# A REVIEW PAPER ON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT SAFETY

**Khalid Ahmed Omer Ahmed[1*], Dr. Ahmad Lutfi Bin Yusoff[2], Dr. Nur Hartini Binti Mohd Taib[3]**

**Abstract**

Nuclear power facilities are being industrialized in degrees and cohorts to meet globally power supply demands and to elevate the global economy. Since generation one, a variety of fuels and coolant chemicals have been validated for improved nuclear power plant performance. Nuclear or radioactive materials are utilized in medical sciences to heal life-threatening disorders, in addition to electricity generation. Nuclear power facilities are capable of providing enough electricity to fulfill global demand, but they have life-threatening side effects, and we face a disaster similar to Chernobyl. In this article, safety measures and techniques in different profile of nuclear power plant are studied. The majority of nuclear disasters occur as a result of human errors and miscalculations, with just a few occurring as a result of plant mechanism failure. Both of these elements are investigated in the essay to raise knowledge about nuclear power plant safety.

**Keywords:** Disaster, Safety, nuclear fuel, Coolants, human error

[1*]Department of Nuclear Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia. khalid@student.usm.my
[2]Universiti Sains Malaysia. Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, alutfi@usm.my
[3]Universiti Sains Malaysia. Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, nhartini@usm.my

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Ahmad Lutfi Bin Yusoff, Khalid Ahmed Omer Ahmed, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Radiotherapy & Oncology School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Health Campus, 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, MALAYSIA. E-mail: alutfi@usm.my E-mail: khalid@student.usm.my

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the lecturers at the Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS), School of Medical Sciences, for the feedback on search strategy. All authors reviewed and contributed towards Revising the final manuscript for important intellectual content.

**DOI:** 10.48047/ecb/2023.12.si10.00447

**I-Introduction:**

Warheads tossed by Russian forces towards Ukraine's Chernobyl nuclear station and the operational Zaporizhzhia composite sparked worldwide concern (Bugos, 2022) and heightened suspicions of a atomic tragedy in Eastern Europe (Laine, 2022). The Russian military has used radioactive material from reserve locations at the operating Zaporizhzhia fissionable power plant (ZNPP), and the Ukrainian government has reacted that they are masking a belligerent attitude and threatening citizens existing in the province. Rafael Grossi, the chairman of the Global Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), proposed for the ZNPP estate to be designated a demilitarized zone (Ahmed, 2022). On February 15, 2022, the Russian military seized the idle Chernobyl nuclear power plant (CNPP), capturing Ukrainian safety employees and engineers (Ryan, 2022). Labourers exhibited poor decision-making and lower focus under these stressful situations, both of which influence suitability for responsibility (Suh & Yim, 2020) [1].

It was proposed that both lateral employ the territory for governmental reasons, and that the menace of radiation impedes the protection of all employees, contrives, and disaster professionals. Though the bigger apprehension was the reconciliation of war acts on trenches adjacent nuclear facilities, ionizing radiation posed a significant and immediate hazard to Ukrainian fire and safety officials who were previously occupied with liberating people wedged in munitions interactions. Missiles nearby any NPP in Ukraine basically means these employees will be unprotected to radiation [1-2].

The focus on fatigue and human errors in nuclear production has mostly been on operators. Because of the critical nature of their jobs, operators are particularly exposed to plant security and weariness. However, tiredness might increase the likelihood of all personnel committing errors. Though operator faults are more likely to have a direct and immediate impact, errors displayed by most types of nuclear power plant personnel can have an impact on plant safety. Small undiscovered mistakes that stay latent in extremely complex, tightly coupled technical systems, such as nuclear power plants, might at some time mix with other defects to produce a potentially major disaster (Perrow, 1984; Reason, 1990) [2].

The Nuclear power Institute (NPI) issued NPI or NEI 2007 in July 2021, which was titled "Direction for Lecturing Software Common Reason Fiasco in Great Protection-Significant Safety-Associated Digital I&C Systems" [3]. A duel-stage approach based on STPA was developed to deal with HSSSR efficient CCFs: Stage 1 was to conduct a methodical hazards examination centered on STPA, which produced a archetypal of the system regulator configuration, identified perilous control movements as software fiascos, and established a menace drop objective; Stage 2 was to advance STPA l drop circumstances and effectively eradicate or reduce them. When whole HSSSR systems collapsed owing to software CCFs, a jumping valuation was applied to quantify the risk of change. The menace variation was then allocated to the areas of RG 1.174 [4] and utilized to define the RRO. Because this training tackles any inadequacies in DI&C in a qualitative manner based on a subjective judgment, the true protective barrier established by plant digitization on HSSSR DI&C systems may be overestimated in the strategy.

