



Approach of VIKOR Method under MCDM Using Fuzzy Numbers

I. Igno Mary¹ and S. Sandhiya^{2*}

1 Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,

2 Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,

Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamilnadu.

* Corresponding Author: E-mail: sandhyasundarr@gmail.com
ignomary.7115@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Decision making is a difficult task because of the environment's ambiguity and imprecision. Such issues can be resolved by applying the ideas of fuzzy sets by using modified VIKOR method. The aim of this paper is to select a suitable crop for all climatic changes. The application of fuzzy decision making problem is explained through a numerical example to find the best crop.

Keywords

MCDM, VIKOR Method, Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Decision Making Problems.

DOI: 10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si13.008

INTRODUCTION

Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) is a key technique in expert systems and operations research that involves a variety of decision alternatives and criteria. It entails designing, planning, and solving decision issues using a variety of criteria. Due to its capacity to increase the quality of decisions through a more explicit, logical, and effective procedure. When compared to conventional approaches, the use of MCDM is gaining favour quickly. Researchers have created a variety of MCDM methods throughout the years to address a variety of discrete challenges in many fields. In order to

handle decision-making difficulties, this method is used to address the crucial requirement of identifying the qualified alternatives from a collection of options based on the selected criteria. Additionally, MCDM methodologies are typically split into two primary approaches, each of which offers criterion weighting and/or alternative ranking. This Method of weighting and ranking method should, however, be used with a decision-making process because problems and challenges are frequently complicated. Fuzzy sets were introduced independently by Lotfi A.Zadeh in 1965 as an extension of the classical notion of set. Fuzzy number have been introduced by Zadeh in order to deal with imprecise numerical quantities in a practical way.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fuzzy Set

A fuzzy set A defined on X can be expressed as a collection of ordered pairs if X is a discourse universe and x is a specific element of X .

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)), x \in X\}$$

2.2. Normalized Fuzzy Set

A fuzzy set is called normalized when at least one of its elements attain the maximum possible membership grade. That is, the membership grade range is in the closed interval between $[0, 1]$ where at least one element must have a membership grade of 1.

2.3. Fuzzy Number

It is a fuzzy set it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) Convex fuzzy set.
- (ii) Normalized fuzzy set.
- (iii) Its membership function is piecewise continuous.
- (iv) It is defined in the real number.

2.4. Triangular Fuzzy Number (TFN)

A fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c)$ is said to be Triangular fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x \leq a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & , a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{c-x}{c-b} & , b \leq x \leq c \\ 0 & , x \geq c \end{cases}$$

Where a, b, c are real numbers .

2.5. Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number

A fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c, d)$ is said to be Trapezoidal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x < a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & , a \leq x \leq b \\ 1 & , b \leq x \leq c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & , c \leq x \leq d \\ 0 & , x > d \end{cases}$$

Where a, b, c, d are real numbers.

2.6. Pentagonal fuzzy number

A fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c, d, e)$ is said to be Pentagonal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x < a, e \leq x \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & , a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{x-b}{c-b} & , b \leq x \leq c \\ 1 & , x = c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & , c \leq x \leq d \\ \frac{e-x}{e-d} & , e \leq x \leq d \end{cases}$$

Where a, b, c, d, e are real numbers .

2.7. Hexagonal fuzzy number

A fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c, d, e, f)$ is said to be Hexagonal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x < a \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) & , a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x-b}{c-b}\right) & , b \leq x \leq c \\ 1 & , c \leq x \leq d \\ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x-d}{e-d}\right) & , d \leq x \leq e \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f-x}{f-e}\right) & , e \leq x \leq f \\ 0 & , x > e \end{cases}$$

Where a, b, c, d, e, f are real numbers .

2.8. Heptagonal fuzzy number

A fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g)$ is said to be Heptagonal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) & , a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{1}{2} & , b \leq x \leq c \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x-d}{d-c}\right) + 1 & , c \leq x \leq d \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{d-x}{e-d}\right) + 1 & , d \leq x \leq e \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & , e \leq x \leq f \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{g-x}{g-f}\right) & , f \leq x \leq g \\ 0 & , otherwise \end{cases}$$

Where a, b, c, d, e, f, g are real numbers .

