B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0), 0\}$$

$$= h B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0)$$

$$\text{L.H.S } B_4(T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0), T_0^{-n} f_0) = B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0)$$

$$\leq h B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } (1 - h) B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0) = 0$$

$$\text{Therefore, } B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0) = 0, \text{ since } 0 \leq h < 1$$

$$\text{Therefore } T_0 g_0 = g_0.$$

Now we prove that g_0 is the one and only one fixed point of T_0 . Let g_0 and g_0' be two fixed points of T_0 .

Then $T_0 g_0 = g_0$ and $T_0 g_0' = g_0'$. Using (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, g_0') &= B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0') \\ &\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, g_0'), B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0'), \\ B_4(g_0', g_0', g_0', T_0 g_0'), \\ B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, T_0 g_0'), B_4(g_0', g_0', g_0, T_0 g_0) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= h B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, g_0) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq h < 1$, we get $B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, g_0') = 0$, that is, $T_0 g_0 = T_0 g_0' \Rightarrow g_0 = g_0'$

Therefore T_0 has one and only one fixed point g_0 .

Also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f_0 = g_0$.

Theorem 4.4. Let (Y_0, B_4) be an B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 , which satisfies the inequality (3.10), and $f_0 \in Y_0$. Assume that x is a periodic point of T_0 with periodic index $m \geq 2$.

Suppose

$$< \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0) \\ B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, f_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, T_0^{p(x_0, y_0)} f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

for each $f_0, g_0 \in Y_0, f_0 \neq g_0$. for any $T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0 \in \{T_0^{-n} f_0\}$

($n \geq 0$), $T_0^{-i} f_0 \neq T_0^{-j} f_0 \exists T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^{-l} f_0 \in \{T_0^{-n} f_0\}$, such that

$$T_0^{-i} (T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^{-l} f_0) (T_0^{-k} f_0) = T_0^{-i} f_0 \text{ and } T_0^{p(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^{-l} f_0)} (T_0^{-l} f_0) = T_0^{-j} f_0.$$

Then, $m = 1$, thus the point f_0 is a fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

Proof. The given inequality (3.10) is Suppose f_0 is a periodic point of T_0 with periodic index $m \geq 2$,

show that $T_0 f_0 = f_0$. $\{T_0^{-n} f_0\} = \{f_0, T_0 f_0, \dots, T_0^{-m+1} f_0\} = A$ (say), Since $f_0 \neq T_0 f_0$,

then there exist $T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0 \in A, \Rightarrow T_0^{-i} f_0 \neq T_0^{-j} f_0$, such that

$$\delta(A) = B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0)$$

By the hypothesis, there exist $T_0^{-k}f_0, T_0^l f_0 \in A$, such that $T_0^{-p(T_0^{-k}f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^{-k}f_0) = T_0^i f_0$ and $T_0^{-p(T_0^{-k}f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^l f_0) = T_0^{-j} f_0$. Since $T_0^{-i} f_0 \neq T_0^{-j} f_0$, we obtain $T_0^{-k} f_0 \neq T_0^{-l} f_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, we have } \delta(A) &= B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0) \\ &= B_4 \left(T_0^{p(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^{-k} f_0), T_0^{-p(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^{-k} f_0), \right. \\ &\quad \left. T_0^{-p(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^{-k} f_0), T_0^{-p(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^l f_0)}(T_0^l f_0) \right) \\ &< \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^{-k} f_0, T_0^k f_0, T_0^l f_0), B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-k} f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0, T_0^{-j} f_0, T_0^l f_0), B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^j f_0, T_0^j f_0, T_0^{-k} f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-l} f_0) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \delta(A), \text{ a contradiction, and so, we have } f_0 = T_0 f_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m = 1$, consequently, $T_0 f_0 = f_0$ and f_0 is a fixed point of T_0 .