A lack of information acquisition can lead to a lack of comprehension or serious thought, which can lead to the misuse of suitable norms and the subsequent growth of unreasoned or changeable conclusions. Several illustrations of mistakes and inadvertences that may be traced back to a LOKA may be found in permission forms. The threat was then assigned to the RG 1.174 [4] regions and employed to define the RRO. Because this training reports any deficiencies in DI&C in a qualitative way based on a subjective evaluation, the genuine protective barrier achieved by plant digitalization on HSSSR As a result, unlike PORVs, PSVs are not considered for avoiding reactor excursions. Nonetheless, providers, tenants, and regulators have begun developing calamity studies in which PSVs are supposed to function in scenarios where PORVs are supposed to operate. Moreover, they have been requisite specified PSVs to drive as a control arrangement, by continuously opening and closing, like to PORVs, to bound RCS pressurization [5]. Misapplication of Pressurizer Security valves in calamity investigations. A lot efforts and time has gone into developing and implementing valve test programs to verify that PSVs may release water and then appropriately reset. This denotes that PSVs may be required to bag water before reseating. PSVs are intended to relieve steam rather than water. In calamity investigates, PSVs might be thought to exposed but not essentially reset. PSVs are a component of a protective system that prevents RCS compression from surpassing its compression safety edge. As a

result, expecting that PSVs would not open is a conformist assumption. There is no assumption in these evaluations that any PSVs will fail to reseat [5].

The benefits of menace supervision at a nuclear power plant include not solitary protection situations, but also fabrication and finance scenarios [6]. Each of mentioned menace restraints has their own set of occurrences and considerations. Additional reprimand or situation that might be useful is strategic one, which safeguards stuffs like the country's kind of administration, nationalization or expropriation, public acuity, supervisory and legal structure, and so on. It is critical to classify all of the issues raised by a catastrophe (for example, a tsunami) in order to abate confrontational effects and exploit public reaction and achievable improvements in a cost-effective style [6]. The hazard supervision structure is a looping procedure in which chief likely hazards are recognized (composed of latent significances and the relative influence of each significance), secondly the procedures to address the peril are acknowledged (e.g. menace drop or transferal),

The Risk-Information Systems Investigation (RISI) alleyway of the United States. Division of Energy's (DOE's) Light Water Reactor Sustainability (LWRS) program introduced an initiative in Economic Year (EY) 2019 to advance a peril valuation approach for fetching a robust technical foundation to help effective, licensable, and safe DI&C technologies for digital designs [8] [9] [10]. A collective hazard calculation for DI and C development (IRADIC) was scheduled for stated approach, which enterprises to recognize serious digital-indulged fiascos, implement dependability evaluation on associated digital security I&C systems, and calculate the unanalyzed parameters induced by the failures at the plant level [11].

**II-Literature survey:**

Sahin et al. [2023] evaluated the efficiency and application of mutually discrete-time Bayesian networks and Bayesian networks (BNs) in consistency study of FLEX apparatus. The revision compared BNs to additional techniques of assessing reliability: fault tree and Markov chain. These approaches also demonstrated capability of representing into BNs in order to do a consistent study of FLEX structures. To make things easier the provisional possibility tables and diminish the complication of the BNs, a neutral reliance method was used. The consequences demonstrated that BNs were not only an influential tool for modeling FLEX methods, but also actual strategies for enclosing the dynamics of FLEX apparatus in probabilistic menace investigation [12].

Jin et al. [2023] introduced a new diagnostic that used thermal pictures to do component, whole-system, and catastrophic taxonomic diagnosis. The best structure was founded on the most recent CNN model and enabled nippy and precise factor and whole-system diagnostics, as well as accident categorization. This investigative technology was predictable to be functional to inclusive nuclear power plant situation observing for safety [13].

Arshad *et. al* [**2023**], ACP1000 Nuclear Power Plant, which is the most sophisticated and modern, was addressed. With the addition of fortification and safety systems, an improved miniscule order structure of ACP-1000 nuclear power project was assumed. The complete plant model was constructed using cutting-edge hybrid technologies such as LabVIEW, Visual Basic, Fractional Order and FPGA. Using FPGA technology, a reactor trip and simulation system was constructed and simulated in LabVIEW. Plant features were meticulously simulated, and LabVIEW panels for reactor protection controllers were created. The parametric simulation display was made with Visual Basic. The feedback loop evaluation of the projected security controllers was studied within reactor and turbine trips, whilst protection controllers were assessed beneath involuntary opening of pressurizer protection valves. [14].

