Section A-Research paper
ISSN 2063-5346

Innovations in Structural Health Monitoring: A Review

Manjeet, Arun Kumar

Department of Civil Engineering, University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Maharshi Dayanand University Rohtak

mtkumar316@gmail.com, dgr.manjeet15@gmail.com

Abstract – Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is a crucial field in civil engineering that strives to assure the safety, reliability, and durability of structures. With the increasing complexity and aging of infrastructure around the world, continuous assessment and monitoring of structural conditions is critical. SHM assesses structural performance in real time or on a regular basis using modern sensing technologies, data analysis methodologies, and monitoring systems. The major goal of SHM is to detect, diagnose, and analyze structural issues in order to enable proactive maintenance, repair, and retrofitting techniques. SHM has various advantages, including early identification of damage, proactive interventions, better maintenance planning, increased safety, and improved design and construction procedures. This paper presents an overview of SHM applications in a variety of domains, including bridges, buildings, dams, pipelines, wind turbines, offshore structures, airplanes, sports stadiums, and historical monuments. The study also discusses the challenges of implementing SHM. In order to solve these problems, joint efforts and technical breakthroughs are required to enable effective and dependable structure health monitoring in civil engineering applications.

Keywords – SHM, applications, challenges, sensor selection, placement, data management, analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) has arisen as a vital topic in civil engineering, with the goal of ensuring structure safety, reliability, and durability [1]. With the rising complexity and aging of infrastructure around the world, there is an increased need for constant assessment and monitoring of structural conditions to detect any indicators of damage, degradation, or potential breakdowns. The use of advanced sensing technologies, data analytic methodologies, and monitoring systems to offer real-time or periodic assessment of structural performance is referred to as structural health monitoring [2].

Structural Health Monitoring's major goal is to detect, diagnose, and then assess structural status, enabling for proactive maintenance, repair, and retrofitting techniques. Engineers and asset owners may make informed judgments on the structural integrity of buildings, bridges, dams, pipelines, and other infrastructure assets by using SHM systems. SHM provides timely interventions through offering early warning indications, preventing catastrophic failures, lowering repair costs, and prolonging the service life of structures.

Health of the Structure Monitoring entails the installation of numerous sensors that measure factors including strain, displacement, acceleration, temperature, and corrosion [3]. These sensors can be connected to the surface of the structure or integrated into its structural parts. Sensor data is collected and recorded by data capture systems, which is then processed and analyzed using modern algorithms and procedures. To understand sensor data and forecast the behavior of structures under varied stress circumstances, structural models and simulations are frequently used.

The advantages of structural health monitoring are numerous. It enables early detection of damage or deterioration, allowing for prompt repairs or interventions to prevent further structural deterioration. SHM delivers real-time information on structural integrity by continually monitoring structures, enabling for preventive interventions to safeguard the safety of inhabitants and the public [4]. Furthermore, SHM aids in maintenance planning, lowering costs by preventing unnecessary repairs and maximizing resource utilization.

SHM data also contributes to better design and construction techniques, resulting in more robust and enduring structures in the future.

II. APPLICATION OF STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING

The benefits of tracking, recognizing, and quantifying features of interest from structural reactions are limitless in terms of cost, time, and safety. To date, structural health monitoring (SHM) has been widely used in a variety of industries, including aerospace, automotive, and mechanical engineering. Here are some examples of comprehensive Structural Health Monitoring applications:

SHM offers continuous bridge health monitoring, identifying and measuring structural problems such as fractures, corrosion, and fatigue [6]. It gives early notice of possible problems, allowing for prompt actions and lowering the chance of bridge failure. SHM data aids in the optimization of maintenance schedules, reducing downtime and repair costs. Monitoring bridge structural behavior during extreme events such as earthquakes or heavy traffic loads aids in analyzing structural performance. SHM systems are used to monitor structural integrity and safety in high-rise buildings, historical structures, and essential infrastructure [7]. Constant monitoring aids in the detection of long-term structural damage, such as settlement or creep. In earthquake-prone areas, SHM aids in analyzing the success of retrofitting procedures and measuring building responses during seismic occurrences.