Corollary 4.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-mapping of Y_0 , the inequality (3.9) be satisfied, and $f_0 \in Y_0$ be a periodic point of T_0 , with periodic index m , satisfying (4.1)

Then, $m = 1$ and the point f_0 is only one fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

Proof. Write $A = \{f_0, T_0 f_0, \dots, T_0^{m-1} f_0\}$

Take $p = p(f_0)$ (associated with x_0 according to (3.9)).

since (3.9) \Rightarrow (3.10), we get the result from Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.3. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 , which satisfies the inequality (3.8), and $f_0 \in Y_0$ be a periodic point of T_0 . Then, f_0 is the at most fixed point of T_0 if $\exists T_0^{-n_3} f_0, T_0^{-n_4} f_0 \in \{T_0^{-n} f_0\} (n \geq 0)$, and $T_0^{-n_3} f_0 \neq T_0^{-n_4} f_0$, such that $T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_3} f_0) = T_0^{-n_1} f_0$ and $T_0^q(T_0^{-n_4} f_0) = T_0^{-n_2} f_0$, for any $T_0^{-n_1} f_0, T_0^{-n_2} f_0 \in \{T_0^{-n} f_0\} (n \geq 0)$, $T_0^{-n_1} f_0 \neq T_0^{-n_2} f_0$. Here, p and q are the positive integers.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.4. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-map on Y_0 , which satisfies the inequality (3.7). Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

T_0 has a fixed point in $Y_0 \exists$ a periodic point $f_0 \in Y_0$ of T_0 and (4.1) holds for some $f_0 \in Y_0$.

Then, the point f_0 is only one fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

Proof. Clearly (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Now suppose (2) holds.

Then, (3.7) \Rightarrow (3.10) and hence by Theorem 4.4, we get the result.

Theorem 4.5. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 -metric space. Suppose T_0 is a selfmap on Y_0 , which satisfies the inequality (3.8). Suppose $f_0 \in Y_0$ is a periodic point of T_0 with periodic index m . Suppose that p and q are positive integers. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied.

$$(i) p = p_1m + p_2, \quad q = q_1m + q_2, \quad 0 \leq p_2, q_2 < m,$$

and p_1, q_1 are non-negative integers

(ii) $2|p_2 - q_2| \neq m$ Then, the point f_0 is the at most one fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

Proof. If $m = 1$ then $T_0f_0 = T_0^m f_0$ and hence x is a fixed point of T_0 . Hence, we assume that $m > 1$, now we show that x is a fixed point of T_0 . Let

$$A = \{T_0^n f_0\} = \{f_0, T_0f_0, T_0^2f_0, \dots, T_0^n f_0, \dots\}$$

Since the periodic index of f_0 is m , we have $A = \{T_0^{-n} f_0\} = \{f_0, T_0f_0, T_0^2f_0, \dots, T_0^{m-1} f_0\}$

and the elements in A are distinct. Therefore, there exist i, j , such that $0 \leq i < j < m$

$$T_0^{-m} f_0 = f_0 \text{ and } T_0^i f_0 \neq f_0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i < m$$

$$\Rightarrow T_0(T_0^m f_0) = T_0f_0$$

by the given inequality (3.8)

$$B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^q g_0) < \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^p f_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^{-q} g_0, T_0^q g_0, g_0), \\ B_4(T_0^q g_0, T_0^{-q} g_0, T_0^q g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, g_0) \end{array} \right\}$$

Write $i = p_2 + n_1$ where $n_1 = i - p_2$ if $i \geq p_2$ and

$n_1 = m + i - p_2$, if $i < p_2$ and $j = q_2 + n_2$ where $n_2 = j - q_2$ if $j \geq q_2$ and $n_2 = m + j - q_2$ if $j < q_2$