Pei *et. al* [**2023**], Using SAP 2000 limited component software, writer examines the interconnection and forte of opposition force and inner force reorganization features because of advanced failure under diverse column exclusion situations employing the instant reception technique. The consequences showed that lingering arrangement was likely to to breakdown ar corner and long-edge mid-column exclusion situations, but not under short-edge central and inner column situations. For botch and downfall confrontation, the junction column merely had a beam contrivance, but the longest-side center column has a catenary structure in the Y axis. The beam mechanism can withstand the collapse of the middle short-side column; however the inner column creates a duplex-directional catenary structure in both the X and Y axis. The axial energy of column was significantly transferred to column associates with near extents to the

catastrophe column in the remaining structure, whereas column members with distant spans were less damaged [15].

Katona and Zoltán [**2022**], Although no generic procedure exists in nuclear practice, two practical options for integrating the liquefaction menace into a seismic certainty protection scrutiny and calculating the annual probability of failure of serious structures were proposed founded on studies for Pakistan's Nuclear Power Project. As per the studies conducted for the Pakistan's Nuclear Power Projects, the principal failure mechanisms were lack of alternative service of water supply owing to relative settling of surrounding structural and operational disasters due to leaning. Peril and delicacy must be estimated as tasks of well characterized concentrated data and engineering demanded factors, ideally the extreme horizontal hastening, in order to incorporate these disaster methods in a seismic probabilistic security enquiry [16].

ChoHwan et. al [**2022**], The proposed method begins by employing the self-organizing map (SOM) clustering method to divide the thermal hydraulic situations into distinct sub-systems. Subsequently, the sensitivity examination is conceded out by enlarging a random set of constants with SOM to the constitutive calculations for each sectioned thermal-hydraulic area to observe how the program precision alters. The haphazardly selected multiplying constants signify the ambiguity of the constitutive equivalences. Moreover, the equation with the minimum inaccuracy with the given tentative data may be provided, suggesting which way the constitutive equalities should be revised to advance code precision. To exemplify how the novel proposed technique may provide insight to the code writer, it is used to a steady-state research and a transient research [17].

Ayodeji *et. al* [**2022**], addressed the state-of-the-art in machine learning use in reactor protection evaluations, as well as the characteristic restrictions in machine training and learning models. Furthermore, acute obstacles like deep learning or machine learning model explain-ability, sensitivity and ambiguity restrictions, model dependability, and credibility were investigated from the aspect of nuclear security, and potential resolution to the discovered concerns were proposed. As a noteworthy influence, a deep feed-forward neural network was created as a backup model to forecast turbulent eddy viscosity in Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) simulation. In the RANS turbulence closure simulation, the deep feed-forward neural network presentation was compared to the traditional Spalart Allmaras closure model. Furthermore, the local interpretable model-agnostic explanations (LIME) and Shapely Additive Explanation (SHAP) APIs were established to define the deep feed-forward [18].

Wheatley *et al.* [**2016**], the findings of a arithmetical examination of 216 nuclear site calamities and events were summarized. The dataset was double the size of the previous greatest available. The authors used cost in US-dollars as sternness metric to compare diverse kinds and scale of incidents, a technique that was more comprehensive and reliable than the industry-demanded standard methodology. In spite of considerable revisions succeeding previous catastrophes, the authors predicted that with 388 reactors in service, there was a 50% probability that a Fukushima incident (or more costly) would occur every 60-150 years. They also discovered that the normal price of dealings each year was about the same as the cost of building a fresh facility. This bleak forecast needs post-Fukushima policies that really reduce severe nuclear power dangers. Nuclear energy calamities were diminishing in occurrence, but amassed in rigorousness [19].

## III-METHOD

Electricity is a crucial necessity in today's world. The current status of civilization is dependent on the generation of power. Traditional fossil fuels, reusable sources, nuclear power, hydropower, and other methods can be used to create electricity. Coal is the maximum and frequent foundation of fossil fuels, accounting for 38.1% in 2017. Natural gas is still the following most commonly used fuel, estimated around 23.2% of total usage. Hydroelectricity is additional source of power (15.9%). Nuclear, on the other hand, is still lagging behind, with its proportion dropping from 3.4% to 10% [20]. The OECD nations are seeking to emphasize clean energy production. As a result, nuclear power energy books for 18% of aggregate power output in OECD nations [21]. Furthermore, fossil fuels are actuality employed to their full capacity; as a response, several governments have started steps to transition to nuclear power. It is necessary not just to meet the expanding need, but also to maintain a safe atmosphere.