3. VIKOR METHOD

Opricovic and Tzeng suggested VIKOR method, a compromise ranking approach, as a suitable means of ranking technique under MCDM problems. This technique was created to address discrete choice issues and improve complex systems using several criteria that are incommensurable and incompatible. When a decision-maker is unable to convey their desire for a compromise solution, this is a useful decision-making methodology.

3.1. PROCEDURE:

Step 1: Determination of Best and Worst value is given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_j^* &= \text{Max}(f_{ij}) \\ f_j^- &= \text{Min}(f_{ij}) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{----- (1)}$$

Step 2: Normalization of S_j and R_j are given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \left[\frac{f_j^* - f_{ij}}{f_j^* - f_j^-} \right]; i = 1 \text{ to } m. \\ R_j &= \max_j \left[w_j \frac{f_j^* - f_{ij}}{f_j^* - f_j^-} \right]; i = 1 \text{ to } m, j = 1 \text{ to } n. \end{aligned} \right\} \text{----- (2)}$$

Step 3: Computation of Q_j for group utility function

$$Q_j = v \left(\frac{S_j - S^+}{S^+ - S^-} \right) + (1 - v) \left(\frac{R_j - R^+}{R^- - R^+} \right) \text{----- (3)}$$

Step 4:

Sorting of R_j, S_j and Q_j are made from their minimum value. Hence the three ranking list is obtained.

Step 5:

Case 1: $Q(a(2)) - Q(a(1)) \geq D_Q$

Case 2: Choice of random acceptance stability, Where Q_j is best choice from S and R with $v \geq 0.5$.

4. LINGUISTIC VARIABLES

A linguistic variable X is a variable whose values are natural or artificial language words or sentences. If ‘age’ is viewed as a linguistic variable, for example, its term set, (X) , or the set of its linguistic values, could be

$$\tau(\text{age}) = \text{Very Very Young, Very Young, Medium Young, ...}$$

Where each term in $\tau(\text{age})$ represents a description for a fuzzy subset of a discourse universe.

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

By using different fuzzy numbers, the farmer (decision maker) has to find the best crop for all climatic changes using the VIKOR method.

EXAMPLE 1: Using Triangular Fuzzy Number

| Linguistic Variables | Triangular fuzzy number |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Very Very low[1,5] | (1, 2, 4) |
| Low[6,10] | (6, 8, 9) |
| Medium[11,15] | (12,13, 15) |
| Very important[16,20] | (17,19 , 20) |
| Very high important[21,25] | (21, 22, 24) |

| Criteria C' / Alternative A' | C'_1 (Plough) | C'_2 (Feed quality) | C'_3 (Water) | C'_4 (Fertilizer) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| A'_1 | Very Important | Medium | Low | Medium |

| | | | | |
|--------|---------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------|
| A'_2 | Very Important | Low | Medium | Very Very low |
| A'_3 | Medium | Low | Medium | Very high important |
| A'_4 | Very high important | Very Important | Medium | Low |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
| A'_1 | (17,19 , 20) | (12,13, 15) | (6, 8, 9) | (12,13, 15) |
| A'_2 | (17,19 , 20) | (6, 8, 9) | (12,13, 15) | (1, 2, 4) |
| A'_3 | (12,13, 15) | (6, 8, 9) | (12,13, 15) | (21, 22, 24) |
| A'_4 | (21, 22, 24) | (17,19 , 20) | (12,13, 15) | (6, 8, 9) |

ALGORITHM

Step 1: The Triangular fuzzy number can be defuzzified by using the formula

$$\left(\frac{a + b + c}{3} \right)$$

After defuzzification we get

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
| A'_1 | 18.7 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 13.3 |
| A'_2 | 18.7 | 7.7 | 13.3 | 2.3 |
| A'_3 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 13.3 | 18.7 |
| A'_4 | 22.3 | 18.7 | 13.3 | 7.7 |

Step 2:

Find maximum and minimum values of each column from the defuzzification table by using the Equation (1)

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|

| | | | | |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| A'_1 | 18.7 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 13.3 |
| A'_2 | 18.7 | 7.7 | 13.3 | 2.3 |
| A'_3 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 13.3 | 18.7 |
| A'_4 | 22.3 | 18.7 | 13.3 | 7.7 |
| $f_j^*(max)$ | 22.3 | 18.7 | 13.3 | 18.7 |
| $f_j^-(min)$ | 13.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 2.3 |

Step 3: Calculate the S_j and R_j index for each alternatives by using the Equation (2)

| S_j | R_j |
|-------|-------|
| 0.55 | 0.14 |
| 0.63 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 0.2 | 0.2 |

Step 4:

Calculate Q_j by using the Equation (3) and also find the Ranks for the alternative are given by

| Alternative | Q_j | Rank |
|-------------|-------|------|
| A'_1 | 0.254 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 1 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 0.884 | 3 |
| A'_4 | 0.177 | 1 |

RESULT

The above table clearly shows that A'_4 (Him) has the minimum score value. So, the decision maker has decided to choose the A'_4 (Him) as a best crop .

EXAMPLE 2: Using Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number

| Linguistic Variables | Trapezoidal fuzzy number |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Very Very low [1,5] | (1,3,4,5) |
| Low[6,10] | (6,7,10,9) |
| Medium[11,15] | (11,13,15,14) |
| Very important[16,20] | (17,16,19,20) |
| Very high important[21,25] | (23,25,21,24) |

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| A'_1 | (17,16,19,20) | (11,13,15,14) | (6,7,10,9) | (11,13,15,14) |
| A'_2 | (17,16,19,20) | (6,7,10,9) | (11,13,15,14) | (1,3,4,5) |
| A'_3 | (11,13,15,14) | (6,7,10,9) | (11,13,15,14) | (23,25,21,24) |
| A'_4 | (23,25,21,24) | (17,16,19,20) | (11,13,15,14) | (6,7,10,9) |

ALGORITHM

Step 1: The Trapezoidal fuzzy number can be defuzzified by using the formula

$$\left(\frac{2a + 7b + 7c + 2d}{18} \right)$$

After defuzzification we get

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 17.722 | 13.667 | 8.278 | 13.667 |
| A'_2 | 17.722 | 8.278 | 13.667 | 3.389 |
| A'_3 | 13.667 | 8.278 | 13.667 | 23.111 |
| A'_4 | 23.111 | 17.722 | 13.667 | 8.278 |

Step 2:

Find maximum and minimum values of each column from the defuzzification table by using the Equation (1)

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 17.722 | 13.667 | 8.278 | 13.667 |
| A'_2 | 17.722 | 8.278 | 13.667 | 3.389 |
| A'_3 | 13.667 | 8.278 | 13.667 | 23.111 |
| A'_4 | 23.111 | 17.722 | 13.667 | 8.278 |
| $f_j^*(max)$ | 23.111 | 17.722 | 13.667 | 23.111 |
| $f_j^-(min)$ | 13.667 | 8.278 | 8.278 | 3.389 |

Step 3:

Calculate the S_j and R_j index for each alternatives by using the Equation (2)

| S_j | R_j |
|-------|-------|
| 0.489 | 0.143 |
| 0.643 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 0.188 | 0.188 |

Step 4:

Calculate Q_j by using the Equation (3) and also find the Ranks for the alternative are given by

| Alternative | Q_j | Rank |
|-------------|-------|------|
| A'_1 | 0.331 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 1 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 0.843 | 3 |
| A'_4 | 0.211 | 1 |

RESULT

The above table clearly shows that A'_4 (Him) has the minimum score value. So, the decision maker has decided to choose the A'_4 (Him) as a best crop .