SHM monitors parameters such as seepage, slope stability, and deformation to maintain the stability and safety of dams and levees. Early diagnosis of possible problems allows for timely maintenance or corrective actions to prevent dam failures and flood occurrences [8]. SHM is used to monitor the integrity of oil, gas, and water pipelines in order to detect leaks, cracks, and deformation. It allows for continuous monitoring of crucial portions, reducing the likelihood of pipeline ruptures and environmental harm [9].

SHM in wind turbines aids in the assessment of structural stresses and fatigue, the optimization of maintenance schedules, and the improvement of turbine performance. Continuous monitoring aids in identifying and mitigating concerns caused by dynamic wind loading [10]. SHM is critical for assessing the safety and performance of offshore platforms, undersea structures, and maritime infrastructure. • It aids in the detection of corrosion, fatigue, and other damage caused by hostile marine environments.

SHM is used in the aerospace sector to monitor the structural health of airplanes, spacecraft, and satellites while they are in operation. Constant monitoring ensures the safety and dependability of important aircraft structures under a variety of operational scenarios. It is used in major sports facilities to monitor structural behavior during high-crowd events. It contributes to the safety and integrity of stadium structures, particularly during high-occupancy circumstances. SHM aids in the preservation of historical monuments and heritage places by monitoring structural stability and detecting indicators of degradation or damage. Ongoing monitoring contributes to the preservation of cultural resources for future generations. Structural Health Monitoring plays a vital role in various civil engineering applications, enhancing safety, minimizing maintenance costs, and extending the service life of critical infrastructure. The continuous advancements in sensing technologies and data analysis techniques are continually expanding the potential applications of SHM in various sectors, making it an indispensable tool in modern civil engineering practices.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Mishra et al. (2022) did a thorough analysis of the Internet of Things (IoT) use in structural health monitoring (SHM) of civil engineering structures. They talked on the possibility of IoT-based monitoring systems for collecting real-time data from various sensors put on structures, allowing for continuous structural health assessment.

Cawley (2018) addressed the gap between structural health monitoring (SHM) research and industrial adoption. The evaluation emphasized the obstacles and limitations to implementing SHM systems in practical applications and offered ideas for bridging this gap in order to allow the wider implementation of SHM in the industry.

Pallarés et al. (2021) presented a hands-on look at structural health monitoring (SHM) and nondestructive testing (NDT) procedures for thin masonry structures. The authors highlighted the difficulties in monitoring and assessing the status of such structures, as well as the available methods for SHM and NDT, such as visual examination, acoustic methods, and digital imaging techniques.

Li et al. (2016) provided a comprehensive evaluation of structural health monitoring (SHM) of big and complex civil infrastructures. The evaluation included a variety of SHM topics, such as sensor technology, data analysis methodologies, and structural modeling approaches. The authors presented current advances in SHM research as well as the problems and potential in monitoring large-scale structures.

Sohn (2007) discussed the implications of environmental and operational variability on structural health monitoring (SHM). The review stressed the need of incorporating environmental parameters such as temperature, humidity, and wind loads, as well as operational factors such as dynamic loads and structural usage patterns, in the design and interpretation of SHM systems.

Liu and Kleiner (2012) conducted a state-of-the-art review of technology for monitoring pipe structural health. The paper covered several approaches, such as acoustic emission, guided waves, and fiber optic sensors, for detecting flaws and monitoring the state of pipes used in various industries, such as oil and gas, water distribution, and transportation.

Luleci et al. (2022) performed a review of the literature on the use of generative adversarial networks (GANs) in civil structural health monitoring (SHM). The paper investigated the potential of GANs for creating synthetic structural responses and solving obstacles in SHM applications such as restricted data availability and imbalanced datasets.

Antoniadou et al. (2015) examined structural health and condition monitoring of offshore wind turbines. The review examined the difficulties associated with monitoring wind turbines in offshore environments, such as extreme weather, corrosion, and fatigue. In the context of offshore wind turbine SHM, various monitoring approaches and tactics, such as vibration-based monitoring and strain measurement, were considered.