The nation's main concern regarding nuclear power plants is "protection or safety". However, nuclear power is harmless than fossil fuels as the

remaining do not propagate to other places, which according to the IEA, contributed considerably to the 6.5 million early demises caused by air contamination in 2012 (including indoor and outdoor) [22]. Nuclear energy fuels are feared because they radiate radiation atoms that can damage human DNA and cause cell harm. Nuclear power facilities, on the other hand, emit less radiation into the environment since the reactor is modestly shielded. The main nuclear power countries were caught off guard in the aftermath of Japan's Fukushima nuclear calamity in 2011. Merely single of the world's 440 reactors, Chernobyl, has been categorized a "main mishap" by the International Atomic Energy Agency. The International Atomic Energy Agency's (IAEA) chief objective is to serve as an examiner of international nuclear safety. Every country with atomic nuclear power projects has an atomic safety inspectorate that functions watchfully with the IAEA. The IAEA Safety Standards, established with the support of associated nations, assist as a international standard for guaranteeing people and the atmosphere, and donate to a extraordinary level of security internationally. Radiation control, core cooling maintenance, harmless carriage of consumed fuel, and radioactive waste management are all part of nuclear power plant safety measures. Producing and dealing with nuclear waste poses a safety concern as well. All of the spent nuclear fuel produced in nuclear power reactors during the previous 50 years would fill a football pitch to a penetration of fewer than 10 yards, however 96% of this 'waste' can be recycled [23]. Because accidents are unavoidable, it is nearly difficult to create a totally safe power plant. Nonetheless, nuclear power generation remains the world's safest and most secure method of generating energy today.

**Table 1:** Various scholars' approaches to nuclear power plant safety

| Author | Proposed techique | domain |
|---|---|---|
| Rui et. al [2023] | properties of stochastic seismic categorizations on nuclear power plants | Nuclear power plant safety |
| Jin et. al [2023] | Analysing NPP system characteristics using a small Raspberry Pi and infrared sensors | Nuclear power plant safety |
| Dai et. al [2023] | Operator Fatigue in the Main Control Room | Main control room safety |
| Wang et. al [2023] | Heavy-Concrete Transfer-Purge Chamber Temperature Field and Stress Analysis | Chamber safety analysis |
| Yan et. al [2023] | To mimic the losses caused by severe situations, Petri net models were developed. | Climatic coercions investigation in NPP |
| Ayodeji et. al [2022] | effective nuclear reactor safety prediction and analysis | Safety assessment using deep learning |
| ChoHwan et. al [2022] | The code precision was experimental by multiplying a random set of constants to the constitutive calculations for each sub-divided thermal-hydraulic regime using SOM. | refining nuclear power plant security investigation code |
| Katona and Karsa [2022] | For a straightforward computation of failure rates, the greatest horizontal acceleration was employed as the intensity measure and as the engineering demand parameter. | Liquefaction hazard safety |
| Tao et. al [2022] | an integrated contextual mediated model to evaluate personality, cognitive and attitudinal correlates of risky behaviors among commissioning staff at NPPs. | Analysts of Insecure Behaviors at NPPs |
| Malik et. al [2022] | FPGA-based reactor safety and protection controls for ACP1000 nuclear power plant | Reactor security and safety |
| Jyotish et. al [2022] | The Petri net model yields a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that reflect the state of the system. | evaluation of safety-critical systems |
| Raul et. al [2017] | Nuclear Plant Security Using FeCrAl Alloy Fuel Cladding | Fuel based Safety for NPPs |
| Wheatley et. al [2016] | 216 nuclear energy accidents and events were analysed statistically. | Nuclear power plants safety assessment |

## VI-CONCLUSION

In this article, we have discussed safety measures and techniques of various research scholars employed in nuclear power plants to mitigate adverse influence over human life. In 21st century, nuclear power generation and nuclear medicine have made remarkable impact over power production and world's economy. Beside development in term of wealth and comfortable life, there is life threating adverse effect of nuclear systems. Nuclear projects can be taken to highly advanced stages for their productive but human lives and other species lives will be shifted to stack. Therefore, a balanced bridge has been maintained between nuclear production and their adverse influence for sustainability. This concern led us to make a health review over safety measures and technique by different researchers in nuclear power plants. World's scientists and researchers are not eager to attain peak development in nuclear power projects due to its life threating consequences. Before proceeding to next generation nuclear power phases, it is always a concern to ensure minimum threats to surroundings of project and works working in it.