EXAMPLE 3: Using Pentagonal Fuzzy Number

| Linguistic Variables | Pentagonal fuzzy number |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Very Very low[1,10] | (2,5,7,8,3) |
| Low[11,20] | (13,12,16,14,20) |
| Medium[21,30] | (25,24,26,28,30) |
| Very important[31,40] | (35,32,38,40,31) |
| Very high important[41,50] | (45,43,42,44,48) |

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A'_1 | (35,32,38,40,31) | (25,24,26,28,30) | (13,12,16,14,20) | (25,24,26,28,30) |
| A'_2 | (35,32,38,40,31) | (13,12,16,14,20) | (25,24,26,28,30) | (2,5,7,8,3) |
| A'_3 | (25,24,26,28,30) | (13,12,16,14,20) | (25,24,26,28,30) | (45,43,42,44,48) |
| A'_4 | (45,43,42,44,48) | (35,32,38,40,31) | (25,24,26,28,30) | (13,12,16,14,20) |

ALGORITHM

Step 1: The Pentagonal fuzzy number can be defuzzified by using the formula

$$\left(\frac{6(a + b + c + d + e)}{15} \right)$$

After defuzzification we get

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--------|------|------|------|------|
| A'_1 | 70.4 | 53.2 | 30 | 53.2 |
| A'_2 | 70.4 | 30 | 53.2 | 10 |
| A'_3 | 53.2 | 30 | 53.2 | 88.8 |
| A'_4 | 88.8 | 70.4 | 53.2 | 30 |

Step 2:

Find maximum and minimum values of each column from the defuzzification table by using the Equation (1)

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 70.4 | 53.2 | 30 | 53.2 |
| A'_2 | 70.4 | 30 | 53.2 | 10 |
| A'_3 | 53.2 | 30 | 53.2 | 88.8 |
| A'_4 | 88.8 | 70.4 | 53.2 | 30 |
| $f_j^*(max)$ | 88.8 | 70.4 | 53.2 | 88.8 |
| $f_j^-(min)$ | 53.2 | 30 | 30 | 10 |

Step 3:

Calculate the S_j and R_j index for each alternatives by using the Equation (2)

| S_j | R_j |
|-------|-------|
| 0.462 | 0.129 |
| 0.629 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 0.187 | 0.187 |

Step 4:

Calculate Q_j by using the Equation (3) and also find the Ranks for the alternative are given by

| Alternative | Q_j | Rank |
|-------------|--------|------|
| A'_1 | 0.3106 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 1 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 0.854 | 3 |
| A'_4 | 0.237 | 1 |

RESULT

The above table clearly shows that A'_4 (Him) has the minimum score value. So, the decision maker has decided to choose the A'_4 (Him) as a best crop .

EXAMPLE 4: Hexagonal Fuzzy Number

| Linguistic Variables | Hexagonal fuzzy number |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Very Very low[1,10] | (1,3,2,5,6,4) |
| Low[11,20] | (11,15,19,20,14,17) |
| Medium[21,30] | (24,21,25,28,30,29) |
| Very important[31,40] | (34,33,36,37,38,40) |
| Very high important[41,50] | (41,44,45,48,50,47) |

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| A'_1 | (34,33,36,37,38,40) | (24,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,21,25,28,30,29) |
| A'_2 | (34,33,36,37,38,40) | (11,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,21,25,28,30,29) | (1,3,2,5,6,4) |
| A'_3 | (24,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,21,25,28,30,29) | (41,44,45,48,50,47) |
| A'_4 | (41,44,45,48,50,47) | (34,33,36,37,38,40) | (24,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,15,19,20,14,17) |

ALGORITHM

Step 1: The Hexagonal fuzzy number can be defuzzified by using the formula

$$\left(\frac{2a + 3b + 4c + 4d + 3e + 2f}{18} \right)$$

After defuzzification we get

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 38.389 | 27.833 | 17.389 | 27.833 |
| A'_2 | 38.389 | 17.389 | 27.833 | 3.944 |
| A'_3 | 27.833 | 17.389 | 27.833 | 48.889 |
| A'_4 | 48.889 | 38.389 | 27.833 | 17.389 |

Step 2:

Find maximum and minimum values of each column from the defuzzification table by using the Equation (1)