Where p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 are as in (1) Then $0 \leq n_1 < m$ and $0 \leq n_2 < m$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(A) &= B_4(T_0^{-i}f_0, T_0^{-i}f_0, T_0^{-i}f_0, T_0^{-j}f_0) \\
&= B_4(T_0^{p_2}(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^{p_2}(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^{p_2}(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{n_2}f_0)) \\
&= B_4(T_0^{-p}(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^{-p}(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^p(T_0^{n_1}f_0), T_0^q(T_0^{n_2}f_0)) \\
&< \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{n_1}f_0, T_0^{n_1}f_0, T_0^{n_1}f_0, T_0^{-n_2}f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{-q_2}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-n_1}f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{-n_2}f_0), T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{-2}f_0), T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{-n_2}f_0), T_0^{-n_2}f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{q_2}(T_0^{n_2}f_0), T_0^{-q_2}(T_0^{-n_2}f_0), T_0^{-n_1}f_0), \\ B_4(T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-p}(T_0^{-n_1}f_0), T_0^{-n_2}f_0) \end{array} \right\} \\
&\leq \delta(A)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta(A) < \delta(A)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $m > 1$ is false. Consequently $m = 1$ so that $T_0 f_0 = 1$.

Hence f_0 is the one and only one fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

5. Some applications of Contractive mappings on B_4 – metric spaces

Lemma 5.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a complete B_4 - metric space.

Then, $B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, t_0) - 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, b) \leq B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, b)$

Proof. From definition 2.1 (ii), $B_4(f_0, g_0, h_0, t_0)$

$$\leq B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, a_0) + B_4(g_0, g_0, g_0, a_0) + B_4(h_0, h_0, h_0, a_0) + B_4(t_0, t_0, t_0, a_0)$$

Putting $f_0 = g_0 = h_0 = b, t_0 = c$ then,

$$B_4(b, b, b, c) \leq B_4(b, b, b, a) + B_4(b, b, b, a) + B_4(b, b, b, a) + B_4(c, c, c, a).$$

$$B_4(b, b, b, c) \leq 3B_4(b, b, b, a) + B_4(c, c, c, a)$$

$$B_4(b, b, b, c) - 3B_4(b, b, b, a) \leq B_4(c, c, c, a)$$

Therefore $B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, t_0) - 3B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, b) \leq B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, b)$.

Now, we introduce the notion of a T_b - orbitally complete B_4 -metric space.

Definition 5.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a self-mapping of Y_0 . Then Y_0 is said to be T_b - orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence which is contained in the $\{f_0, T_0 f_0, \dots, T_0^{-n} f_0, \dots\}$, for some $f_0 \in Y_0$ converges in Y_0 .

Theorem 5.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a B_4 - metric space and $T_0: Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$. Assume that T_b - orbitally complete. Suppose $\exists h \in [0,1) \exists$

$$(5.1) B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 g_0) \leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(f_0, f_0, f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, f_0) \\ B_4(T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, T_0 f_0, g_0), B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, f_0), \\ B_4(T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, T_0 g_0, g_0), \end{array} \right\} \forall f_0, g_0 \in Y_0.$$

Then, T_0 has only one fixed point in Y_0 .

Proof. Since Y_0 is T_b - orbitally continuous condition (1) of Theorem 4.3 is satisfied.

Hence the result follows from Theorem 4.3.

The following theorems are the generalizations of the fixed point theorems given in [11] to a B_4 - metric space (Y_0, B_4) .

Theorem 5.2. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a complete B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a continuous self-map on Y_0 . There exist positive integers p and q and $0 \leq h < 1$, such that,

$$(5.2) B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^p f_0, T_0^{-q} g_0) \leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^r f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-s} g_0), B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^{-r'} g_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s'} g_0) \\ : 0 \leq r, r' \leq p \text{ and } 0 \leq s, s' \leq q \end{array} \right\}$$

Then, T_0 has one and only one fixed point in Y_0 .

Proof. We may assume that $\frac{1}{4} \leq h < 1$, so that $\frac{h}{1-3h} \geq 1$

Let us assume that $p \geq q$. Suppose $f_0 \in Y_0$,

Assume that sequence $\{T_0^n f_0 : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is unbounded.

Hence the sequence $\{B_4(T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-q} f_0) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is unbounded.