## References:


1. Ayşe Tansu, Kennith Culp and FAA N, "Risk to Civilian Nuclear Power Plant Workers During the Ukrainian-Russian War",Workplace Health & Safety February, 2023.
2. KATHRYN BAKER and DOLORES MORISSEAU, "Work Practices, Fatigue, and Nuclear Power Plant Safety Performance",HUMAN FACTORS, 1994,36(2),244-257.
3. Guidance for Addressing CCF in High Safety Significant Safety-related DI&C Systems, NEI, July 1, 2021.
4. U.S.NRC, "Regulatory Guide 1.174, An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," U.S.NRC, Washington, D.C., 2002.
5. Samuel Miranda and Ralph Caruso, "A Lack of Knowledge Acquisition Can Impair Nuclear Power Plant Safety",ASME Open Journal of Engineering, 2022, Vol. 1 / 011014-1.
6. IAEA, International Atomic Energy Agency, "Risk management: A tool for improving nuclear power plant performance," TECDOC-1209, Vienna, 2001.
7. Raul B. Rebak and Kevin L. Ledford, "Improving Nuclear Power Plant Safety with FeCrAl Alloy Fuel Cladding", MRS Advances, 2017 Materials Research Society.
8. H. BAO, H. ZHANG, and K. THOMAS, "An Integrated Risk Assessment Process for Digital Instrumentation and Control Upgrades of Nuclear Power Plants," INL/EXT-19-55219, Idaho National Laboratory, (August 2019).
9. H. BAO, T. SHORTHILL, and H. ZHANG, "Redundancy-guided System-theoretic Hazard and Reliability Analysis of Safety-related Digital Instrumentation and Control Systems in Nuclear Power Plants," INL/EXT-20-59550, Idaho National Laboratory (August 2020).
10. H. BAO, T. SHORTHILL, E. CHEN, and H. ZHANG, "Quantitative Risk Analysis of High Safety-significant Safety-related Digital Instrumentation and Control Systems in Nuclear Power Plants using IRADIC Technology," INL/EXT-21-64039, Idaho National Laboratory (August 2021).
11. Hongbin Zhang, Han Bao, Tate Shorthill and Edward Quinn,"An Integrated Risk Assessment Process of Safety-Related Digital I&C Systems in Nuclear Power Plants", NPIC, 2012.
12. Elvan Sahin, Victor C. Leite, Kyung M. Kim, Nick Burns, and Juliana Pacheco Duarte, "Discrete-Time Bayesian Networks Applied to Flexible Coping Strategies of Nuclear Power Plant Systems",NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, January 2023.
13. Ik Jae Jin, Do Yeong Lim and In Cheol Bang, "Deep-learning-based system-scale diagnosis of a nuclear power plant with multiple infrared cameras",Nuclear Engineering and Technology 55 (2023) 493-505.
14. Arshad Habib Malik , Feroza Arshad , Aftab Ahmed Memon and Raheela Laghari, "Design of novel fractional order FPGA based reactor protection and safety controllers for ACP1000 nuclear power plant in LabVIEW",Mehran University Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2023, 42(1) 77-87.
15. Qiang Pei , Bangwen Cai , Luxi Zhang , Zhicheng Xue , Pengfei Qi , Di Cui and Xueting Wang, "The Progressive Collapse Resistance Mechanism of Conventional Island Shield Buildings in Nuclear Power Plants", MDPI, Buildings 2023, 13, 958.
16. Tamás János Katona , and Zoltán Karsa , "Probabilistic Safety Analysis of the Liquefaction Hazard for a Nuclear Power Plant", MDPI, Geosciences 2022, 12, 192.
17. ChoHwan Oh, Doh Hyeon Kim and Jeong Ik Lee, "Application of data driven modeling and sensitivity analysis of constitutive equations for improving nuclear power plant safety analysis code",Nuclear Engineering and Technology 55 (2023) 131-143.

18. Abiodun Ayodeji, Muritala Alade Amidu , Samuel Abiodun Olatubosun , Yacine Addad and Hafiz Ahmed, "Deep learning for safety assessment of nuclear power reactors: Reliability, explainability, and research opportunities",Progress in Nuclear Energy 151 (2022) 104339.
19. Spencer Wheatley, Benjamin K. Sovacool and Didier Sornette, "Reassessing the safety of nuclear power",Energy Research & Social Science 15 (2016) 96–100.
20. https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energyeconomics/statistical-review-of-orldenergy lectricity.html
21. ]http://www.world-nuclear.org/informationlibrary/current-and-future-generation/world energy needs and-nuclearpower.aspx
22. IEA, Energy and Air Pollution, World Energy Outlook Special Report, 2016. Attributed to WHO, World Health Statistics, 2016
23. Krane, K.S., 1988. Introductory Nuclear Physics. John Wiley and Sons