Li et al. (2018) provided a case study of structural health monitoring (SHM) applied to a 600-meter-high building. The study explored the issues of monitoring tall buildings, as well as sensor deployment tactics, data analysis methodologies, and decision-making procedures for assuring the structural integrity and safety of such structures.

IV. CHALLENGES IN STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) confronts a number of issues that must be solved in order for it to be implemented effectively and reliably. Here are some of the most pressing issues in SHM:

1. Sensor Selection and Placement: Choosing appropriate sensors and establishing the best positions for them on structures can be difficult. Sensor accuracy, compatibility, durability, and cost are all important considerations. Furthermore, sensor placement in vital regions and sufficient coverage across the structure are critical for reliable data collection.
2. Data Management and Analysis: Managing and processing massive amounts of data gathered by SHM devices can be difficult. To handle the continuous influx of sensor data, efficient data storage, transmission, and processing systems are necessary. Furthermore, appropriate data processing algorithms and methodologies must be developed in order to extract useful information and discover structural anomalies or damage.
3. Scalability and Cost: Implementing SHM on large-scale infrastructure can be costly and time-consuming. The deployment of a dense network of sensors and data collection systems, as well as the accompanying infrastructure, can be costly. It is vital to weigh the costs of installing SHMs against the benefits of improved structural performance and decreased maintenance costs.
4. Sensor Reliability and Durability: It is critical for long-term SHM operation to maintain sensor reliability and durability under harsh environmental conditions. Temperature variations, moisture, vibrations, and other environmental variables must be tolerated by sensors in order to produce accurate and consistent data over long periods of time.
5. Data Interpretation and Decision-Making: It might be difficult to interpret acquired data and make educated judgments based on that data. Due to environmental conditions, SHM data may contain noise, uncertainties, or variances, making it critical to design strong algorithms and models for proper interpretation and decision-making.
6. Lack of standardization and compatibility among SHM systems and technologies presents issues in data integration and comparability across different structures and monitoring platforms. Creating

common standards and protocols for data interchange and integration is critical for SHM system implementation and compatibility.

7. Power Supply and Energy Efficiency: Supplying continuous power to SHM systems, particularly in remote or inaccessible places, can be difficult. To increase the operational lifespan of monitoring systems, energy-efficient sensor designs and power management mechanisms are essential.
8. Privacy and Security: It is critical to ensure the privacy and security of SHM data. To secure sensitive data from illegal access, modification, or cyber-attacks, safeguards must be put in place. To protect SHM systems and acquired data, data encryption, secure connection protocols, and effective cybersecurity techniques are required.
9. Calibration and Maintenance: To ensure accurate and trustworthy data, SHM systems must be calibrated and maintained on a regular basis. Sensor calibration and quality control techniques must be performed on a regular basis to ensure the integrity and performance of the system.

Addressing these issues would necessitate the collaboration of SHM researchers, engineers, and stakeholders. Sensor technology advancements, data analysis techniques, communication systems, and standardization efforts can assist overcome these hurdles and pave the way for more effective and dependable structural health monitoring in civil engineering applications.

V. CONCLUSION

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is an important part of civil engineering that provides continual assessment and monitoring of structural problems to maintain the safety, reliability, and durability of infrastructure. Engineers and asset owners can make more informed decisions on the structural integrity of buildings, bridges, dams, pipelines, and other key structures by deploying SHM systems. SHM has numerous advantages, including early identification of deterioration, proactive maintenance, better resource usage, greater safety, and improved design processes. However, implementing SHM presents several challenges, including sensor selection and placement, data management and analysis, scalability and cost, sensor reliability and durability, data interpretation and decision-making, standardization and interoperability, power supply and energy efficiency, privacy and security, and calibration and maintenance. To overcome these problems, joint efforts and developments in sensor technology, data analysis techniques, communication systems, and standardization activities are required. By resolving these issues, SHM can continue to progress as a vital tool in modern civil engineering techniques, contributing to the global safety, sustainability, and lifespan of infrastructure.