Hence, \exists an integer n such that

$$B_4(T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-n} f_0, T_0^{-q} f_0) \geq \frac{h}{1-3h} \max\{B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^q f_0) : 0 \leq i \leq p\}$$

Let m be the smallest integer n satisfying (i)

Then, (ii)

$$B_4(T_0^{-m} f_0, T_0^{-m} f_0, T_0^{-m} f_0, T_0^{-q} f_0) > \frac{h}{1-3h} \max\{B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^q f_0) : 0 \leq i \leq p\}$$

Clearly, $m > p$. Hence, (iii) $B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &> \frac{h}{1-3h} \max\{B_4(T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^{-i} f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^q f_0) : 0 \leq i \leq p\} \\ &\geq \max\{B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-q} f_0) : 0 \leq r < m\} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Consequently, we

have, $(1 - 3h)B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) >$

$$h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) \right\}$$

$$: 0 \leq i \leq p$$

$$\geq h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) - 3B_4(T_0^r f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^q f_0) \right\}$$

$$: 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq r < m$$

(by Lemma 5.1)

$$\geq h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) - 3B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) \right\}$$

$$: 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq r < m$$

Thus,

$$B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) >$$

$$\max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) \right\} \text{ (iv) Suppose, if possible } B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0)$$

$$: 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq r < m$$

$$h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) \right\}$$

$$: 0 \leq i \text{ and } r < m$$

and so, by (iv),

$$B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) \leq h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) \right\}$$

$$: p < i \text{ and } r < m$$

(v) Using the inequality (5.2), we can write

$$B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) \leq h^k \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0) \right\},$$

$$: p < i \text{ and } r < m$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Since, we can omit the terms of the form as $B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^r f_0)$ with

$0 \leq i \leq p$ Oby (iii) Now, on letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) = 0, \text{ a contradiction (ii).}$$

Therefore, the inequality (iv) does not hold.

$$\text{Hence } B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^q f_0) > h \max \left\{ B_4(T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^i f_0, T_0^q f_0) \right\},$$

$$: 0 \leq i \text{ and } r < m$$

Thus, the sequence $\{T_0^n f_0 : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is B_4 - bounded.

Now, we put

$$N = \sup \{B_4(T_0^r f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^r f_0, T_0^s f_0) : r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty$$

Therefore, for $\epsilon > 0$. Choose M , such that, $h^M N < \epsilon$. For $m, n \geq M \max\{p, q\}$

and using the inequality (5.2) M times,

$$\text{we have } B_4(T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^m f_0, T_0^n f_0) \leq h^M N < \epsilon.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{T_0^{-n} f_0 : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete B_4 metric space (Y_0, B_4) and so has a limit u_0 in Y_0 .

Therefore $T_0^{-n} u \rightarrow u$, since T_0 is continuous $T_0(T_0^{-n} f_0) \rightarrow T_0 u$. That is $T_0^{-n+1} f_0 \rightarrow T_0 u$.

Therefore, $T_0 u = u$

Therefore, u_0 is a fixed point of T_0 .

Now we show that u_0 is the one and only one fixed point of T_0 .

By the inequality (5.2). $B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-q} g_0)$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-s} g_0), B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-s'} g_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s'} g_0) \\ : 0 \leq r, r' \leq p \text{ and } 0 \leq s, s' \leq q \end{array} \right\}$$

Suppose u_0 and v are two fixed points of T_0 .

Putting, $f_0 = u$ and $g_0 = v$ in (5.2), we get $B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-q} g_0) <$

$$h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-s} v), \\ B_4(T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-r} u, T_0^{-s'} v) \\ B_4(T_0^{-s} v, T_0^{-s} v, T_0^{-s} v, T_0^{-s'} v) \\ : 0 \leq r, r' \leq p \text{ and } 0 \leq s, s' \leq q \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore, $B_4(u, u, u, v)$

$$\leq h \max\{B_4(u, u, u, v), B_4(u, u, u, u), B_4(u, u, u, v)\} B_4(u, u, u, v) \leq h B_4(u, u, u, v)$$

$$\Rightarrow B_4(u, u, u, v) = 0$$

Therefore, $u = v$.

Therefore, u_0 is one and only one fixed point of T_0 in Y_0 .