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 38.389 | 27.833 | 17.389 | 27.833 |
| A'_2 | 38.389 | 17.389 | 27.833 | 3.944 |
| A'_3 | 27.833 | 17.389 | 27.833 | 48.889 |
| A'_4 | 48.889 | 38.389 | 27.833 | 17.389 |
| $f_j^*(max)$ | 38.389 | 27.833 | 17.389 | 27.833 |
| $f_j^-(min)$ | 38.389 | 17.389 | 27.833 | 3.944 |

Step 3:

Calculate the S_j and R_j index for each alternatives by using the Equation (2)

| S_j | R_j |
|-------|-------|
| 0.485 | 0.126 |
| 0.625 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 0.175 | 0.175 |

Step 4:

Calculate Q_j by using the Equation (3) and also find the Ranks for the alternative are given by

| Alternative | Q_j | Rank |
|-------------|-------|------|
| A'_1 | 0.344 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 1 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 0.861 | 3 |
| A'_4 | 0.199 | 1 |

RESULT

The above table clearly shows that A'_4 (Him) has the minimum score value. So, the decision maker has decided to choose the A'_4 (Him) as a best crop.

EXAMPLE 5: Heptagonal Fuzzy Number

| Linguistic Variables | Heptagonal fuzzy number |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Very Very low[1,10] | (1,3,2,5,6,4,7) |
| Low[11,20] | (11,13,15,19,20,14,17) |
| Medium[21,30] | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) |
| Very important[31,40] | (34,32,33,36,37,38,40) |
| Very high important[41,50] | (41,49,44,45,48,50,47) |

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| A'_1 | (34,32,33,36,37,38,40) | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,13,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) |
| A'_2 | (34,32,33,36,37,38,40) | (11,13,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) | (1,3,2,5,6,4,7) |

| | | | | |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| A'_3 | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,13,15,19,20,14,17) | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) | (41,49,44,45,48,50,47) |
| A'_4 | (41,49,44,45,48,50,47) | (34,32,33,36,37,38,40) | (24,27,21,25,28,30,29) | (11,13,15,19,20,14,17) |

ALGORITHM:

Step 1: The Heptagonal fuzzy number can be defuzzified by using the formula

$$\left(\frac{a + 6b + 15c + 20d + 15e + 6f + g}{64} \right)$$

After defuzzification we get

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 35.375 | 25.469 | 17.109 | 25.469 |
| A'_2 | 35.375 | 17.109 | 25.469 | 4.219 |
| A'_3 | 25.469 | 17.109 | 25.469 | 46.297 |
| A'_4 | 46.297 | 35.375 | 25.469 | 17.109 |

Step 2:

Find maximum and minimum values of each column from the defuzzification table by using the Equation (1)

| | C'_1 | C'_2 | C'_3 | C'_4 |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A'_1 | 35.375 | 25.469 | 17.109 | 25.469 |
| A'_2 | 35.375 | 17.109 | 25.469 | 4.219 |
| A'_3 | 25.469 | 17.109 | 25.469 | 46.297 |
| A'_4 | 46.297 | 35.375 | 25.469 | 17.109 |
| $f_j^*(max)$ | 46.297 | 35.375 | 25.469 | 46.297 |
| $f_j^-(min)$ | 25.469 | 17.109 | 17.109 | 4.219 |

Step 3:

Calculate the S_j and R_j index for each alternatives by using the Equation (2)

| S_j | R_j |
|-------|-------|
| 0.514 | 0.136 |
| 0.631 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.25 |
| 0.173 | 0.173 |

Step 4:

Calculate Q_j by using the Equation (3) and also find the Ranks for the alternative are given by

| Alternative | Q_j | Rank |
|-------------|-------|------|
| A'_1 | 0.372 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 1 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 0.857 | 3 |
| A'_4 | 0.165 | 1 |

RESULT

The above table clearly shows that A'_4 (Him) has the minimum score value. So, the decision maker has decided to choose the A'_4 (Him) as a best crop .