REFRENCES

[1] Gharehbaghi, V. R., Noroozinejad Farsangi, E., Noori, M., Yang, T. Y., Li, S., Nguyen, A., ... & Mirjalili, S. (2021). A critical review on structural health monitoring: Definitions, methods, and perspectives. Archives of computational methods in engineering, 1-27.
[2] Dong, C. Z., & Catbas, F. N. (2021). A review of computer vision–based structural health monitoring at local and global levels. Structural Health Monitoring, 20(2), 692-743.
[3] Ostachowicz, W., Soman, R., & Malinowski, P. (2019). Optimization of sensor placement for structural health monitoring: A review. Structural Health Monitoring, 18(3), 963-988.
[4] Liu, W., Tang, B., & Jiang, Y. (2010). Status and problems of wind turbine structural health monitoring techniques in China. Renewable Energy, 35(7), 1414-1418.
[5] Güemes, A., Fernandez-Lopez, A., Pozo, A. R., & Sierra-Pérez, J. (2020). Structural health monitoring for advanced composite structures: A review. Journal of Composites Science, 4(1), 13.
[6] Ye, X. W., Dong, C. Z., & Liu, T. (2016). A review of machine vision-based structural health monitoring: Methodologies and applications. Journal of Sensors, 2016.
[7] Mishra, M., Lourenço, P. B., & Ramana, G. V. (2022). Structural health monitoring of civil engineering structures by using the internet of things: A review. Journal of Building Engineering, 48, 103954.
[8] Cawley, P. (2018). Structural health monitoring: Closing the gap between research and industrial deployment. Structural health monitoring, 17(5), 1225-1244.
[9] Pallarés, F. J., Betti, M., Bartoli, G., & Pallarés, L. (2021). Structural health monitoring (SHM) and Nondestructive testing (NDT) of slender masonry structures: A practical review. Construction and Building Materials, 297, 123768.

[10] Li, H. N., Ren, L., Jia, Z. G., Yi, T. H., & Li, D. S. (2016). State-of-the-art in structural health monitoring of large and complex civil infrastructures. Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring, 6, 3-16.

[11] Sohn, H. (2007). Effects of environmental and operational variability on structural health monitoring. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 365(1851), 539-560.

[12] Liu, Z., & Kleiner, Y. (2012). State-of-the-art review of technologies for pipe structural health monitoring. IEEE Sensors Journal, 12(6), 1987-1992.

[13] Luleci, F., Catbas, F. N., & Avci, O. (2022). A literature review: Generative adversarial networks for civil structural health monitoring. Frontiers in Built Environment, 8, 1027379.

[14] Antoniadou, I., Dervilis, N., Papatheou, E., Maguire, A. E., & Worden, K. (2015). Aspects of structural health and condition monitoring of offshore wind turbines. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 373(2035), 20140075.

[15] Li, Q., He, Y., Zhou, K., Han, X., He, Y., & Shu, Z. (2018). Structural health monitoring for a 600 m high skyscraper. The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings, 27(12), e1490.

[16] Sreenath, S., Malik, H., Husnu, N., & Kalaichelavan, K. (2020). Assessment and use of unmanned aerial vehicle for civil structural health monitoring. Procedia Computer Science, 170, 656-663.

[17] Goyal, D., & Pabla, B. S. (2016). The vibration monitoring methods and signal processing techniques for structural health monitoring: a review. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 23, 585-594.

[18] Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Shen, C., Wu, J., & Zhao, A. (2022). A review of radio frequency identification sensing systems for structural health monitoring. Materials, 15(21), 7851.

[19] Ye, X. W., Su, Y. H., & Han, J. P. (2014). Structural health monitoring of civil infrastructure using optical fiber sensing technology: A comprehensive review. The Scientific World Journal, 2014.

[20] Wu, R. T., & Jahanshahi, M. R. (2020). Data fusion approaches for structural health monitoring and system identification: past, present, and future. Structural Health Monitoring, 19(2), 552-586.