Corollary 5.1. Let (Y_0, B_4) be a complete B_4 - metric space. Suppose T_0 is a continuous self-map on Y_0 , and satisfies the inequality (5.2). \exists positive integers p and q and $0 \leq h < 1$, such that,

$$B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-q} g_0) \leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-s} g_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-r} f_0, T_0^{-s'} g_0) \\ B_4(T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s} g_0, T_0^{-s'} g_0) \\ : 0 \leq r, r' \leq p \text{ and } 0 \leq s, s' \leq q \end{array} \right\}$$

Then, T_0 has one and only one fixed point in Y_0 .

Proof. It is straight forward

Remark 2: The condition that T_0 be continuous when $p, q \geq 2$ is necessary for Theorem 4.3. The following example shows that Theorem 4.4 cannot be always true when T_0 is a discontinuous self-map on Y_0 .

Example 5.1. Let \mathbb{R} be the real line. Let us consider the B_4 metric defined in Example 2.2, on \mathbb{R} .

Define $T_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $T_0 f_0 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_0 = 0 \\ \frac{f_0}{4}, & \text{if } f_0 \neq 0 \end{cases}$

Then, T_0 is a discontinuous self-map on the complete B_4 - metric space $[0,1]$.

For each $f_0, g_0 \in T_0$.

we

$$\text{obtain } B_4(T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-p} f_0, T_0^{-q} g_0) = \frac{1}{4} B_4(T_0^{-p-1} f_0, T_0^{-p-1} f_0, T_0^{-p-1} f_0, T_0^{-q-1} g_0)$$

and so, the inequality (5.1) is satisfied +with $h = \frac{1}{4}$

Conclusions

We presented a new contractive mapping on B_4 - metric spaces, find their relationships with suitable examples and we got, self-map fixed point theorems on B_4 - metric spaces. In future we will investigate on B_n - metric spaces.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her critical comments.

References

- [1] Aghajani, A., Abbas, M., Roshan, J.R (2014). Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces. *Math. Slovaca*64(4): 940 – 960.
- [2] An, T.V., Dung, N.V., Hang, V.T.L. (2013). A new approach to fixed point theorems on G-metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* 160(7): 1486-1493.
- [3] Bailey, D.F (1996). Some Theorems on contractive mappings. *J. London Math. Soc.* 41: 101-106.
- [4] Chang, S.S., Zhong, Q.C(1990). On Rhoades' open questions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 109(1): 269-274.
- [5] Ciric B. Lj. (1974). A generalization of Banach's contraction principle. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 45(2): 267-273.

- [6] Dung, N.V., Hieu, N.T., Radojevic, S (2014). Fixed point theorems for g -monotone maps on partially ordered S -metric spaces. *Filomat* 28(9): 1885-1898.
- [7] Fisher, B (1979). Quasi-contractions on metric spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 75(2): 321 – 325.
- [8] Gupta, A (2013). Cyclic contraction on S -metric space. *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 3(2): 119-130.
- [9] Gupta, V., Deep, R (2015). Some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered S -metric spaces. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 16(1): 181-194.
- [10] Hieu, N.T., Ly, N.T., Dung, N.V (2015). A Generalization of Ciric Quasi-Contractions for Maps on S -Metric Spaces. *Thai J. Math.* 13(2): 369 – 380.
- [11] K.K.M. Sarma, Ch. Srinivasa Rao and S. Ravi Kumar (2023). B_4 - metric spaces and Contractions.: *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications* 13(1): 43-50.
- [12] Nihal Yilmaz Ozgur, Nihal Tas (2016). Some generalizations of fixed point theorems on S -metric spaces. *Essays in Mathematics and Its Applications in Honor of Vladimir Arnold*, New York, Springer
- [13] Nihal Yilmaz Ozgur, Nihal Tas (2017). Some new contractive mappings on S -metric Spaces and their relationships with the mapping (S25). *Springer* 11: 7 –16.
- [14] Rhoades, B.E (1977). A Comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 226: 257-290.
- [15] Sedghi, S., Aliouche, A., Shobe, N (2012). A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces. *Mat. Vesnik* 64(3): 258-266.
- [16] Sedghi, S., Dung, N.V (2014). Fixed point theorems on S -metric spaces. *Mat. Vesnik* 66(1):113-124.