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

| | Triangular Fuzzy number | Trapezoidal Fuzzy number | Pentagonal Fuzzy number | Hexagonal Fuzzy number | Heptagonal Fuzzy number |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| A'_1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| A'_2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| A'_3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A'_4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|

7. CONCLUSION:

In this paper, we have compared the different fuzzy numbers using the VIKOR method to find the best crop under all climatic conditions. After analysing the fuzzy numbers, triangular, trapezoidal, pentagonal, hexagonal, and heptagonal, we have the same ranking order, i.e., the decision maker has found the best crop A'_4 (HIM) for all climatic changes.

8. REFERENCES:

1. Akram M, ShahzadiG, Ahmadini AAH, “ Decision-making framework for an effective sanitizer to reduce COVID-19 under fermatean fuzzy environment”, Journal of Mathematics, (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3263407>.
2. Albahri OS, Al-Obaidi JR, Zaidan AA, Albahri AS, Zaidan BB, Salih MM, et al. Helping doctors hasten COVID-19 treatment: Towards a rescue framework for the transfusion of best convalescent plasma to the most critical patients based on biological requirements via ml and novel MCDM methods. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine , Vol 196, (2020). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105617>.
3. Albahri OS, Albahri AS, Mohammed K I, Zaidan A A, Zaidan B B, Hashim M, “ Systematic review of real-time remote health monitoring system in triage and priority-based sensor technology: Taxonomy, open challenges, motivation and recommendations” J Med System Vol:42, Issue:5,1–27(2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-018-0943-4>
4. Albahri OS, Zaidan AA, Zaidan BB, Hashim M, Albahri AS, Alsalem MA, “Realtime remote health-monitoring Systems in a Medical Centre: A

- review of the provision of healthcare services-based body sensor information, open challenges and methodological aspects”, *J Med Syst* Vol 42, Issue 9,1–47(2018).
5. Garg H, Shahzadi G, & Akram M, “ Decision-making analysis based on Fermatean fuzzy Yager aggregation operators with application in COVID-19 testing facility” , *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, (2020).<https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7279027>
 6. Hemlata Aggarwal, Arora H D , Vijay Kumar, “ A Decision Making Problem As An Application Of Fuzzy Sets”, *Internal Journal of Scientific and Technology Research* , Vol 8, Issue 11 (2019).
 7. Jei- Zheng Wu , Pei-Jen Tiao ,” A validation scheme for intelligent and effective multiple criteria decision-making”, *Applied Soft Computing*, Vol 68:866–72(2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.04.054>
 8. LazimAbdullah , Noor Jamalina Jamal, “Determination of Weights for health Related Quality of Life Indicators among Kidney Patients: A Fuzzy Decision Making Method”, *Applied Research Quality Life*, 6:349-361 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-010-9133-3>.
 9. Mahatab Uddin Molla, BibhasC , Giri, Pranav Biswas, “Extended PROMETHEE method with Pythagorean fuzzy sets for medical diagnosis problems “, *Soft Computing* , 4503-4512 (2021).
 10. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Yongquan Zhou, Mai Mohamed and Victor Chang , “ A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic VIKOR approach for e-government website evaluation”,*Journal of Intelligent and fuzzy Systems* Vol:34, Issue:6(2018).<https://DOI:10.3233/JIFS-171952>

11. Muhammad Yahya, Saleem Abdullah, Muhammad Qiyas , “Analysis of medical diagnosis based on fuzzy credibility dombi Bonferroni mean operator”, Journal of ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-022-04203-2>.
12. Shahzadi G, Akram M, “ Group decision-making for the selection of an antivirus mask under fermatean fuzzy soft information”, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems , Vol 40, No 1, pp . 1401-1416 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-201760>.
13. Zahed L A, “ Fuzzy Sets”, Information and Control, Vol 8, Issue 3, pp 338-353(1965). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X).
14. Zaidan AA, Zaidan BB, Albahri OS, Alsalem MA, Albahri AS, Yas QM,” A review on smartphone skin cancer diagnosis apps in evaluation and benchmarking: coherent taxonomy, open issues and recommendation pathway solution”, Health and Technology Vol:8, Issue 4,223–38(2018).